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FOREWORD

Interest in the Nearer East has increased our desire to know as accurately as is possible the beginnings of the faith and of the various states that have played so important a part in its history. The Arabs themselves have had, from the earliest times, a keen sense for historical tradition and an equally keen desire to preserve that tradition in writing. There is, perhaps, no people of earlier times that has left us so large an amount of documentary evidence as to its beginnings as they have. The evidence, of course, needs sifting and sorting according to the canons of criticism we have learned to employ in all such cases. But, this work cannot be done by Oriental scholars alone, whose time is often taken up largely with the philological and literary examination of the texts that have come down to us. It is, therefore, eminently a part of their duty to render these texts accessible to students of history who are not masters of the Arabic language.

Dr. Hitti has undertaken this task in connection with the record of one of the earliest Arab historians whose work has been preserved. Since its publication in 1866 by Professor de Goeje, al-Balâdhuri's "Futūh al-Buldân" has been recognized as one of our chief authorities for the period during which the Arab state was in process of formation. This task of translating has not been a simple one: proof is that the attempt has not been made before this. The style of al-Balâdhuri is often cryptic and unintelligible. This is perhaps due to the fact that the work, as it has reached us, is a shortened edition of a much larger one
which, though existent up to the seventeenth century, has not been found in any of the collections of manuscripts to which we have access. In its present form, the work mentions often men and matters that probably were treated of in the longer recension, but of which now we know nothing. Dr. Hitti's translation is, therefore, in a certain sense also, a commentary and an exposition. As such, I trust that it will be found useful to Orientalists as well as to students of history. His fine sense for the niceties of Arabic expression has often enabled him to get through a thicket that is impenetrable to us Westerners.

Richard Gottheil.

Columbia University, January, 1916.
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INTRODUCTION

ARABIC HISTORIOGRAPHY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO AL-BALĀDHURI

Although rudimentary elements of historiography can be traced back to the description of the "days", i.e., the battles between the tribes, and such stories as the "Ma’rib dam", "the owners of the elephant" and the digging of the "Zamzam well"—all of pre-Islamic antiquity—, yet Arabic historical writing, in the strict sense of the term, is a branch of Islamic literature. Interest in Muhammad necessitated the compilation of traditions (Ar. hadīth) relating to the life and campaigns of the Prophet and his companions. The communistic theocracy of warriors under the early caliphs, and particularly 'Umar’s system of assigning state pensions to Moslems according to their kinship to the Prophet, gave impetus to the study of genealogy in which even pagan Arabs, who attached special importance to descent, were interested. The elucidation of passages in poetry, one of the earliest and most fully-developed modes of expression among the Arabs, and the necessity of determining persons and places referred to in their religious literature made philologists apply themselves to historical research. The three sources of Arabian history therefore are: (1) pre-Islamic stories, (2) traditions relative to the life and campaigns of the Prophet and the companions, and (3) genealogical lists and poetical compositions. The earliest books of history are: biography (sīrah), books of campaigns (maghāzi), and books of genealogy and classes (ansāb wa-fatākār).
The domains of theology, law and history in their early rise overlap and are not sharply defined from one another.

No student of Arabic literature fails to be impressed with the fact that the bearers of the torch of learning among the Arabs were in most cases of foreign and particularly of Persian stock. This is to be explained by the fact that public opinion considered it contemptible for the Arab to busy himself with the pursuit of knowledge to the neglect of the noble art of warring. To this general tendency, however, studying anecdotes, transmitting traditions, and remembering stories—especially if they commemorated the deeds of heroes, orators and poets, formed a conspicuous example. We read in al-Mas‘ūdi that Mu‘āwiya the founder of the Umayyad dynasty “devoted one-third of the night to the reading of the news and battles of the Arabs and non-Arabs.” We also read in al-Bayān that al-Manṣūr the Abbasid caliph after long hesitation decided to put Abu-Muslim al-Khurāsānī to death as a result of hearing an anecdote about Sapor the Persian king. One of the favorite sayings in early Islam was the following found in al-‘Ikdr al-Farīd: “For kings the study of genealogy and histories, for warriors the study of battles and biography, and for merchants the study of writing and arithmetic.”

The chief source from which history writing flowed was tradition (hadīth). It was a pious custom that when Moslems met, one should ask for news (hadīth), and the other should relate a saying or anecdote of Muḥammad. Each event is related in words of eyewitnesses or contemporaries and transmitted to the final narrator through a chain of intermediate reporters. The authenticity of the reported fact

2 al-Jāhiz, vol. ii, pp. 154-155, Cairo, 1313 A. H.
3 Vol. i, p. 198, Cairo, 1293 A. H.
depends on (1) the continuity of the chain and (2) the confidence in each reporter. Thus would al-Balâdhuri start his narrative regarding the campaign of the Prophet against Najrân: "Bakr ibn-al-Haitham related to me, that 'Abdallâh ibn-Şâliḥ related to him, on the authority of al-Laith ibn-Saʿd, on the authority of Yūnus ibn-Ziyâd al-Aili, on the authority of az-Zuhri, who said. . . ."

This form of historic composition is unique in the case of the Arabs and meets the most essential requirements of modern historiography, namely, "back to the source" and "trace the line of authorities." The system, however, has its drawbacks in that it crystallized the record of events and rendered deviation from the trodden path sacrilegious. Aside from the use of judgment in the choice of isnâd—the series of authorities—the Arabian authors exercised very little power of analysis, criticism, comparison or inference, their golden rule being "what has been once well said need not be told again." At-Ţabari, in the introduction to his great work, gives expression to that principle, where, conscious of the exception that many of his readers might take to some of his reports, he pleads, "We only transmit to others what has been transmitted to us."

Another way of handling traditions is that in which the compiler combines different traditions into one continuous whole, prefixing a statement of his authorities or contenting himself by interrupting the narrative, wherever need may be, by citing the particular authority. While al-Balâdhuri is an exponent of the former type and spares no pains in basing every fact, whenever possible, on an independent isnâd, yet he sometimes resorts to the other method as he himself acknowledges in the first lines of his Futûh (p. 15):

1 Futûh al-Buldân, p. 98.
"I have been informed by certain men learned in tradition, biography and the conquest of the lands whose narratives I transmitted, abridged and pieced up together into one whole," etc. Where his store of authorities fails him, al-Balâdhuri introduces his narratives by "they said," or "he said," or "it was said."

On a geographical basis, Moslem tradition may be grouped into two categories: (1) that of al-Madinah as represented by Muḥammad ibn-Isḥāk and al-Wāḥidi, and (2) that of al-ʻIrāk. Notwithstanding the fact that al-Balâdhuri lived in Bagh ḍād, the tradition of al-Madinah, which for obvious reasons is more reliable than that of al-ʻIrāk, forms the basis of his works.

History, whose domain in the time of the first four caliphs was not sharply defined, made its full appearance, and was recorded for the first time under the sway of the Umayyads. According to al-Fihrist,¹ Muʿāwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyān² summoned from al-Yaman one, ʿAbid ibn-Shareyah, and asked him about past events, histories of the Arabs and foreign kings and "ordered that the answers be recorded." This "book of the kings and past events," however, is lost.

The early favorite forms of writing history were biography, genealogy and description of campaigns. The oldest biography is Sīrat Rasūl Allāh written for al-Manṣūr by ibn-Isḥāk (d. 151/767). This we do not possess in its original form but only in the recension of ibn-Hishām (d. 213/834). Genealogy borders on biography and, calling for elucidation, both lead on to history. Genealogical books were first written in the Umayyad period. The genealogical list served as an army roll. The study of tradition

¹ p. 89, ed. Flügel. ² caliph 41-60/661-680.
necessitated the study of the life and character of the reporter on whom the authenticity of the report depends. Thus the reporters were classified into classes (tabakât). The most famous writer of tabakât was ibn-Sa'd (d. 230), the secretary of al-Wâkidî and the compiler of Kitâb at-Tabakât al-Kabîr.

Campaigns playing an important rôle in the life of Muḥammad and the early caliphs soon began to assert their claim for special attention and were treated in special books. Besides, the necessity of recording and studying the campaigns arose from the fact that in levying a tax (kharâj) on the conquered land, those in authority were first confronted with the task of determining whether it was taken “by peace”, “by capitulation”, or “by force”, and what the terms in each case were. This gave rise to many books on campaigns (maghâṣi), one of the oldest of which is al-Wâkidî’s (d. 207/822). Some books were issued treating of the conquest of one city, most of which books have been lost. Given a number of books on the conquest of different cities, the next step would be to compile them into one whole. That step was taken by al-Balâdhuri—the last great historian of Moslem campaigns.

Before the Abbasid period no books on general history were attempted. In the golden age of the Abbasid caliphate and under Persian influence, historiography flourished and developed a new form of composition. The translation of such books as the Pehlevi Khuday-Nama by ibn-al-Muʾkaffa’ into the Arabic Kitâb al-Mulûk, coupled with the fact that the Moslem commonwealth was now richly recruited by Persian converts, made the idea of chronological collocation of events, for which the school of al-Madinah had paved the way, develop to the plan of a complete series of annals. The first to undertake such a history was at-Ṭabari. Thus the historian who at the rise of Islam was a tradition-
ist or reporter becomes now a chronicler. The annalistic method of at-Tabari was followed by ibn-al-Athir and abu-l-Fida.

Al-Mas'udi inaugurated a new system of writing history. Instead of grouping events around years as center, he grouped them around kings, dynasties and races. His system was followed among others by ibn-Khaldun, but did not win so much favor as that of at-Tabari.

The first record we have regarding the life of al-Baladhuri is that of al-Fihrist. Other sources for his life are Yakut, *Mu'jam al-Idabah*, (pp. 127-132), and al-Kutubi, *Fawat al-Wafayet* (Vol. I, pp. 8-9, Bulak, 1283). Ibn-Khallikân refers to him on more than one occasion but does not give his biography. From these sources we learn that Ahmad ibn-Yahya ibn-Jabir al-Baladhuri was a native of Baghdaad descended from Persian stock. His grandfather, Jabir, was secretary to al-Khashib, minister of the finances of Egypt under the caliph ar-Rashid. Ahmad was an intimate friend of the caliphs al-Mutawakkil and al-Musta' in and tutored 'Abdallâh, the brilliant son of al-Mu'tazz. He distinguished himself in poetry—especially satires, tradition and genealogy. The year 279/892 saw his death, mentally deranged as a result of drinking the juice of the anacardia (*baladhur*); hence his surname al-Baladhuri. Besides writing *Futu' al-Buldân*, which is a digest of a larger work that has been lost, he wrote *Ansâb al-Ashrâf*, of which only two volumes are preserved, one in the Schefer collection of the

---

1 p. 113, ed. Flugel.
2 Leiden, 1907, ed. Margoliouth.
3 See also de Goeje's introduction to al-Baladhuri; and Hamaker, *Specimen Catalogi*, p. 7 seq.
Bibliothèque Nationale,¹ and the other has been autographed by Ahlwardt.² Al-Mas'ūdi³ quotes al-Balādhuri’s *ar-Radd-‘ala ash-Shu‘ūbiyyah* (Refutation of ash-Shu‘ūbiyyah),⁴ which book is also lost.

Of the works of al-Balâdhuri the one that claims our special attention is *Futūḥ al-Buldān*.⁵ The book shares with other books of Arabic history the advantage of tracing the report back to the source. Being a synopsis of a larger work, its style is characterized by condensation whereby it gains in conciseness but loses in artistic effect and clearness. Certain passages are mutilated and ambiguous. It is free from exaggeration and the flaws of imagination. Throughout the work the sincere attempt of the author to get to the fact as it happened and to record it as it reached him is felt. The chapters on colonization, soldier’s pay, land tax, coinage and the like make it especially valuable.

The book does not escape the weaknesses common to Arabian histories. The “*ipse dixit*” which was a source of strength was also a source of weakness. Once the words supposed to have been uttered by a contemporary or eye-witness are ascertained, the author feels his duty fulfilled, and his function as a historian degenerates into that of a reporter. The personal equation is not only reduced but the personality of the author is almost eliminated, appearing only as a recipient of a tradition. Scarcely an opinion or remark is made. The intellect is not brought to bear on the data.

¹ De Goeje, *ZDMG*, XXXVIII, 382-406.
A weak characteristic of Arabic historians is their utter disregard of the social side of national life. Political history to them is history *par excellence*. It should, however, be said, to the credit of al-Balâdhuri, that while from a modern standpoint he is defective in that respect, still he stands superior to other historians.

As one reads *Futūh al-Buldān* and is struck by the fact that a long chapter is devoted to the "digging of the wells of Makkah", whereas the conquest of Tripoli, Africa, is dismissed with a few words, he cannot help feeling his sense of proportion suffer. Most of the two chapters entitled "The Founding of al-Kūfah" and "of al-BAṣrāh" are devoted to the explanation of the names given to baths, canals and castles and only a small part relates to actual colonization.

One might also add that Arabic historians were not very sensitive on the question of indecency of language. In general the language of *Futūh* is clean, with the exception of the case of al-Mughirah, the governor of al-BAṣrāh under ‘Umar.

According to Háji Khalifa, the first writers on biography and campaigns were, among others, ‘Urwaḥ ibn-az-Zubair (d. 93) and Wahb ibn-Munabbih (d. 114); and we read that Muḥammad ibn-Maslam az-Zuhri wrote a book of campaigns. These works are all lost and the first biography we have is that of ibn-Hiṣam (d. 213) based on ibn-Ishāk (d. 151). Az-Zubair and az-Zuhri, as well as ibn-Ishāk, are among the sources of al-Balâdhuri.

That in most cases the same tradition that underlies the

1 pp. 77-82.  
2 pp. 355.  
3 pp. 434-448.  
5 Vol. v, p. 646.  
6 In vol. v, pp. 154 and 647.
life of Muḥammad according to ibn-Hishām is made use of by al-Balādhuri in the first chapters of his Futūḥ is made evident by a comparison of the chapters on the banu-an-Naḍir, Khaibar and Tabūk. Al-Balādhuri makes no mention of ibn-Hishām but quotes ibn-Iṣḥāk eleven times. The isnād in Balādhuri being longer, it might be conjectured that he did not get his material at first hand from ibn-Iṣḥāk’s work but through subsequent reporters. Al-Madā‘īnī lived from 135-215 (753-830). He wrote a “history of the caliphs” and a book of “campaigns”, both of which are lost and are known only by excerpts through al-Balādhuri, at-Ṭabari and Yākūt. Of these, al-Balādhuri alone has over forty citations from him.

Al-Wāqīḍī (d. 207/823) wrote 28 books recorded in al-Fihrist, only a few of which have come down to us. Having lived at Baghdaḍ his works were certainly accessible to al-Balādhuri, who quotes him on 80 different occasions and more than any other source. Most of the quotations are made through ibn-Sa’d, the secretary of al-Wāqīḍī, and one of al-Balādhuri’s teachers. A comparison between the campaigns against banu-an-Naḍir and banu-Ḵuraizah in al-Balādhuri, and the corresponding ones in al-Wāqīḍī’s Kitāb al-Maghāzī, shows many points of contact but no absolute interdependence.

Ibn-Sa’d (d. 230) being the disciple of al-Wāqīḍī and the professor of al-Balādhuri acted as a connecting link between the two. In his Futūḥ, al-Balādhuri has 48 citations from him, many of which were communicated by word of mouth and were recorded verbatim by al-Balādhuri. In his book

1 Cf. Hishām, p. 652 and Balādhuri, p. 34; Hishām, p. 779 and Balādhuri, p. 42.
2 p. 99.
3 p. 34.
4 p. 40.
5 pp. 353 and 371, ed. von Kremer, Calcutta, 1856.
at-Ṭabakât (the Book of Classes), many striking similarities to the traditions of al-Balādhuri are noticed.

Ad-Dinawari (d. 282/896) was another contemporary of al-Balādhuri. He wrote a number of books of which only one of importance has come down to us, i.e., al-Akhbār al-Ṭiwāl. Contrary to al-Balādhuri, al-‘Irāk tradition is the basis of his work. It is probable that neither of the two authors was familiar with the work of the other.

In addition to these, al-Balādhuri quotes many other authorities of whom the most favorite ones are: Ḥammād ibn-Salamah, Bakr ibn-al-Haitham, ‘Amir ash-Sha‘bī, Sufyân ibn-Sa‘īd ath-Thauri, ‘Amr ibn-Muḥammad an-Nāṣirī and Hishām ibn-al-Kalbi, most of whose works are either unknown to us or have entirely disappeared.

The most illustrious writer on history after al-Balādhuri was at-Ṭabarī (d. 310). According to al-Fihrīst and ibn-Khallikān, he traveled in Egypt, Syria and al-‘Irāk in quest of learning and died in Baghdād. At-Ṭabarī makes no mention of al-Balādhuri.

In the introduction to his remarkable work, Murūj adh-Dhahab, al-Mas‘ūdī (d. 346) cites scores of books from which he drew his material, and among which he mentions al-Balādhuri’s paying it a high tribute in these words, “We know of no better work on the history of the Moslem conquests”.

Not only did later historians draw freely from al-Balādhuri but subsequent geographers used him extensively as a source. The remarkable work of Yākūt, Mu‘jam al-Buldān, reproduces a great part of the book. Mukaddasi quotes him, and so al-Hamadhānī, and al-Mas‘ūdī.

3 Aḥsan at-Tāhāsir, 313.
4 Kitāb al-Buldān, 303, 321.
5 Kitāb at-Tanbih, 358, 360.
The above-sketched attempt to view al-Balâdhuri in his historic setting warrants the conclusion that the tradition recorded by him was mostly communicated to him by word of mouth and partly through books that have mostly been lost, and that it was a source for al-Mas‘ûdi and Yâkût, and through them for many subsequent Arabic historians and geographers.
PART I

ARABIA
CHAPTER I

AL-MADĪNAH

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE COMPASSIONATE, THE MERCIFUL, WHOSE HELP I SOLICIT!

The Prophet in al-Madīnah. Says Aḥmad ibn-Yaḥya ibn-Jābir:—

I have been informed by certain men learned in tradition, biography, and the conquest of the lands, whose narratives I transmitted, abridged and pieced up together into one whole, that when the Messenger of Allah emigrated from Makkah to al-Madīnah he was entertained as the guest of Kālthūm ibn-Hidm ibn-Amrūʿi-l-Ḳais ibn-al-Ḥarīth ibn-Zaid ibn-ʿUbaid ibn-ʿUmaiyah ibn-Zaid ibn-Mālik ibn-ʿAuf ibn-ʿAmr ibn-ʿAuf ibn-Mālik ibn-al-Aus.¹ So much, however, of his discourse was carried on in the home of Saʿd ibn-Khaithamah ibn-al-Ḥarīth ibn-Mālik of [the tribe of] banu-as-Sālim ibn-Amrūʿi-l-Ḳais ibn-Mālik ibn-al-Aus that some thought he was the guest of the latter.²

Kūbāʾ Mosque. Of the Companions of the Prophet, the early Emigrants together with those of the Ānṣār ³ who had joined him had already built a mosque at Kūbāʾ to pray in, prayer at that time being directed towards Bait-al-Makdis [Jerusalem]. Now, when the Prophet arrived in

¹ Ibn-Ḥajar, Kitāb al-Iṣābah, vol. iii, pp. 613-614.
³ Ibn-Ḥishām, Sīrat Rasūl Allāh, p. 334.
⁴ The Helpers—originally applied to the early converts of al-Madīnah.
Kubā', he led them in prayer in it. That is why the people of Kubā' say that it is the one meant by Allah when he says: "There is a mosque founded from its first day in piety. More worthy that thou enter therein." Others report that the "mosque founded in piety" is that of the Prophet [in al-Madinah].

Abū-'Āmir ar-Rāḥib. 'Affān ibn-Muslim aṣ-Ṣaffār from Urwah who gave the following explanation to the text: "There are some who have built a mosque for mischief and for infidelity, and to disunite the 'Believers,' and in expectation of him who, in time past, warred against Allah and his Messenger"—The mosque of Kubā' was built by Sa'd ibn-Khaithamah and its site was owned by Labbah where she used to tie up her donkey. The dissenters therefore said: "Should we pray on a spot where Labbah used to tie up her donkey? Never. Rather shall we select for ourselves some other place for prayer until abū-'Āmir comes and leads our service." Now, abū-'Āmir had fled from the face of Allah and his Prophet to Makkah and thence to Syria where he was converted to Christianity. Hence the text revealed by Allah: "There are some who have built a mosque for mischief and for infidelity and to disunite the 'Believers,' and in expectation of him who, in time past, warred against Allah and his Messenger"—referring to abū-'Āmir.

Rauḥ ibn-'Abd-al-Mu'min al-Makri from Sa'id ibn-Jubair—Banu-'Āmir ibn-'Auf erected a mosque in which the

1 Koran, 9:109.
2 The series of authorities introducing a tradition have been cut short throughout the translation, only the first and last authorities being mentioned.
3 "Lajja" in F. Wüstenfeld, Geschichie der Stadt Medina, p. 131.
Prophet led them in prayer. This aroused the jealousy of their brothers banu-Ghanm ibn-‘Auf who said, “If we, too, could erect a mosque and invite the Prophet to pray in is as he prayed in our friends’! Abu-‘Amir, too, may pass here on his way from Syria and lead us in prayer.” Accordingly, they erected a mosque and sent an invitation to the Prophet to come and pray in it. But no sooner had the Prophet got up to start, than the following text was revealed to him: “There are some who have built a mosque for mischief and for infidelity and to disunite the ‘Believers,’ and in expectation of him who, in time past, warred against Allah and his Messenger,” the one meant being abu-‘Amir, “never set thou foot in it. There is a mosque founded from its first day in piety. More worthy it is that thou enter therein. Therein are men who aspire to purity and Allah loveth the purified. Which of the two is best? He who hath founded his building on the fear of Allah and the desire to please him,” etc., referring to the mosque of Ḳubā’. 4

Muḥammad ibn-Ḥātim ibn-Maimūn from al-Ḥasan:—When the text, “Therein are men who aspire to purity” was revealed, the Prophet communicated with those who prayed in the mosque of Ḳubā’ asking about the meaning of the purity mentioned in connection with their name, and they replied, “We, Prophet of Allah, wash after voiding excrement and urine.”

“The mosque founded in piety.” Muḥammad ibn-Ḥātim from ‘Amir:—Some of the people of Ḳubā’ used to wash with water the place of exit of the excrement. Hence the text, “They aspire to purity.”

‘Amr ibn-Muḥammad an-Nāṣir and Ahmad ibn-Hishām from Sahl ibn-Sa’d:—Two men in the time of the Prophet disagreed regarding the “mosque founded in piety,” the one

---

contending it was the Prophet’s mosque, the other, the Ḥubā’ mosque. They finally came and asked the Prophet to which he replied, “It is this mosque of mine.”

‘Amr ibn-Muḥammad from ibn-‘Umar:—The “mosque founded in piety” is the mosque of the Prophet.

Muḥammad ibn-Ḥātim from Ubai ibn-Ka‘b:—In answer to a question directed to the Prophet regarding the “mosque founded in piety,” the Prophet replied: “It is this my mosque.”

Hudbah ibn-Khālid from Sa‘īd ibn-al-Musaiyib who said regarding the “mosque founded in piety” that the great mosque of the Prophet is the one meant.


Ḥubā’ mosque was later enlarged and added to. When ‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Umar entered it for prayer, he always turned his face to the “polished column”; and that was the place where the Prophet always prayed.

The Prophet arrives at al-Madīnah. The Prophet spent in Ḥubā’ Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday, riding away on Friday for al-Madinah. Friday prayer he performed in a mosque erected by banu-Sālim ibn-‘Auf ibn-‘Amr ibn-‘Auf ibn-al-Khazraj, that being the first Friday on which he led public prayer. Then the Prophet passed by the houses of the Ausār one by one and each one of them offered to entertain him. He kept his way, however, until

1 Baidāwī, Anwār at-Tanzīl, vol. i, p. 401.
2 Geschichte der Stadt Medina, p. 65.
3 Ibn-Hishām, p. 335.
he arrived at the site of his mosque in al-Madinah where his camel knelt.¹ He dismounted. Then came abu-Aiyūb Khālid ibn-Zaid .² ibn-al-Khazraj who took off the saddle of the Prophet’s camel. The Prophet took up his abode at abu-Aiyūb’s.³ Certain Khazrajis invited the Prophet, but he retorted, “Man is where his camel’s saddle is.” He remained at abu-Aiyūb’s for seven months. He took up his residence there after [Friday-] prayer, one month since his departure [from Makkah]. The Ansār presented to the Prophet all the unoccupied parts of their lands, saying, “O Prophet of Allah, take our own dwellings if thou wish.” ⁶ But he said, “No!”

The mosque of the Prophet. Abu-Umāmah ⁴ As‘ad ibn-Zurārah ibn-‘Udas ibn-‘Ubaid ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-Ghamm ibn-Mālik ibn-an-Najjār, Nakīb-in-chief,³ used to conduct Friday prayers for his Moslem followers in a mosque of his own in which the Prophet, too, used to pray. The Prophet, thereafter, requested As‘ad to sell him a piece of land contiguous to this mosque. The land was in the hands of As‘ad but belonged to two orphans in his custody whose names were Sahl and Suhail sons of Rāfi‘ ibn-abi-‘Amr ibn-‘A‘idh ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-Ghamm.⁶ As‘ad proposed to offer it to the Prophet and to pay its price to the orphans himself. But the Prophet refused and paid for its price ten dīnārs;⁷

² In this and in other cases to come, the genealogical table has been cut short in the translation.
³ Ad-Diyārīkī, al-Kamāts, vol. i, p. 386.
⁴ Geschichte der Stadt Medina, p. 60.
⁵ Nakīb is the superintendent of a people who takes cognizance of their actions and is responsible for them; ibn-Hajar, vol. i, pp. 61-63.
⁶ Ibn-Hishām, p. 503.
⁷ A gold coin worth about ten shillings.
which money he secured from Abu-Bakr as-Siddîq. By the
Prophet’s orders, bricks were prepared and used for build-
ing the mosque. Its foundations were laid with stones;
its roof was covered with palm branches; and its columns
were made of trunks of trees. When Abu-Bakr became
caliph he introduced no changes in the mosque. When
‘Umar was made caliph he enlarged it and asked al-‘Abbâs
ibn-‘Abd-al-Mu’âtalib to sell his house that he might add it
to the mosque. Al-‘Abbâs offered the house as a gift to
Allah and the Moslems; and ‘Umar added it to the mosque.

In his caliphate, ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân reconstructed the
mosque with stone and gypsum, making its columns of stone,
and its roof of teak-wood. ‘Uthmân also added to the
mosque and carried to it small pebbles from al-‘Akrî. The
first caliph to plant in it mакsûrâh 3 was Marwân ibn-al-
Hâkam ibn-abi-l-‘Âsî ibn-Umâyyah who made his mакsûrâh
of carved stones. No change was thereafter introduced in
the mosque until al-Walîd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malîk ibn-Marwân
succeeded his father. This al-Walîd wrote to his ‘âmil [lieu-
tenant, governor] in al-Madinah, ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz,
ordering him to destroy the mosque and reconstruct it.
Meanwhile, he forwarded to him money, mosaic, marble, and
eighty Greek and Coptic artisans from Syria and Egypt.
Accordingly, the ‘âmil rebuilt it and added to it, entrusting
the supervision of its work and the expenditure for it to
Ṣâlih ibn-Kaysân, a freedman of Su’dâ, a freedmaid of the
family of Mu’aikib ibn-abi-Fâtimah ad-Dausi. This took
place in the year 87, some say 88. 4 After this, no caliphi

1 Al-Hamadhâni, Kitâb al-Buldân, p. 24.
2 Hamadhâni, Kitâb al-Buldân, p. 25.
3 See JACS, vol. xxvii, pp. 273-274, Gottheil, “a distinguished fam-
ily of Fatimite Cadis”; and Geschichte der Stadt Medina, p. 71.
4 Geschichte der Stadt Medina, p. 73.
made changes in the mosque down to the time of al-Mahdi’s caliphate.

According to al-Wâkidî, al-Mahdi sent ‘Abd-al-Malîk ibn-Shabîb al-Ghassâni and another 1 descended from ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz to al-Madinah to reconstruct its mosque and increase it in size. The governor of al-Madinah was at that time Ja‘far ibn-Sulaimân ibn-‘Ali. It took these two one year to carry out the undertaking. One hundred cubits [Ar. *dhîrat*] were added to the rear, making its length 300 cubits and its width 200.

According to ‘Ali ibn-Muhammad al-Madâ’ini, al-Mahdi appointed Ja‘far ibn-Sulaimân to the governorship of Makkah, al-Madinah and al-Yamâmah. Ja‘far enlarged the mosques of Makkah and al-Madinah, the work in the latter being completed in the year 162. Al-Mahdi had visited Makkah before the pilgrimage season, in the year 160, and ordered that the *mâskûrah* be supplanted and that it be put on the same level with the mosque.

In the year 246, caliph Ja‘far al-Mutawakkil ordered that the mosque of al-Madinah be repaired. Much mosaic was subsequently carried to it; and the year 247 marked the completion of the work.

‘Amr ibn-Ḥammâd ibn-abi-Ḥanâfîh from ‘A’ishah:—The Prophet said: “All districts or cities were conquered by force, but al-Madinah was conquered by the Koran.”

*The inviolability of al-Madinah.* Shaibân ibn-abi-Shaibah-l-Ubulli from al-Ḥasan:—The Prophet said: “Every prophet can make a place inviolable, so I have made al-Madinah inviolable as Abraham had made Makkah. Between its two *Harrâhs*, 2 its herbage shall not be cut, its trees

---

1 ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Âsim; De Goeje’s edition of Balâdhuri, p. 7, note b.

2 The word means tracts of black stones, i. e., the volcanic region in the vicinity of al-Madinah.
shall not be felled,¹ nor should weapons be carried in it for fight. He, therefore, who does that or harbors in his home one who has done so, may be cursed of Allah and his angels and all men. From him no repentance or ransom shall be accepted."

Rauh ibn-'Abd-al-Mu'min al-Baṣrī-l-Makri from Abu Hurairah:—The Prophet said: "My Lord, Abraham was thy servant and messenger, and so am I thy servant and messenger. And I have made inviolable all that lies between its two stony tracts as Abraham had made Makkah inviolable." Abu-Hurairah used to say: "By him who holds my life in his hands, even if I should find the deer in Baṭḥān I would not care for them."

Shaibān ibn-abi-Shaibah from Muḥammad ibn-Ziyād's grandfather (a freedman of 'Uthmān ibn-Maẓʻūn and the holder of a piece of land belonging to the Maẓʻūn family in Harrah) who said:—"'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb with his robe on his head would sometimes call on me at midnight, take a seat and converse with me. I would then bring him cucumbers and vegetables. But one day he said: 'Go not: I have made thee superintendent of this place. Let no one beat a tree with a stick [that its leaves may fall] or cut off a tree (referring to the trees of al-Madinah); and if thou find anyone doing it, take away his rope and ax.' When I asked him, 'Shall I take his robe?' he answered, 'No.'"

Abu-Masʻūd ibn-al-Ḳattāt from Jaʻfar ibn-Muḥammad's father:—The Prophet declared inviolable all trees growing between Uḥud and 'Air, allowing [only] the driver of the water-carrying camel to cut al-ghada² trees and use them for repairing his ploughs and carts.

² Also Baṭḥān or Butḥān; see al-Hamdānī, Ṣifat Jāsrat al-ʿArab, p. 124, line 9.
³ "Of the genus Euphorbia with a woody stem, often 5 or 6 ft. in height, and innumerable round green twigs."—Palgrave's Travels, vol. i, p. 38.
Hima ar-Rabidah. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Zaid ibn-Aslam’s father who said:—“I heard ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb say to one whom he placed in charge of Hima ar-Rabidah and whose name Bakr forgot, ‘Stretch not thy wing’ to any Moslem. Beware the cry of the oppressed, for it is answered. Admit [to the Hima] the owner of the small herd of camels and sheep but keep off the cattle of ibn-‘Affân and ibn-‘Auf; for if their cattle should perish they resort to sowing, whereas if the cattle of this poor man perish, he comes to me crying, “O, commander of the believers! O, commander of the believers!” To offer grass is easier for the Moslems than to offer money in gold and silver.” By Allah, this is their land for which they fought in pre-Islamic time and which was included in their terms when they became Moslem. They would, therefore, certainly feel that I oppress them; and had it not been for the cattle [secured by declaring a place Hima] to be used in the cause of Allah, I would never make a part of a people’s land Hima.”

Hima an-Naḥār. Al-Kāsim ibn-Sallām abu-‘Ubaid from ibn-‘Umar:—The Prophet declared an-Naḥār’ hima and reserved it for the Moslem cavalry. Abu-‘Ubaid told me that it is an an-Naḥār [and not al-Baḥr, as some have it] and that the handakūk plant [sweet trefoil] grows in it.

Mus'ab ibn-‘Abdallah az-Zubairi from Sa‘d ibn-ab-
Waḳḳâṣ:—The latter once found a young servant felling trees in the ħima [reserved land]. He beat the servant and took his ax. The servant’s mistress, or a woman of his kin, went to ‘Umar and accused Sa‘d. ‘Umar ordered that the ax and the clothes be returned. But Sa‘d refused saying, “I will not give up spoils given me by the Prophet whom I heard say, ‘Whomever ye find cutting trees in the ħima, ye should beat and deprive of what he has.’” From the ax Sa‘d made a shovel which he used in his property to the end of his life.

Al-Ghâbah. Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Madâ‘ini from ibn-Ju‘dubah and abu-Ma‘shar:—When the Prophet was at Żuraib (probably on his return from the expedition of dhu-Ḳard) banu-Ḥârithah of the Anṣâr said to him referring to the site of al-Ghâbah [forest], “This is the place for our camels to go loose, and for our sheep to graze, and for our women to go out.” The Prophet then ordered that he who had cut off a tree should replace it by planting a small shoot. Thus was al-Ghâbah planted with trees.

Wâdi-Mahzûr. ‘Abd-al-A‘lā ibn-Ḥammâd an-Narsi from abu-Mâlik ibn-Tha‘labah’s father:—The Prophet decreed in the case of Wâdi-Mahzûr ¹ that the water be shut off on the the surface until it rises to the two ankles, at which it should be conducted to the other place, thus preventing the owner of the higher property from holding the water from the owner of the lower one.

Ishâk ibn-abi-Isrâ‘îl from ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-al-Ḥârith:—The Prophet decreed in the case of the Mahzûr torrent that the owner of the higher property should hold the water until it rises to the two ankles, at which he must let it go to the holder of the lower land.

¹ One of the valleys of Madinah, see al-Bakri, Kitâb Mu‘jam Maṣṭa‘jam, vol. ii, p. 362.
‘Amr ibn-Ḥammād ibn-abi-Ḥanifah from ‘Abdallāh ibn-abi-Bakr ibn-Muhammad ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥazm al-Anṣārī’s father:—The Prophet decreed in the case of Mahzūr torrent and Mudhainib¹ that the water be shut in until it reaches the two ankles, then the upper supplies the lower. According to Mālik, the Prophet passed a similar judgment in the case of Baṭīḥān torrent.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad al-‘Ijli from abu-Mālik ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-abi-Mālik’s father:—The Prophet was called upon to decide in the case of Mahzūr, the valley of bānū-Ḳuraizah, upon which he decreed that water rising above the two ankles cannot be shut in by the higher owner from the lower owner.

Al-Ḥusain from Ja‘far ibn-Muhammad’s father:—The Prophet decreed in the case of Mahzūr torrent that the owners of palm trees have right to the ankle-high water, sowers have right to the water as high as the two straps of the sandal, after which the water is sent to the lower owners.

Ḥafs ibn-‘Umar ad-Dīrī from ‘Urwah:—The Prophet said: “Baṭīḥān is one of the channels of Paradise.”

‘Ali ibn-Muhammad al-Madā’īni abu-l-Ḥasan from Ju‘dubah and others:—In the caliphate of ʿUthmān, al-Madinah was threatened with destruction by the Mahzūr torrent, which necessitated the erection of a dam by ʿUthmān. Abu-l-Ḥasan added that in the year 156 the torrent brought a terrifying volume of water. The governor at that time, ‘Abd-aš-Ṣamad ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-al-‘Abbās, sent ʿUbaidaliḥ ibn-abi-Salamah-l-ʿUmri who, with a big crowd, started after the afternoon prayer to see the torrent which had, by that time, covered the ṣadakah-lands² of the Prophet. An old woman from al-ʿAlīyah-

¹ “Mudhainib” in al-Bakri, pp. 518, 562.
² Mawardi, al-Abkām as-Sulṭāniyāh, p. 292. Ṣadakah is a portion which a man gives from his property to the poor by way of propitiation. It is primarily supererogatory, whereas sakāt is obligatory.
region\(^1\) pointed out to them a spot to which she had often heard people refer. There they dug and the water found exit through which it passed to \(Wādi-Baṭīhān\). From Mahzūr to Mudhainib is a water-course which empties its water in it.

The Prophet calls al-Madinah Taybah. Muḥammad ibn-Abān al-Wāṣīti from al-Ḥasan:—The Prophet invoked Allah’s blessing on al-Madinah and its inhabitants calling it Taybah.\(^2\)

Abu-ʿUmar Ḥafṣ ibn-ʿUmar ad-Dūrī from ʿĀʾishah, the mother of the believers:—When the Prophet emigrated to al-Madinah, a disease spread among the Moslems in it. Among those taken seriously ill were abū-Bakr, Bilāl and ʿĀmir ibn-Fuhairah. During his illness, abū-Bakr often repeated the following verse:\(^3\)

“One in the morning may lie amidst his family
and death may be nearer to him than his sandal’s strap.”\(^4\)

Bilāl often repeated the following:

“O, would I that I spent a night
at Fakh where \(iḍḥ khīr\) and \(jāḥ l\)\(^5\) plants surround me!
And would that I some day visit Majannah—water to drink it,
and see Shāmāh and Ṭāfīl [Mts.]!”

ʿĀmir ibn-Fuhairah used to repeat the following:

“I have found death before I tasted it,
verily the death of the coward comes from above.\(^6\)
[Man struggles according to his own ability,]
like the bull that protects his skin with his horn.”\(^7\)

\(^1\) Yāḵūt, s.v. ʿAliyah.
\(^2\) Al-Hamadhānī, Kitāb al-Buldān, p. 23; Geschichte der Stadt Medina, p. 10.
\(^3\) Hishām, p. 414; Aẓraḵī, Akhbār Makkah, p. 383.
\(^5\) \(Iḍḥ khīr\) a small plant of sweet smell used for roofing houses. \(Jāḥ l\) a weak plant with which the interstices of houses are stopped up.
\(^7\) Az-Zamakhsharı, al-Fāʾīḵ, vol. ii, pp. 5-6.
This was reported to the Prophet and he prayed: "Make al-Madinah, O Allah, wholesome for us as thou hast made Makkah for us, and bless for us its så' and mudd [grain measures]."

The water-course of al-Ḥarrah. Al-Walid ibn-Ṣāliḥ from ʿUrwaḥ:—One of the ʿAnṣār had a dispute with az-Zubair ibn-al-ʿAuwām regarding the water-courses that run from al-Ḥarrah to the plain. The Prophet said, "Zubair, use the water, then turn it to thy neighbor." 2

Al-ʿAḵīk as fief. Ḥusain ibn-ʿAli ibn-al-Aswad al-ʿIjli from Hishām ibn-ʿUrwaḥ's father:—As ʿUmar was parcelling al-ʿAḵīk into fiefs, he came to a part of it regarding which he remarked, "I never gave such a land in fief." To this Khauwāt ibn-Jubair replied, "Give it out to me." And ʿUmar did.

Al-Ḥusain from Hishām ibn-ʿUrwaḥ's father:—ʿUmar gave al-ʿAḵīk in fief from its upper to its lower end.

Al-Ḥusain from Hishām ibn-ʿUrwaḥ:—ʿUmar accompanied by az-Zubair set out to distribute fiefs, and as ʿUmar was giving them out, he passed by al-ʿAḵīk and said: "Where are the seekers of fiefs? I have not yet today passed by a more fertile land." Az-Zubair said: "Give it out to me." And ʿUmar did.

A similar tradition was communicated by al-Ḥusain from Hishām ibn-ʿUrwaḥ's father.

Khalaf ibn-Hishām al-Bazzār from Hishām ibn-ʿUrwaḥ's father who said:—"ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb gave out as fief to Khauwāt ibn-Jubair al-ʿAnṣāri a piece of dead land. This we bought from him."

A similar tradition was communicated to me by al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad on the authority of Hishām's father.


2 One tradition occurring here and defining certain terms in the previous tradition has been omitted in the translation. Evidently it is a gloss.
Other fiefs. Al-Ḥusain from ‘Urwah:—Abu-Bakr gave out as fief to az-Zubair the land lying between al-Jurf and Ḫanâh. Abu-Ḥasan al-Madâ’ini told me that Ḫanâh is a valley stretching from at-Ṭâ‘if to al-Arha‘iyah and Ḫarkarat al-Kudr and thence it comes to Sudd-Ma‘ūnāh from which it runs by the end of al-Ḵadûm and ends at the head of Kubûr ash-Shuhadâ’ [martyrs’ tombs] at Uhud.

Abu-‘Ubaid al-Ḵâsim ibn-Sallâm from certain learned men:—The Prophet gave out as fief to Bilâl ibn-al-Ḩârith al-Muzani certain mines in the Furu’ district.

‘Amr an-Nâkid and ibn-Sahm al-Anṭâki from abu-‘Ikrimah the freedman of Bilâl ibn-al-Ḩârith al-Muzani:—The Prophet gave out as fief to Bilâl a piece of land having a mountain and mines. The sons of Bilâl sold a part of it to ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz in which one mineral (or he may have said two) appeared. The sons of Bilâl thereupon said: “What we sold thee is not the minerals but the tillable land.” Then they brought forth a statement written for them by the Prophet on a palm leaf which ‘Umar kissed and with which he rubbed his eye saying to his steward: “Find out what the income and the expenses are, retain what thou hast expended, and give them back the balance.”

Abu-‘Ubaid from Bilâl ibn-al-Ḩârith:—The Prophet gave out all al-‘Aḵîk as fief to Bilâl.

The zakât on the metals. Mus‘ab az-Zubairi from Mâlik ibn-Anas:—The Prophet assigned as fief to Bilâl ibn-al-Ḩârith certain mines in the Furu’ district. On this, all our learned men agree. Nor do I know of any disagree-

1 Called ‘Arṣat al-Baḵal in al-Wâkidî’s days, see Wâkidî, tr. Wellhausen, pp. 103-104.

2 A valley near Mount Thaib, one day’s journey from Madînah.

ment among our followers regarding the fact that in the case of mines the sakāt is one-fourth of the tithe. It is reported that az-Zuhri often repeated that in the case of mines sakāt is binding. It is moreover reported that he said that the sakāt is one-fifth. That is what the people of al-İRĀK say who at present impose on the mines of al-Furu', Najrān, dhu-l-Warwah, Wādī-l-Ḳura and others one-fifth in accordance with the view of Sufyān ath-Thauri, abu-Ḥanīfah, abu-Yūsuf and the school of al-IRQĀ.¹

‘Ali’s fiefs. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Ja’far ibn-Muhammad:—The Prophet assigned to ‘Ali as fief four pieces of land, i.e., the two Fuḳairs, Bi’r-Ḳais, and ash-Shajarah.²

A similar tradition was communicated to me by al-Ḥusain on the authority of Ja’far ibn-Muhammad.

‘Amr ibn-Muhammad an-Nāqīd from Ja’far ibn-Muhammad’s father:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb assigned to ‘Ali as fief Yanbu’,³ and another piece was added to it.

A similar tradition was communicated to me by al-Ḥusain on the authority of Ja’far ibn-Muhammad’s father.

The well of ‘Urwhāh, the reservoir of ‘Amr and the canal of Banāt-Nā’īlah, etc. The next tradition was communicated to me by one in whom I trust on the authority of Musʿab ibnʿAbdallassh az-Zubairi.—The well of ‘Urwaḥ ibn-az-Zubair is named after ‘Urwaḥ ibn-az-Zubair; the ‘Amr reservoir is named after ‘Amr ibn-az-Zubair; the canal of Banāt-Nā’īlah is named after children of Nā’īlah, daughter of al-Faranṣah-l-Kalbiyah and wife of ‘Uthmān ibn-ʿAffān (‘Uthmān had taken possession of this canal and conveyed

³Yākūt, vol. iv, pp. 1038-1039.
its water to a piece of land at al-'Arṣah¹ which he cultivated and worked); the land of abu-Hurairah is ascribed to Abu-Hurairah ad-Dausi; and as-Šahwah in Mt. Juhainah is the ṣadakāh of 'Abdallah ibn-'Abbâs.

*Kašr-Nafis*. It is said that the Nafis castle is ascribed to Nafis at-Tâjir [the merchant] ibn-Muhammad ibn-Zaid ibn-'Ubaid ibn-al-Mu'alla ibn-Laudhân ibn-Ḥarīthah ibn-Zaid of al-Khazraj, the allies of banu-Zuraikh ibn-'Abd-Ḥarīthah of al-Khazraj. This castle stands in Ḥarrat-Wâ'kim at al-Madinah. ‘Ubaid ibn-al-Mu'alla died as martyr in the battle of Uhud. Others say it is Nafis ibn-Muhammad ibn-Zaid ibn-'Ubaid ibn-Murrah, Mu'alla's freedman. This ‘Ubaid and his father were among the captives of ‘Ain at-Tamr. ‘Ubaid ibn-Murrah died in the battles of al-Ḥarrah. His surname was abu-'Abdallah.

‘A'ishah well. The ‘A'ishah well is ascribed to ‘A'ishah ibn-Numair ibn-Wâ'klef, ‘A'ishah being a man's name of al-Aus.


*The Sîk in al-Madinah*. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd from ‘Aṭâ ibn-Yasâr, the freedman of Maimûnah, daughter of al-Ḥarīth ibn-Ḥazn ibn-Bujair of al-Hilāl tribe:—When the Prophet wanted to found a market in al-Madinah he said: “This is your market and no kharâj will be assessed on it.”

*The ‘Arim dam*. Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from his grandfather and Shârki ibn-al-Ḳutâmi-l-Kalbi:—When Nebuchadnezzar destroyed Jerusalem,¹ expelled of the

¹ See Yâkût, *al-Muṣṭakārik*, p. 159.
Israelites those whom he expelled, and carried away those whom he carried into captivity, some Israelites fled away to al-Ḥijāz and settled in Wādī-l-Ḵura, Taima', and Yathrib. At that time there lived in Yathrib a tribe of Jurhum and a remnant of al-'Amālik who lived on date-planting and wheat-growing. Among these, the Israelites settled and associated with them, and kept increasing in number, as Jurhum and al-'Amālik were decreasing, until the former drove the latter from Yathrib and established their authority over it, taking possession of their cultivated and pasture lands. This was their condition for a long time. Then it came to pass that those of the people of al-Yaman descended from Saba ibn-Yashjub ibn-Ya‘rub ibn-Ḵaḥṭān were filled with the spirit of oppression and tyranny and ignored the grace of their God in regards to the fertility and luxury he bestowed on them. Consequently, Allah created rats that began to bore the dam, which stood between two mountains and had pipes which the people could open when they wished and get as much water as they wanted. This is the ‘Arim dam.¹ The rats went on working on the dam until it was broken through. Thus did Allah let their gardens sink and their trees disappear, changing them into khamat,² tamarisk and some few jujube trees.³

The wanderings of al-Azd. Seeing what happened, Muzaikiyah i. e. 'Amr ibn-'Āmir . . . ibn-Amru'i-l-Ḵais . . . ibn-Ya‘rub ibn-Ḵaḥṭān sold all the property and cattle he possessed, summoned the Azd and started together to the land of the tribe of ‘Akk. There they settled. ‘Amr remarked: “To seek herbage before knowledge is weakness.” The tribe of ‘Akk were distressed at the fall of their best

¹ Koran, 34: 15.
² A tree with bitter fruit.
³ GGA, 1863, p. 1348.
lands into the hands of al-Azd and asked the latter to evacuate the land. Thereupon a one-eyed and deaf man of al-Azd, named Jidh’, made an attack on a ‘Akk party and destroyed them. This resulted in a war between al-Azd and ‘Akk. The Azd, after being defeated, returned and charged, in reference to which Jidh’ composed the following verse:

“We are the descendants of Māzin—there is no doubt, the Ghassān of Ghassān versus the ‘Akk of ‘Akk, and they shall see whether we or they are the weaker.”

(Previous to this al-Azd had settled near a spring called Ghassān. Hence their name, Ghassān.)¹ Al-Azd now set off until they arrived in the land of Ḥakam ibn-Sa‘d al-‘Ashirah . . . ibn Ya‘rub ibn-Ḳaḥṭān. There they fought and won the victory over Ḥakam. But it occurred to them to move, and they did, leaving a small band behind. The next place they came to was Najrān. Here they met resistance from the inhabitants of the place but finally won the victory. After settling in Najrān they departed with the exception of a few who had special reasons to stay. Al-Azd then arrived in Makkah which was populated with the Jurhum tribe. They made their abode in Baṭn-Marr. Tha‘labah the son of ‘Amr Muzaiṣiya demanded of Jurhum that the plain of Makkah be given to his people. This request having been refused, a battle ensued in which Tha‘labah got control of the plain. Tha‘labah and his people, however, realized after this that the place was unwholesome, and found it hard to make their living in it; so they dispersed, one band of them leaving for ‘Umān, another for as-Sarât, another for al-Anbār and al-Ḥirah, another for Syria and one band chose Makkah for abode. This made Jidh’ say: “Every time ye go to a place, ye al-Azd, some of you

¹ Near Sudd-Ma’rib in al-Yaman; Hishām, p. 6.
detach themselves from the rest. Ye are on the point of becoming the tail among the Arabs." That is why those who settled in Makkah were called Khuzâ‘ah. Then came Tha‘labah ibn-‘Amr Muzaiyīya with his son and followers to Yathrib whose people were Jews. They settled outside the city where they grew and increased in number and became so strong as to drive the Jews from Yathrib. Thus they came to live inside the city and the Jews outside of it.

Al-Aus and al-Khasraj. Al-Aus and al-Khazraj are the sons of Ḥārithah ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-‘Amr Muzaiyīya ibn-‘Amir, and their mother was Kailah, daughter of al-Arkam. Some say she was a Ghassanide of al-Azd tribe, others say she was of ‘Udhrah tribe.

In pre-Islamic times, the Aus and the Khazraj saw many battles which made them trained in warfare. They became so used to fighting that their valor spread far, their courage became well known, their bravery was often cited and their name became a source of terror in the hearts of the Arabs, who feared them. Their possessions were well guarded against encroachment, and their neighbor was well protected; and all that was preparatory to the fact that Allah wanted to have them support his Prophet and to honor them by lending him aid.

It is reported that at the arrival of the Prophet in al-Madinah he wrote an agreement and made a covenant with the Jews of Yathrib. The Jews of Kainukâ‘, however, were the first to violate the covenant, and the Prophet expelled them from al-Madinah. The first land that the Prophet conquered was that of the banu-an-Naḍîr.

1 Ar. inkhas’a, see an-Nihâyah under khaza’a.
2 Azrâ‘i, p. 55.
3 Hishâm, p. 140; Geschichte der Stadt Medina, p. 56.
4 One of the names of Madinah.
CHAPTER II

The Possessions of the banu-an-Nadîr

Banu-an-Nadîr besieged. The Prophet once accompanied by abu-Bakr, ‘Umar and Usaid ibn-Ḥuḍair came to the banu-an-Nadîr who were Jews and solicited their aid for raising the bloodwit of two men of the banu-Kilâb ibn-Rabî‘ah who had made peace with him and who were killed by ‘Amr ibn-Umaiyah aḍ-Ḍamri.¹ The Jews intended to drop a stone on him but the Prophet left them and sent them word ordering them to evacuate his city [Yathrib] because of their perfidy and violation of covenant. The Jews refused to comply, and announced hostility.² Upon this the Prophet marched and besieged them for fifteen days, at the close of which they capitulated, agreeing to evacuate his town and to be entitled to whatever the camels could carry with the exception of coats of mail and armor, the Prophet taking their land, palm-trees, coats of mail and other arms. Thus did all the possessions of the banu-an-Nadîr become the property of the Prophet. The Prophet used to sow their land planted with palm-trees and thus provided for his family and wives for one year. With what could not be consumed, he bought horses and arms.

Fiefs assigned. Of the land of banu-an-Nadîr, the Prophet gave fiefs to abu-Bakr, ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-‘Auf, abu-

² Al-Ya’kûbi, Ta’rikh, vol. ii, p. 49.
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Dujânah¹ Simâk ibn-Kharashah as-Sâ’idi and others. This occurred in the year 4 of the Hegira.

Mukhairiḳ. According to al-Wâḳidi, one of the banu-an-Nadîr, Mukhairiḳ, was a learned rabbi and he believed in the Prophet and offered him all that he possessed, which was seven palm-gardens surrounded with walls. This the Prophet set apart as ṣadâkah-land. The seven gardens are: al-Mithab, aṣ-Ṣâfiyah, ad-Dalâl, Ḥusna,² Barkah, al-A’wâf, Mashrâbat umm-Ibrâhim,³ Ibrâhim being the son of the Prophet and his mother being Mâriyah, the Copt.

Other versions of the conquest. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from az-Zulûrî:—The attack on the banu-an-Nadîr, the Jews, took place six months after the battle of Uhud. The Prophet pressed the siege until they agreed to evacuate the city stipulating that they take with them whatever utensils their camels could carry with the exclusion of the coats of mail. Hence the text revealed by Allah: “All that is in the heavens and all that is on the earth praiseth Allah! And He is the mighty, the wise! He it is who caused the unbelievers among the people of the Book”, etc.,⁴ to “put the wicked to shame.”

The next tradition was communicated to us by al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad on the authority of Muhammad ibn-Ishâk⁵ regarding the above text which Allah hath revealed to his Messenger:—Those referred to are banu-an-Nadîr. By “Ye pressed not towards it with horse or camel. But Allah giveth his Messengers authority over whomsoever He will-eth”,⁶ Allah showed that it is wholly assigned to the

¹ Ya’kûbî, vol. ii, p. 50.
² “Al-Ḥasna” in Geschichte der Stadt Medina, p. 150.
³ Wâḳidi, tr. Wellhausen, p. 166.
⁴ Koran, 59: 1.
⁵ Hishâm, pp. 654 and 655.
Prophet and to no one else. The Prophet then parcelled out the land among the Emigrants. But when Sahl ibn-Ḥunaif and abu-Dujānah mentioned their poverty, he gave them a share. As for the text: "The spoil taken from the people of the villages and assigned by Allah to his Messenger, it belongeth to Allah and to the Messenger," etc., to the end of the text, it means that Allah made another division among the Moslems.

According to a tradition I received from Muḥammad ibn-Ḥātim as-Samīn on the authority of ibn-‘Umar, the Prophet burnt and cut down the palm-trees of the banu-an-Naḍîr in reference to which Ḥassān ibn-Thābit says:

"The leading men of the banu-Lu‘ai would have regarded it easy, to bring about the great fire at Buwairah." ¹

According to ibn-Juraij, it was in this connection that Allah revealed the text: "Whatever palm-trees ye have cut down or left standing on their stems was by Allah’s permission and to put the wicked to shame."

A similar tradition was communicated to us by abu-‘Ubaid on the authority of ibn-‘Umar.

Abu-‘Amr ash-Shaibānī, among other reporters, holds that the above-quoted verse was composed by abu-Sufyān ibn-al-Ḥārith ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib and that its wording is as follows:

"The leading men of the banu-Lu‘ai would have regarded it hard, to bring about the great conflagration of Buwairah."

(According to other reports it is Buwailah [and not Buwairah]).² Ḥassān ibn-Thābit in answer to that wrote the following:

¹ Al-Bakri, under Buwairah; Ibn-Hishām, pp. 712-713.
² Yākūt, vol. i, p. 765.
"May Allah perpetuate the conflagration
and make the fire rage in its parts.
They were given the Book but they lost it.
Thus with respect to the Taurât they are blind and erring." ¹

The Prophet's special share. ‘Amr ibn-Mu‘ammad an-Nākīd from Mālik ibn-Aus ibn-al-Ḥadathān:—It was stated by ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb that the possessions of the banu-an-Naḍīr were assigned by Allah to the Prophet, the Moslems having not “pressed toward them with horse or camel.” Thus they were wholly his property. The Prophet used to spend their annual income on his family and invest what was left in horses and arms to be used in the cause of Allah.

Hishām ibn-‘Ammār ad-Dimashkī from Mālik ibn-Aus ibn-al-Ḥadathān:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb told him [Mālik] that the Prophet had three special shares which he appropriated for himself; namely, the possessions of the banu-an-Naḍīr, Khaibar and Fadak. The possessions of the banu-an-Naḍīr he reserved for use in case of misfortunes that might befall him. Those of Fadak were reserved for wayfarers. Those of Khaibar he divided into three portions, two of which he divided among the Moslems and the third he reserved for his and his family’s expenses, distributing what was left after the expenses to the needy among the Emigrants.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from az-Zuhri:—The possessions of the banu-an-Naḍīr were among the things that Allah assigned to his Prophet. The Moslems “pressed not towards them with horse or camel.” They were therefore wholly the property of the Prophet; and he divided them among the Emigrants, giving nothing of them to the Anṣār with the exception of two persons who were needy, i. e., Simāk ibn-Kharashah abu-Dujānah, and Sahl ibn-Ḫunaif.

¹ Cf. Ḥassān ibn-Thābit, Divān, p. 46.
Al-Ḥusain from al-Kalbi:—When the Prophet secured the possessions of the banu-an-Naḍir, who were the first he made to evacuate the land, Allah said: “He it is who caused the unbelievers among the ‘People of the Book’ to quit their homes and join those who had evacuated previously.” ¹ Thus these possessions were among the spoils towards which the Moslems “pressed not with horse or camel.” The Prophet then said to the Anṣār: “Your brethren, the Emigrants have no possessions. If ye therefore desire, I will divide these [newly acquired possessions] and what ye already possess among you and the Emigrants. But if ye desire, keep ye your possessions and I will divide these [newly acquired ones] among the Emigrants alone.” To this the Anṣār replied: “Divide these among them and give them from our possessions whatever thou wishest.” Because of this the text was revealed: “They prefer them before themselves, though poverty be their own lot.” ² Thereupon abu-Bakr said: “May Allah give you the good recompense, ye Anṣārs your case and ours is like that referred to by al-Ghanawī where he said,

¹ May Allah recompense in our behalf the Ja’far, who when our feet slipped in al-Waṭ’atīn and we fell, took ungrudging care of us although our mothers would have murmured if they were in their place.
The rich are many and every hungry man goes to places kept warm and sheltered.”

The fief of az-Zubair. Al-Ḥusain from Hishām ibn-‘Urwah’s father:—The Prophet assigned as fief to az-Zubair ibn-‘Auwām a piece of the banu-an-Naḍir’s land planted with palm-trees.

Al-Ḥusain from Hishām ibn-‘Urwah’s father:—The Pro-

¹ Koran, 39: 2.
² Kor., 59: 9.
prophet gave out of the land of the banu-an-Naḍîr in fief and he gave a fief to az-Zubair.

Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d,1 the secretary of al-Wâkidi, from Anas ibn-‘Iyâd, and ‘Abdallâh ibn-Numair from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah’s father:—The Prophet assigned as fief to az-Zubair a piece of the banu-an-Naḍîr’s land planted with palm-trees. Abu-Bakr assigned to az-Zubair as fief al-Jurf. Anas in his tradition says the land was dead. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Numair says in his tradition that ‘Umar gave az-Zubair as fief all of al-‘Aqîk.

CHAPTER III

THE POSSESSIONS OF THE BANU-KURAIZAH

The subjection of the banu-Kuraizah. The Prophet besieged banu-Kuraizah for a few days in dhu-l-Ka‘dah, and a few days in dhu-l-Hijjah, of the year 5, the whole time being fifteen days.¹ These banu-Kuraizah were among those who had assisted in the fight against the Prophet in the battle of al-Khandak [the moat] also called battle of al-Ahzâb [the confederates]. Finally they surrendered and he installed Sa‘d ibn-Mu‘adh al-Ausi as their ruler. The latter decreed that every adult ² be executed, that women and children be carried as captives and that all that they possessed be divided among the Moslems.³ The Prophet approved of the decree saying: “What thou hast decreed is in accordance with the decree of Allah and his Prophet.”

Gabriel appears to the Prophet. ʿAbd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from ʿA’ishah:—When the Prophet was done with the battle of al-Ahzâb, he went into the wash-room in order to wash. There Gabriel appeared to him and said, “Muḥammad, thou hast laid down thy arms; but we have not yet. Hasten against the banu-Kuraizah.” ʿA’ishah upon this said to the Prophet: “O Prophet of Allah, I have seen him [Gabriel] through a hole in the door with the dust around his head!” ⁴

² Literally “every one on whose beard the razor could be used.”
³ Wâ kidn, Maghâsi, p. 373.
The adults executed. ‘Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from Khatîr ibn-as-Sâ‘îb:—Banu-Kuraizah were presented to the Prophet with the result that those of them who had attained to puberty ¹ were executed and those who had not attained to puberty were spared.

Huyai ibn-Akhṭâb put to death with his son. Wahb ibn-Bâkiyâh from al-Ḥasan:—Huyai ibn-Akhṭâb made a covenant with the Prophet agreeing never to assist anyone against him and mentioned Allah as surety for the covenant. When he and his son were brought before the Prophet on the day of Kuraizah, the Prophet remarked: "The one mentioned as surety has done his part." By the order of the Prophet the heads of the man and his son were cut off.²

The division of the booty. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Ma‘mar who said:—I once asked az-Zuhri whether the banu-Kuraizah had any lands, to which he replied directly, "The Prophet divided it among the Moslems into different shares."

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-‘Abbâs:—The Prophet divided the possessions of the banu-Kuraizah and Khaibar among the Moslems.³

The conquest according to az-Zuhri. Abu-‘Ubaid al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from az-Zuhri:—The Prophet pressed the siege against banu-Kuraizah until they surrendered to Sa‘d ibn-Mu‘âdh who decreed that their men be executed, their children be taken as captives and their possessions be divided. Accordingly, a certain number of men were put to death on that day.

¹ Literally every one who "had the dreams and hair" that mark adolescence.
² Taḥāri, vol. i, p. 1494.
³ Wâkidî, Wellhausen, pp. 220-221.
CHAPTER IV

KHAIBAR

*The capitulation of Khaibar.* The Prophet invaded Khaibar in the year 7. Its people contended with him, delayed him and resisted the Moslems. So the Prophet besieged them for about one month.2 They then capitulated on the terms that their blood would not be shed, and their children be spared, provided that they evacuate the land, which he permitted the Moslems to take together with the gold and silver and arms—except what was on the person of the banu-Khaibar, and that they keep nothing secret from the Prophet. They then told the Prophet, "We have special experience in cultivation and planting palm-trees," and asked to be allowed to remain in the land. The Prophet granted them their request and allowed them one-half of the fruits and grains produced saying: "I shall keep you settled so long as Allah keeps you."

*Umar expels the people of Khaibar.* During the caliphate of Umar ibn-al-Khattab, a pestilence spread among them and they mistreated the Moslems. Umar, thereupon, made them evacuate the land, dividing what they had among those of the Moslems who already had a share in it.

The terms made. Al-Hasan ibn-al-Aswad from Muhammad ibn-Ishak who said:—"I once asked ibn-Shihab about Khaibar and he told me that he was informed that the

1 Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 503.
2 Diyârbakri, Ta'rikh al-Khamis, vol. ii, p. 47.
Prophet captured it by force after a fight, and that it was included among the spoils which Allah assigned to his Prophet. The Prophet took its fifth and divided the land among the Moslems. Those of its people who surrendered did so on condition that they leave the land; but the Prophet asked them to enter into a treaty, which they did."

_Huyai hides a bag full of money._ 'Abd-al-A'la ibn-Ḥammād an-Narsi from ibn-ʿUmar.—The Prophet came to the people of Khaibar and fought them until he drove them to their castle and captured their land and palm-trees. They then capitulated on the terms that their blood be not shed, that they evacuate the land and be entitled to all that their camels could carry, and that the Prophet be entitled to the gold and silver and arms. The Prophet made it a condition for them that they hold nothing secret or hidden from him, otherwise they are no more within his protection or covenant. They, however, hid a leather bag in which were kept money and jewels belonging to Ḥuyai ibn-Akhtab. This bag Ḥuyai had brought to Khaibar on the occasion of the expulsion of the banu-an-Naḍîr. The Prophet asked Saʿyah ibn-ʿAmr saying, "What has become of the bag which Ḥuyai brought from the banu-an-Naḍîr?" To this Saʿyah answered, "Wars and expenses have emptied it." But the Prophet remarked, "It was a short time and a big sum of money. Moreover, Ḥuyai was killed before that." The Prophet then turned Saʿyah over to az-Zubair and the latter put him to the torture. At last Saʿyah said: "I saw Ḥuyai roaming about in a deserted place yonder." Search was made in the deserted place and the bag was found. The Prophet, thereupon, put the two sons of abu-l-Ḥukayk to death, one of whom was the husband of Ṣafiyah, the daugh-

---

1 Hishām, p. 779.  
3 who became one of the wives of Muḥammad, see an-Nawāwī, *Tahdhib al-Asmāʾ*, pp. 846-847.
ter of Ḥuyai ibn-Akḥtab. Moreover, he captivated their children and women and divided their possessions because of their breach of faith.

‘Abdallāh ibn-Rawāḥah estimates the produce. The Prophet also wanted to expel the banu-Khaibar from the land but they said, "Let us stay in the land to repair it and manage it." The Prophet and his companions having no slaves to manage it, and they having no time to do it themselves, he gave them Khaibar on condition that they have one-half of every palm-tree or plant . . . 1 as it occurred to the Prophet. ‘Abdallāh ibn-Rawāḥah used to come every year and estimate by conjecture the quantity of dates upon the palm-trees and rent them one-half. Banu-Khaibar accused him to the Prophet charging him with partiality in estimation and offered to bribe him. To this he [‘Abdallāh] replied saying, "Do ye enemies of Allah mean to give me unlawful money?" By Allah, I have been sent to you by one whom of all men I love best. As for you, I hate you more than monkeys and pigs. My hatred to you and love to him, however, shall never stand in the way of my being just to you." They then said, "Through this [justice] have heavens and earth been established!"

The green spot in the eye of Safiyah, the Prophet's wife. Once the Prophet, noticing a green spot in the eye of Safiyah, daughter of Ḥuyai, asked her about it, and she said, "As my head lay in the lap of ibn-abi-l-Ḥukaik, I saw in my sleep as if a moon fell in my lap. When I told him of what I saw he gave me a blow saying, 'Art thou wishing to have the king of Yathrib?'" 3 Safiyah added, "Of all men the Prophet was the one I disliked most, for he had killed

1 Text not clear.
2 Kor., 5:67 and 68.
3 Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 1582.
my husband, father and brother. But he kept on saying, 'Thy father excited the Arabs to unite against me and he did this and that,' until all hatred was gone away from me.'

The Prophet used to give annually each of his wives 80 camel-loads of dates and 80 loads of barley from Khaibar.

_Umar divides Khaibar._ It was stated by Nâfi' that during the caliphate of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, the people of Khaibar mistreated the Moslems and deceived them and broke the hands of the son of 'Umar by hurling him from the roof of a house. Consequently, 'Umar divided the land among those of the people of Ḥudaibiyah who had taken part in the battle of Khaibar.

_The forts of Khaibar._ Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from 'Abdallāh ibn-abi-Bakr ibn-Muḥammad ibn-'Amr ibn-Ḥazm.—The Prophet besieged the people of Khaibar in their two fortresses—al-Waṭṭīh and Sulālim. When they felt that their destruction was sure, they requested the Prophet to let them off and spare their lives. This he did. The Prophet had already taken possession of all their property including ash-Shikk, an-Naṭāt and al-Katibah together with all their forts except what was in the above-mentioned two.

"Speedy victory." The following tradition regarding the text: 

"And rewarded them with a speedy victory" was transmitted by al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad on the authority of 'Abd-ar-Rahmān ibn-abi-Laila.—Khaibar and another are meant who could not be subdued by the Persians and Greeks.

_The division of Khaibar._ 'Amr an-Nāqid from Bushair ibn-Yašār.—The Prophet divided Khaibar into thirty-six shares and each share into a hundred lots. One-half of the shares he reserved for himself to be used in case of

---

1 Hishâm, p. 780.
2 Six fortresses mentioned by Ya'qūbi, vol. ii, p. 56.
3 Kor., 48: 18.
accident or what might befall him, and the other half he distributed among the Moslems. According to this, the Prophet’s share included ash-Shikkh with an-Naṭāt and whatever was included within them. Among the lands turned into wakf were al-Katibah and Sulālim. When the Prophet laid his hands on these possessions, he found that he had not enough ‘āmilīs for the land. He therefore turned it over to the Jews on condition that they use the land and keep only one-half of its produce. This arrangement lasted throughout the life of the Prophet and Abu-Bakr. But when ‘Umar was made caliph, and as the money became abundant in the lands of the Moslems, and the Moslems became numerous enough to cultivate the land, ‘Umar expelled the Jews to Syria and divided the property among the Moslems.

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—When the Prophet conquered Khaibar the fifth share of it [reserved for himself] was al-Katibah; as for ash-Shikkh, an-Naṭāt, Sulālim and al-Waṭīḥ they were given to the Moslems. The Prophet left the land in the hands of the Jews on condition that they give him one-half of the produce. Thus the part of the produce assigned by Allah to the Moslems was divided among the Moslems until the time of ‘Umar who divided the land itself among them according to their shares.

Abu-‘Ubaid from Maimūn ibn-Mihrān:—The Prophet besieged the inhabitants of Khaibar between twenty and thirty days.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Bushair ibn-Yasār:—The Prophet divided Khaibar into thirty-six shares—eighteen for the Prophet to meet the expenses of accidents, visitors,

1 Unalienable legacy to the Moslem general community.
2 Governors whose chief function it was to collect taxes and conquer more lands.
and delegates, and the remaining eighteen shares to be divided each among one hundred men.¹

Al-Ḥusain from Bushair ibn-Yasār:—Khaibar was divided into thirty-six shares, each one of which was subdivided into one hundred lots. Eighteen of these shares were divided among the Moslems including the Prophet, who had in addition eighteen shares to meet the expenses of visitors and delegates and accidents that might befall him.

‘Abdallāh ibn-Rawāḥah estimates the produce. ‘Amr an-Nāṣīq and al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-Umar:—The Prophet sent ibn-Rawāḥah to Khaibar who made a conjectural estimation of the palm-trees and gave the people their choice to accept or refuse, to which they replied: “This is justice; and upon justice have heaven and earth been established.”

The sons of abu-l-Ḥuṣaik put to death. Ishāk ibn-abi-Isrā‘il from an inhabitant of al-Madinah.—The Prophet made terms with the sons of abu-l-Ḥuṣaik stipulating that they conceal no treasure. But they did conceal; and the Prophet considered it lawful to shed their blood.

Abu-‘Ubaid from Maimūn ibn-Mihrān:—The people of Khaibar were promised security on their lives and children on condition that the Prophet get all that was in the fort. In that fort were the members of a family strongly opposed to the Prophet. To them the Prophet said: “I am aware of your enmity to Allah and to his Prophet, but this is not to hold me from granting you what I granted your companions. Ye, however, have promised me that if ye conceal a thing your blood will become lawful to me. What has become of your utensils?” “They were all”—they replied, “used up during the fight.” The Prophet then gave word to

his Companions to go to the place where the utensils were. The vessels were disinterred and the Prophet struck off their heads.

'Abdallāh ibn-Rawāḥah. ‘Amr an-Nākid and Muḥammad ibn-ās-Ṣabbāh from ibn-'Abbâs:—The Prophet turned Khaibar over with its soil and palm-trees to its inhabitants allowing them half of the produce.

Muḥammad ibn-ās-Ṣabbāh from ash-Sha‘bi:—The Prophet turned Khaibar over to its inhabitants for one-half of the produce and sent 'Abdallāh ibn-Rawāḥah to estimate the dates (or perhaps he said the palm-trees). This he estimated and divided into two halves and asked them to choose whichever one they wanted. Upon this they said, “It is by this that heavens and earth have been established.”

A certain friend of abu-Yūṣuf from Anas:—'Abdallāh ibn-Rawāḥah said to the people of Khaibar, “If ye wish, I will estimate and let you choose; otherwise, ye estimate and let me choose.” Upon this they said, “It is by this that heavens and earth have been established.”

The division of Khaibar. Al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from az-Zuhri:—The Prophet took Khaibar by force as a result of a fight; and after taking away one-fifth, he divided the remaining four-fifths among the Moslems.

The Jews of Khaibar expelled. ‘Abd-al-A‘lā ibn-Ḥammād an-Narsi from ibn-Shihâb:—The Prophet said: “There can be no two religions at the same time in the Arabian peninsula.” 1 Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb investigated until he found it certain and assured that the Prophet had said, “There can be no two religions at the same time in the Arabian peninsula.” Accordingly, he expelled the Jews of Khaibar.

The Prophet gives his share. Al-Walid ibn-Ṣâlih from al-Wâkidî's sheikhs:—The Prophet assigned his share in Khaibar as a means of subsistence, bestowing on each one of his wives 80 camel-loads of dates and 20 loads of barley; on his uncle al-‘Abbâs ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib 200 loads; and on abu-Bakr, ‘Umar, al-Ḥassân, al-Ḥusain and others including the banu-al-Muṭṭalib ibn-‘Abd-Manâf a certain number of loads. To this end, he drew up for them a document.

Al-Walid from Aflah ibn-Ḥumaid's father who said:—"I was made by ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz governor of al-Karibah; and we used to give the heirs of the recipients of the Prophet's bestowals their due, those heirs being numbered and recorded by us.

‘Umar divides Khaibar. Muhammad ibn-Ḥātim as-Samin from Nâfî:—The Prophet turned Khaibar over to the hands of its people on condition that they give him one-half of the produce. Thus they held it during the life of the Prophet, abu-Bakr and the early part of the caliphate of ‘Umar. Then ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Umar visited them for some purpose and they attacked him in the night. He [‘Umar], therefore, turned them out of Khaibar and divided it among those of the Moslems who were present [in its battle] giving a share to the Prophet's wives. To the latter he said, "Whichever of you likes to have the fruit can have it, and whichever likes the estate can have it, and whatever ye choose will be yours and your heirs' after you."

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-‘Abbâs:—Khaibar was divided into 1580 shares. The Moslems were 1580 men, of whom 1540 had taken part in the battle of al-Hudaibiyah and forty were with Ja’far ibn-abi-Ṭâlib in Abyssinia.

The fief of az-Zubair. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-‘Urwah’s father:—The Prophet gave as fief to az-Zubair 29 lands in Khaibar planted with palm- and other trees.
CHAPTER V

FADAK

The capitulation of Fadak. As the Prophet departed from Khaibar, he sent to the people of Fadak ¹ Muhaiyisah ibn-Mas‘ūd al-Anṣāri inviting them to Islam. Their chief was one of their number named Yūsha‘ ibn-Nūn the Jew. They made terms with the Prophet, agreeing to give up one-half of the land with its soil.² The Prophet accepted. Thus one-half was assigned wholly to the Prophet because the Moslems “pressed not against it with horse or camel.”³ The Prophet used to spend the income on the wayfarers.

‘Umar expels the inhabitants. The inhabitants of Fadak remained in it until ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb became caliph and expelled the Jews of al-Ḥijāz. On that occasion he sent abu-l-Haitham Mālik ibn-at-Taiyihān (some say an-Naiyihān), Sahl ibn-abi-Ḥaithamah al-Anṣāri, and Zaid ibn-Thābit al-Anṣāri, who estimated justly the value of one-half of its soil. This value ‘Umar paid to the Jews and expelled them to Syria.

Sa‘īd ibn-Sulaimān from Yahya ibn-Sa‘īd:—The people of Fadak made terms with the Prophet agreeing to give one-half of the land and the palm-trees. When ‘Umar expelled them, he sent some one to estimate their share in land and palm-trees and he gave them their value.

¹Yākūt, vol. iii, pp. 856-857.
²Not only the produce.
³Aḥīr, vol. ii, p. 171; Mas‘ūdī, Kitāb at-Tanbih, p. 258.
Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb gave the people of Fadak the price of one-half of their land and palm-trees.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from az-Zuhri, ‘Abdallāh ibn-abi-Bakr and certain sons of Muḥammad ibn-Maslamah:—Only a remnant of the Khaibar was spared. They betook themselves to the fortifications and asked the Prophet to save their lives and let them go off. The people of Fadak having heard of that surrendered on the same conditions. Thus Fadak became the special share of the Prophet, for the Moslems “pressed not against it with horse and camel.”

A similar tradition was transmitted to us by al-Ḥusain from ‘Abdallāh ibn-abi-Bakr, with one addition, that among those who were intermediary between the two parties was Muḥaiyiṣah ibn-Mas‘ūd.

Al-Ḥusain from ‘Umar:—The Prophet had three portions appropriated to himself exclusive of his men: the land of banu-an-Naḍir which was unalienable and to meet the expenses of the accidents that might befall him, Khaibar which he divided into three parts, and Fadak the income of which was reserved for wayfarers.

The wives of the Prophet demand an inheritance. ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ṣāliḥ al-‘Ijli from ‘Urwh ibn-az-Zubair:—The wives of the Prophet delegated ‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān to ask abu-Bakr to give them their inheritance from the share of the Prophet in Khaibar and Fadak. But ‘A’ishah said to them, “Do ye not fear Allah? and have ye not heard the Prophet say—‘What we leave as ṣadaqah cannot be inherited?’ This property therefore is the property of the people of Muḥammad to meet the expenses of the accidents and guests, and when I die it goes to the one in authority after me.” On hearing this, the other wives desisted from their request.

1 Diyārībakri, vol. ii, pp. 57 and 64.
A similar tradition was communicated to us by 'Ahmad ibn-Ibrahim ad-Dauraki on the authority of 'Urwa.

The banu-Umayyah confiscate Fadak. Ibrahim ibn-Muhammad ibn-'Ar'arah from al-Kalbi:—The banu-Umayyah confiscated Fadak and violated the law of the Prophet in regard to it. But when 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz became caliph, he reinstated the land in its old condition.

Fatimah demands Fadak. 'Abdallah ibn-Maimun al-Mukattib from Malik ibn-Ja'wanah's father:—Fatimah said to Abu-Bakr, "The Prophet assigned to me Fadak; thou shouldst therefore give it to me."1 'Ali ibn-Abi-Talib acted as a witness in her favor. But Abu-Bakr asked for another witness; and Umm-Aiman testified in her favor. Abu-Bakr, thereupon, said "Thou, daughter of Allah's Prophet, knowest that no evidence can be accepted unless it is rendered by two men or a man and two women." Upon this she departed.

Rauh al-Karabisi from one supposed by Rauh to have been Ja'far ibn-Muhammad:—Fatimah said to Abu-Bakr, "Give me Fadak, the Prophet has assigned it to me." Abu-Bakr called for evidence and she presented Umm-Aiman and Rabah, the Prophet's freedman, both of whom testified in her favor. But Abu-Bakr said, "In such a case no evidence could be accepted unless it be rendered by a man and two women."

Ibn-'A'ishah at-Taimi from umm-Hani:—Fatimah, the Prophet's daughter, called on Abu-Bakr and asked: "Who will inherit thee when thou art dead?" to which he replied, "My son and family." "Why then," asked she, "hast thou—and not we—inherited the Prophet's possessions?" "Daughter of Allah's Prophet," answered Abu-Bakr, "by Allah, I have inherited from thy father neither gold nor

---

1 Bukhari, vol. iii, p. 131.
silver, neither this nor that." "But," said she, "thou hast inherited our share in Khaibar and our șadakah in Fadak." To this Abu-Bakr replied, "Daughter of Allah's Prophet, I heard Allah's Prophet say, 'This is but something assigned by Allah as a means of subsistence to use during my life; on my death it should be turned over to the Moslems.'"

‘Uthmân ibn-abi-Shaibah from Mughirah:—‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz once summoned the banu-Umaiyah and addressed them saying: "Fadak belonged to the Prophet and by the income from it he met his own expenses, supplied the needy among the banu-Hâshim and helped the unmarried among them to marry. Fâtimah asked him to bestow it on her, but he refused. After the Prophet's death, Abu-Bakr used it in the same way. And so did ‘Umar when he became caliph. And now I am going to put it back to its original use; and ye will be my witnesses."

Kura ‘Arabiyah. The following tradition was transmitted to us by Suraj ibn-Yûnus from az-Zuhri in explanation of the text, "Against which ye pressed not with horse or camel": 1—The places referred to are Kura ‘Arabiyah 2 that belong to the Prophet, i. e., Fadak, and this and that.

‘Umar expels the Jews of Fadak. Abu-‘Ubaid from az-Zuhri or someone else:—‘Umar expelled the Jews of Khaibar and they evacuated the place. As for the Jews of Fadak, they retained half the fruits [produced] and half the soil, in accordance with the conditions on which they made terms with the Prophet. ‘Umar paid them the price of half the products and half the soil in gold, silver and pack-saddles, and then expelled them.

The khutbah of ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz. ‘Amr an-Nâkid from Abu-Burkân:—The following is taken from the

1 Kor., 59:6.
2 Bakri, pp. 657-658; Wâkidi, Maghâsi, p. 374.
speech of 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz on his installment in the caliphate: "Fadak was among the spoils that Allah assigned the Prophet and the 'Moslems pressed not against it with horse and camel.' When Fâtimah asked him to give her the land, he said, 'Thou hast nothing to demand from me, and I have nothing to give thee.' The Prophet used to spend the income from it on wayfarers. Then came Abu-Bakr, 'Umar, 'Uthmân and 'Ali who put it to the same use as the Prophet. But when Mu'awiyyah became caliph he gave it as fief to Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam; and the latter bestowed it on my father and on 'Abd-al-Malik. Thus it was handed down to al-Walid, Sulaimân and myself. When al-Walid became caliph, I asked him to give me his share, which he did. In like manner, I asked Sulaimân for his share and he gave it. Thus I brought it into one whole again. And nothing that I possess is dearer to me than it! Be ye therefore my witnesses, that I have restored it to what it was."

Al-Ma'mūn gives Fadak to the descendants of Fâtimah.

In the year 210, the commander of the believers al-Ma'mūn 'Abdallāh ibn-Hârûn ar-Rashid ordered that Fadak be delivered to the children of Fâtimah. To that effect he wrote to his 'āmil in al-Madinah, Kutham ibn-Ja'far, saying, "Greetings!—The commander of the believers, in his position in the religion of Allah and as caliph [successor] of his Prophet and a near relative to him, has the first right to enforce the Prophet's regulations and carry out his orders and deliver to him, whom the Prophet granted something or gave it as sadakah, the thing granted or given as such. In Allah alone does the success as well as the strength of the commander of the believers lie, and to do what makes him win His favor is his [the commander's] chief desire.

The Prophet had given Fâtimah, his daughter, Fadak and bestowed it as sadakah on her. That was an evident and
well-known fact on which there was no disagreement among
the relatives of the Prophet, who do not cease to lay claim
on what was given to Fāṭimah as ṣadaḳah and to which she
is entitled. Consequently, the commander of the believers
has deemed it right to return it to the heirs of Fāṭimah and
deliver it to them, seeking thereby to win the favor of Allah
by establishing his right and justice, and of Allah’s Prophet
by carrying out his command and his wish regarding his
ṣadaḳah. This the commander of the believers ordered re-
corded in his registers and sent in writing to his ‘āmilis.
And since, after the death of the Prophet, it has been cus-
tomary on every mausim \(^1\) to have any person claim a grant,
or ṣadaḳah or promise,\(^2\) and to have his claim accepted, then
Fāṭimah’s claim on what the Prophet has bestowed on her
should—above that of every one else—be accepted as true.

The commander of the believers has written to al-
Mubārik at-Ṭabari, his freedman, ordering him to give
Fadak back to the heirs of Fāṭimah, the Prophet’s daugh-
ter, with all its boundaries and the rights attached to it, and
including its slaves and products and other things, all to be
delivered to Muḥammad ibn-Yahya ibn-al-Ḥusain ibn-Zaid
ibn-‘Ali ibn-al-Ḥusain ibn-‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib and to Muḥam-
mad ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Ali ibn-al-Ḥusain ibn-
‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib, both of whom the commander of the
believers has put in charge of the land in behalf of its
owners.

Know therefore that this is the opinion of the commander
of the believers and what Allah has inspired him to do as
His will, and what He has enabled him to do in the way of
winning His favor and His Prophet’s favor. Let those
under thee know it; and treat Muḥammad ibn-Yahya

---

\(^1\) Meeting time of the pilgrims, see an-Nihāyah, vol. iv, p. 211, and

\(^2\) Ar. ‘idat, see Bukhāri, vol. ii, p. 285; vol. iii, p. 168.
and Muḥammad ibn-ʻAbdallâh as thou hast treated al-
Mubârik at-Ṭabari; and help them in any way that makes
for the fertility, interest and productivity of the land. May
it be Allah’s will, and peace be unto thee.

Written on Wednesday, two days after the beginning of
dhu-ḥ-Ḥaḍāth, year 210.”

Al-Mutawakkil restores Fadak to its old condition. When
al-Mutawakkil, however, became caliph, he ordered that
the land be reinstated in the condition in which it had been
before al-Ma’rûf.
CHAPTER VI

WĀDI-L-ḲURA AND TAIMĀ'

_Wādi-l-Ḳura taken by assault_. When the Prophet departed from Khaibar, he came to Wādi-l-Ḳura and invited its people to Islam. They refused and started hostilities. The Prophet reduced the place by force; and Allah gave him as booty the possessions of its inhabitants. To the lot of the Moslems fell pieces of furniture and other commodities of which the Prophet took away one-fifth. The Prophet left the land with its palm-trees in the hands of certain Jews on the same rent terms which he had made with the people of Khaibar. Some say that ‘Umar expelled its Jews and divided it among those who fought for its conquest. Others, however, say that ‘Umar did not expel them, for it is not included in al-Ḥijāz. Today it is annexed to the administrative district of al-Madinah and is included among its suburbs.

_Mid'am condemned to fire_. I was informed by certain scholars that the Prophet had a slave, named Mid'am, whom Rifā'ah ibn-Zaid al-Judhâmî had presented to him. During the invasion of Wādi-l-Ḳura, Mid'am was shot by an arrow from an unknown quarter as he was putting down the saddle of the Prophet's camel. When someone remarked, "Blessed, O prophet of Allah, is thy slave, for he was shot by an arrow and suffered martyrdom," the Pro-

1 Yāḳūt, vol. iv, p. 678.
2 Wāḳīḍī, tr. Wellhausen, p. 292.
prophet replied, "Nay, the mantle he took from the spoils on
the day of Khaibar shall verily burn on him like fire." 1

Shaibān ibn-Farrūkh from al-Ḥasan:—Someone remarked
to the Prophet, "Thy lad, so and so, has suffered martyr-
dom," to which the Prophet replied, "Rather he is dragged
to fire in a mantle he unlawfully took from the spoils."

‘Abd-al-Wāhid ibn-Ghiyāth from al-Ḥasan:—Some one
remarked to the Prophet, "Happy art thou, for thy lad, so
and so, has suffered martyrdom!" to which he replied,
"Rather he is dragged to fire in a mantle he unlawfully
took from the spoils." 2

Taimā' capitulates. When the people of Taimā' heard
how the Prophet had subjugated the people of Wādi-l-
Kūra, they made terms with him, agreeing to pay poll-tax,
and they settled in their homes with their lands in their
possession. 3 The Prophet assigned 'Amr ibn-Sa‘īd ibn-
al-‘Āṣi ibn-Umaiyah as governor to Wādi-l-Kūra, and as-
signed Yazīd ibn-abi-Sufyān after its conquest, the latter
having become Moslem on the day of the conquest of
Taimā'.

‘Umar expels the inhabitants. ‘Abd-al-A‘la ibn-Ḥam-
mād an-Narsi from 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azīz:—‘Umar ibn-
al-Khaṭṭāb expelled the people of Fadak, Taimā' and 35
Khaibar. The fight between the Prophet and the people
of Wādi-l-Kūra took place in Jumāda II, year 7.

The fief of Ḥamzah ibn-an-Nu’mān. Al-‘Abbās ibn-
Hishām al-Kalbi from his grandfather:—The Prophet gave
as fief to Ḥamzah ibn-an-Nu’mān ibn-Haudhah-l-‘Udhri
his whip's throw 4 in Wādi-l-Kūra. This Ḥamzah was the

1 Hishām, p. 765.
2 Bukhāri, vol. iii, pp. 129-130.
4 Mawardi, p. 330.
chief of the banu-'Udhrah and the first of the people of al-
Hijāz to offer the Prophet the ṣadakah of banu-'Udhrah.

The fief of 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân. ‘Ali ibn-Muḥammad from al-’Abbâs ibn-‘Āmir’s uncle:—‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-
Marwân called on Yazīd ibn-Mu‘āwiyah and said, “O com-
mander of the believers, Mu‘āwiyah in his caliphate bought
from certain Jews a piece of land in Wādi-l-Ḵura and made
many improvements in it. Thou hast let that land fall
into negligence. It is therefore lost, and its income has de-
creased. Give it therefore as fief to me, and I shall take care
of it.” To this Yazīd replied: “We are not stingy in big
things, nor can a trifling escape our eye.” ‘Abd-al-Malik
then said, “Its income is so much . . .” “Thou canst
have it,” said Yazīd.¹ When ‘Abd-al-Malik departed Yazīd
remarked, “It is said that this is the man that will rule
after us. If that is right, we would have done him favor
and expect to receive something in repay; if it is false, we
have granted him a gift.”

CHAPTER VII

MAKKAH

The cause of its invasion. When the Prophet made arrangements with the Kuraish in the year of al-Ḥudaibiyah and wrote down the statement of the truce¹ to the effect that he who desires to make a covenant with Muḥammad can do so, and he who desires to make a covenant with Kuraish can do so; and that he of the Companions of the Prophet who comes to Kuraish should not be returned, and he of the banu-Kuraish or their allies who comes to the Prophet should be returned, then those of Kinānah who were present rose and said, “We will enter into a covenant with Kuraish, and accept their terms”; but Khuzā‘ah said, “We will enter into the covenant of Muḥammad and his contract.” Since between ‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib and Khuzā‘ah an old alliance existed, ‘Amr ibn-Sālim ibn-Ḥaṣirah-l-Khuza‘i composed the following verse:

“O Allah! I am seeking from Muḥammad the hereditary alliance of our father and his.”²

One of the clan of Khuzā‘ah hearing one of the clan of Kinānah sing a poem satirizing the Prophet, attacked him and crushed his head. This incident provoked evil and fighting between the two parties. Kuraish reinforced banu-Kinānah and together they attacked Khuzā‘ah in the night time, thus violating the covenant and the arrangement.

¹ Wāḍidi, Ṭaghāṣi, p. 387; Ḥishām, pp. 746-747, 803.
² Ḥishām, p. 806; Wāḍidi, Ṭaghāṣi, p. 402; Fākihi, p. 42.
Thereupon, 'Amr ibn-Sâlim ibn-ﺸاشر ﻓ-ا-ا-ا ibn-ال-Khuza‘i came to the Prophet and solicited his aid. This led the Prophet to invade Makkah.

The following is taken from a long tradition communicated to us by Abu-'Ubayd al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm on the authority of 'Urwa:—Kuraish made terms with the Prophet, stipulating that both parties promise each other security against treachery and stealth, 1 so that a man coming on pilgrimage to Makkah or to visit there, or passing on his way between al-Yaman and al-Tâ‘if is safe; and he of the “polytheists” who passes through al-Madinah on his way to Syria and the East is safe. In this covenant the Prophet included banu-Ka‘b; and Kuraish included in their covenant their allies of the banu-Kinânah.

Abu-Sufyân as an envoy. ‘Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from ‘Ikrimah:—The banu-Bakr of Kinânah were included in the peace terms of Kuraish, and the Khuza‘ah were included in the peace terms of the Prophet. But a fight took place between the banu-Bakr and Khuza‘ah at ‘Arafah. 2 Kuraish provided banu-Bakr with arms, and gave them water to drink, and shelter. Some of the Kuraish objected saying, “Ye have violated the covenant;” yet the others replied, “We have not. By Allah, we did not fight. We only gave them provision, water, and shelter.”

They, thereupon, said to Abu-Sufyân ibn-Harb, “Go and renew the alliance and reconcile the parties.” Abu-Sufyân proceeded to al-Madinah where he met Abu-Bakr and said to him, “Abu-Bakr, renew the alliance and reconcile the parties.”

Abu-Bakr asked him to see ‘Umar. Accordingly he met ‘Umar and said, “Renew the alliance and reconcile the

2 Hishâm, p. 803; Fâkihi, pp. 49 and 144-145; Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 646.
parties,” to which ‘Umar replied, “May Allah cut off the alliance what is still connected and wear out what is still new.” Abu-Sufyân then said, “By Allah I never saw a worse head of a tribe than thou!” Thence he went to Fâtimah who asked him to meet ‘Ali. This he did and made the same request. ‘Ali replied, “Thou art the sheikh of Kuraish and its chief. Renew therefore the alliance and reconcile the parties.” Abu-Sufyân then clapped his right hand against the left saying, “I have renewed the alliance and reconciled the parties.”

He then left and came to Makkah. The Prophet had said, “Abu-Sufyân is coming. He returns satisfied without having effected any result.” When he returned to the people of Makkah he told them what had happened and they said, “By Allah we know none more foolish than thou. Thou dost bring us neither war that we may be warned, nor peace that we may feel safe.”

Khuzâ‘ah then came to the Prophet and complained of what had befallen them. The Prophet said, “I was ordered to secure one of the two towns Makkah or at-Tā‘if.” Thereupon, the Prophet ordered that the march be commenced. Thus he set out with the Companions saying, “O Allah, strike upon their ears [with deafness] that they may not hear,¹ so that we may take them by surprise!” The Prophet pressed the march until he camped at Marr az-Zahrân. Kuraish had asked abu-Sufyân to return. When he [abu-Sufyân] got to Marr az-Zahrân and saw the fires and the tents he said, “What is the matter with the people? They seem like the people celebrating the night of ‘Arafa.” Saying this, he was surrounded by the Prophet’s horsemen, who took him prisoner; and he was brought before the Prophet. ‘Umar came and wanted to execute him, but al-

¹ Cf. Kor., 18:10.
‘Abbâs prevented him and he [abu-Sufyân] embraced Islâm and presented himself before the Prophet. When the time for morning prayer came, the Moslems bestirred themselves for ablution before prayer. “What is the matter?” said abu-Sufyân to al-‘Abbâs ibn-‘Abd-al-Muţtalib, “Do they mean to kill me?” “No,” answered al-‘Abbâs, “they have risen for prayer.” As they began to pray, abu-Sufyân noticed that when the Prophet knelt they knelt; when he prostrated himself, they prostrated themselves; upon which he remarked, “By Allah I never saw, as I did to-day, the submissiveness of a people coming from here and there—not even in the case of the noble Persians, or the Greeks who have long fore-locks.”

Al-‘Abbâs asked the Prophet saying, “Send me to the people of Makkah that I may invite them to Islâm.” No sooner had the Prophet sent him than he called him back saying, “Bring my uncle back to me, that the ‘polytheists’ may not kill him.” Al-‘Abbâs, however, refused to return until he came to Makkah and made the following statement: “O ye people, embrace Islâm and ye shall be safe. Ye have been surrounded on all sides. Ye are confronted by a hard case that is beyond your power.” Here is Khâlid in the lower part of Makkah, there is az-Zubair in the upper part of it, and there is the Prophet of Allah at the head of the Emigrants, Anšôr and Khuzâ‘ah.” To this Kûraish replied, “And what are Khuzâ‘ah with their mutilated noses!”

The entrance into Makkah. ‘Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth
from abu-Hurairah:—The spokesman of Khuzâ‘ah repeated the following verse before the Prophet:

1 Fâkihi, p. 155; Wâkidî, Maghâsi, p. 405.
2 Fâkihi, p. 150; Fâ’îk, vol. i, p. 338.
“O Lord, I am seeking from Muḥammad
the hereditary alliance between our father and his.
Reinforce therefore, with Allah’s guidance, a mighty victory,
and summon the worshippers of Allah, and they will come for help.” ¹

Ḥammād states on the authority of ‘Ikrimah that
Khuzā’ah called the Prophet as he was washing himself, and
the Prophet replied, “Here I am!”

According to al-Wāqidī among others, a band of Ṣuraish took up arms on the day of the conquest [of Makkah]
saying, “Never shall Muḥammad enter the city except by force.” Accordingly, Khâlid ibn-al-Walid led the fight
against them and was the first to receive the order of the
Prophet to enter.² So he killed twenty-four men from [the
tribe of] Ṣuraish and four from [the tribe of] Ḥudhail.
Others state that twenty-three men from Ṣuraish were
killed on that day and the rest took to flight seeking refuge
in the mountain heights which they climbed. Of the Com-
panions of the Prophet, Kurz ibn-Jâbir al-Fihri, and
Khâlid al-As‘ār al-Ka‘bi suffered martyrdom on that day.
According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi, however, the latter of
the martyrs was Ḥuṣaib ash–‘Ar ibn-Khâlid al-Ka‘bi ³ of
the tribe of Khuzā‘ah.

*Abu-Hurairah describes the conquest.* Shaibân ibn-abi-Shaibah-l-Ubulli from ‘Abdallāh ibn-Rabâh:—A number of
deputations came to call on Mu‘āwiyah. It was in Ramaḍān,
and we used to prepare food for one another. Abu-
Hurairah was one of those who often invited us to his dwell-
ing-place. I [ibn-Rabâh] therefore prepared a meal and
invited them. Then abu-Hurairah asked, “Shall I, O
Anṣār, amuse you with a narrative concerning you?” and

¹ Ṭabarî, vol. i, pp. 1621-1622.
² Fâkihi, p. 153, seq.
³ “Khunais ibn-Khâlid” in Hishâm, p. 817.
he went on to describe the conquest of Makkah as follows: "The Prophet advanced until he came to Makkah. At the head of one of the two wings of the army, he sent az-Zubair, at the head of the other, Khālid ibn-al-Walid, and of the infantry abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāh. The way they took was through the bottom of the valley. The Prophet was at the head of his cavalry detachment. On seeing me the Prophet called, 'Abu-Hurairah,' and I replied, 'Here I am, Prophet of Allah.' 'Summon the Ānasār,' said he, 'and let no one come but my Ānasār.' I summoned them and they came around. In the meantime, Ḳuraish had gathered their mob and followers saying, 'Let us send these ahead. If they win, we will join them; and if defeated, we shall give whatever is demanded.' 'Do ye see' said the Prophet, 'the mob of Ḳuraish?' 'We do,' answered the Ānasār. He then made a sign with one hand over the other as if to say, 'kill them.' To this the Prophet added, 'Meet me at aṣ-Ṣafa.' Accordingly we set out, each man killing whomever he wanted to kill, until abu-Sufyān came to the Prophet saying, 'O Prophet of Allah, the majority of Ḳuraish is annihilated. There is no more Ḳuraish after this day.' The Prophet thereupon announced, 'He who enters the house of abu-Sufyān is safe, he who closes his own door is safe, and he who lays down his arms is safe.' On this the Ānasār remarked one to the other, 'The man is moved by love to his relatives and compassion on his clan.' The Prophet at this received the inspiration which we never failed to observe whenever it came. He therefore said: 'O ye Ānasār, ye have said so and so . . . ' 'We have, Prophet of Allah,' replied the Ānasār. 'Nay,' said the Prophet, 'I am the slave of Allah and his Prophet. I have immigrated to Allah and to you. 'My life is your life; my death is your death!'

1 Fākīhi, p. 154.
Hearing this, the *Anṣār* began to weep saying, ‘By Allah, we said what we said only in our anxiety to spare the Prophet of Allah.’ The people then crowded to the house of abu-Sufyān and closed its doors laying down their arms. The Prophet proceeded to the ‘stone’ and laid hold of it. He then made the circuit of the ‘House’ and came, with a bow in his hand held at its curved part, to an idol at the side of the Ka‘bah. He began to stab the eye of the idol saying, ‘Truth has come and falsehood has vanished, it is the property of vanity to vanish.’ 1 When the circuit was done, he came to as-Ṣaфа, climbed it until he could see the ‘House,’ and he raised his hand praising Allah and praying.

*The Prophet’s orders.* Muḥammad ibn-aṣ-Ṣabbāḥ from ‘Ubaidallāh ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Utbaḥ:—On the occasion of the conquest of Makkah, the Prophet made the following statement, “Slay no wounded person, pursue no fugitive, execute no prisoner; and whosoever closes his door is safe.”

*Ibn-Khaṭṭāl proscribed.* Al-Wāḳidi states that the invasion in which the conquest was effected was carried on in the month of Ramadhān in the year 8. On that occasion the Prophet remained in Makkah to the time of the festival at the end of Ramadhān, after which he proceeded to invade Ḥunain. To the governorship of Makkah he assigned ‘Attāb ibn-Asid ibn-abi-l-‘Iṣ ibn-Umaiyah, and ordered the demolishing of the idols and the effacement of the pictures that stood in the Ka‘bah. He also said, “Put ibn-Khaṭṭāl to death, even if ye find him holding the curtains of the Ka‘bah.” Accordingly, abu-Barzahah2-1-Aslamī put him to death. According to abu-al-Yakrān, however, the name of ibn-Khaṭṭāl was Kais, and the one who put him to death was abu-Shirīyāb al-Anṣārī. This ibn-Khaṭṭāl had two female slave-

1 Kor., 17:83.
singers who always sang poems satirizing the Prophet. One of them was killed, and the other lived to the time of ‘Uthmān when a rib of hers was broken and caused her death.

Mikyas ibn-Šubābah proscribed. Numailah ibn-‘Abdal-lāh al-Kināni killed Mikyas ibn-Šubābah-1-Kināni, the Prophet having announced that whosoever finds him may kill him. The Prophet did this for the following reason: Mikyas had a brother, Ḥāshim ibn-Šubābah ibn-Ḥazn, who embraced Islām and witnessed with the Prophet the invasion made on al-Muraisi’. Ḥāshim was mistaken by one of the Ansār for a “polytheist” and killed. Mikyas thereupon came to the Prophet and the Prophet decreed that the relatives of the slayer responsible for the bloodwit should pay it. Mikyas received the bloodwit and became Moslem. Later he attacked his brother’s slayer, slew him and took to flight, after which he apostatised from Islam and said:

“My soul has been healed by having him lie,
deep in the blood flowing from his veins his clothes soaked,
I took revenge on him by force leaving it,
for the leaders of banu-an-Najjār, the high in rank, to pay his bloodwit,
thereby I attained my ambition, and satisfied my vengeance,
and I was the first to forsake Islām.”¹

Al-Huwairith proscribed. ‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib killed al-Huwairith ibn-Nuṣaidh ibn-Bujair ii ibn-‘Abd ibn-Ḳuṣai, the Prophet having declared that whosoever finds him may kill him.

Ibn-Khaṣṭal’s slave-singers. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from al-Kalbi:—A female slave-singer owned by Hilāl ibn-‘Abdal-lāh, i. e., ibn-Khaṣṭal al-Adrami of the banu-Taim, came to the Prophet in disguise. She embraced Islām and acknowl-

¹ Mawardi, pp. 229-230.
² Hishām, p. 819.
edged the Prophet as chief. Not knowing who she was, the Prophet did not molest her. The other singer of Hilâl was killed. Both singers, however, used to sing satires against the Prophet.

*Ibn-as-Ziba'ra embraces Islam.* Ibn-as-Ziba'ra as-Sahmi embraced Islam before the Moslems had chance to kill him, and sang poems in praise of the Prophet. On the day of the conquest of Makkah the Prophet declared his blood lawful, but he was not molested.

*The Prophet's khutbah.* Muhammad ibn-as-Šabbâh al-Bazzâz from al-Ḳâsim ibn-Rabi'ah:—On the day of the battle of Makkah the Prophet delivered the following *khutbah* [speech]: "Praise be to Allah who made his promise true, and gave his army victory" and all alone defeated the 'confederates.' Verily every privilege of pre-Islamic time and every blood and every claim lie under my feet with the exception of the custody of the 'House' and the providing of the Pilgrims with beverage."

Khalaf al-Bazzâr from 'Abdallâh ibn-'Abd-ar-Rahmân's sheikhs:—On the day of the conquest of Makkah the Prophet asked ʿUraish, "What think ye?" to which they replied, "What we think is good, and what we say is good. A noble brother thou art, and the son of a noble brother. Thou hast succeeded." The Prophet then said, "My answer is that given by my brother Joseph, 'No blame be on you this day. Allah will forgive you; for he is the most merciful of the merciful.' Verily every debt, possession, and privilege of pre-Islam lie under my feet with the exception of the custody of the 'House' and providing the pilgrims with beverage."

---

1 Hîshâm, p. 821.
2 The sanctuary at Makkah; Azra'î, p. 17 seq.
3 Ṭabarî, vol. i, p. 1642.
4 Kor., 12:92.
Shaibân from ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Ubaid ibn-‘Umair:—The Prophet said in his khûbah, “Yea, all Makkah is inviolable. What is between its two rugged mountains was not lawful for any one before me, nor will it be made for any after me. To me it was made lawful for only one hour on one day. Its fresh herbage shall not be cut, its thorny trees shall not be felled, its game shall not be chased, what is found in it shall not be kept unless previous announcement has been made of the find.” Al-‘Abbâs said, “From this should be excluded the idhkhir plant to be used by our jewelers, blacksmiths and as a means of cleansing our houses.” The Prophet then added, “The idhkhir is excluded.”

Yûsuf ibn-Mûsâ-l-Ḳatṭân from ibn-‘Abbâs:—The Prophet said, “The fresh herbage of Makkah shall not be cut, its trees shall not be felled.” “With the exception of the idhkhir plant,” remarked al-‘Abbâs, “which is for the blacksmiths and for the cleansing of the houses.” This the Prophet allowed.

‘Umar advised not to confiscate the treasure. Shaibân from al-Ḥasan:—‘Umar wanted to seize the treasure of the Ka'bah to use it in the cause of Allah. But Ubai ibn-Ka'b al-Anṣâri turned to him and said: “Before thee, ‘Commander of the Believers’ came thy two companions, who would have surely done so, if it were an act of virtue.”

Makkah inviolable. ‘Amr an-Nâkid from Mujâhid:—

---

1 Abu-‘Ishâk ash-Shirâzi, al-Tanbih, p. 156.
2 A sweet rush resembling papyrus used for roofing houses.
3 Ar. ṭuhûr, according to other readings zuhûr “and for the roofs.” See Wâkidi, tr. Wellhausen, pp. 338-339.
4 Ar. kuyûn; Azraqi, p. 85, has kubûr “graves”.
5 Muḥammad and abu-Bakr.
7 ibn-Jabr; see an-Nawâwi, p. 540.
“Makkah is inviolable,” said the Prophet, “It is not legal either to sell its dwellings or to rent its houses.”

The dwelling places of Makkah not to be rented.

Muhammad ibn-Ḥātim al-Marwazi from ‘Ā’ishah who said, “Once I said to the Prophet, ‘Build for thee, Prophet of Allah, a house in Makkah that will protect thee against the sun,’ to which he replied, ‘Makkah is the dwelling place only of those who are already in it.’”

Khalaf ibn-Hishâm al-Bazzâr from ibn-Jurair who said, “I have read a letter written by ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz in which the renting of houses in Makkah is prohibited.”

Abu-‘Ubaid from ibn-‘Umar:—The latter said: “The whole of al-Haram is a place of worship.”

‘Amr an-Nâkid from ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-abi-Sulaimân:—A message written by ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz to the chief of Makkah reads: “Let not the inhabitants of Makkah receive rent for their houses because it is not legal for them.”

The following tradition regarding the text, “Alike for those who abide therein and for the stranger” was communicated to us by ‘Uthmân ibn-abi-Shaibah from ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-Sâbit:—By the stranger is meant the pilgrims and visitors who go there and who have equal right in the buildings, being entitled to live wherever they want, provided none of the natives of Makkah goes out of his home.

The following tradition regarding the same text was communicated to us by ‘Uthmân on the authority of Mujâhid:—The inhabitants of Makkah and other people are alike so far as the dwellings are concerned.

‘Uthmân and ‘Amr from Mujâhid:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khāṭīb once said to the people of Makkah, “Make no doors for your houses that the stranger may live wherever he wants.”

1 Azrâki, p. 5 seq.
2 Kor., 22:25.
'Uthmân ibn-abi-Shaibah and Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from abu-Ḥaṣīn.—The latter said, "I once told Sa‘īd ibn-Jubair in Makkah that I wanted to 'abide therein' to which he replied, 'Thou art already abiding therein' and he read, 'Alike for those who abide therein and for the stranger.'"

The following tradition in explanation of the same text was communicated to us by ‘Uthmân on the authority of Sa‘īd ibn-Jubair:—All people in it are alike whether they are the inhabitants of Makkah or of some other place.

Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘īd from al-Wākidī:—Many cases were brought before abu-Bakr ibn-Muḥammad ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥazm regarding the rents of the houses of Makkah, and abu-Bakr in each case judged against the tenant. This too is the view of Mālik and ibn-abi-Dhi‘b. But according to Rabi‘ah and abu-azz-Zinâd, there is no harm in taking money for renting houses or for selling dwellings in Makkah.¹

Al-Wākidī said, "I saw ibn-abi-Dhi‘b receiving the rent of his house in Makkah between as-Ṣafah and al-Marwah."

It was said by al-Laith ibn-Sa‘īd, "Whatever has the form of a house its rent is legal for its proprietor. As for the halls, the roads, the courts, and the abodes that are in a state of ruins, he who comes to them first can have them first without rent."

A tradition to the same effect was transmitted to me by abu-‘Abd-ar-Raḥmān al-Awdi on the authority of ash-Shāfi‘ī.

Said Sufyān ibn-Sa‘īd ath-Thauri: "To rent a house in Makkah is illegal"; and he insisted on that.

According to al-Auzā‘ī, ibn-abi-Laila and abu-Ḥanifah, if the rent is made during the nights of the Pilgrimage it is void, but if it is in other nights, whether the one who hires is a neighbor or not, it is all right.

¹ Cf. Ḥuṭb-ad-Dīn, al-Īlām, p. 17.
According to certain followers of abu-Yûsuf, its rent is absolutely legal. The one "abiding therein" and the "stranger" are alike only as regards making the circuit of the "House."

_The plants of the Haram._ Al-Ḥusain ibn-‘Ali ibn-al-Aswad from ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-al-Aswad:—The latter found no harm in gathering vegetables, cutting, eating or making any other use of anything else planted by man in Makkah be it palm-trees or otherwise. He only disapproved of this being done with trees and plants that grow of their own accord without the agency of man. From this category _al-idhkhir_ was excluded. According to al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâlih, ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân allowed it in the case of rotten trees that have decayed and fallen to pieces.

According to the view of Mâlik and ibn-abi-Dhi‘b, as stated by Muhammad ibn-‘Umar al-Wâkidi, regarding the legality or illegality of felling a tree of the Ḥaram, it is wrong at all events; but if the man who does it is ignorant he should be taught and receive no penalty; if he knows but is impious, he should be punished without paying the value of the trees. He who cuts it may have it for his use. According to abu-Sufyân ath-Thauri and abu-Yûsuf, he should pay the value of the tree he cuts and cannot have the wood for his use. The same view is held by abu-Ḥanîfah.

According to Mâlik ibn-Anas and ibn-abi-Dhi‘b, there is no harm in cutting the branches of the _thumâm_ plant and the ends of the senna plant from the Ḥaram to be used as medicine or tooth-picks.

According to Sufyân ibn-Sa‘îd, abu-Ḥanîfah, and abu-Yûsuf, whatever in the Ḥaram is grown by man or was grown by him can be cut with impunity; whatever is grown without the agency of man, its cutter should be responsible for its value.
“I once,” said al-Wâkidi,” asked ath-Thauri and abu-Yûsuf regarding the case of one who plants in the Haram something that is not ordinarily grown and which he tends until it grows high, would it be right for him to cut it. They answered in the affirmative. Then I asked about the case of a tree that may grow of its own accord in his garden and that does not belong to the category of trees planted by man, and they said, ‘He can do with it whatever he likes.’”

Muhammad ibn-Sa’d from al-Wâkidi:—The latter said, “It has been reported to us that ibn-‘Umar used to eat in Makkah vegetables grown in the Haram.”

Muhammad ibn-Sa’d from Mu’adh ibn-Muhammad:—The latter said, “I have seen on the table of az-Zuhri vegetables grown in the Haram.”

“No pilgrim or visitor of the Haram,” said abu-Ḥanifah, “shall have his camel graze in the Haram, nor shall he cut grass for it.” The same view is held by Zufar. But Mâlik, ibn-abi-Dhi’b, Sufyân, abu-Yûsuf and ibn-abi-Sabra are of the opinion that there is no harm in having the animals graze, but the man should not cut the grass for them. Ibn-abi-Laila, however, holds that there is no harm in having someone cut the grass.

‘Affân and al‘Abbâs ibn-al-Walid an-Narsi from Laith:—‘Atâ’ found no harm in using the vegetables of the Haram as well as what is planted therein including the branches and the tooth-picks, but Mujâhid disapproved of it.

The history of the Haram-mosque. The Haram-mosque at the time of the Prophet and abu-Bakr had no wall to surround it. When ‘Umar, however, became caliph and the number of the Moslems increased, he enlarged the mosque and bought certain houses which he demolished to increase its size. Certain neighbors of the mosque refused to sell their houses and ‘Umar had to demolish their houses, the
prices of which he deposited in the treasury of al-Ka‘bah until they took them later.¹ Moreover he raised around the mosque a low wall not higher than a man’s stature. On this wall the lamps were put. When ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân became caliph, he purchased certain dwellings and thereby enlarged the mosque. Certain people whose dwellings he seized after depositing their prices, met him near the “House” with loud protests, upon which ‘Uthmân addressed them as follows: “It is only my compassion on you and my leniency in dealing with you that made you venture to do this against me. ‘Umar did exactly what I am doing but ye kept silent and were satisfied.” He then ordered them to jail where they remained until ‘Abdallâh ibn-Khâlid ibn-Asîd ² ibn-abi-l-‘IÎṣ spoke to him on their behalf and they were released.

It is reported that ‘Uthmân was the first to erect the porches of the mosque, which he did on the occasion of enlarging it.

In the days of Abraham, Jurhum and the ‘Amâlík, the bottom of the door of the Ka‘bah was level with the ground until it was built by Kûraísh, at which time abu-Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Mughirah said, “Raise, people, the door of the Ka‘bah, so that no one may enter without a ladder. Then would no man whom ye do not want to enter be able to do so. In case some one ye hate should come, ye may throw him down, and he will fall injuring those behind.” The suggestion was followed by Kûraísh.

When ‘Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair ibn-al-‘Auwâm fortified himself in the Haram-mosque, taking refuge in it against al-Ḥuṣayn ibn-Numair as-Sakûnî who was fighting with a Syrian army, one of ‘Abdallâh’s followers carried one day

¹ Azraḥî, p. 307.
² or Usâid; see Azraḥî, p. 307.
burning fibres of a palm-tree on the top of a lance. The wind being violent, a spark flew and attached itself to the curtains of the Ka‘bah and burnt them. As a result, the walls were cracked, and turned black. This took place in the year 64. After the death of Yazid ibn-Mu‘awiyah and the departure of al-Ḥuṣain ibn-Numair to Syria, ibn-az-Zubair ordered that the stones that had been thrown into it be removed, and they were removed. He then demolished the Ka‘bah, and rebuilt it on its old foundation, using stones in the building. He opened two doors on the ground, one to the east, and the other to the west; one for entrance and the other for exit. In building it he found that the foundation was laid on al-Ḥijr. His object was to give it the shape it had in the days of Abraham, as it had been described to him by ‘A’ishah, the mother of the believers, on the authority of the Prophet. The doors of the Ka‘bah, ibn-az-Zubair plated with gold, and its keys he made of gold. When al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Yūsuf fought on behalf of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān and killed ibn-az-Zubair, ‘Abd-al-Malik wrote to al-Ḥajjāj ordering him to rebuild the Ka‘bah and the Ḥaram-mosque, the stones hurled at it having made cracks in the walls. Accordingly, al-Ḥajjāj pulled the Ka‘bah down and rebuilt it according to the shape given it by Kuraish, removing all stones thereof. After this ‘Abd-al-Malik often repeated, “I wish I had made ibn-az-Zubair do with the Ka‘bah and its structure what he voluntarily undertook to do!”

The cover of the Ka‘bah. In pre-Islamic times the cover

---

1 Cf. Kuṭb-ad-Din, p. 81.

2 The space comprised by the curved wall al-Ḥaṭīm, which encompasses the Ka‘bah on the north-west side.

3 Kuṭb-ad-Din, p. 81.

4 Ibid., p. 84.
of the Ka‘bah consisted of pieces of leather and ma‘āhir cloth. The Prophet covered it with Yamanite cloths, ‘Umar and ‘Uthmân clothed it in Coptic cloths, and Yazid ibn-Mu‘âwiyah clothed it in Khusruwâni silk. After Yazid, ibn-az-Zubair and al-Ḥajjâj clothed it in silk. The Umayyads during a certain part of their rule, clothed it in robes offered as tribute by the people of Najrân. The Umayyads used to strip the Ka‘bah of its old covers when the cloths of silk were put on. At last came al-Walîd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik who amplified the Ḥaram-mosque and conveyed to it columns of stone and marble, and mosaic. According to al-Wâkidî, al-Manṣûr added to the mosque during his caliphate and rebuilt it. This took place in the year 139.

The reconstruction of the two mosques. It has been stated by ‘Ali ibn-Mu‘âammad ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Madâ‘ini, that Ja‘far ibn-Sulaimân ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-‘Abbâs was made by al-Mahdi governor over Makkah, al-Madinah and al-Yamâmah. Ja‘far enlarged the two mosques of Makkah and al-Madinah and rebuilt them.

Al-Mutawakkil—Ja‘far ibn-abi-Ishâk al-Mu‘taṣim-Billâh—renewed the marble of the Ka‘bah, made a belt of silver around it, plated its walls and ceiling with gold—which act was unprecedented—and clothed its pillars with silk.

2 Cf. Azrâkî, p. 176; Kûṭb-Ḥad-Dîn, p. 68.
4 Kûṭb-Ḥad-Dîn, p. 54.
CHAPTER VIII

THE WELLS OF MAKKAH

Before Ḵuṣai brought Kuraish together, and before they entered Makkah, they used for drinking purposes reservoirs, rain-water tanks on mountain tops, a well called al-Yusairah dug by Lu’ai ibn-Ghâlib outside the Ḥaram and another well called ar-Rawa dug by Murrah ibn-Ka‘b and which lay just beyond ‘Arafah. Later, Kilâb ibn-Murrah dug outside of Makkah three wells Khumm, Rumm and Jafr; and Ḵuṣai ibn-Kilâb dug another which he called al-‘Ajûl and prepared a drinking place in connection with it.  

After the death of Ḵuṣai a certain man of the banû-Naṣr ibn-Mu‘âwiyah fell into al-‘Ajûl well and it was no more used.

Badhdhar was a well dug by Hâshim ibn-‘Abd-Manâf. It lies close to Khandamah at the mouth of abu-Ṭâlib’s water-course. This Hâshim also dug Sajlah which Asad ibn-Hâshim gave to ‘Adi ibn-Naufal ibn-‘Abd-Manâf abu-l-Muṭ‘im. It is asserted by some, however, that he sold it to him, and by others that it was ‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib who gave it to him when he dug Zamzam and the water became abundant in Makkah. This Sajlah was later included in the Mosque.

‘Abd-Shams ibn-‘Abd-Manâf dug out at-Ṭawi which lay in the upper part of Makkah. He dug out another for his

---

1 Azraḵi, pp. 436, 439, 496; Hīshām, p. 95.
2 A few verses composed in regard to this and other wells have been omitted from the translation.
3 Bakri, p. 766; Fâkihi, p. 120.
special use called al-Jafr. Maimūn ibn-al-Ḥaḍram, an ally of the banu-‘Abd-Shams ibn-‘Abd-Manāf, dug his own well which was the last to be dug in Makkah during the pre-Islamic period. Near by this well, lies the tomb of al-Manṣūr the “Commander of the Believers.” The first name of al-Ḥaḍrami was ‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Imād.1 Besides, ‘Abd-Shams dug two wells which he called Khumm and Rumm2 after Kilāb ibn-Murrah’s wells. Khumm lay near the dam, and Rumm near Khadijah’s house.

Banu-Asad ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza ibn-Ḳuṣai dug a well called Shufiyah, the well of the banu-Asad.3

Umm-Aḥrād was one dug by the banu-‘Abd-ad-Dār ibn-Ḳuṣai.

Banu-Jumāh dug as-Sunbulah well which is the same as 50 the well of Khalaf ibn-Wahb al-Jumahi.

Banu-Sahm dug the well called al-Ghamr which is the well of al-‘Āṣí ibn-Wā’il.

Banu-‘Adi dug al-Ḥafir.

Banu-Makhzūm dug as-Sukya, the well of Hīšām ibn-al-Mughirah ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Umar ibn-Makhzūm.

Banu-Taim dug ath-Thuraiya which is the well of ‘Abdallāh ibn-Jud‘ān ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ka‘b ibn-Sa‘d ibn-Taim.

The banu-‘Amir ibn-Lu‘ai dug an-Naḳ4.

Jubair ibn-Muṣ‘im had a well—the banu-Naufal well, which has lately been included in Dār al-Ḳawārir erected by Ḥammād al-Barbāri in the caliphate of Harūn ar-Rashīd.4

In the pre-Islamic period, ‘Aḳil ibn-abi-Ṭālib had dug a well which is now included in the house of ibn-Yūsuf.5

Al-Aswad ibn-abi-l-Bakhtari ibn-Hāshim ibn-al-Ḥārith ibn-Asad ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza had at al-Aswad gate near by al-

1 Nawāwi, p. 432.
3 Azrakī, p. 438.
4 Azrakī, p. 437.
5 Azrakī, p. 441.
Hamāṭīn [embalmers'] a well that was later added into the Mosque.

Ikrīmah well was named after Ikrīmah ibn-Khālid ibn-al-‘Aṣī ibn-Hāshim ibn-al-Mughirah; ‘Amr well, as well as ‘Amr water-course, after ‘Amr ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-Ṣafwān ibn-Umāiyah ibn-Khalaf al-Jumālī. Aṭ-Ṭalūb, which lay in the lower part of Makkah, was the property of ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ṣafwān. Ḥuwaiṭib well was named after Ḥuwaiṭib ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza ibn-abi-Ḵāis of banu-‘Amir ibn-Lu’ai, and it lay in the court of his house at the bottom of the valley. Abu-Mūsā well belonged to abu-Mūsā-l-Ash‘ari and lay at al-Ma‘lāt. Shaudhab well was named after Shaudhab, Mu‘āwiyah’s freedman, and was later added to the Mosque. Some say that this Shaudhab was the freedman of Tārīk ibn-‘Alkamah ibn-‘Urajj ibn-jadhīmah-l-Kinānī, others that he was the freedman of Nāfi‘ ibn-‘Alkamah ibn-Ṣafwān ibn-Umāiyah . . . ibn-Shīkḳ al-Kinānī, a maternal uncle of Marwān ibn-al-Ḥakam ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣī ibn-Umāiyah. Balkār well was named after a man from al-Tarāk who lived in Makkah, and it lay in dhu-Ṭuwa; Wardān well after Wardān, a freedman of as-Sā‘īb ibn-abi-Wadā‘ah ibn-Dubairah as-Sahmi. Sirāj drinking place lay in Fakh and belonged to Sirāj, a freedman of the banu-Hāshim. Al-Aswad well was named after al-Aswad ibn-Sufyān . . . ibn-Makhzūm and lay near the well of Khāliṣah, a freedmaid of al-Mahdi the “Commander of the Believers.” Al-Barūd which lay in Fakh belonged to Mukhtarish al-Ka‘bi of [the tribe of] Khuzā‘ah.

Certain houses and gardens in Makkah. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, the owner of ibn-‘Alkamah house in Makkah was Tārīk ibn-‘Alkamah ibn-‘Urajj ibn-Jadhīmah-l-Kinānī.
According to abu-'Ubaidah Ma'mar ibn-al-Muthanna, 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Kuraib al-Aisma'i and others, ibn-'Amir garden was the property of 'Umar ibn-'Ubaidallah... ibn-Lu'ai and was by mistake called ibn-'Amir or the banu-'Amir garden. In reality, it is ibn-Ma'mar's garden. Others say that it was so called after ibn-'Amir al-Haḍrāmi; still others, after ibn-'Amir ibn-Kuraiz, and all that is mere guessing.

I was told by Mus'ab ibn-'Abdallāh az-Zubairi that Makkah in pre-Islamic times was called Ṣalāh.

Ibn-Sibā' jail. The following was told to me by al-'Abbas ibn-Hishām al-Kalbi.—A certain Kindi inquired in writing from my father about the one after whom ibn-Sibā' jail of al-Madinah was named, about the story of Dār an-Nadwah, Dār al-'Ajalah, and Dār al-Kawārir in Makkah. My father wrote back the following answer: “As for ibn-Sibā’ jail, it was a house for ‘Abdallāh ibn-Sibā’ ibn-Abd-al-Uzza ibn-Naḍlah ibn-'Amr ibn-Ghubshān al-Khuza'i. Sibā’ was surnamed abu-Niyār and his mother was a midwife in Makkah. In the battle of Uhud, he was challenged by Ḥamzah ibn-'Abd-al-Muṭṭalib who cried, ‘Come, thou son of the female circumciser!’¹ and killed him. As Ḥamzah stooped on his victim to take his armor, he was thrust with a spear by Wahshi. The mother of the poet Ṭuraiḥ ibn-Iṣmā'īl ath-Thakafi was the daughter of ‘Abdallāh ibn-Sibā’, an ally of the banu-Zuhrah.

Dār an-Nadwah. As for an-Nadwah [council-chamber], it was built by Kuṣai ibn-Kilāb, and people used to meet in it and have the cases decided.² Later, Kuraish used to assemble in it to consult about war and general affairs, to assign the standard-bearers and to contract marriages. This was the first house established in Makkah by Kuraish.

¹ “An expression of contumely used by the Arabs whether the mother is really a female circumciser or not.” (Ṭāj al-'Arūs.)
² Azraki, pp. 65, 66; Diyārbakri, vol. i, p. 175; Tabari, vol. i, p. 1098; Ḩṣṭakhri, p. 16.
Dār al-'Ajalah. Then comes Dār al-'Ajalah which belonged to Sā'īd ibn-Sa'd ibn-Sahm. The banu-Sahm claim that it was built before an-Nadwah; but this is a false claim. An-Nadwah remained in the hands of the banu-'Abd-ad-Dār ibn-Kuṣai until it was sold by 'Ikrimah ibn-Hāshim ibn-'Abd-Manāf ibn-'Abd-ad-Dār ibn-Kuṣai to Muʿāwiyah ibn-abi-Suṭyān, and the latter converted it into a governor's house.

Dār al-Kawārīr. Dār al-Kawārīr belonged to 'Utbah ibn-Rabī'ah ibn-'Abd-Shams ibn-'Abd-Manāf, then to al-'Abbās ibn-'Utbah ibn-'Abd-Shams ibn-'Abd-al-Muṭṭalib, and later to Ja'far's mother, Zubaidah, daughter of abu-l-Faql ibn-al-Mansūr the "Commander of the Believers." Because earthen jars were partly used in making its pavement and walls, the hall was called al-Kawārīr [the jar building]. It was built by Ḥammād al-Barbari in the caliphate of ar-Rashīd.

Ku'aikiṭān and Ajyād. It was related by Hishām ibn-Muḥammad al-Kalbi that 'Amr ibn-Muṣṭafā al-Jurhumī fought with another Jurhum man named as-Sumaidā'. 'Amr appeared carrying arms that were rattling. Hence Ku'aikiṭān [rattling] the name of the place from which he appeared. As-Sumaidā' appeared with bells covering his horses' necks. Hence Ajyād [necks] the name of the place whence he appeared. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, it was said that he appeared with horses that were marked, hence the name Ajyād [steeds]. The common people of Makkah, however, call it "Jiyād as-Ṣaghīr" and "Jiyād al-Kabīr."

Al-Walīd ibn-Ṣāliḥ from Kathīr ibn-'Abdallāh's grandfather, who said:—"We accompanied 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb on his visit in the year 17, and on the way we were met by the owners of the wells, who asked 'Umar for permission to build dwelling places between Makkah and al-Madinah where, up to that time, no houses stood. 'Umar granted them permission, but imposed the condition that the wayfarer should have the first claim on the water and shade."
CHAPTER IX

THE FLOODS IN MAKKAH

_Umm-Nahshal flood._ Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from ibn-Kharrabûdîh al-Mâkki and others:—Makkah was visited by four floods. One was umm-Nahshal flood which took place in the days of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb.¹ This flood rose so high that it penetrated into the Mosque from the highest part of Makkah. ‘Umar therefore made two dams, the higher of which extended between the house of Babbah (so called by its occupants, the house being that of ‘Abdallâh ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib ibn-‘Abd-Manâf who ruled al-Ṫaṣrâh at the time of the insurrection of ibn-az-Zubair) and the house of Abân ibn-‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân. The lower dam lay at al-Ḥammârin; and it is the one known as Al-Âsid dam. Thus was the flood kept back from the Haram mosque. According to the same tradition umm-Nahshal, the daughter of ‘Ubaïdah ² ibn-Sa‘îd ibn-al-‘Âsi ibn-Umâyiah, was carried away by the flood from the higher part of Makkah and therefore was the flood named after her.

_Al-Juḥâf w-al-Jurâf._ Another flood was that of al-Juḥâf w-al-Jurâf which took place in the year 80 in the time of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Mawân. It overtook the pilgrims on a Monday morning and carried them away together with their baggage, and surrounded the Ka‘bah. About this the poet said:

¹ Azrâkî, pp. 394-398.
² Azrâkî, pp. 394-395: “‘Ubaïd”.
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"Chassân never saw a day like Monday, when so many were saddened and so many eyes wept; and when the flood carried away the people of al-Mïṣrain, and made the secluded women run astray climbing the mountains."

On this occasion, 'Abd-al-Malik wrote to his 'āmil in Makkah, 'Abdallâh ibn-Sufyân al-Makhzûmi — others say that the poet al-Ḥârith ibn-Khâlid al-Makhzûmi was his 'āmil — ordering him to build walls without clay around the houses that bordered on the valley, and around the Mosque, and to erect dams at the openings of the roads, so that the houses should be secure. To this effect, he sent a Christian who made the walls and set up the dam known as the banu-Kurâd’s or banu-Jumah’s. Other dams were constructed in lower Makkah. A poet says:

“One drop of tears I shall keep, the other I shall pour forth, if I pass the dam of the banu-Kurâd.”

**Al-Mukhabbil.** Another flood was the one called al-Mukhabbil. When it came, many were afflicted with a disease in their body and palsy in their tongues. Hence the name al-Mukhabbil [rendering some limb crippled].

**Abu-Shâkir.** Still another flood came later in the caliphate of Hîshâm ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik in the year 120. It is known as Abu-Shâkir flood after Maslamah ibn-Hîshâm, who in that year had charge of the fair [of the pilgrims].

**Wâdi-Makkah.** The flood of Wâdi-Makkah comes from a place known as Sidrat 'Attâb ibn-Asid ibn-abi-l-Īs.

**The flood in the caliphate of ar-Rashîd.** It was reported by 'Abbâs ibn-Hîshâm that a great flood took place in the caliphate of al-Mâ’mûm ‘Abdallâh ibn-ar-Rashîd; and its water rose almost as high as the “stone.”

---

1 Al-Baṣrah and al-Kûfah.
3 The “black stone” of al-Ka’bah; Azraḳî, p. 397.
The limits of al-Ḥaram. Al-ʿAbbās from ʿIkrimah:—A part of the limits set to al-Ḥaram having been obliterated in the days of Muʿāwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyān, he wrote to Marwān ibn-al-Ḥakam, his ʿāmil in al-Madinah, ordering him to ask Kurz ibn-ʿAlḵamah-1-Khuzâʿi, if he were still alive, to establish the limits of al-Ḥaram, since he was familiar with them. Kurz was still alive; and he established the limits which are today the marks of al-Ḥaram. According to al-Kalbi, this was Kurz ibn-ʿAlḵamah ibn-Hilāl ibn-Juraibah ibn-ʿAbd-Nuhm ibn-Ḥulail ibn-Ḥubshiyah-1-Khuzâʿi, the one who followed the steps of the Prophet to the cave in which the Prophet, accompanied by abu-Bakr as-Ṣiddīk, had disappeared, when he wanted to take the Hegira to al-Madinah. Kurz saw on the cave a spider web, and below it, the Prophet’s foot-print which he recognized saying, “This is the Prophet’s foot, but here the track is lost.”
CHAPTER X

Aṭ-Ṭâ'īf

The Prophet lays siege to aṭ-Ṭâ'īf. When the Hawâzin were defeated in the battle of Ḥunain, and Duraid ibn-aṣ-Ṣimmah was slain, the surviving remnant came to Awtâs. The Prophet sent them abu-ʿĀmir al-Ashʿari who was put to death. Then abu-Mūsa ʿAbdallâh ibn-Ḳais al-Ashʿari took the command and the Moslems advanced on Awtâs. Seeing that, the chief of the Hawâzin at that time, Mâlik ibn-ʿAuf ibn-Saʿd of bani-Duhmân ibn-Naṣr ibn-Muʿâwiyyah ibn-Bakr ibn-Hawâzin, fled to aṭ-Ṭâʿīf, whose people he found ready for the siege with their fortress repaired and the provisions gathered therein. Here he settled. The Prophet led the Moslems until they got to aṭ-Ṭâʿīf. Thâkîf hurled stones and arrows on the Moslems, and the Prophet set a ballista on the fortress. The Moslems had a mantelet ¹ made of cows' skins on which Thâkîf threw hot iron bars and burnt it, killing the Moslems underneath. The siege of aṭ-Ṭâʿīf by the Prophet lasted for fifteen days,² the invasion having begun in Shauwâl, in the year 8.

Certain slaves surrender. Certain slaves from aṭ-Ṭâʿīf presented themselves before the Prophet. Among them were abu-Bakrah ibn-Masrûḥ,—[later] the Prophet's freed-

¹ Ar. dabbâbah—a machine made of skins and wool, men enter into it and it is propelled to the lower part of a fortress where the men, protected from what is thrown upon them, try to make a breach. See Zaidān, Taʾrikh at-Tamaddun al-Islâmi, vol. i, p. 143.
² Cf. Hishâm, p. 872.
man, and whose [first] name was Nufai', and al-Azraq, after whom the Azāriḳah were named, who was a Greek blacksmith and slave, and whose [full] name was abu-Nāf' ibn-al-Azraq al-Khâriji. For doing so, these slaves were set free. 1 It is claimed by others, however, that Nāf' ibn-Azraq al-Khâriji was of the banu-Ḫanîfah and that the al-Azraq who came from at-Ṭâ'if was another man.

**The terms of capitulation.** Then the Prophet left for al-Jiʿrânah to divide the captives and the booty of Ḥunain. 2 Thakif, fearing lest he should return, sent a deputation with whom he made terms stipulating that they become Moslem, and keep what they possess in the form of money or buried treasures. 3 The Prophet imposed a condition on them that they would neither practise usury nor drink wine. They were addicted to usury. To this end, he wrote them a statement.

The old name of at-Ṭâ'if was Wajj. When it was fortified and surrounded by a wall it was called at-Ṭâ'if.

**The Jews in at-Ṭâ'if.** Al-Madâʾini from certain sheikhs from at-Ṭâ'if:—In the district of at-Ṭâ'if lived some Jews driven from al-Yaman and Yathrib, who had settled there for trade. On them poll-tax was imposed. It was from some of them that Muʿâwiyah bought his possessions in at-Ṭâ'if.

**The land of at-Ṭâ'if is included in the district of Makkah.** Al-ʿAbbâs ibn-ʿAbd-al-Muṭṭalib had a piece of land in at-Ṭâ'if from which grapes were taken and made into the beverage used for the Pilgrims. The men of Ḵuraish had possessions in at-Ṭâ'if to which they came from

---

1 Cf. Hishām, p. 874.
3 Ar. ar-rikâz, treasures buried in pre-Islamic days; Bukhâri, vol. i, p. 381; Mawardi, p. 207.
Makkah to repair. The conquest of Makkah and the conversion of its people to Islam made Thakif covet and lay hold on these possessions, but with the conquest of at-Ta‘if, they were again put in the hands of the Makkans, and in fact all the land of at-Ta‘if became one of the districts of Makkah.

Abu-Sufyân loses his eye. It was in the battle of at-Ta‘if that Abu-Sufyân ibn-Ḥarb lost his eye.¹

The zakât from Thakîf on grapes and dates. Al-Walid ibn-Ṣāliḥ from ‘Attâb ibn-Asid:—The Prophet ordered that the vine-trees of Thakîf be estimated as in the case of dates and that the zakât [legal alms] be taken in the form of raisins, as in the case of dates.

According to al-Wâkidî, abu-Ḥanifah says: “The vine-trees are not estimated, but when the produce, whether large or small, is gathered the zakât is taken.”

According to Ya‘kîb: “If the produce is gathered and the weight of it is five wasâks [loads] then its zakât is one-tenth or half of one-tenth.” The same view is held by Sufyân ibn-Sa‘îd ath-Thauri. The wask is equal to 60 ṣō’s.²

Mâlik ibn-Anas and ibn-abi-Dhi‘b state that according to the commended practice [Ar. sunnah] the zakât on grape is taken by estimation as in the case of dates.³

The zakât on honey. Shaibân ibn-abi-Shaibah from ‘Amr ibn-Shu‘aib:—A ṭâmîl of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb in at-Ta‘if wrote to ‘Umar, “Those who own honey fail to contribute to us what they used to contribute to the Prophet, i. e., one vase out of each ten.” ‘Umar wrote back to him, “If they would contribute, thou shouldst protect their valleys, otherwise do not.”

² Yahya ibn-Adam, Kitâb al-Kharâj, p. 100.
³ Mâlik ibn-Anas, al-Muwaṭṭa, pp. 116-117; and cf. Shâfi‘i, Kitâb al-Umm, vol. ii², p. 27.

Dāʾūd ibn-‘Abd-al-Ḥamīd the kāḏī of ar-Rakka from Khaṣīf:—‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz wrote to his āmīls in Makkah and at-Ṭāʾif, “There is ṣadākah on the bee-hives. Therefore, take it thereof.” According to al-Wâkīdī, it has been reported that ibn-‘Umar said, “There is no ṣadākah on hives.” According to Mālik and ath-Thaurī, no zakāt is taken on honey though it may be in great quantities. The same is the view of ash-Shâfīʿī. According to abu-Ḥanîfah, if the honey is raised in a tithe-land the tithe is taken whether the honey is much or little; but if it is raised in the kharāj-land, nothing is to be taken, because both zakāt and kharāj cannot be taken from one and the same man.

Al-Wâkīdī states that he was told by al-Ḵāsim ibn-Maʿn and Yaʿlūb that abu-Ḥanîfah said: “If honey is raised in the land of a dhimmī there is no tithe on it, but there is kharāj on the land. And if it is produced in the land of a Taghlabī one-fifth is taken thereof.” The same view is held by Zufar. According to abu-Yūsuf, if the honey is produced in the kharāj-land, it is exempt of everything; but if in the tithe land, one ṛatīl is taken out of ten.

According to Muḥammad ibn-al-Ḥasan, no ṣadākah whatever is taken on what is less than five faraḵs. The same view is held by ibn-abi-Dhiʿb.

1 Muwāṭṭa, p. 121.
2 Umm, vol. ii, p. 33.
3 Banu-Taghlib were Christian Arabs on whom ‘Umar-ibn al-Khaṭṭāb doubled the tax. See abu-Yūsuf, Kitāb al-Kharāj, p. 68.
4 Yūsuf, p. 40.
5 A ṛatīl is about 5 pounds.
6 A faraḵ is 16 ṛatīls. Nihāyah, vol. iii, p. 196.
Aṣ-Ṭa‘īf

It was reported by Khālid ibn-‘Abdallāh at-Ṭaḥḥān that ibn-abi-Laila said, “Whether it is produced in the tithe- or kharāj-land, one ra‘l is due on every ten. The same view is held by al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣāliḥ ibn-Ḥai.

A tradition reported to me by abu-‘Ubaid on the authority of az-Zuhri states that the latter held that one vase [Ar. sībāk] is due on every ten.

The tithe on fruits and grains. Yahya ibn-Adam from Bishr ibn-‘Āṣim and ‘Uthmān ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-Aus—Sufyān ibn-‘Abdallāh at-Tahkāfī wrote to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, whose ‘āmil he was in at-Ṭa‘īf, stating that before him was the case of a garden in which vine-trees grow, as well as plum and pomegranate trees and other things that are many folds more productive than vines, and soliciting ‘Umar’s orders regarding the taking of its tithe. But ‘Umar wrote back, “No tithes on it.”

It was stated by Yahya ibn-Adam that he heard Sufyān ibn-Sa‘īd (whose view is the following) say:—“There is no sadaqah except on four of the products of the soil, i. e., wheat, barley, dates and raisins, provided the product measures five wāṣṣ.” But abu-Ḥanifah’s view is that whatever the tithe-land produces is subject to the tithe, though it be a bundle of vegetables. The same view is held by Zufar. But according to the view of Mālik, ibn-abi-Dhi‘b and Ya‘qūb, vegetables and the like are not subject to sadaqah. Nor is there sadaqah on what is less than five wāṣṣ of wheat, barley, maize, husked barley, tare, dates, raisins, rice, sesame, peas and the grains that can be measured and stored, including lentils, beans, Indian peas and millet. If any of these measure five wāṣṣ, then it is subject to sadaqah. The same view, according to al-Wâkidi, is held by Rabī‘ah ibn-

1 A receptacle of skin for holding wine and the like.
2 Yahya ibn-‘Adam, Kitāb al-Kharāj, pp. 109-110.
abi-‘Abd-ar-Raḥmān.’ According to az-Zuhri all spices and pulse \(^1\) is subject to zakāt. Mālik holds that no ṣadakah is due on pears, plums, pomegranates or the rest of the fresh fruits. The same view is held by ibn-abi-Laila. According to abu-Yūsuf, there is no ṣadakah except on what can be measured by al-ḵafīz.\(^2\) Abu-az-Zinād ibn-abi-Dhi‘b and ibn-abi-Sabrah hold that no ṣadakah is taken on vegetables and fruits, but there is ṣadakah on their prices the moment they are sold.

A tradition was communicated to me by ‘Abbās ibn-Hishām on the authority of his grandfather to the effect that the Prophet assigned ‘Uthmān ibn-abi-l-‘Āṣi ath-Thākarī as his ‘āmil in at-Ṭā’īf.

\(^1\) Seed of a leguminous plant that is cooked.

\(^2\) Adam, p. 101.
CHAPTER XI

TABĀLAH AND JURASH

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—The people of Tabālah and Jurash ¹ accepted Islam without resistance.² The Prophet left them on the terms agreed upon when they became Moslems, imposing on every adult of the “People of the book” ³ among them one dinār, and making it a condition on them to provide the Moslem wayfarers with board and lodging. Abu-Sufyân ibn-Ḥarb was assigned by the Prophet as the governor of Jurash.

¹ Cities in al-Yaman; Bakr, pp. 191 and 238; Hamdāni, Jāsimat al-ʿArab, p. 127, line 19; Yākūt, vol. i, p. 817 and vol. ii, p. 60.
² Ṭabarī, vol. i, p. 1730.
³ Jews and Christians.
CHAPTER XII

TABUK, AILAH, ADHRUḤ, MAKNĀ AND AL-JARBA’

Tabūk makes terms. When in the year 9 the Prophet marched to Tabūk in Syria for the invasion of those of the Greeks, ʿĀmilah, Lakhm, Judhâm and others whom he learnt had assembled against him, he met no resistance. So he spent a few days in Tabūk, whose inhabitants made terms with him agreeing to pay poll-tax.

Ailah makes terms. During his stay at Tabūk, there came to him Yuḥanna ibn-Ruʿbah, the chief of Ailah, and made terms, agreeing to pay on every adult in his land one dinār per annum making it 300 dinārs in all. The Prophet made it a condition on them that they provide with board and lodging whomsoever of the Moslems may pass by them. To this effect he wrote them a statement that they may be kept safe and protected.

Muhammad ibn-Saʿd from Ṭalḥah-l-Aili:—‘Umar ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAziz never raised the tax of the people of Ailah above 300 dinārs.

Adhrūḥ makes terms. The Prophet made terms with the people of Adhrūḥ stipulating that they pay 100 dinārs in Rajab of every year.

Al-Jarbā’ makes terms. The people of al-Jarbā’ made

---

2 Hishām, p. 902.
3 Wellhausen, Das Arabische Reich, p. 173.
4 Yāḵūt, vol. i, p. 174; Iṣṭakhri, p. 58; Muṣnad, p. 54.
5 Yāḵūt, vol. ii, p. 46.
terms and agreed to pay poll-tax. To this effect the Prophet wrote them a statement.

Makna makes terms. The people of Makna made terms with the Prophet, agreeing to offer one-fourth of what they fish and spin, one-fourth of their horses and coats of mail, and one-fourth of their fruits. The inhabitants of Makna were Jews. An Egyptian told me that he saw with his own eye the statement that the Prophet wrote them on a red parchment, the writing on which was partly effaced, and which he copied and dictated to me as follows:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah, to the Banu Habibah and the inhabitants of Makna: peace be with you. It has been revealed unto me from above that ye are to return to your village. From the time this my letter reaches you, ye shall be safe; and ye have the assurance of security from Allah and from his Messenger. Verily, the Messenger of Allah has forgiven you your sins and all blood for which ye have been pursued. In your village, ye shall have no partner but the Messenger of Allah or the Messenger’s messenger. There shall be no oppression on you nor hostility against you. Against whatever the Prophet of Allah protects himself, he will protect you. Only to the Prophet of Allah shall belong your cloth-stuff, slaves, horses and coats of mail, save what the Prophet or the Prophet’s messenger shall exempt. Besides that, ye shall give one-fourth of what your palm-trees produce, one-fourth of the product of your nets, and one-fourth of what is spun by your women; but all else shall be your own; and God’s Prophet has exempted you from all further poll-tax or forced labor. Now, if ye

1 Wâkidî, tr. Wellhausen, p. 405.

2 Ar. kurâ, see Nihâyah, vol. iv, p. 16; and Muṣarrizi, vol. ii, p. 148; Margoliouth translates “camp-followers” in Zaidân’s Umayyads and Abbasids, p. 121.
hear and obey, it will be for the Prophet to do honor to the honorable among you and pardon those among you who do the wrong. Whosoever of the banu-Ḥabibah and the inhabitants of Makna bethinks himself to do well to the Moslems, it shall be well for him; and whosoever means mischief to them, mischief shall befall him. Ye are to have no ruler save of your number of the family of the Prophet. Written by 'Ali-ibn-abu-Ṭâlib ¹ in the year 9.”

¹ *Sic!* Being genitive, it should be “abi.” See note in De Goeje’s edition, p. 60.
CHAPTER XIII

Dûmat al-Jandal

Khâlid ibn-al-Walid captures Ukaïdir. The Prophet sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ibn-al-Mughîrah-al-Makhzûmi to Ukaïdir ibn-'Abd-al-Malik al-Kindî, later as-Sakûnî, at Dûmat al-Jandal. Khâlid took him captive, killed his brother, robbed him of a silk cloak interwoven with gold, and brought Ukaïdir before the Prophet. Ukaïdir accepted Islam, upon which the Prophet wrote for him and the people of Dûmat the following statement:

"This is a statement from Muhammad, the Prophet of Allah, to Ukaïdir as he accepted Islâm and forsook the objects of worship and idols, and to the people of Dûmat:—

To us shall belong the water-places outside the city, the untilled lands, the deserts and waste lands, as well as the defensive and offensive weapons, the horses, and the fortress; and to you shall belong the palm-trees within the city, and the running water. Your cattle which are pasturing shall not, for the purpose of taking the ṣadâkâh, be brought together [but shall be numbered on the pasture-land], and what is above the fixed number of animals from which a ṣadâkâh is required shall not be taken into consideration. Your herds shall graze wherever ye want, and ye shall ob-

2 Ṭabari, vol. i, pp. 1702-1703.
4 Cf. Sprenger, Das Leben und die Lehre des Mohammad, vol. iii, p. 419.
serve prayer in its time, and pay the zakât as it is due. To
this effect, I give you the covenant of Allah and his promise,
and ye are entitled to our sincerity as regards the fulfillment
of the terms. Witnessed by Allah and those of the Moslems
who are present.”

_Ukaidir violates the covenant._ Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm 62
al-Kalbi from his grandfather:—The Prophet sent Khâlid
ibn-al-Walid to Ukaidir. Ukaidir was brought by Khâlid
before the Prophet; he became a Moslem, and the Prophet
wrote him a statement. But no sooner had the Prophet
been dead, than Ukaidir stopped the payment of the ṣadakah,
violated the covenant and left Dûmat al-Jandal for al-Ḥirah,
where he erected a building and called it Dûmat after
Dûmat al-Jandal. His brother, however, Ḥuraith ⁷ ibn-
‘Abd-al-Malik embraced Islam and thereby entered into pos-
session of the property held by his brother.²

_Huraith’s daughter marries._ Yazid ibn-Mu’âwiya
married the daughter of Ḥuraith, Ukaidir’s brother.

_Abu-Bakr sends Khâlid against Ukaidir._ Al-‘Abbâs from
‘Awânah ibn-al-Ḥakam:—Abu-Bakr wrote to Khâlid ibn-al-
Walid, when the latter was at ‘Ain at-Tamr, ordering him
to go against Ukaidir, which he did, killing Ukaidir and
capturing Dûmat. After the death of the Prophet, Ukaidir
left Dûmat and then returned to it. Having killed him,
Khâlid went to Syria.

_Laila daughter of al-Jûdi a captive._ According to al-
Wâkidî, on Khâlid’s way from al-‘Trâk to Syria, he passed
through Dûmat al-Jandal, which he captured, carrying away
many captives, among whom were Laila, the daughter of
al-Jûdi-î-Ghassânî. Others say Laila was carried away by
Khâlid’s horsemen from a Ghassân settlement stationed by ⁶³

¹ Ibn-Ḥajar, vol. i, p. 773, by mistake gives “Ḥuraib”.
² One verse omitted.
DOMAT AL-JANDAL

a watering-place [hādir]. It was this daughter of al-Jūdi whom ‘Abd-ar-Rahmān ibn-abi-Bakr as-Ṣiddīk had fallen in love with, and the one whom he meant when he said:

"I thought of Laila with as-Samawah¹ intervening between; and what has the daughter of al-Jūdi to do with me?"

Thus did he win her hand and marry her. But such a hold had she on him that he gave up all his other wives. At last, however, she was affected with such a severe disease that her looks were changed and he no more liked her. He was advised to give her what is usually given at divorce² and send her to her own people, which he did.

Al-Wâkidi's version of the conquest. According to al-Wâkidi, the Prophet led the invasion against Dūmat al-Jandal in the year 5 and met no resistance. In Shauwâl, year 9, he sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walid to Ukaidir, twenty months after the former had embraced Islam.

The reconstruction of Dūmat al-Jandal. I heard it said by someone from al-Ḥirah that Ukaidir and his brothers used to go to Dūmat al-Ḥirah and visit their uncles of the Kalb tribe and spend some time with them. One day as they were together on a hunting trip, there arose before their view a city in ruins with only few walls standing. The city was built of stones [Ar. jandal]. This city they rebuilt, planted in it olive- and other trees, and called it Dūmat al-Jandal in distinction from Dūmat al-Ḥirah.³

Az-Zuhri's version of the conquest. 'Amr ibn-Muhammad an-Nâkid from az-Zuhri:—The Prophet sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ibn-al-Mughirah to the people of Dūmat al-Jandal who were some of the Christians of al-Kūfah. Khâlid captured Ukaidir, their chief, and arranged to receive poll-tax from him.

¹ A desert from Dūmat to ‘Ain at-Tamr; Istakhri, p. 23.
² Ar. mutʻah. Muwatta, p. 208.
³ Caetani, vol. ii, p. 263.
CHAPTER XIV

THE CAPITULATION OF NAJRÂN

The terms agreed upon. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—There came to the Prophet the military chief and the civil chief,1 delegated by the people of Najrân in al-Yaman, and asked for terms which they made on behalf of the people of Najrân, agreeing to offer two thousand robes—one thousand in Safar and one thousand in Rajab—each one of which should have the value of one ounce [aukiyah], the ounce weighing 40 dirhams. In case the price of the robe delivered should be more than one ounce, the surplus would be taken into consideration; and if it were less, the deficiency should be made up. And whatever weapons, horses, camels or goods they offered, should be accepted instead of the robes, if they are the same value. Another condition was made that they provide board and lodging for the Prophet's messengers for a month or less, and not detain them for more than a month. Still another condition was that in case of war in al-Yaman, they are bound to offer as loan thirty coats of mail, thirty mares and thirty camels, and whatever of these animals perish, the messengers [of the Prophet] guarantee to make up for them. To this effect, the Prophet gave them Allah's covenant and his promise. Another condition was that they be not allured to change their religion or the rank they hold in it, nor should they be called upon for military service or made to pay the tithe.2

1 Hishâm, p. 401.
Prophet made it a condition on them that they neither take nor give usury.

The two monks of Najran and the Prophet. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Ḥasan:—There came to the Prophet two monks from Najrān.1 The Prophet proposed Islām to them, and they replied, "We embraced Islām before thou didst." To this the Prophet replied, "Ye have told a lie. Three things keep you from Islām: pork eating, cross-worship and the claim that Allah has a son." "Well then," said they, "who is Ἰσα’s father?" Al-Ḥasan adds that the Prophet was never too quick but always waited for Allah’s command. Hence the text revealed by his Lord: 2 "These signs and this wise warning do we rehearse to thee. Verily, Jesus is as Adam in the sight of Allah. He created him of dust: He then said to him, ‘Be’—and he was,” etc. to "on those who lie.”

This the Prophet repeated to them and then asked them to join with him in imprecating the curse of Allah upon whichever of them was wrong,3 taking hold of the hands of Fāṭimah, al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusain. At this, one of the two monks said to the other, “Climb the mountain and do not join with him in imprecating the curse, for if thou shouldst, thou wouldst return with the curse on thee." “What shall we do then?” asked the other. "I believe," said the former, "we had better give him the kharāj rather than join with him in imprecating the curse." 4

A statement of the treaty. Al-Ḥusain from Yahya ibn-Adam who said:—"I copied the statement of the Prophet 65 to the people of Najrān from that of a man who took it from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣāliḥ. These are the words:

1 Yākūt, vol. iv, pp. 751-757.
2 Kor., 3: 51.
3 Cf. Kor., 3: 54.
In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. The following is what the Messenger of Allah, Muḥammad, wrote to Najrân, at whose disposal were all their fruits, their gold, silver and domestic utensils, and their slaves, but which he benevolently left for them, assessing on them two thousand robes each having the value of one auḍiyah, one thousand to be delivered in Rajab of every year, and one thousand in Safar of every year. Each robe shall be one auḍiyah; and whatever robes cost more or less than one auḍiyah, their overcost or deficiency shall be taken into consideration; and whatever coats of mail, horses, camels or goods they substitute for the robes shall be taken into consideration. It is binding on Najrân to provide board and lodging for my messengers for one month or less, and never to detain them for more than a month. It is also binding on them to offer as loan thirty coats of mail, thirty mares and thirty camels, in case of war in al-Yaman due to their rebelling. Whatever perishes of the horses or camels, lent to my messengers, is guaranteed by my messengers and is returned by them. Najrân and their followers are entitled to the protection of Allah and to the security of Muḥammad the Prophet, the Messenger of Allah, which security shall involve their persons, religion, lands and possessions, including those of them who are absent as well as those who are present, their camels, messengers and images. The state they previously held shall not be changed, nor shall any of their religious services or images be changed. No attempt shall be made to turn a bishop from his office as a bishop, a monk from his office as a monk, nor the sexton.

1 The text here is probably corrupt; cf. Wellhausen, *Skizzen und Vorarbeiten*, vol. iv, pp. 25 and 132; Yūsuf, p. 41.
2 Sent to bring the kharāj.
4 amīthilah = crosses and pictures used in churches.
of a church from his office, whether what is under the control of each is great or little. They shall not be held responsible for any wrong deed or blood shed in pre-Islamic time. They shall neither be called to military service nor compelled to pay the tithe. No army shall tread on their land. If some one demands of them some right, then the case is decided with equity without giving the people of Najrân the advantage over the other party, or giving the other party the advantage over them. But whosoever of them has up till now received usury, I am clear of the responsibility of his protection. None of them, however, shall be held responsible for the guilt of the other. And as a guarantee to what is recorded in this document, they are entitled to the right of protection from Allah, and to the security of Muhammad the Prophet, until Allah’s order is issued, and so long as they give the right counsel [to Moslems] and render whatever dues are bound on them, provided they are not asked to do anything unjust. Witnessed by Abu-Sufyan ibn-Harb, Ghailân ibn-‘Amr, Malik ibn-‘Auf 66 of banu-Naṣr, al-‘Akra‘ ibn-Ḥabis al-Ḥanzali and al-Mughirah. Written by—”

Yaha ibn-Adâm adds, “I have seen in the hands of the people of Najrân another statement whose reading is similar to that of this copy, but at the close of it the following words occur: Written by ‘Ali ibn-abu-Ṭalib.’ Concerning this I am at a loss to know what to say.”

‘Umar expels them. When Abu-Bakr as-Ṣiddîk became caliph he enforced the terms agreed upon and issued another statement similar to that given by the Prophet. When

1 Ya‘kûbi, vol. ii, p. 62, has “after this year”.
3 ‘Abdallâh ibn-abi-Bakr, Abu-Yûsuf, p. 4; see H. Lammens’ comment on this protocol, Mélanges de la Faculté Orientale, vol. v², p. 346.
4 And not “abi” as required by the rules of the Arabic grammar.
'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb became caliph, they began to practise usury, and became so numerous as to be considered by him a menace to Islâm. He therefore expelled them and wrote to them the following statement:

"Greetings! Whomever of the people of Syria and al-Ïrāk they happen to come across, let him clear for them tillable land; and whatever land they work, becomes theirs in place of their land in al-Yaman." Thus the people of Najrān were dispersed, some settling in Syria and others in an-Najrāniyāh in the district of al-Kūfah, after whom it was so named. The Jews of Najrān were included with the Christians in the terms and went with them as their followers.

The Najranites under ‘Uthmān. When ‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān became caliph, he wrote to his ‘āmil in al-Kūfah, al-Walid ibn-Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu‘ātīt, as follows:

"Greetings! The civil ruler, the bishop and the nobles of Najrān have presented to me the written statement of the Prophet and showed me the recommendation¹ of ‘Umar. Having made inquiry regarding their case from ‘Uthmān ibn-Ḥunain, I learned that he had investigated their state and found it injurious to the great landlords² whom they prevented from possessing their land. I have, therefore, reduced their taxation by 200 robes—for the sake of Allah and in place of their old lands. I recommend them to thee as they are included among the people entitled to our protection."

Another source for ‘Umar’s statement. I heard it said by one of the learned that ‘Umar wrote them the following statement:—"Greetings! Whomsoever of the people of Syria or al-‘Irāk they pass by, let him clear for them tillable land". Another I heard say, "waste land".

² diḥkāns; Adam, pp. 42-43.
One reason for their expulsion. ‘Abd-al-A’la ibn-Ḥam-mâd an-Narsi from ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz: — The Prophet said during his illness, “There shall not remain two religions in the land of Arabia.” Consequently, when ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb became caliph, he expelled the people of Najrân to an-Najrâniyyah and bought their properties and possessions.

Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from his grandfather: — The Najrân of al-Yaman received their name from Najrân ibn-Zaid ibn-Saba ibn-Yashjub ibn-Ya‘rub ibn-Ḳaḥṭān.

‘Umar and ‘Ali refuse to reinstate them in the land. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Sâlim ibn-abi-l-Ja‘d: — The people of Najrân having increased in number to 40,000, became jealous of one another and came to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb saying, “Transplant us from the land.” ‘Umar had considered them a menace to the Moslems, so he took this opportunity and expelled them from the land. Later, however, they repented, and returning to ‘Umar said, “Reinstate us in the land,” but ‘Umar refused. When ‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭâlib became caliph, they came to him and said, “We plead with thee by thy right-hand writing and thy intermediacy on our behalf with thy Prophet that thou mayst reinstate us in the land.” To this ‘Ali replied: “‘Umar was a man of sound judgment, and I hate to act differently.”

The number of robes received by Mu‘āwiyah. Abu-Mas‘ūd al-Kūfī from al-Kalbi: — The chief of an-Najrâniyyah at al-Kūfah used to send his messengers to all the people of Najrân who were in Syria and other districts and to gather money assessed evenly on them for raising the required robes. When Mu‘āwiyah (or Yazid ibn-Mu‘āwiyah) came to power, they complained to him because of their dispersion, the death of some of them, and the conversion to Islâm of

1 Adam, p. 9.
others. They also presented the statement issued by ʿUthmân ibn-ʿAffân for the reduction of the number of robes. To this they added, “And now we have still more decreased, and become weaker.” He then reduced the number by another 200 robes, thus reducing the original number by four hundred.

*Al-Ḥajjâj restores the number.* When al-Ḥajjâj ibn-Yûṣuf was made governor of al-ʿIrâk and ibn-al-Asâḥath revolted against him, the former charged the non-Arab landlords and the people of Najrân with siding with the latter, and, therefore, he raised the number to 1,800 robes, and ordered that the robes be of the kind adorned with figures.

*ʿUmar ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzîz reduces the number.* When ʿUmar ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzîz came to power, they complained to him that they were in danger of extinction, that they were decreasing in number, that the continuous raids of the Arabs overburdened them with heavy taxes for revictualling them, and that they suffered from the unjust treatment of al-Ḥajjâj. By ʿUmar’s orders their census was taken, and it was found that they were reduced to one-tenth of their original number, upon which ʿUmar said, “I consider that the terms of this capitulation impose a tax on their heads and not on their lands. The poll-tax of the dead and the Moslems, however, is annulled.” He therefore held them responsible for 200 robes of the value of 8,000 *dirhams*.

*Yûṣuf ibn-ʿUmar restores the original tax.* In the time of al-Walîd ibn-Yazîd, when Yûṣuf ibn-ʿUmar was made 68 governor of al-ʿIrâk, he [Yûṣuf], moved with partisanship to al-Ḥajjâj, charged them the original tax.

*Aḥîb-l-ʿAbbâs reduces the number of robes.* When abu-l-ʿAbbâs was proclaimed caliph, they met him on the way as he appeared in al-Kûfah and strewed myrtle branches on the road and threw some on him as he was going home from
the mosque. With this the caliph was greatly pleased. Later they brought their case before him and told him of their paucity in number and of their treatment by ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz and Yūsuf ibn-‘Umar. To this they added, “We are somehow related to thy uncles (on the mother’s side), the banu-l-Ḥārith ibn-Ka‘b.” ‘Abdallāh ibn-ar-Rabi’ al-Ḥārithi spoke in their favor; and al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Artāt confirmed what they claimed. Therefore, abu-l-‘Abbâs held them responsible only for the 200 robes previously given by them, having a value of 8,000 dirhams.

Ar-Rashîd writes them a favorable statement. Abu-Mas‘ūd said, “When ar-Rashîd Hārûn became caliph and started for al-Kûfah on his way to the Pilgrimage, they brought their case before him and complained of the harsh treatment of the ‘āmil. By the caliph’s orders there was written to them a statement fixing the number at 200 robes. The statement I myself saw. Moreover the caliph ordered that they be freed from dealing with the ‘āmil, and that they pay the dues directly to the treasury.”

‘Amr an-Nâkîd from ibn-Shihâb az-Zuhri:—The following text was revealed against the unbelievers among the Kûraish and the Arabs,¹ “Fight therefore against them until there is no more civil discord, and the only worship be that of Allah,” and the following against the “People of the Book.”² “Make war upon such of those to whom the Book has been given as believe not in Allah, or in the last day, and who forbid not that which Allah and his Messenger have forbidden, and who profess not the profession of the truth,” etc. to “humbled.” Thus the first among the “People of the Book” to pay poll-tax, so far as we know, were the people of Najrân who were Christian. Then, the people of Ailah, Adhrūh and Adhrī‘āt paid it in the battle of Tabūk.

¹ Kor., 2 : 189.  
² Kor., 9 : 29.
CHAPTER XV

Al-Yaman

The people of al-Yaman embrace Islām. When the news of the rise of the Prophet and the success of his righteous cause reached the people of al-Yaman, they sent their envoys, and the Prophet gave them a written statement confirming them in the possession of whatever property, lands, and buried treasures were included in their terms when they became Moslems. Thus they accepted Islām; and the Prophet sent them his messengers and ‘āmils to acquaint them with the laws of Islām and its institutes and to receive their ṣadakāh and the poll-tax of those among them who still held to Christianity, Judaism or Magianism.

The Prophet’s letter. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Ḥasan:—The Prophet wrote to the people of al-Yaman, “Whosoever repeats our prayer, turns his face to the kiblah as we do,¹ and eat what we slaughter, such a one is a Moslem and has the security of Allah and the security of his Prophet. But whosoever refuses to do so, tax is binding upon him.”

A similar tradition was communicated to me by Hudbah on the authority of al-Ḥasan.

The governor of Ṣan‘ā’. It is reported by al-Wākīḍi that the Prophet sent Khālid ibn-Sa‘īd ibn-al-‘Āṣī as a commander over Ṣan‘ā’ and its land. Al-Wākīdí adds that some say that the Prophet assigned al-Muhājir ibn-abi-Umaiyah ibn-al-Muqāhir-ibn-Makhzūmī to be governor of Ṣan‘ā, in which position he died. Still others say, according

¹ Turning the face towards Makkah during prayer.
to al-Wâkidi, that the one who made al-Muhâjir governor over Ṣanʿâ’ was Abu-Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddîk, who also assigned Khâlid ibn-Saʿîd over the provinces of upper al-Yaman.

Al-Muhâjir as governor of Kindah and aṣ-Ṣadif. According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi and Haitham ibn-ʿAdi the Prophet assigned al-Muhâjir over Kindah and aṣ-Ṣadif. On the death of the Prophet, Abu-Bakr wrote to Ziyâd ibn-Labid al-Bayâdi-l-Ansâri assigning to him the governorship of Kindah, aṣ-Ṣadif and other places in addition to what he already ruled over in Ḥaḍramaut. Al-Muhâjir he assigned over Ṣanʿâ’ and later asked him in writing to reinforce Ziyâd ibn-Labid, without dismissing him from the governorship of Ṣanʿâ’.

Ziyâd, governor of Ḥaḍramaut. It is agreed by all that the Prophet assigned Ziyâd ibn-Labid to Ḥaḍramaut.

The governors of Zabid, Rima’, ʿAdan, al-Janad and Najrân appointed. The Prophet assigned Abu-Mûsâ-l-Ashʿari to Zabid, Rima’, ʿAdan and the coast region, and assigned Muʿâdh ibn-Jabal to al-Janad, made him kâdi and charged him with collecting ṣadakah in al-Yaman. He then assigned to Najrân ʿAmr ibn-Ḥazm al-Ansâri; and, according to other reports, he assigned Abu-Sufyân ibn-Ḥarb to Najrân after ʿAmr ibn-Ḥazm.

The letter of the Prophet to Zurʿah sent with Muʿâdh. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ṣâliḥ al-Mukrî’ from Urwah ibn-az-Zubair:

—The Prophet wrote to Zurʿah ibn-dhi-Yazan as follows:

“Greetings! On the arrival of my messenger Muʿâdh ibn-Jabal and his companions, gather all your ṣadakah and poll-tax and deliver them to him. Muʿâdh is the chief of my messengers, and one of the righteous among my immediate companions. I have been informed by Mâlik ibn-Murârah 1 ar-Rahâwi that thou wert the first to desert

---

1 Hishâm, p. 956, gives “Murrah”; and Nawâwi, p. 539, “Marârah”.
Himyar and embrace Islam. Therefore, good times lie before thee. And I order you, all Himyar, not to exhibit perfidy or deviation, for verily is the Prophet of Allah the lord of both the rich and the poor among you. As for the sadaqah, it is not legal for Muhammad or any of his relatives to take; it is rather zakat through which ye are purified, and which goes to the poor among the Moslems and the Believers. It was Malik that conveyed the information and kept the secret. As for Mu'adh, he is one of the righteous among my immediate companions and one of their coreligionists. I, therefore, order you to treat him well, for he is highly esteemed. And peace be unto you!" 

The Prophet orders Mu'adh to take the tithe. Al-Hasain ibn-al-Aswad from Mūsa ibn-Talḥah:—The Prophet sent Mu'adh ibn-Jabal to collect the sadaqah of al-Yaman ordering him to take on dates, wheat, barley and grapes (perhaps he said raisins) one-tenth and one-half of a tenth.

Instructions to 'Amr ibn-Hasim. Al-Hasain from Muhammad ibn-Ishāk:—The Prophet wrote the following to 'Amr ibn-Hasim when he sent him to al-Yaman:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a declaration from Allah and his Prophet. All ye that have believed! be faithful to your compacts: this is an ordinance from the Prophet Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah, to 'Amr ibn-Hasim when he delegated him to al-Yaman. He ordered him to fear Allah in whatever he performs, and to take from the spoils the fifth that belongs to Allah as well as what is prescribed as sadaqah on the property of the Believers which is one-tenth in case it is

1 Hisham, p. 957.
3 Bukhari, vol. iii, p. 156; Dīyārbakri, vol. ii, p. 158.
4 Kor., 5:1.
watered by flowing water or rain, and one-half of a tenth if it is watered by means of the bucket.”

The Prophet’s letter to the kings of Himyar. Al-Ḥusain from Muhammad ibn-Ishāk.—The following is what the Prophet wrote to the kings of Ḥimyār:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From the Prophet Muḥammad, the Messenger of Allah, to al-Ḥarīth ibn-‘Abd-Kulāl, Nu‘aim ibn-‘Abd-Kulāl, and Shaḥ ibn-‘Abd-Kulāl, to an-Nu‘mān Ḋail dhi-Ru‘ain, Ma‘āfīr and Hamdān. Greetings! Allah will guide you by his own guidance, if ye act well, obey Allah and his Prophet, observe the prayer, pay the ṣakāt, give out of the spoils the fifth that belongs to Allah, the share of his Prophet, and the portion which belongs to him as chief exclusive of his companions, and deliver what is prescribed by Allah to the Believers in the form of ṣadākah on the property, which is one-tenth, in case the land is watered by spring, or rain water, and half of the tenth if watered by means of the bucket.”

According to Hishām ibn-Muḥammad al-Kalbi the letter of the Prophet was addressed to ‘Arib and al-Ḥarīth, the sons of ‘Abd-Kulāl ibn-‘Arib ibn-Liyashraḥ.

The Prophet’s letter to Mu‘ādh. Yūsuf ibn-Mūsa-l-Kaṭṭān from al-Ḥakam.—The Prophet wrote to Mu‘ādh ibn-Jabal, when the latter was in al-Yaman, stating that one-tenth is to be assessed on what is watered by rain or flowing water, and half of a tenth on what is watered by means of the bucket and water-wheel; that on every adult one dinār or its equivalent in clothes is to be assessed; and that no Jew is to be enticed to leave Judaism.

4 Here is omitted the explanation of certain words in the tradition.
The instructions given to Mu‘ādh. Abu-‘Ubaid from Masrûk:—The Prophet delegated Mu‘ādh to al-Yaman giving him orders to take a one-year-old cow out of every thirty cows; one full-grown cow, of every forty; and one dinār, or its equivalent in clothes, from every adult.

The Magians taxed. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Ḥasan:—The Prophet collected poll-tax from the Magians of Hajar and the Magians of al-Yaman, and assessed one dinār or its equivalent in clothes on every adult or female from the Magians of al-Yaman.

The people of al-Yaman taxed. ‘Amr an-Nakîd from ‘Amr ibn-Shu‘aib’s grandfather:—The Prophet assessed one dinār as tax on every adult among the people of al-Yaman.

Shaibân ibn-abi-Shaibah-l-Ubulli from Yahya ibn-Ṣaifi or from ibn-‘Abbâs:—When the Prophet delegated Mu‘ādh ibn-Jabal to al-Yaman he said, “When thou comest to any of the ‘People of the Book,’ tell them, ‘Allah made it obligatory on you to pray five times per day and night. If they obey, tell them, ‘Allah made it obligatory on you to fast during the month of Ramaḍân of every year’. If they obey, tell them, ‘Allah made it obligatory on him of you who can afford it to undertake a pilgrimage to Makkah’. If they obey, tell them, ‘Allah has made it obligatory on you to offer ṣadakah on your possessions to be taken from the rich among you and turned over to the poor among you.’ If they obey, then avoid their choice possessions and beware of the imprecation of the oppressed, for between his imprecation and Allah there is no veil or screen.”

Products subject to ṣadakah. Shaibân from al-Mughîrah ibn-‘Abdallâh:—Al-Ḥajjâj said, “Give ṣadakah on every leguminous plant.” Regarding this Abu-Burdah ibn-abi-

1 Bukhâri, vol. iii, p. 157.
Mūsa said, “He is right”, which made Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah say to abu-Burdah, “This man [al-Ḥajjâj?] now claims that his father was among the Prophet’s Companions. The Prophet sent Mu’ādh ibn-Jabal to al-Yaman and gave him instructions to collect ṣadaqah on dates, wheat, barley and raisins.”

‘Amr an-Nâkid from Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah ibn-‘Ubaiddallāh who said:—“I have read the letter of Mu’ādh ibn-Jabal when the Prophet sent him to al-Yaman, and there occurred in it the following statement, ‘Take ṣadaqah on wheat, barley, dates and corn.’”

_Why more tax on the Syrians._ ‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Madînî from ibn-abi-Najiḥ who said, “I once asked Mujāhid, ‘Why did ‘Umar levy on the people of Syria a heavier poll-tax than on the people of al-Yaman?’ and he replied, ‘Because they were people of means.’”

_Nothing on al-awkās._ Al-Ḥusain ibn-‘Ali ibn-al-Aswad from Tā’ūs.—When Mu’ādh arrived in al-Yaman, there was brought before him a medial number of cows and a medial amount of honey, on which he said, “I have no instructions to take anything on this.”

_The salt of Ma’rib._ Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Abyaḍ ibn-Ḥammāl.—The latter asked the Prophet to give him as fief the salt in Ma’rib; but hearing someone say, “It is like perennial water,” the Prophet refused to assign it.

A tradition to the same effect was communicated to me by al-Ḵāsim ibn-Sallām and others on the authority of Abyaḍ ibn-Ḥammāl.

_The Prophet gives a fief in Ḥadramaut._ According to a

---

1 Ar. awkās = what is between one farīdah and the next; as, for instance when camels amount in number to five, one sheep or goat is to be given for them; and nothing is to be given for such as exceed that number until they amount to ten; thus what is between the five and ten is termed wakṣ, pl. awkās.

2 Having an unfailing and continuous output.
tradition communicated to me by Aḥmad ibn-Ibrāhīm ad-Dauraki on the authority of ‘Alḵamah ibn-Wā’il al-Ḥadrami’s father, the Prophet gave out as fief to the latter ['Alḵamah’s father] a piece of land in Ḥadramaut.

Muḥammad ibn-Yūsuf severe on al-Yaman. ‘Ali ibn-Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallah ibn-abi-Saif, a freedman of Kuraish, from Maslamah ibn-Muhārib:—When Muḥammad ibn-Yūsuf, the brother of al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Yūsuf, was the governor of al-Yaman, he misbehaved, oppressed the people and took pieces of land from certain men without paying their prices. Among the lands he thus wrested was al-Ḥarajah. Moreover he levied on the people of al-Yaman a kharâj which he gave the form of an assessed rate of land-tax. When ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz came to power, he wrote to his ‘āmil instructing him to abolish that assessed land-tax and take nothing more than the tithe saying, “Though I may not get from al-Yaman more than a handful of katam,1 I would rather have that than the passing of such a tax.” However, when Yazid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik came to power he reinstated it.

Ṣadakah on plants, grains and vegetables. Al-Ḥusain ibn-Muḥammad az-Za’farānī from abu-‘Abd-ar-Rahmān Hishām ibn-Yūsuf, the kādī of Ṣan’ā:—The people of Khufash presented a statement from abu-Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīḵ on a parchment ordering them to pay ṣadakah on a piece of land planted with wars.2

According to Mālik, ibn-abi-Dhi‘b, all the canonists of al-Hijāz, Sufyān ath-Thauri and abu-Yūsuf there is no zakāt on wars, wasmah,3 kirt,4 katam, ḥinna5 and roses.

1 A plant product used for dyeing the hair black.
2 A certain plant like sesame existing in al-Yaman only, used for dyeing.
3 A plant with the leaves of which one tinges or dyes.
4 A kind of leek.
5 A plant used for dyeing the hands and feet.
Abu-Ḥanifah, however, holds that there is zakāt on these, whether in large or small quantities. Mālik holds that the zakāt on saffron is five dirhams, if its price amounts to 200 dirhams and if it is sold. The same is the view of abu-az-Zinād who is reported by others to have said, "Nothing on saffron." According to abu-Ḥanifah and Zufar there is zakāt on it whether it is in large or small quantities. Abu-Yūsuf and Muḥammad ibn-al-Ḥasan claim, "If its price amounts to the lowest price for which five wasāk of dates, wheat, barley, corn or any other kind of grains sell, then there is ṣadaqāh on it." According to ibn-abi-Laila, there is nothing on vegetables. The same view is held by ash-Sha'bi. According to ‘Atā’ and Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘i, whatever the tithe-land produces, be it in great or small quantities, is subject to the tithe or half the tithe.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-abi-Rajā’ al-‘Uṭāridi who said:—"In al-Baṣrah, ibn-al-‘Abbās used to collect our ṣadaqāhs even from the bundles of leek."

Al-Ḥusain from Ṭā’ūs and ‘Ikrimah:—The latter asserted that there is no zakāt on wars and cotton.

The tax on the dhimmis. The following is the view of abu-Ḥanifah and Bishr:—In case of the dhimmis who are in possession of lands included in the tithe-land, like for instance al-Yaman whose people accepted İslām and made terms on their lands, al-Baṣrah which was cultivated by the Moslems, and other lands given out as fiefs by the caliphs to which no Moslem or "man of the covenant" has claim, it is binding on these people to pay tax on their person and kharāj on their lands according to what their lands can bear. Whatever is received from them follows the course of the money received as kharāj. If, however, any one of them becomes Moslem, he is exempt from the poll-tax but

1 Yahya ibn-Adam, p. 107.
remains always subject to the *kharāj* on his land, as it is the case in as-Sawād. The same view is held by ibn-abi-Laila. According to ibn-Shubrumah and Abu-Yūsuf, tax is levied on their heads, and they should pay double what the Moslems pay on their lands, which would be a fifth or a tenth. This they said on the analogy of the case of the Christian banu-Taghlib. Abu-Yūsuf added that whatever is taken from them should follow the course of the money received as *kharāj*. In case a *dhimmi* becomes Moslem or his land goes to a Moslem, then it becomes tithe-land. The same view is reported to have been held by ‘Atā’ and al-Ḥasan.

According to ibn-abi-Dhi’b, ibn-abi-Sabrah, Sharik ibn-‘Abdallāh an-Nakha’i, and ash-Shāfi‘i, there is tax on their heads, but no *kharāj* or tithe on their land, because they are not included in those on whom *sakāt* is binding, nor is their land a *kharāj*-land. The same opinion is held by al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣāliḥ ibn-Ḥai-l-Mamdāni.

According to Sufyān ath-Thauri and Muḥammad ibn-al-Ḥasan, there is tithe on them but not in a doubled form, because that which counts is the land, and the possessor is not to be taken into consideration. According to al-Auzā‘i and Sharik ibn-‘Abdallāh, if they are *dhimmis* like the Jews of al-Yaman, whose people became Moslem while they were still in the land, then nothing is taken but the poll-tax, and you should not let the *dhimmi* buy the tithe-land or possess it.

The case of a Jew who holds tithe-land. Al-Wākīḍi said, “I once asked Mālik about the case of a Jew from al-Ḥijāz who buys land in al-Jurf and plants it. Mālik said, ‘The tithe is taken from him’. I then replied, ‘Didst thou not claim that there is no tithe on the land of a *dhimmi* if he acquires it from the tithe-land?’ ‘That’, said Mālik, ‘holds
true, if he stays in his own country; but in case he leaves his country, then that becomes a question of trade.’” ¹

A man of the banu-Taghlib who uses a tithe-land. Abu-az-Zinad, Mâlik ibn-Anas, ibn-abi-Dhi’b, ath-Thauri, abu-Hanifah and Ya’kûb said regarding the case of one of the banu-Taghlib who plants a piece of the tithe-land that he should pay a double-tithe. If he rents a tithe-farm then—according to Mâlik, ath-Thauri, ibn-abi-Dhi’b and Ya’kûb—the one who plants the farms should pay the tithe. Abu-Hanifah, however, maintains that the owner of the land should pay it; and Zufar shares the same view.

The case of one who is behind in payment of the tithe. According to abu-Hanifah, in case a man fails to pay the tithe for two years, then the authorities [Ar. sulţân] take only one tithe as he begins again to pay. The same is true of the kharâj-land. But abu-Shimr holds that the authorities take the arrears, because it is justly due to them.

¹ Cf. abu-Yûsuf, p. 69.
CHAPTER XVI

'UMÂN

The Prophet sends abu-Zaid al-Anşâri to 'Umân. The al-Azd were in ascendancy in 'Umân,¹ although it had in its deserts² many other peoples. In the early part of the year 8, the Prophet delegated to them abu-Zaid al-Anşâri of al-Khazraj, who was one of those who compiled the Koran in the time of the Prophet. His [full] name, according to al-Kalbi, was Ḫais ibn-Sakan ibn-Zaid³ ibn-Ḥarām; according to some Baṣrah philologists, his name was ‘Amr ibn-Akhtab, the grandfather of ‘Urwah ibn-Thâbit ibn-‘Amr ibn-Akhtab; and according to Sa‘īd ibn-Aus al-Anşâri, it was Thâbit ibn-Zaid. The Prophet also sent ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi as-Sahmi with a letter to ‘Abd⁴ and Jaifar, the two sons of al-Julanda, calling them to Islâm.⁵ The Prophet said, “If these people accept the witness of truth and pledge obedience to Allah and his Prophet, ‘Amr will be the commander and abu-Zaid will officiate in prayer, propagate Islâm, and teach the Koran and the institutes of the Prophet.”

On the arrival of abu-Zaid and ‘Amr at ‘Umân, they found that ‘Abd and Jaifar were at Șuḥār on the sea-coast. They carried the letter of the Prophet to them, and they

¹ Yaḵūṭ, vol. iii, p. 717.
² Ar. bādiyaḥ; see MFO, vol. iv, p. 98.
³ Hishām, p. 924, gives “Ḵais ibn-Za’ūra” for Zaid.
both accepted Islam and invited the Arabs to it. The Arabs then responded and showed special interest in it. ‘Amr and abu-Zaid stayed in ‘Umân until the death of the Prophet. It is said by some, however, that abu-Zaid returned to al-Madinah before that.

Al-Azd and other tribes apostatize. Consequent upon the death of the Prophet, al-Azd apostatized from Islam under the leadership of Laqit ibn-Mâlik dhu-at-Tâj and left for Dabba (some say for Damma in Dabba), Abu-Bakr, thereupon, dispatched against them Hudhaifah ibn-Mihsan al-Makhzumi, who in a battle with Laqit and his companions killed him and took from the people of Dabba many captives whom they sent to abu-Bakr. At this, al-Azd returned to Islam. Other clans from ‘Umân, however, apostatized and went as far as ash-Shihr. These Ikrimah followed and overpowered, carrying away a large booty and killing many of their number. Then some of the tribe of Mahrah ibn-Hashân ibn-‘Amr ibn al-‘Affi ibn-Kudâah massed a body of men, against whom Ikrimah came; but they offered no resistance and paid sadakah.

Hudhaifah made governor. Abu-Bakr assigned Hudhaifah ibn-Mihsan as governor over ‘Umân. When abu-Bakr died, Hudhaifah was still over it; but he was later dismissed and sent to al-Yaman.

‘Isa ibn-Ja’far abuses the people. The state of ‘Umân continued in a fair way, its people paying sadakah on their property, and poll-tax being taken from those among them who were dhimmis until the caliphate of ar-Rashid who made ‘Isa ibn-Ja’far ibn-Sulaimân ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-Abbas its ruler. The latter left for ‘Umân with some troops from al-Basrah, who began to violate women, and rob

the people, and make public use of musical instruments.\textsuperscript{1} The people of ‘Umân, who were mostly Shurât,\textsuperscript{2} having learned that, fought against him and held him back from entering the city. Finally, they succeeded in killing and crucifying him. Then they broke with the caliph\textsuperscript{3} and refused to do him homage, making one of their own their ruler.

Some assert that the Prophet sent abu-Zaid carrying his letter to ‘Abd and Jaifar, the two sons of al-Julanda of al-Azd, in the year 6, and sent ‘Amr in the year 8, a short time after his conversion to Islâm, which took place, together with the conversion of Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd and ‘Uthmân ibn-Ṭalḥah-l-‘Abdi in Şafar, year 8. ‘Amr had come from Abyssinia to the Prophet.\textsuperscript{4} The Prophet said to abu-Zaid, “From the Moslems, take sadâkât; but from the Magians, take poll-tax.”

The letter of ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz to ‘Adî. Abu-l-Hasan al-Madâ’ini from al-Mubârak ibn-Fuḍâlah: — The following is what ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz wrote to ‘Adî ibn-Artât al-Fazâri, his ‘âmil in al-Baṣrah:

“Greetings! I have previously written to ‘Amr ibn-‘Abdallâh asking him to distribute whatever he received in Umân as date or grain tithes among the poor of its inhabitants, the nomadic people who may descend on it and those whom need, poverty, or obstruction of the way may compel to stay in it. Regarding this, he wrote to me that having asked thy representative who came before him to Umân about those articles of food and dates, he was told

\textsuperscript{1} Cf. Salîl ibn-Râzik, History of ImÂâms and Seyyids of Oman, tr. Badger, p. 11.
\textsuperscript{2} Schismatics commonly known as Khawârij. They say that they owe their name to Koran, 2: 203.
\textsuperscript{3} The word used is suljân.
\textsuperscript{4} Hishâm, pp. 716-717.
that thy representative had sold them and delivered the price to thee. Return to 'Amr, therefore, what thy representative in 'Umân had carried to thee as the price of dates and grains, that 'Amr may invest it where I instructed him, and spend it as I told him. May this be the will of Allah, and peace be unto thee!"
CHAPTER XVII

Al-Bahrain

Al-Mundhir ibn-Sāwa, governor of al-Bahrain. The land of al-Bahrain formed a part of the Persian kingdom. In its desert lived a great many Arabs from the tribes of ‘Abd-al-Ḳais, Bakr ibn-Wâ’il and Tamîm. At the time of the Prophet, the one who ruled the Arabs in it in the name of the Persians was al-Mundhir ibn-Sāwa ¹ one of the sons of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-Dârîm ibn-Mâlik ibn-Ḥanzâlah. This ‘Abdallâh ibn-Zaid was surnamed al-Asbadhi after a village in Hajar called al-Asbadh. Others claim that he was named after the al-Asbadhi people, who were worshippers of horses in al-Bahrain.

Al-‘Alâ delegated by the Prophet. At the beginning of the year 8, the Prophet delegated al-‘Alâ ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Imâd al-Ḥaḍrami, an ally of the banu-‘Abd-Shams, to al-Bahrain, giving its people the choice between following Islam or paying tax. With him, the Prophet sent a letter to al-Mundhir ibn-Sāwa and Sibukht the satrap ² of Hajar, giving them the choice between following Islam or paying tax. They both were converted and, together with them, all the Arabs living there and a few Persians. The rest of the population, however, including Magians, Jews and

¹ Ḥajar, vol. iii, p. 943.
³ Another name for Bahrain, hence the Greek: Gerrha; Caetani, vol. ii, p. 194.
Christians made terms with al-‘Alâ’ and this is a copy of the statement written between the two parties:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. These are the terms agreed upon between al-‘Alâ’ ibn-al-Haḍrami and the people of al-Bahrain. It is agreed that they will save us [the Moslems] the trouble of work, and divide with us the dates; and whosoever of them fails to keep this may the curse of Allah, the angels, and the world altogether be upon him.” As for the poll-tax, al-‘Alâ’ assessed one dinâr on every adult.

The letter of the Prophet. ‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from ibn-‘Abbâs:—This is what the Prophet wrote to the people of al-Bahrain:

“Greetings! If ye observe prayer, give zakât, remain loyal to Allah and his Prophet, pay the tithe of the dates and half the tithe of the grains, and do not bring up your children as Magians, then ye will be treated according to the terms agreed upon when ye became Moslem, with the exception of the fire-temple that is to be delivered to Allah and his Prophet. If, however, ye refuse, then tax will be incumbent on you.”

The Magians and Jews prefer tax. The Magians and Jews, however, refused Islâm and preferred the payment of poll-tax. Upon this, the hypocrites among the Arabs remarked, “The Prophet pretended that he would accept poll-tax from none outside the ‘People of the Book’, but, here he is accepting it from the Magians of Hajar who are not ‘People of the Book.’” On this occasion the text was revealed—“O ye that have believed! take heed to yourselves. He who err eth shall not hurt you when ye have the guidance.”

According to certain reports, the Prophet sent al-‘Alâ’ at the time he sent his envoys to the kings in the year 6.

1 Kor., 5: 104.
2 Ya‘kûbi, vol. ii, p. 84.
Al-ʿAlā’ as a wall between them. Muḥammad ibn-Muṣaffa al-Ḥimṣi from al-ʿAlā’ ibn-al-Ḥadrāmi who said: "The Prophet sent me to al-Baḥrāin (or perhaps he said ‘Hajar’) and I used to come as a wall between brothers [i.e. try to create discord] some of whom have been converted. From the Moslem among them, I would take the tithe, and from the ‘polytheist,’ kharāj.”

The Prophet’s letter. Al-Ḵasim ibn-Sallām from ʿUrwah ibn-az-Zubair.—The Prophet wrote to the people of Hajar as follows:—

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From Muḥammad the Prophet to the people of Hajar: ye are in peace. I praise Allah on your behalf, beside whom there is no god. Then I admonish you by Allah and by yourselves that ye do not go astray after having been guided, nor be misled after having the right pointed out to you. What ye have done has reached me, and now the offense of the guilty shall not be charged to him among you who behaves himself. When my commanders come to you obey them, reinforce them and help them in carrying out Allah’s plan and his cause, for whosoever among you does the good deed, his deed shall not be lost before Allah or before me. Your delegation has come to me, and I did nothing for them but what was pleasing to them; although if I were to enforce all my right on you, I would expel you from Hajar. Thus did I accept intercession for the absent among you, and bestow favor on the present. Remember the grace of Allah upon you."

The tax imposed on al-Baḥrāin. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Katādah.—In the time of the Prophet, no fight took place in al-Baḥrāin, for some of the people accepted Islām.

and others made terms with al-'Alâ', agreeing to give half
the grains and dates.

Al-Ḥusain from az-Zuhri:—The Prophet took poll-tax
from the Magians of Ḥajār.

What the Prophet wrote to the Magians. Al-Ḥusain
from al-Ḥasan ibn-Muhammad:—The Prophet wrote to the
Magians of Ḥajār, inviting them to Islām and providing that
if they are converted, they will have the rights we have,
and be under the obligations we are under; but those who
refuse Islām will have to pay the tax, and we will not eat
what they slaughter nor marry their women.

Al-Ḥusain from Saʿīd ibn-al-Musaiyib:—The Prophet
exacted tax from the Magians of Ḥajār, 'Umar exacted it
from those of Persia, and Uthmān from the Berbers.

A similar tradition was communicated by al-Ḥusain on the
authority of az-Zuhri.

'Amr an-Nāḳid from Mūsa ibn-ʿUkbah:—The Prophet
wrote to Mundhir ibn-Sāwa as follows:—

"From Muḥammad the Prophet to Mundhir ibn-Sāwa:
thou art at peace. I praise Allah in thy behalf, beside
whom there is no god. Thy letter I received, and its con-
tents I heard. Whosoever repeats our prayer, faces the
kiblah as we do [in prayer] and eats what we slaughter,
such one is a Moslem; but whosoever refuses will have to
pay tax."

'Abbās ibn-Hishām al-Kalbi from ibn-'Abbās:—The
Prophet having written to al-Mundhir ibn-Sāwa, the latter
accepted Islām and called the people of Ḥajār to it, some
of whom accepted and others did not. As for the Arabs,
they became Moslems, but the Magians and Jews accepted
the tax and it was exacted from them.

Al-'Alâ' sends 80,000 dirhams. Shaibān ibn-Farrūkh
from Ḥuma'id ibn-Hilāl:—Al-'Alâ' ibn-al-Ḥaḍrami sent

1 Duraid, p. 62: "Musaiyab".
from al-Bahrain to the Prophet a sum of money amounting to 80,000 [dirhams], more than which sum the Prophet never received either before or after. The Prophet gave a part of it to his uncle al-‘Abbâs.

Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr from ‘Abd-al-‘Aziz ibn-‘Ubaidallâh: —The Prophet communicated with those in Hajar whom Kisra had settled there as hostages [wadâ‘îr], but they refused Islâm and tax was laid on them, one dinâr on every man.

Abân ibn-Sa‘îd made governor and succeeded by abu-Hurairah. The Prophet dismissed al-‘Alâ’ and assigned to al-Bahrain Abân ibn-Sa‘îd ibn-al-‘Âsî ibn-Umâiyah. According to other reports, al-‘Alâ’ was assigned to one district of al-Bahrain, a part of which was al-Kaṭîf, and Abân to another in which lay al-Khaṭîf. The former report, however, is the more authentic. On the death of the Prophet, Abân left al-Bahrain and came to al-Madinah. The people of al-Bahrain, thereupon, asked abu-Bakr to send al-‘Alâ’ back to them. This he did. Thus, according to this report, al-‘Alâ’ held the governorship of al-Bahrain until he died in the year 20. Then ‘Umar assigned to his place abu-Hurairah ad-Dausi. Others say that ‘Umar assigned abu-Hurairah before the death of al-‘Alâ’, who, thereupon, left for Tauwaj in Persia, intending to settle in it. Later, however, he returned to al-Bahrain where he died. Abu-Hurairah often repeated, “After we buried al-‘Alâ’, we wanted to lift a brick from the tomb. On lifting it we found al-‘Alâ’ missing from the coffin.”

‘Uthmân ibn-abi-l-‘Âsî made governor. Abu-Mikhnaî asserted that ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb wrote to al-‘Alâ’ ibn-al-Ḥâdrâmi, his ‘âmil in al-Bahrain, calling him back, and assigned ‘Uthmân ibn-abi-l-‘Âsî ath-Thakafi to al-Bahrain and ‘Umân. On the arrival of al-‘Alâ’ in al-Madinah, he was assigned by ‘Umar to the governorship of al-Baṣrah, in
the place of ‘Utbah ibn-Ghazwân. No sooner had he arrived there, than he died. This took place in the year 14, or the beginning of 15. Then ‘Umar assigned Қudâmah ibn-Maz‘ûn al-Jumâhi for the collection of taxes from al-Bahrain, and gave abu-Hurairah authority over the military guard and charge of the conduct of prayer. Later he dismissed Қudâmah, inflicted on him the legal punishment for drinking wine,¹ and gave abu-Hurairah authority over the military guard and charged him with the conduct of prayer. At last, he dismissed abu-Hurairah and confiscated a part of his wealth. Then he assigned Uthmân ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣî to al-Bahrain and ‘Umân.

**Abu-Hurairah made governor after Қudâmah.** Al-‘Umari² from al-Haitham:—Қudâmah ibn-Maz‘ûn had charge of tax-collecting and the military guard, and abu-Hurairah acted as leader of prayer and kâdi. The latter gave witness against Қudâmah, and ‘Umar assigned him to al-Bahrain after Қudâmah. Later ‘Umar dismissed him, confiscated a part of what he possessed and ordered him to return. This he refused to do. ‘Umar, thereupon, assigned Uthmân ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣî as governor, who still held the office at the death of ‘Umar. When Uthmân was in Persia, his substitute over ‘Umân and al-Bahrain was his brother, Mughîrah ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣî, others say Ḥafṣ ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣî.

**‘Umar confiscates abu-Hurairah’s wealth.** Shaibân ibn-Farrûkh from abu-Hurairah who said:—"‘Umar made me his ‘âmil over al-Bahrain. There I gathered 12,000 [dirhams]. On my return to ‘Umar, he addressed me saying: ‘O thou the enemy of Allah and of the Moslems (he may have said ‘ and of his Book’), thou hast stolen the money of Allah!’ To this I replied, ‘Neither am I the enemy of

¹ Flogging with 80 stripes; see *Muwatta*, p. 357.
² i.e., abu-‘Umar Ḥafṣ ibn-‘Umar ad-Dûrî.
Allah, nor of the Moslems, (he may have said 'nor of his Book'); rather am I the enemy of him who has enmity against them. The money, I have got from horses that multiplied in number and from different shares that mounted up.' 'Umar then took from me 12,000. In my morning prayer I repeated, 'Lord forgive 'Umar.' After this, 'Umar used to take from the people of al-Bahrain and give them back more than what he would take. At last 'Umar asked me, 'Wouldst thou not act as 'amil, abu-Hurairah?' and I replied 'No,' to which he answered, 'And why not? Better men than thou were made 'amils, for instance Joseph, who said, "Set me over the granaries of the land."' To this I replied, 'Joseph was a prophet and the son of a prophet, whereas I am abu-Hurairah, son of Umaimah, and I am afraid of three things and of two things that thou mayest bring upon me.' 'And why,' said 'Umar, 'didst thou not say five?' 'I fear that thou dost whip my back, defame my honor, and take my money; and I hate to speak without meekness and to rule without knowledge.'"

Al- Kasim ibn-Sallâm and Rauh ibn-'Abd-al-Mu'min from abu-Hurairah: — When abu-Hurairah returned from al-Bahrain, 'Umar said to him, "O thou enemy of Allah and enemy of his Book; hast thou stolen the money of Allah?"

"Neither am I," replied abu-Hurairah, "the enemy of Allah, nor of his Book; rather am I the enemy of him who has enmity against them. I did not steal the money of Allah." "How then," said 'Umar, "did 10,000 dirhams come to thee?" "Through horses" said abu-Hurairah, "that reproduced and stipends that came in successions and shares that mounted up." 'Umar took the money from him. The rest of the tradition is similar to what is reported by abu-Hilâl.  

1 Kor., 12: 55.

2 One of the intermediate authorities of the preceding tradition whose final authority is abu-Hurairah himself.
The apostasy of al-Huṭam. On the death of al-Mundhir ibn-Sáwa, a little after the death of the Prophet, those in al-Bahrain descended from Қais ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-‘Ukâbah apostatized under al-Ḥuṭam from Islâm. This al-Ḥuṭam was Shuraih ibn-Ḍubai‘ah ibn-‘Amr ibn-Marthad, one of the sons of Қais ibn-Tha‘labah. He was nicknamed Ḥuṭam for saying,

"The night found her in the company of a strong driver who does not drive gently [Ar. Ḥuṭam]." ¹

Together with these there apostatized from Islâm in al-Bahrain all the Rabi‘ah tribe with the exception of al-Jārūd, i. e. Bishr ibn-‘Amr al-‘Abdi ² and those of his people who followed him. For a leader, they chose a son of an-Nu‘mán ibn-al-Mundhir, named al-Mundhir. Al-Ḥuṭam followed the Rabi‘ah and joined them with his men. Having received this information, al-‘Alā’ ibn-al-Ḥaḍrami marched at the head of the Moslems until he came to Juwâtha, which was the fortification of al-Bahrain. As Rabi‘ah advanced towards him, he set out towards them with his Arabs and non-Arabs and led a heavy fight against them. Then the Moslems took refuge in the fortification where they were besieged by the enemy. It was in reference to this occasion that ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḥadhaf al-Kilâbi said:

"Wilt thou carry this message to abu-Bakr and all the youths of al-Madinah?
Hasten to the aid of some young men of your number, who are invested as captives in Juwâtha." ³

At last al-‘Alā’ made a sally with the Moslems and fell upon the Rabi‘ah during the night. A fierce battle ensued in which al-Ḥuṭam was killed.

¹ Tabrizi, Ḥamâsah, vol. i, p. 173.
² Histâm, p. 944; Duraíd, pp. 186 and 197.
According to other authorities, al-Ḥuṭam came to the Rabi‘ah as they were in Juwâtha, whose inhabitants had all forsaken Islâm, and had chosen for leader al-Mundhir ibn-an-Nu‘mân. Al-Ḥuṭam took up his abode with them. Al-‘Alâ’ pressed the siege until he reduced Juwâtha and dispersed the crowd, killing al-Ḥuṭam. Of the two reports, however, the former is more authentic. Describing the death of al-Ḥuṭam, Mâlik ibn-Tha‘labah-1-‘Abdi says:

“We left Shuraiḥ with the blood covering him like the fringe of a spotted Yamanite garment.
It was we that deprived unum-Ghâḍbân of her son,
and broke our lance in Ḥabtar’s eye.
It was we that left Misma‘ prostrate on the ground,
at the mercy of hyenas and eagles that will attack him.”

Al-Mundhir ibn-an-Nu‘mân. It is reported that al-Mundhir ibn-an-Nu‘mân was nicknamed al-Gharûr, but when the Moslems won the victory he said, “I am not al-Gharûr ¹ [the deceitful] but al-Maghrûr [the deceived].” This al-Mundhir went with the remnant of Rabi‘ah as far as al-Khatt, which al-‘Alâ’ moved against and conquered, killing al-Mundhir and those in his company. According to others, al-Mundhir escaped, entered al-Mushâkkar and let in the water around him, making it impossible to be reached. Finally he made terms, agreeing to leave the city, which he did. He then joined Musailimah with whom he was killed. Some claim that al-Mundhir was killed in the battle of Juwâtha; others that he surrendered and then fled away but was pursued and put to death. Al-‘Alâ’ having written to abu-Bakr for reinforcement, the latter wrote to Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ordering him to hasten from al-Yamâmah to the reinforcement of al-‘Alâ’. Al-Ḥuṭam,

however, was killed before the arrival of Khâlid. So Khâlid with al-‘Alâ’ laid siege to al-Khaṭṭ. Later, Khâlid received a letter from abu-Bakr ordering him to leave for al-‘Irâk, to which he started from al-Bahrain, in the year 12.

Al-Wâḵidi says, “According to our companions, Khâlid came first to al-Madinah, whence he started for al-‘Irâk.”

‘Abdallâh ibn-Suhail suffers martyrdom. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Suhail ibn-‘Amr of the banu-‘Ămir ibn-Lu‘ai, whose surname was abu-Suhail and whose mother was Fâkhitah daughter of ‘Amir ibn-Naufal ibn-‘Abd-Manâf, suffered martyrdom at Juwâtha. This ‘Abdallâh was one of those who came with the “infidels” to the battle of Badr, but then he joined the Moslem side and embraced Islâm. He took part with the Prophet in the battle of Badr. On the receipt of the news of his death, his father, Suhail ibn-‘Amr, said, “I expect Allah’s renumeration for his loss.” On a pilgrimage to Makkah Suhail was met by abu-Bakr who consoled him, and Suhail replied, “I am informed that the Prophet said, ‘A martyr can intercede for seventy of his relatives,’ and it is my hope that my son will begin with no one before me.” When ‘Abdallâh suffered martyrdom, he was 38 years of age.

‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abdallâh suffers martyrdom. Another martyr of the battle of Juwâtha was ‘Abdallâh ibn-Abdallâh ibn-Ubai. According to others than al-Wâḵidi, his martyrdom took place during the battle of al-Yamâmah.

Al-‘Alâ’ reduces as-Zârah, as-Sâbûn and Dârin. Al-Muka’bar al-Fârisi, who was the friend of Kisra and was once sent by him to annihilate the banu-Tamim for interfering with his camels (and whose full name was Fâruz ibn-Jushaish), fortified himself in az-Zârah. There, many

2 Nöeldeke, Geschichte der Perser und Araber, pp. 259 seq.
Magians who had assembled in al-Ḵaṭīf ¹ and had refused to pay tax joined him. Al-‘Alāʾ invested az-Zârah but failed to reduce it in the caliphate of abu-Bakr. In the early part of the caliphate of ‘Umar, however, he reduced it. In the course of the caliphate of ‘Umar, al-‘Alāʾ conquered by force as-Sâbūn ² and Dârin where there is [today] a spot known as Khandāk al-‘Alâʾ [the trench of al-‘Alâʾ].

According to Maʿmar ibn-al-Muthanna, al-‘Alâʾ with ‘Abd-al-Ḵais invaded, in the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, certain villages in as-Sâbūn and reduced them. He then invaded the city of al-Ghâbah and killed those in it who were Persians. Thence he moved to az-Zârah in which al-Mukaʿbar stayed, and besieged him. The satrap of az-Zârah challenged him to a duel, and Barâʾ ibn-Mâlik accepted the challenge and killed him, taking spoils from him which amounted to 40,000 [dirhams]. Under safe conduct, one of the people of az-Zârah came forth to point out the drinking water, and showed al-‘Alâʾ the spring that issues from az-Zârah. This spring al-‘Alâʾ filled up. The people seeing that, came to terms, agreeing to offer him one-third of the city and one-third of the gold and silver in it, together with one-half of what they owned outside the city. Then came al-Akhnas al-‘Āmīri to al-‘Alâʾ and said, “They have not made terms regarding their children who are now in Dârin.” Karrâz an-Nukri pointed out to al-‘Alâʾ the ford by which he could cross over to them. Thus did al-‘Alâʾ with a band of Moslems plunge into the sea; and the first thing the people of Dârin knew of was the exclamation, “Allah is great!” The people of Dârin sallied forth and attacked them from three sides, but the Moslems killed their fighters and gained possession of the children.

¹ Yāḵūt, vol. iv, p. 143.
² Yāḵūt gives “as-Sâbūr”.
and captives. Seeing that, al-Muka'bar became Moslem. On this occasion Karrâz said:

"Al-'Alâ' feared the basin of the sea as he plunged into it, but I have of old crossed it over to the 'unbelievers' of Dârin."

Khalaf al-Bazzâr and 'Affân from Muḥammad ibn-Sirîn:—In the duel between Barâ' ibn-Mâlik and the satrap of az-Zârah, the former stabbed the latter above his spine, and he fell dead. Then Barâ' went down and cut off his hands and took his bracelets, a furred coat he had on, and a belt. This booty, being so large, 'Umar took one-fifth of it. It was the first booty in Islâm of which the fifth was taken.
CHAPTER XVIII

AL-YAMĀMAH

The origin of the name. Al-Yamāmah was first called Jau but was later named after a woman, al-Yamāmah, daughter of Murr [from the tribe] of Jadi, who was crucified at its gate. Allah knows whether this is true.

The envoys to the Prophet. When the Prophet wrote to the kings of the world in the year 7 (or 6 as it is said), he wrote to Haudhah ibn-'Ali-l-Ḥanafi and the people of al-Yamāmah summoning them to Islām. His letter to this effect he forwarded with Salīṭ ibn-Κais ibn-'Amr al-Ansāri ¹ (later al-Khazraji). The people of al-Yamāmah, thereupon, sent to the Prophet their delegation, one of whom was Mujjā'ah ibn-Murārah. To Mujjā'ah and in accordance with his request, the Prophet gave out as fief a piece of unutilized land. Another delegate was ar-Rajjāl ² ibn-'Unfuwah who became Moslem and read the “Sūrah of the Cow” and other Sūrahs of the Koran. He, however, apostatized from Islām after a time. Among the delegates was one, Musailimah, the false Prophet, ³ Thumāmah ibn-Kabir ibn-Ḥabib, ⁴ who said to the Prophet, “If it be thy will, we will leave all authority in thy hand and swear allegiance to thee, with the understanding that after thee, all will return to us [Musaili-

¹ Cf. Hishām, p. 971.
² Raḥhal, see ibn-Sa'd in Skissen, vol. iv, p. 46.
³ Bukhārī, vol. iii, p. 167.
⁴ Ibn-Duraid, p. 209; Nawāwi, p. 554; Hishām, p. 945.
“No,” said the Prophet, “by no means, and may Allah smite thee!” Previous to this, Haudhah ibn-‘Ali-l-Ḥanafi had written to the Prophet asking that after the Prophet, the authority might be delegated to himself, and promising to become Moslem and come to the reinforce-ment of the Prophet. “No; nor anything else,” answered the Prophet, “and may Allah let me get rid of him!” Before long Haudhah was dead.

_Musailimah, the false Prophet._ When the delegation of the banu-Ḥanīfah returned to al-Ŷamāmah, Musailimah, the false Prophet,¹ asserted his claim as a prophet, and ar-Rajjāl ibn-‘Unfuhah testified that the Prophet gave him [Musalimah] a share in the authority with him.² Banu-Ḥanīfah and others in al-Ŷamāmah followed him. He then wrote the following message to the Prophet and forwarded it through ‘Ubādah ibn-al-Ḥārith of the banu-‘Āmir ibn-Ḥanīfah, whose surname was ibn-an-Nauwāḥah,³ and who was [later] killed in al-Kūfah by ‘Abdallāh ibn-Mas‘ūd who heard that he and his companions believed in the false claims of Musailimah:

“From Musailimah, the Messenger of Allah, to Muḥam-mad, the Messenger of Allah. Greetings! To us half the land belongs, and to Kūraish the other half, but Kūraish do not act equitably; and peace be unto thee. Written by ‘Amr ibn-al-Jārūd al-Ḥanafi.”

To this the Prophet replied:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From Muḥammad, the Prophet, to Musailimah, the false Prophet. Greetings! ‘For the earth is Allah’s: to such of his servants as he pleaseth doth he give it as a heritage’.”

¹ Ar. al-Kadhdhāb, the impostor.
² Liyārībakri, vol. ii, p. 175.
³ Nawāwi, p. 374.
⁴ Kor., 7: 125.
and peace be to those who follow the true guidance!
Written by Ubai ibn-Ka‘b.”

Khālid ibn-al-Walīd goes against Musailimah. When, at the death of the Prophet, abu-Bakr was proclaimed caliph and, in a few months, destroyed those of the people of Najd and its environs who apostatized from Islām, abu-Bakr sent Khālid ibn-al-Walid ibn-al-Mughirah-1-Makhzūmi to al-Yamāmah giving him orders to fight against Musailimah, the false Prophet. As Khālid came within sight of al-Yamāmah, he met a group of the banu-Ḥanifah among whom was Mujjā‘ah ibn-Murārah ibn-Sulmi. He killed them and spared Mujjā‘ah whom he carried off in chains. Khālid put up his camp one mile from al-Yamāmah. Banu-Ḥanifah came out to him, and among them were ar-Rajjāl and Muḥakkim ibn-at-Ṭufail ibn-Subai‘, nicknamed the Mukakkim al-Yamāmah. Khālid, seeing something glittering among them, turned to his men and said, “Know ye Moslems that Allah has spared you the trouble of your enemy. Do ye not see how they have drawn the swords one against the other? I suppose there is discord among them, and their force will be used on themselves.” Mujjā‘ah, fettered in his chains, shouted, “No, these are Indian swords which they, for fear of being broken, hold up to the sun in order to render the blades flexible.” They then met. The first to meet the Moslems was ar-Rajjāl ibn-‘Unfuwah, who was immediately killed by Allah’s help. Many of the distinguished men and “Koran-readers” among the Moslems fell martyrs. The Moslems then returned and went back, but Allah favored them with a victory and made the people of al-Yamāmah take to flight. The Moslems pursued them,

2 Cf. Ibn-Duraid, p. 23.
inflicting horrible death on them. Muḥakkim was hit by an arrow shot by ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-abi-Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddîk, the brother of ‘Ā’ishah through her father, and he fell dead. The “infidels” took refuge in al-Ḥadiqah which was since that day called “Ḥadiqat al-Maut.”¹ In al-Ḥadiqah, Musailimah was killed by Allah’s help. Banu-‘Amr ibn-Lu’ai ibn-Ghâlib said that he was killed by Khidâsh ibn-Bashir ibn-al-Aṣamm of the banu-Ma‘ṣ ibn-‘Āmir ibn-Lu’ai; but certain Anṣâr say that he was killed by ‘Abdollâh ibn-Zaid ibn-Thâlabah of the banu-l-Ḥârith ibn-al-Khazraj, who was shown a vision of the call for prayer.² Still others assert that he was killed by Abu-Dujânânah Simâk ibn-Kharashah who later fell as martyr; and others, by ‘Abdallâh ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Āṣim, a brother of Ḥâbib ibn-Zaid of the banu-Mabdûl of the banu-an-Najjâr. This Ḥâbib had his hands and feet once cut off by Musailimah. Waḥshi ibn-Harb al-Ḥabashi, the murderer of Ḥamzah, claimed that he was the one who killed Musailimah, and used to say, “I killed the best of all people and the worst of all people.” Some believe that all those mentioned above took part in killing Musailimah. Among those who claimed having killed Musailimah, was Mu‘āwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân and the banu-Umaiyyah credited him for it.

Abu-Ḥâfîṣ ad-Dimashki quotes from one who was present when ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân asked a man of the banu-Ḥanîfah who witnessed the battle of al-Yamâmah as to who was the one who killed Musailimah, to which the latter replied, “He was killed by one whose description is as follows:—” “By Allah”, exclaimed ‘Abd-al-Malik, “thou hast decided the question of his killing in favor of Mu‘āwiyah.”

According to a report, when the false Prophet was seized

¹ “The park of death.”
² Hishâm, p. 308; ibn-Duraid, pp. 268-269.
by the throat, he shouted, "O banu-Ḥanîfah, fight for your relatives!" which he repeated until Allah brought about his death.

‘Abd-al-Wâhîd ibn-Ghiyâth from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwaḥ’s father:—The Arabs forsook the true faith, and abu-Bakr sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walid who met them and said, "By Allah, I shall never cease until I come face to face with Musailîmah!" The Ansâr objected saying, "This idea is of your own and was not given out by abu-Bakr; take us back to al-Madinah that we may give rest to our horses." "I shall, by Allah, never cease," repeated Khâlid, "until I come face to face with Musailîmah!" Consequently, the Ansâr left him. They then said to themselves, "What is this that we have done? If our friends win the victory, we will be reviled; and if they are defeated, we would be the cause of their defeat." Thus they returned and joined Khâlid. The Moslems and the "polythesists" met, and the former took to flight until they got to their place of abode where as-Sâ‘ib ibn-al-‘Auwâm stood up and addressed them saying, "Ye have reached, O people, your place of abode; and after his own place of abode, man has no place to flee to!" ¹ Finally, Allah caused the defeat of the "polytheists," and Musailîmah was killed. Their watchword on that occasion was "O people of the ‘Sûrah of the Cow’!"

I was told by one of the inhabitants of al-Yamâmah that some one, who was under the protection of the banu-Ḥanîfah, repeated the following verse when Muḥâakkîm was killed:

"If I escape from it, I escape from that which is a calamity; otherwise out of the same vessel I shall drink."

Mujjâ‘ah makes terms. By this time, the Moslems were

¹ An Arabic proverb.
worn out by war and entirely exhausted. But Mujjā‘ah said to Khālid, “Most of the people of al-Yamāmāh did not go out to fight you, and what ye have killed is only the small minority. In spite of that they have exhausted your utmost effort as I see. Nevertheless I am ready to make terms with you on their behalf.” Accordingly, he made terms with Khālid, agreeing to give one-half of the captives, gold, silver, coats of mail and horses. Having trusted him, Khālid sent him back to his men. As soon as he entered al-Yamāmāh he ordered the boys, women and aged men of al-Yamāmāh to put on their arms and hold the forts. As Khālid and the Moslems looked toward them, they entertained no doubt that they were fighters, and they said, “Mujjā‘ah has told us the truth.” Then Mujjā‘ah came out to the Moslems’ camp and said, “The people refused the terms which I made with you, and there are the fortifications of al-‘Irḍ manned to their fullest capacity. But I kept urging them until they agreed to make terms on one-fourth of the captives and one-half of the gold, silver, coats of mail and horses.” Both parties agreed on these terms, and Khālid accepted them and signed his name. Mujjā‘ah then came with Khālid to al-Yamāmāh. Seeing those left in it, Khālid turned to Mujjā‘ah and said, “Thou hast cheated me, Mujjā‘ah.” The people of al-Yamāmāh at last accepted Islām, and the sadakah was taken from them.

Khālid reinforces al-‘Alā’. Khālid received the message of Abu-Bakr directing him to reinforce al-‘Alā’ ibn-al-Ḥadrami. Accordingly, he started for al-Bahrain and left in his place over al-Yamāmāh Samurah ibn-‘Amr al-‘Anbari. The conquest of al-Yamāmāh was effected in the year 12.

A description of Musailimah. I was told by Abu-Rabāḥ al-Yamāmī, on the authority of certain sheikhs from al-Yamāmāh, that Musailimah, the false Prophet, was short, exceedingly pale, with a camois and flat nose. He was
nicknamed Abu-Thumâmah, and according to others, Abu-Thumâlah. His *muezzin* was one, Hujair, who in calling to prayer used to chant, "I testify that Musailimah *claims* to be the Prophet of Allah." Remark ing on this, some one said, "Hujair has expressed it eloquently," which phrase has since become a proverb.

*Those who fell martyrs in al-Yamâmah.* Among those who suffered martyrdom in al-Yamâmah were Abu-Hudhaifah ibn-'Utbah ibn-Rabi'ah ibn-'Abd-Shams, whose first name was Hushaim, and some say Mihsham; Sâlim, a freedman of Abu-Hudhaifah surnamed Abu-Abdallâh, and who was a freedman of Thubaitah daughter of Ya'âr of 91 the Anṣâr (and others say Nubaithah who was a woman); Khâlid ibn-Asid ibn-abi-l-'îs ibn-Umaiyyah; 'Abdallâh, i.e., Al-Ḥakam ibn-Sa'îd ibn-al-'Âsî ibn-Umaiyyah, who, according to others, was killed in the battle of Mu'tah; Shujâ' ibn-Wahb al-Asadi, an ally of the banu-Umaiyyah, whose surname was Abu-Wahb;  at-'Ufâil ibn-'Amr ad-Dausi of al-Azd; Yazid ibn-Ru'kaish al-Asadi, an ally of the banu-Umaiyyah; Makhramah ibn-Shurâih al-Ḥadârmi, an ally of the banu-Umaiyyah, as-Sâ'id ibn-al-'Auwâm, a brother of az-Zubair ibn-al-'Auwâm; al-Walid ibn-'Abd-Shams ibn-al-Mughirah-l-Makhzûmi; as-Sâ'id ibn-'Uthmân ibn-Maz'ûn al-Jumâli; and Zaid ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb ibn-Nufail, a brother of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, who, according to some, was killed by Abu-Maryam al-Ḥanâfi whose proper name was Šubâiiah ibn-Muharrish. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, Zaid was killed by Labid ibn-Burghuth al-'Ijli, who later came to 'Umar and 'Umar said to him, "Thou art the sacks" (his name, Labîd,

---

1 The chanter who calls to prayer from the minaret.
2 Hishâm, p. 165.
3 Hishâm, pp. 422 and 486.
meaning sacks). The surname of Zaid was al-‘Abd-ar-Rahmān, and he was the senior of ‘Umar. According to some, the proper name of abu-Maryam was Iyās ibn-Suba’il, and he was the first in the time of ‘Umar to hold the position of kāqī in al-Baṣrah. He died in Sanbil which lies in al-Ahwāz. Other martyrs were abu-‘Kais ibn-al-‘Hārith ibn-‘Adi ibn-Sahm; ‘Abdallāh ibn-al-‘Hārith ibn-‘Kais; Sallīh ibn-‘Amr, a brother of Suhail ibn-‘Amr of the banu-‘Amr ibn-Lu’ai; and Iyās ibn-al-Bukair al-Kinānī. Among the Anṣār, the following suffered martyrdom: ‘Abbād ibn-al-‘Hārith ibn-‘Adi of the banu-Jahjaba of al-Aus; ‘Abbād ibn-Bishr ibn-Waksh al-Asghali of al-Aus, surnamed abu-ar-Rabi’, and according to others, abu-Bishr; Mālik ibn-Aus ibn-‘Atik al-Asghali; abu-‘Aṣīr ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-Tha’labah ibn-Baiḥān al-Balawi, an ally of the banu-Jahjaba, and whose proper name was ‘Abd-al-‘Uzza, but who was called by the Prophet ‘‘Abd-ar-Rahmān the enemy of the idols’’; 92 Surākāh ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza an-Najjārī of al-Khazraj; ‘Umārah ibn-‘Hazm ibn-Zaid ibn-Laudhān an-Najjārī (who is supposed by others to have died in the time of Mu‘awiyyah); Ḥabīb ibn-‘Amr ibn-Miḥṣan an-Najjārī; Mān ibn-‘Adi ibn-al-Jadd ibn-al-‘Ajlān al-Balawi of the Kuḍlā‘ah, and an ally of the Anṣār; Thābit ibn-‘Kais ibn-Shammās ibn-abi-Zu‘air the khaṭīb of the Prophet and who was of the banu-l-‘Hārith ibn-al-Khazraj (whose surname was abu-Muhammad, and who at that time was the commander of the Anṣār); abu-‘Hannah ibn-Ghuzayyah ibn-‘Amr one of the banu-Māzin ibn-an-Najjār; al-‘Aṣīr ibn-Tha’labah ad-Dausi of al-Azd, an ally of the Anṣār; abu-Dujānah Simāk ibn-Aus ibn-Kharashah ibn-Laudhān as-Sā‘īdī of al-Khazraj; abu-Usaid Mālik ibn-Rabi’ah as-Sā‘īdī (others say he died in al-Madīnah, year 60); ‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-Ubai ibn-Mālik (whose first name was al-‘Hubbāb but who was given by the Prophet his father’s name. His
father played the hypocrite in religion. He is the one called ibn-Ubai ibn-Salūl, Salūl being the mother of Ubai and of [the clan of] Khuzā‘ah, and he bears her name. His father was Mālik ibn-al-Ḥārith of the banu-l-Khazraj (others say he suffered martyrdom in the battle of Juwātha at al-Bahrain); ‘Ukbah ibn-‘Amir ibn-Nābi’ of the banu-Salimah of al-Khazraj; and al-Ḥārith ibn-Ka‘b ibn-‘Amr of the banu-an-Najjār. The Prophet had sent Ḥabib ibn-Zaid ibn-Āṣim of the banu-Mabdhūl ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ghanm ibn-Māzin ibn-an-Najjār, together with ‘Abdallāh ibn-Wahb al-Aslami to Musailimah. Musailimah did not molest ‘Abdallāh, but cut off the hands and feet of Ḥabib. The mother of Ḥabib was Nusaibah, daughter of Ka‘b. According to al-Wāḳīdī, the two men [sent by the Prophet] came from ‘Umān in the company of ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣī. Musailimah drove them back. ‘Amr and all those in his company escaped, with the exception of these two who were captured. In the battle of al-Yamāmah, Nusaibah took part in the fight and returned with a number of wounds inflicted on her. She is the mother of Ḥabib and ‘Abdallāh, the sons of Zaid. She also took part in the battle of Uḥud, and was one of the two women who “swore allegiance” in the day of al-‘Akkabah. Other martyrs of the battle of al-Yamāmah were ‘Ā’idh ibn-Mā’ṣ az-Zurākī of al-Khazraj and Yazid ibn-Thābit al-Khazrajī, a brother of Zaid ibn-Thābit, the authority on “The Laws of Heritage.”

Regarding the number of those that fell as martyrs in al-Yamāmah, there is no agreement. The minimum estimate mentioned is 700, the maximum 1,700, while others assert that they were 1,200.

1 Hishām, pp. 312 seq.
Mujjā’ah is assigned al-Ghūrah and other fiefs. Al-Kaşim ibn-Sallām from Hīshām ibn-Ismā‘īl.—There came to the Prophet Mujjā’ah-I-Yamāmi to whom the Prophet gave a fief and wrote the following statement: “In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This statement is written by Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah, to Mujjā’ah ibn-Murārah ibn-Sulmi. I give thee as fief al-Ghūrah, Ghurābah, and al-Ḥubal. If any one objects, refer him to me.” (Al-Ghūrah is the chief village of al-Ghurabāt and is close to Kārāt). After the death of the Prophet, Mujjā’ah came to Abu-Bakr, who assigned him as fief al-Khiḍrimah. Later he came to ‘Umar, who assigned to him ar-Raiya. After that he came to ‘Uthmān, who assigned to him another fief “the name of which,” says al-Ḥārith, “I do not remember.”


The “park of death.” Muḥammad ibn-Thumāl al-Yamāmi from certain sheikhs.—The Ḥadikah was called Ḥadikat al-Maut [the park of death] because of the great number of people that were slain in it. In the time of al-Ma’mūn, Ishāk ibn-abi-Kharmišah, a freedman of Kais, built in it a cathedral mosque. The Ḥadikah before that time was known as Ubādāl.

According to Muḥammad ibn-Thumāl, the Kaşr al-Ward was named after al-Ward ibn-as-Samin ibn-‘Ubaid al-Ḥanāfī. According to another, the fortification was called Muṭīk [i.e., emancipator] because of its strength, indicat-

1 Bakri, vol. ii, p. 703: “‘Awānah”.
2 Ibn-Murrah-1-Ḥanafī, one of the intermediary reporters of this tradition.
3 Yākūt, Marāṣid, under Ward.
ing thereby that he who takes refuge in it is safe from his enemy.

*Ar-Raiya spring.* Ar-Raiya was a spring from which the as-Ṣa‘fūkah, as well as al-Khuyaibah and al-Khidrimah, got their drinking water, as-Ṣa‘fūkah \(^1\) being a crown-land thus called after one of the agents over it, whose name was Sa‘fūk.

\(^{1}\) Bakri, p. 607.
CHAPTER XIX

THE APOSTASY OF THE ARABS IN THE CALIPHATE OF ABU-BAKR AŞ-ŞİDDİK

Abu-Bakr threatens those who withhold ṣadakāh. When abu-Bakr was proclaimed caliph, certain Arab tribes apostatized from Islām and withheld the ṣadakāh. Some of them, however, said, “We shall observe prayer but not pay zakāt.” In reference to that abu-Bakr said, “If they refuse me a one-year ṣadakāh,¹ I shall surely fight against them.” According to other reports he said, “If they refuse me a two-year ṣadakāh.”

‘Abdallāh ibn-Šāliḥ al-‘Ijli from ash-Sha‘bi:—‘Abdallāh ibn-Mas‘ūd said, “After the death of the Prophet we found ourselves in a state in which we would have perished had not Allah favored us with abu-Bakr. By the consensus of opinion, we agreed not to fight on a female camel that had entered on its second year or a male camel that had entered on its third year, but appropriate for ourselves the income of Ḳūra ‘Arabiyah ² and worship Allah until the right course is revealed unto us.” Allah gave orders to abu-Bakr to fight them. Then, by Allah, abu-Bakr was not satisfied by anything but one of two:—a humiliating plan or an evacuating war. As for the humiliating plan, it was that they acknowledge that those of their number who were killed went to hell, and that our property that fell into their hands should be returned to us; and the evacuating war was that they leave their homes.

¹ Ar. ʿiqāl, see an-Nasā‘i, Sunan, vol. i, p. 335.
² Yahya ibn-Adam, p. 122; Bakri, p. 657.
The delegation of Buzákhhah. Ibrâhîm ibn-Muḥammad from Ṭāriq ibn-Shihâb:—A delegation from Buzákhhah came to abu-Bakr and he gave them their choice between "the evacuating war" or "the humiliating peace". To this they replied, "'The evacuating war' we have known what it is, what is then 'the humiliating peace'?" "It is," said abu-Bakr, "that we deprive you of the coats of mail and horses, and keep the booty we took from you; and that ye return the booty ye took from us, pay bloodwit for those of us who were slain and consider those of you who were slain to be in hell-fire."

Shujâ‘ ibn-Mukhallad al-Fallâs from 'Â’ishah the "mother of the Believers":—The latter said, "After the death of the Prophet, what befell my father 1 would have softened the firm mountains if it had befallen them. Hypocrisy in al-Madinah exalted itself, and the Arabs apostatized from their faith. By Allah, not a point they disagreed upon, which my father did not cause to disappear as something without which Islam could do [?]."

Abu-Bakr dispatches an army. Abu-Bakr set out to al-Ḳaṣṣah 2 in the land which belongs to Muḥârib in order to direct the armies marching against the apostates. He was accompanied by the Moslems. Those who went against the Moslems were Khârijah ibn-Ḥîsîn ibn-Ḥudhaifah ibn-Badr al-Fazârî 3 and Manzûr ibn-Zabbân ibn-Saiyâr al-Fazârî of the banu-l-‘Usharâ‘, who were joined with the tribe of Ghâṭafân. The fight raged fiercely but the "polytheists" were put to flight, and abu-Bakr sent Ṭâlîhah ibn-‘Ubaïdallâh at-Tâʿîmî in their pursuit. Ṭâlîhah fell upon them at the lower part of Thanâya ‘Ausajah where he killed

---

1 Abu-Bakr.
3 Ibn-Sa’d, vol. iii, p. 37.
only one of them, all the rest having fled away, and he could not catch up with them. This made Khârijah ibn-Ḥisn repeat, "Woe to the Arabs because of ibn-abi-Ḵuḥâfah [i.e. abu-Bakr]."

While abu-Bakr was at al-Ḵaṣṣah, he set Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ibn-al-Mughirah al-Makhzûmi in command over the people,¹ and sent over the Anṣâr Thâbit ibn-Ḳais ibn-Shammâs al-Anṣâri, who was one of those that [later] suffered martyrdom in the battle of al-Yamâmah. Thâbit, however, was subordinate to Khâlid. Abu-Bakr ordered Khâlid to direct his course towards Ṭulaïḥah ibn-Khuwailid al-Asadi, who had claimed to be a prophet ² and was then at Buzâkhah. This Buzâkhah is a spring belonging to the banu-Asad ibn-Khuzaïmah. Khâlid set out against him and sent before him 'Ukkâshah ibn-Miḥṣan al-Asadi, an ally of the banu-'Abd-Shams, together with Thâbit ibn-Akrâm al-Balawi, an ally of the Anṣâr. Ḥibāl ibn-Khuwailid ³ met them and was slain by them. Having heard the news, Ṭulaïḥah with his brother, Salamah, set out and, meeting 'Ukkâshah and Thâbit, slew them both. Regarding this event Ṭulaïḥah sang:

"As I saw their faces I thought of my brother Ḥibāl and was sure that I was going to avenge his death.

It was on the evening of that day that I left ibn-Akrâm in his grave, together with 'Ukkâshah al-Ghanmi, by the battlefield."

The Moslems and their enemy at last met and a fierce battle was fought. With Ṭulaïḥah in the fight was 'Uyainah ibn-Ḥiṣn ibn-Ḥudhaifah ibn-Badr at the head of 700 men of the banu-Fazârah. When 'Uyainah saw the swords of the

¹ Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 145.
² He imitated Muhammad by composing ṣaj or rhyming prose, see Tabari, vol. i, p. 1738; and Goldziher, Muhammedanische Studien, vol. ii, p. 4001.
³ Hisâm, p. 453.
Moslems butchering the "polytheists," he came to Ṭulaiḥah asking, "Canst thou not see what the army of abu-l-Faṣīl is doing, and did not Gabriel bring thee any message?" "Yes" said Ṭulaiḥah, "Gabriel came to me and said 'Thou wilt have a grinding stone as he has, and a day that thou wilt never forget!'" ² "By Allah," cried 'Uyainah, "I believe that thou wilt have a day which thou wilt never forget. O banu-Fazârah, this is a false prophet." Saying this, he left Ṭulaiḥah's army which was soon after defeated. The Moslems were victorious. 'Uyainah ibn-Ḥiṣn was taken captive and brought to al-Madinah. Abu-Bakr spared his life and set him free. Ṭulaiḥah ibn-Khuwailid took to flight and entered a tent of his, where he took a bath and went out. Then he rode on his horse, intending to visit the sacred places, and came to Makkah, then to al-Madinah professing Islâm. According to others, he came to Syria, was taken hold of by those of the Moslems who were on a campaign, and sent to abu-Bakr in al-Madinah, where he became Moslem. Later, he distinguished himself in the conquest of al-Irâk and Nihâwand. One day, 'Umar said to him, "Didst thou kill the faithful servant 'Ukkâshah ibn-Miḥān?" And he replied, "I have been the means of bringing about the welfare of 'Ukkâshah ibn-Miḥān; and he has been the means of bringing about ³ my misery. I beg Allah's pardon upon me."

Dâ'ūd ibn-Ḥibâl al-Asadi told me on the authority of certain sheikhs among his people that 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb said to Ṭulaiḥah, "Thou didst lie before Allah when thou didst claim that he revealed to thee the text, 'Allah has nothing to do with the dust on your faces and

¹ Khālid's surname was abu-Sulaimân, see Nawâwi, p. 224; and ibn-Kutaibah, Kitâb al-Ma'ârif, p. 90.
the ugliness of your hinder parts. When ye therefore mention Allah, be abstemious and stand upright, for, verily, froth is on the surface of what is pure.’”  

‘Commander of the Believers’, replied Ṭulaiḥah, “this is one of the corruptions of unbelief which has altogether been destroyed by Islām. I am not, therefore, to be scolded for holding a part of it.” ‘Umar remained silent.

Khālid in Rammān and Abānain. Khālid ibn-al-Walid came to Rammān² and Abānain³ where the remnant of the army of Buzākhah stood. They refrained from fighting against him and swore allegiance before him to abu-Bakr.

Banu-‘Amir ibn-Sa’sa’ah embrace Islām. Khālid ibn-al-Walid sent Hishām ibn-al-‘Āsi ibn-Wā’il as-Sahmi, a brother of ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āsi, one of the early Moslems and one of the Emigrants to Abyssinia, to the banu-‘Amir ibn Sa’sa’ah. Banu-‘Amir did not resist him and professed Islām and practised the call to prayer. So he left them.

Kurrā’s life spared. Kurrā ibn-Hubairah-l-Kushairi, having refused to pay ṣadakāh and reinforced Ṭulaiḥah, was taken by Hishām ibn-al-‘Āsi to Khālid. The latter carried him to abu-Bakr to whom Kurrā said, “By Allah I never forsook my faith since I became a believer. As ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āsi on his way back from ‘Umān passed by me, I treated him hospitably and was loyal to him.” ‘Amr was questioned by abu-Bakr regarding that, and he corroborated the statement. Consequently, abu-Bakr spared Kurrā’s life.

Others assert that Khālid advanced to the land of the banu-‘Amir, took Kurrā captive and sent him to abu-Bakr.

The battle of al-Ghamr. Then Khālid ibn-al-Walid ad-

---

¹ Freytag, Provl., vol. i, p. 174, no. 80, and p. 731, no. 63.
³ or Abānān; Yākūt, vol. i, p. 75; Bakri, p. 63.
vanced to al-Ghamr where a band of the banu-Asad, Ghaṭafān and others had gathered under the leadership of Khārijah ibn-Ḥisn ibn-Ḥudhaifah. According to others, they had on different days different leaders, and each party had its own leader drawn from its own ranks. They fought against Khālid and the Moslems, with the result that some of them were killed and the others took to flight. With reference to the battle of al-Ghamr says al-Ḥuṭai’ah-l-‘Absi:

"Yea, may all short and humble lances be sacrificed, in favor of the horsemen’s lances at al-Ghamr!"

Khālid meets abu-Shajarah. Thence Khālid moved to Jau Ḵurâkir. Others say he moved to an-Nuḳrah. There a crowd was gathered by the banu-Sulaim and put under the leadership of abu-Shajarah ‘Amr ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza as-Sulami whose mother was al-Khansâ’. They fought against Khālid, and one of the Moslems fell a martyr. By Allah’s help at last, the “polytheists”’ troops were dispersed, and Khālid had on that day the apostates burned. When abu-Bakr was told about it, he said, “I shall not sheathe a sword that Allah had unsheathed against the ‘unbelievers.’” Abu-Shajarah accepted Islām, and coming to ‘Umar found him distributing alms among the poor, so he begged for some. ‘Umar asked him, “Art thou not the one who said:

‘I quenched my lance’s thirst on Khālid’s troops, and I hope after this that my life will be prolonged’?"

Saying this, he lashed him with the whip. “Islām, O ‘Commander of the Believers,’ ” replied abu-Shajarah, “has blotted all this out.”

1 Skiszen, vol. vi, p. 11, note 1.
Al-Fujā'ah put to death by fire. There came to Abu-Bakr one, Al-Fujā'ah, whose proper name was Bujair ibn-Iyās ibn-'Abdallāh as-Sulami, and said to him, "Give me horse and arms that I may fight against the apostates." Abu-Bakr gave him horse and arms. Al-Fujā’ah began to molest the people, killing both Moslems and apostates. He, moreover, gathered a large body of men. Abu-Bakr wrote to Ṭuraifah ibn-Ḥājizah, a brother of Ma‘n ibn-Ḥājizah, ordering him to go against him. This, ibn-Ḥājizah did and captured him. He then sent him to Abu-Bakr, who ordered him burned in the neighborhood of al-Muṣalla [place of prayer]. Others say that Abu-Bakr wrote to Ma‘n concerning Al-Fujā’ah, and Ma‘n directed against him his brother, Ṭuraifah, who captured him.

Khālid in al-Butāh and al-Ba‘ūdah. Later, Khālid set out against those of the banu-Tamim who were in al-Butāh and al-Ba‘ūdah. They fought against him, but he dispersed them killing Mālik ibn-Nuwairah, a brother of Mutammam ibn-Nuwairah. This Mālik was the Prophet’s āmil for the sadakahs of the banu-Ḥanzalah. When the Prophet died Mālik held whatever was in his keeping and said to banu-Ḥanzalah, "Keep your own money."

Mālik beheaded. According to other reports, Khālid met nobody in either al-Butāh or al-Ba‘ūdah, but he sent detachments among the banu-Tamim, one of which was under Dirār ibn-al-Azwar al-Asadi. Dirār met Mālik and, as a result of the conflict which ensued, Dirār took Mālik and some others captive, and brought them before Khālid. In accordance with Khālid's orders, their heads were cut off, Dirār with his own hand cutting off that of Mālik. Ac-

1 Yaḳūt, vol. i, p. 661.
2 Tābari, vol. i, p. 1924.
cording to certain reports, Mâlik said to Khâlid, "By Allah, I did not apostatize!" And abu-Katâdah-l-Anšâri gave witness that the banu-Ḥanżalah had laid down their arms and made the public call to prayer. Hearing this, 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb said to abu-Bakr, "Thou hast sent a man who kills Moslems and tortures by fire!"

It is reported that Mutammam ibn-Nuwairah once came to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb who asked him, "How far did thy sorrow over they brother, Mâlik, carry thee?" "I wept over him for one year," said Mutammam, "until my sound eye envied the one that had gone; and never did I see fire without feeling as if my grief was strong enough to kill me, because he always left his fire burning till the morning, lest a guest should come and fail to locate his place." 'Umar then asked for a description of him, and Mutammam said, "He used to ride a restive steed and lead a slow-paced camel, while he would be between two water bags exuding water in the chilly night, wrapped up in a loose garment, and armed with a long lance. Thus would he go through the night until the morn. His face was a fragment of a moon." ¹ "Sing me," said 'Umar, "some of what thou hast composed regarding him." And Mutammam repeated the elegy in which he said:

"For a long time we were boon companions like the two fellow-drink-
ers of Jadhîmah, that people said, 'They will never be separated!'" ²

"If I could write good poetry," remarked 'Umar, "I would have written an elegy on my brother, Zaid." "It is not a parallel case, 'Commander of the Believers',", answered Mutammam, "had my brother met the same death that thy brother has met, I would not have mourned over him."

"Nobody did ever console me," said 'Umar, "as well as thou didst." 1

Sajāh the Prophetess. Umm-Sādir Sajāh, daughter of Aus ibn-Ḥīkā ibn-Usāmah ibn-al-Ghaniz ibn-Yarbū' ibn-Ḥanzalah ibn-Mālik ibn-Zaid Manāt ibn-Tamīm (others say she was Sajāh, daughter of al-Ḥārith ibn-'Ukfān ibn-Suwa'id ibn-Khālid ibn-Usāmah), claimed to be a prophetess and a soothsayer. 2 She was followed by some of the bani-Tamīm and some of her uncles on her mother's side of the bani-Taghib. One day she composed the following rhyming sentences: "The Lord of heavens orders you to carry out against ar-Ribāb 3 invasions." She invaded them but was defeated by them, they being the only ones who fought against her. 4 She then came to Musailimah-l-Kadhhdhāb [the false Prophet] at Ḥajar and married him, 5 making her religion one with his. When he was killed, she returned to her brethren and there she died. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, however, Sajāh accepted İslām and emigrated to al-Baṣrah and remained a good Moslem. 'Abd-al-A'la ibn-Ḥammād an-Narsi heard it said by certain sheikhs of al-Baṣrah that Samurah ibn-Jundab al-Fazārī led her funeral service as he was the governor of al-Baṣrah under Mu'āwiyyah before the arrival of 'Abdallāh ibn-Ziyād from Khurāsān to assume the office of governor of al-Baṣrah. Ibn-al-Kalbi added that the muezzin of Sajāh was al-Janabah 6 ibn-Ṭārik ibn-'Amr ibn-Ḥauṭ ar-Riyāḥi, and others say 7 it was Shabath ibn-Rib'i ar-Riyāḥi.

1 Ibn-Ḳutaibah, Kitāb ash-Shi'r, pp. 193-194.
3 The confederate tribes of Ṭai, 'Adi and 'Ukl.
6 Dhahabi, Mushtabih, p. 141.
7 Duraid, p. 137.
The insurrection of Khaulân. Khaulân in al-Yaman having apostatized, 'Umar sent against them Ya'la ibn-Munyah (Munyah, his mother, was of the banu-Mázin ibn-Manṣūr ibn-Ikrimah ibn-Khaşafah ibn-Ḳais ibn-‘Ailân ibn-Muḍar, and his father was Umayıyah ibn-abi-‘Ubadah, one of the sons of Mâlik ibn-Ḥanžaláh ibn-Mâlik, an ally of the banu-Naufál ibn-‘Abd-Manâf) who won a great victory over them and carried away booty and captives. According to others, however, he met no resistance, and all of them returned to Islâm.
CHAPTER XX


The cause of the insurrection of Kindah. The Prophet sent Ziyâd ibn-Labid al-Bayâdi of the Ansâr as governor to Ḥaḍramaut; later extending his power over the Kindah. According to others, it was Abu-Bakr as-Şiddîk who extended his power over the Kindah. This Ziyâd ibn-Labid was a resolute and sturdy man, and took young she-camels as sadakah from a certain man of the banu-Kindah. The Kindah man asked him to return them and take something else, but having marked them with the sadakah brand, Labid refused his request. Labid was approached by al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ḳais, but still he refused saying, “Never will I return a thing that has been branded with the mark.” This caused an uprising of all Kindah against him with the exception of as-Sakûn who still adhered to his side. Hence the verse of their poet:

“...It was we that came to the rescue of the faith, when our people miserably went astray and we supported ibn-umm-Ziyâd.
From the right claim of al-Bayâdi we sought not to deviate, and the piety of Allah was our best provision.”

Banu-‘Amr gathered against Labid. Against Labid were assembled the banu-‘Amr ibn-Mu‘āwiyah ibn-al-Ḥārith al-Kindi. Labid, at the head of the Moslems, attacked them during the night time and killed many, among whom were Mîkhwas, Mishraḥ, Jamad and Abda‘ah the sons of Ma‘di-karib ibn-Wali‘ah ibn-Shuraḥbîl ibn-Mu‘āwiyah ibn-Ḥajr
al- caráid (Karid in their dialect means horse) ibn-al-
Hârith al-Wallâdah ibn-'Amr ibn-Mu'âwiyah ibn-al-Hârith. These four brothers were in possession of so many valleys
that they were called the “four kings.” Previous to this,
they had presented themselves before the Prophet, but later
on they apostatized. Their sister, al-‘Amarradah, was killed
by one who mistook her for a man.

Ziyâd fights against al-Ash‘ath. As Ziyâd returned with
captives and booty, he passed by al-Ash‘ath ibn- Kháis and
his people. Seeing him, the women and children began to
cry which made al-Ash‘ath burn with indignation, and set
out with a band of his men. He fell upon Ziyâd and his
companions, and many Moslems were lost. The Moslems
were then defeated, and all the great men of Kindah rallied
to the support of al-Ash‘ath ibn- Kháis. Seeing this, Ziyâd
wrote to Abu-Bakr asking for reinforcement. Abu-Bakr
wrote to al-Muhâjir ibn-abi-Umaiyyah, ordering him to rein-
force Ziyâd. Ziyâd and al-Muhâjir, at the head of the Mos-
lems, met al-Ash‘ath and dispelled his men, and attacking
his companions, made a fearful slaughter among them.
Thence al-Ash‘ath’s men took refuge in a fortification of
theirs, an-Nujair, where the Moslems besieged them. The
siege was pressed until they were exhausted and al-Ash‘ath
sought safety for a certain number of his men. He did not
include himself in that number because al-Jifshish
al-Kindi, whose name was Ma‘dân ibn-al-Aswad ibn-Ma‘dikarîb,
holding him by the waist, said, “Include me in that num-
ber.” Thus al-Ash‘ath excluded himself in favor of al-
Jifshish. Al-Ash‘ath presented himself before Ziyâd ibn-

2 Ya‘kûbî, vol. ii, p. 149.
Labid and al-Muhâjir who sent him to Abu-Bakr. The latter favored him by giving to him in marriage his sister Umm-Farwah, daughter of Abu-Kuhâfah, who later gave birth to Muhammad, Ishâk, Kuraibah, Hubâbah and Ja’dah. According to others, Abu-Bakr gave him in marriage his sister Kuraibah; and when he married her, he came to the market, and every slaughtered camel he saw, he cut its two heel-tendons, paid its price and gave it to the people to eat. After living in al-Madinah, he set out on a razzia to Syria and al-‘Irâq. His death took place at al-Kûfah where his funeral service was conducted by al-Hasan ibn-‘Ali ibn-abi-‘Tâlib, after the latter had been reconciled with Muâwiyyah. This al-Ash’ath was surnamed Abu-Muhammad and nicknamed “‘Urf an-‘Nâr” [the fire-crest].

The insurrection of the Banu-Walî’ah and al-Ash’ath. According to other reports, the Banu-Walî’ah apostatised before the Prophet’s death. When Ziyâd ibn-Labid heard of his death, he called the people to swear allegiance to Abu-Bakr, which they all did with the exception of the Banu-Walî’ah. Ziyâd fell upon them in the night time and killed them. Al-Ash’ath apostatised and fortified himself in an-Nujair where he was besieged by Ziyâd ibn-Labid and al-Muhâjir who joined hands against him. Abu-Bakr sent ‘Ikrimah ibn-abi-Jahl, after his departure from ‘Umân, to reinforce them; but on his arrival, an-Nujair was already reduced. Abu-Bakr requested the Moslems to share the booty with him, which they did.

Ath-Thabjâ and Hind severely punished. It is reported that certain women at an-Nujair having rejoiced at the death of the Prophet, Abu-Bakr wrote ordering that their hands and feet be cut off. Among these women were Ath-Thabjâ al-Hadrâmîyah, and Hind, daughter of Yamin, the Jewess.

The Prophet assigns governors to Ṣan‘ā’, Kindah, Ḥadramaut and as-Ṣadif. Bakr ibn-al-Haiitham from certain sheikhs of al-Yaman:—The Prophet made Khālid ibn-Sa‘īd ibn-al-ʿĀsi governor of Ṣan‘ā’, but he was driven out of it by al-‘Ansi, the false Prophet. Over the Kindah, he assigned al-Muhâjir ibn-abi-Umayyah: over Ḥadramaut and as-Ṣadif, Ziyâd ibn-Labîd al-Anṣârî. Aṣ-Ṣadif were the descendants of Mâlik ibn-Muratti ibn-Muʿāwiyah ibn-Kindah.¹ They were called Ṣadif because Muratti married a woman from Ḥadramaut and made it a condition that she would take up her abode with him, and in case she bore a child he would not force her to remain away from her people’s home. She did bear a child, Mâlik, and the judge decided that Muratti should send her back to her people. When Mâlik left him with her, Muratti said, “Mâlik turned away [Ar.-sadafa] from me.” Hence the name as-Ṣadif.

The insurrection of the banu-ʿAmr. ‘Abd-ar-Razzâk said that he was told by certain sheikhs from al-Yaman that abu-Bakr wrote to Ziyâd ibn-Labîd and to al-Muhâjir ibn-abi-Umayyah-I-Makhzûmi who was then over Kindah, ordering them to come together and work hand in hand and with one accord in order to secure for him the caliphate and fight against him who refrains from paying sadakah, and that they should get the help of the Believers against the Unbelievers and of the obedient against the disobedient and transgressors. Once they took as sadakah from a Kindah man a youthful she-camel. He asked them to change it for another. Al-Muhâjir allowed it, but Ziyâd insisted on keeping the camel saying, “Never will I return it after being stamped with the sadakah brand.” Therefore, the banu-ʿAmr ibn-Muʿāwiyah gathered a large body of men.

¹ Khallikân, vol. iv, pp. 595-596.
Then said Ziyâd ibn-Labîd to al-Muhâjir, “Thou dost see this crowd. It is not wise to have us all leave our position. Separate, therefore, thyself with a band of men from the main army, and that will keep our plans concealed. Then I will attack these ‘unbelievers’ in their homes at night.” Ziyâd was resolute and sturdy. He went against the banu-'Amr and, under the cover of the night, fell upon them and some of them began to kill the others. At last Ziyâd and al-Muhâjir met accompanied by the captives and prisoners. They were intercepted by al-Ash'ath ibn-Ḳais and the leading men of Kindah, who fought a fierce battle against them. At last the Kindis fortified themselves in an-Nujair, where the siege was pressed against them until they were exhausted and greatly damaged and al-Ash'ath surrendered. Some say that the Ḥaḍramaut had come to reinforce the Kindah but were met by Ziyâd and al-Muhâjir who defeated them.

The apostasy of Khaulân. Now Khaulân apostatized, and abu-Bakr directed against them Ya’la ibn-Munyah who fought against them until they yielded and agreed to give sadakah. Then al-Muhâjir received abu-Bakr’s letter conferring on him the governorship of Ṣan‘â’ and its adjoining districts, making his province border on what Ziyâd already held.1 Thus was al-Yaman divided among three: al-Muhâjir, Ziyâd and Ya’la. The land between the extreme limit of al-Ḥijâz and the extreme limit of Najrân was assigned to abu-Sufyân ibn-Ḥarb.

The story of al-Ash’ath. Abu-Naṣr at-Tammâr from Ibrâhim an-Nakha‘i: — Al-Ash’ath ibn-Ḳais al-Kindi, together with some of the Kindah tribe, apostatized and were besieged. Al-Ash’ath secured safety for 70 of his men but did not include himself among them. He was therefore brought before abu-Bakr who said to him, “We shall cer-

tainly kill thee, as thou art under no safe conduct, having
excluded thyself from that group.” “Nay,” answered al-
Ash‘ath, “Thou, successor of the Messenger of Allah, wilt
rather favor me with a wife.” This Abu-Bakr did, giving
him his own sister in marriage.

**Three things Abu-Bakr wished he had done.** Al-Kāsim
ibn-Sallām Abu-Ubaid 1 from Abu-Bakr as-Ṣiddīk:—The
latter said, “I wish I had done three things that I did not do:
—I wish I had cut off the head of al-Ash‘ath ibn-Kāis when
he was brought before me, because it seemed to me there was
no sort of evil to be done which he would not attempt to do
or help to bring about; I wish I had killed rather than burnt
al-Fujā‘ah when he was brought before me; and I wish I had
directed ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb to al-‘Irāk as I had directed
Khālid to Syria, and thus would have extended both my
right and left arms in the cause of Allah.” 2

**The captives of An-Nujair ransomed.** ‘Abdallāh ibn-
Ṣāliḥ al-Tjli from ash-Sha‘bi:—Abu-Bakr returned the
captives of An-Nujair by ransom receiving 400 dirhams for
each head. In order to pay for them, al-Ash‘ath ibn-Kāis
had to borrow from the merchants of al-Madinah. After
paying the ransom of the captives, he returned the loan.
Al-Ash‘ath ibn-Kāis wrote the following elegy for Bashir
ibn-al-Audāh, who was one of the delegates to the Prophet
and who later apostatized, Yazid ibn-Amānāt and those slain
in the battle of an-Nujair:—

> “By my life—and life is not an insignificant thing to me—
> I had the greatest right to hold tenaciously to those who fell dead.
> There is no wonder except when they divide their captives;
> and the world after them is not safe for me.
> I am like the camel that lost her young and her milk flows,
> when she longs for them and comes to the bag, stuffed with straw.
> Let the tears of my eyes, therefore, flow
> for the loss of the noble ibn-Amānāt and the generous Bashir.”

1 Bakri, p. 747, line 14.
CHAPTER XXI

AL-ASWAD AL-'ANSI AND THOSE IN AL-YAMAN WHO
APOSTATIZED WITH HIM

Al-Aswad al-'Ansi claims to be a prophet. Al-Aswad ibn-Ka'b ibn-'Auf al-'Ansi played the soothsayer [Ar. kāhin] and claimed to be a prophet. He was followed by the 'Ans tribe which was named after Zaid ibn-Mālik ibn-Udad ibn-Yashjub ibn-'Arib 1 ibn-Zaid ibn-Kahlân ibn-Saba, who was the brother of Murâd ibn-Mâlik, Khâlid ibn-Mâlik and Sa'd al-'Ashirah ibn-Mâlik, together with others outside the 'Ans tribe. Al-Aswad took for himself the name of "Rahmân [the merciful of] al-Yaman," as Musailimah had taken the name of "Rahmân al-Yamâmah." 2 He had a trained donkey that would bow on hearing his injunction, "Bow before thy Lord," and that would kneel on hearing "Kneel". Therefore, al-Aswad was called "dhu-l-Ḥimâr" 3 [he of the donkey]. Others say he was called "dhu-l-Khimâr" [the veiled one] because he always appeared with a veil and turban. 4 I was told by others from al-Yaman that he was called al-Aswad because the color of his face was black, his proper name being 'Aihalah.

The Prophet invites him to Islâm. In the year in which the Prophet died, he sent Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh al-Bajali, who

1 Wüstenfeld, Register, p. 86.
2 Hishâm, p. 200, line 3.
3 Mas'ûdi, at-Tanbih, pp. 276-277.
had in that same year accepted Islām, against al-Aswad, inviting him to Islām. But al-Aswad refused. Other reports deny that the Prophet sent Jarir to al-Yaman.

Al-Aswad as governor of Ṣanʿā'. Al-Aswad moved against Ṣanʿā' and reduced it, driving Khālid ibn-Saʻīd ibn-al-ʻAṣī from it. Others say he rather drove al-Muhājir ibn-abi-Umaiyah, and took quarters with Ziyād ibn-Labīd al-Bayaḍī, with whom he remained until he received a message from abu-Bakr ordering him to go to the aid of Ziyād. When the work of Ziyād and al-Aswad was done, abu-Bakr conferred on the latter the governorship of Ṣanʿā' and its provinces. Al-Aswad, however, was haughty and he oppressed al-Abnā', i.e., the descendants of the Persians who were originally sent to al-Yaman by Kisra in the company of ibn-dhi-Yazan and under the leadership of Wahrīz. Al-Aswad made them serve him and compelled them to do things against their will. Moreover, he married al-Marzu-ḥānah, the wife of Bādhām their king, who was their gov-
ernor under Abarwiz. This made the Prophet direct against him Ḫāis ibn-Hubairah-I-Makshūḥ al-Murādi (called al-Makshūḥ because he was cauterized on his side on account of a disease) instructing him to win over to his side al-Abnā'. With al-Makshūḥ, the Prophet sent Farwah ibn-Musaik al-Murādi. No sooner had they arrived at al-
Yaman, than the news of the death of the Prophet reached them. Ḫāis left on al-Aswad the impression that he con-
ceded with his opinion, and so he got his consent to enter Ṣanʿā'. Accordingly, Ḫāis entered Ṣanʿā' with a group of men including among others men of [the clan of] Mādhījī and some from Hamdān. He then won over to his side one of al-Abnā', Fairūz ibn-ad-Dailami, who had accepted Islām. Ḫāis and Fairūz then brought the chief of al-Abnā' (whose

1 "Barwiz" in Caetani, vol. iv, p. 490.
name according to some was Bâdhâm, and according to others, Bâdhâm was dead by this time and his successor was one Dâdhawaih. The latter view is more authentic). Dâdhawaih accepted Islâm.

Al-Aswad slain. Ḫâris met Thâţ ibn-dhi-l-Ḥîrrah Ḫîmyari and won him over to his side. Many missionaries were sent by Dâdhawaih among al-Abnâ’ who accepted Islâm and conspired to take al-Aswad unawares and slay him. They plotted with his wife who hated him, and she pointed out a gutter leading to his place. Through this they entered before daybreak. Some say they dug a hole through the wall of his house, through a crack, and found him sleeping under the influence of drink. Ḫâris slew him and he began to bellow like a bull, so much so that his guard scared by the noise asked, “What is the matter with Raḩmân al-Yaman?” “The inspiration,” answered his wife, “is upon him.” Thus they were quieted. Ḫâris severed his head, and, early in the morning, climbed the city wall and shouted, “Allah is great! Allah is great! I testify that there is no god but Allah and that Muḥammad is the Prophet of Allah, and that al-Aswad, the false Prophet, is the enemy of Allah!” As the followers of al-Aswad gathered, Ḫâris cast the head to them and they dispersed with the exception of a few. At this the men of Ḫâris opened the door and put the rest of the followers of al-‘Ansî to the sword, and none escaped except those who accepted Islâm.

According to some reports, however, it was Fairûţ ibn-ad-Dailami who killed al-Aswad, Ḫâris only giving the last stroke and severing his head. Certain scholars assert that

1 "Dâdhûwaţîn" in Nawâwî, p. 232.
the death of Kāis took place five days before the expiration of the Prophet, who on his death-bed said: “Allah has brought about the death of al-Aswad al-‘Ansi through the righteous man Fairūz ibn-ad-Dailami,” and that the news of the conquest came to abu-Bakr ten days after he had been proclaimed caliph.

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from an-Nu‘mān ibn-Burzuj, one of al-Abnā‘:—The Prophet’s ‘āmil, whom al-Aswad drove out of Ṣan‘ā’, was Abān ibn-Sa‘īd ibn-al-‘Aṣī; and the one who killed al-Aswad was Fairūz ibn-ad-Dailami. When both Kāis and Fairūz at al-Madinah claimed having killed him, ‘Umar pointed to Fairūz saying, “It was this lion who killed him!”

Kāis suspected of the murder of Dādhawaiḥ. Kāis was charged with having killed Dādhawaiḥ, and abu-Bakr received the information that he was intent on expelling al-Abnā‘ from Ṣan‘ā‘. Abu-Bakr’s anger was thereby aroused, and he wrote to al-Muhājir ibn-abi-Umaiyah at his entry to Ṣan‘ā‘ as abu-Bakr’s ‘āmil, instructing him to bring Kāis before him. When Kāis was brought before abu-Bakr, he was requested by him to swear fifty oaths near the Prophet’s pulpit that he did not kill Dādhawaiḥ. This he did, and was consequently set free by abu-Bakr, who directed him to Syria with those of the Moslems summoned for the invasion of the Greeks.  

2 Ar. ar-Rūm = the East Romans, the Byzantines.
PART II
SYRIA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF SYRIA

The "tying of the three banners." When Abu-Bakr was done with the case of those who apostatized, he saw fit to direct his troops against Syria. To this effect he wrote to the people of Makkah, at-Ta’if, al-Yaman, and all the Arabs in Najd and al-Hijaz calling them for a "holy war" and arousing their desire in it and in the obtainable booty from the Greeks. Accordingly, people, including those actuated by greed as well as those actuated by the hope of divine remuneration, hastened to Abu-Bakr from all quarters, and flocked to al-Madinah. Abu-Bakr gave three banners to three men [appointed them commanders] namely: Khâlid ibn-Sa’id ibn-al-‘Âsi ibn-Umaiyah, Shuraqîbîl ibn-Hasanah, an ally of the banu-Jumâh and ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âsi ibn-Wâ’il as-Sahmi. (Shuraqîbîl, according to al-Wâkîdi, was the son of ‘Abdallâh ibn-al-Mu’tâ‘ al-Kindi, Hasanah being his mother and a freedmaid of Ma’mar ibn- Habîb ibn-Wahb ibn-Âudhâfah ibn-Jumâh. But according to al-Kalbi, Shuraqîbîl was the son of Rabî’ah ibn-al-Mu’tâ‘ descended from Şuñfâh, i. e., al-Ghauth ibn-Murr ibn-Udd ibn-Âabikhah.) The tying of these banners took place on Thursday the first of Safar, year 13, after the troops had camped at al-Jurî throughout the month of Muḥarram with Abu-‘Ubâdah ibn-al-Jarrâh leading their prayers. Abu-

3 Tabari, vol. i, p. 2079.
Bakr wanted to give a banner to Abu-'Ubaidah; but the latter begged to be relieved. Others claim that he did give one to him, but that report is not confirmed. The fact is that when 'Umar became caliph, he conferred on him the governorship of all Syria.

*Abu-'Ubaidah commander-in-chief.* Abu-Mikhnaef states that 'Umar said to the commanders, “If ye altogether are to lead a fight, your commander will be Abu-'Ubaidah 'Amir ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-al-Jarrâh al-Fihri, otherwise Yazid ibn-abi-Su'îyân.” Others assert that 'Amr ibn-al-'Aši acted only as a reinforcement for the Moslems and commanded only those who joined him.

*Abu-Bakr replaces Khâlid by Arwa.* The assignment of Khâlid ibn-Sa’īd by Abu-Bakr to the leadership displeased 'Umar who approached Abu-Bakr with a view to dismissing him, charging him with being “a vain-seeking man who tries to make his way through dispute and bigotry.” Accordingly Abu-Bakr dismissed Khâlid and directed Abu-Arwa ad-Dausi to take the banner from his hand. Abu-Arwa met him at dhu-l-Marwah where he received the banner from him and carried it back to Abu-Bakr. Abu-Bakr handed it to Yazid ibn-abi-Su’îyân who left, with his brother Mu‘awiyah carrying the banner before him. Others say that the banner was delivered to Yazid at dhu-l-Marwah whence he started at the head of Khâlid’s army. Khâlid went with the army of Shuraḥbil for the divine remuneration.

*Abu-Bakr gives instructions to the commanders.* Abu-Bakr instructed 'Amr ibn-al-'Aši to follow the way of Ailah

---

4. As a volunteer.
with Palestine for objective. Yazid he instructed to follow the way of Tabûk. To Shuraḥbil, he wrote to follow the way of Tabûk, too. At the outset each one of the commanders had three thousand men under his leadership, but Abu-Bakr kept on sending reinforcements until each one had 7,500. Later the total was increased to 24,000.

It is reported on the authority of al-Wâḳidi that Abu-Bakr assigned ‘Amr to Palestine, Shuraḥbil to the Jordân, and Yazid to Damascus saying, "When ye all fight together, your commander is the one in whose province ye are fighting." It is also reported that to ‘Amr he gave oral instructions to lead the prayers in case the armies are united, and to have each commander lead the prayer of his own army when the armies are separate. Abu-Bakr ordered the commanders to see that each tribe flies a banner of its own.

*Abu-Bakr directs Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd to Syria.* On his arrival in the first district of Palestine, ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣî sent a message to Abu-Bakr informing him of the great number of the enemy, their great armament, the wide extent of their land and the enthusiasm of their troops. Abu-Bakr, thereupon, wrote to Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd ibn-al-Mughirah-l-Makhzûmi—who was at that time in al-‘Irâk—directing him to go to Syria. According to some, he thereby made him a commander over the commanders in the war. According to others, Khâlid only commanded his men who accompanied him; but whenever the Moslems met for a battle, the commanders would choose him as their chief for his valor and strategy and the auspiciousness of his counsel.

The battle of Dâthin. The first conflict between the Moslems and the enemy took place in Dâthin, one of the

---

1 *Ar. Philasfin.* For a description of these provinces see al-Ya’kûbi *Kitâb al-Buldân,* p. 325 *seq.*; Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 913.

villages of Ghazzah, which lay on the way between the Moslems and the residence of the patrician ¹ of Ghazzah. Here the battle raged furiously, but at last Allah gave victory to his friends and defeat to his enemies whom he dispersed. All this took place before the arrival of Khâlid ibn-al-Walid in Syria.

The battle of al-‘Arabah. Thence Yazid ibn-abî-Sufyân went in quest of the partrician, but hearing that a large host of Greeks were gathered in al-‘Arabah, which lay in Palestine, he directed against them abu-Umâmah aṣ-Ṣudai ibn-‘Ajlân al-Bâhili, who, falling upon them, put most of them to the sword and went his way. Regarding this battle of al-‘Arabah, abu-Mikhnaţ reports that six of the Greek leaders at the head of 3,000 men camped at al-‘Arabah when abu-Umâmah with a body of Moslems advanced against them and defeated them, killing one of their leaders. Thence he pursued them to ad-Dubbiyaţ (i.e. ad-Dâbiyaţ) ² where he inflicted another defeat on them, and the Moslems carried off a large booty.

According to a tradition communicated by abu-Ḥafîs ash-Shâmi on the authority of certain sheikhs from Syria, the first conflict of the Moslems was the Battle of al-‘Arabah before which no fighting at all took place since they left al-Ḥijâţ. In no place between al-Ḥijâţ and al-‘Arabah did they pass without establishing their authority and taking possession of it without resistance.

¹ A leader of an army, from the Latin “patricius”.
² De Goeje, Mémoire sur la Conquête de la Syrie, p. 31.
CHAPTER II

THE ADVANCE OF KHĀLID IBN-AL-WALĪD ON SYRIA AND THE PLACES HE REDUCED ON HIS WAY

Khālid takes ‘Ain at-Tamr and Ṣandaudâ by force.

When Khālid ibn-al-Walīd received abu-Bakr’s letter at al-Hirah, he left in his place al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah ash-Shaibāni over the district of al-Kūfah, and set out at the head of 800 men in Rabi’ II, year 13. (Some give 600 and others 500 as the number of men.) On his way, he passed through ‘Ain at-Tamr and reduced it by force. (According to others, he received abu-Bakr’s message in ‘Ain at-Tamr after having subdued it.) From ‘Ain at-Tamr Khālid made his way to Ṣandaudâ¹ in which lived some of the Kindah and Iyād tribes and non-Arabs.² These people fought against him; but Khālid won the victory and left in the city Sa’d ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥarām al-Anṣârī whose descendants still live in it. Khālid, having learnt that a body of the banu-Ṭaghlib ibn-Wā’il at al-Muḍaiyah and al-Ḥuṣaid had apostatized and were led by Rabi’ah ibn-Bujair, made his way to them. They fought against him; but he put them to flight and took captives and booty. The captives he sent to abu-Bakr, and among them was ʿUmm-Ḥabib aṣ-Ṣahbâ’, daughter of Ḥabib ibn-Bujair, and [later] the mother of ʿUmar ibn-ʿAli ibn-abi-Ṭālib.

Khālid crosses the desert to Suwa. Then Khālid made an incursion on Kurākir which was a spring belonging to the

² Ar. ‘Ajam; see Muh. Stud., p. 101 seq.
Kalb tribe, and thence crossed the desert to Suwa\(^1\) which was also a spring held conjointly by the Kalb and some men of the Bahrā'ī. Here Khālid killed Ḥurḳūṣ ibn-an-Nu'mān al-Bahrānī of the Ḫudā'ah tribe and swept off all their possessions. When Khālid wanted to cross the desert, he gave the camels all the water they could drink and then thrust into the camels’ lips spears, which he left for them to drag,\(^2\) lest they should ruminate and get thirsty again. The quantity of water he carried along, though big, was exhausted on the way. So Khālid had to slay the camels one after the other and drink with his men the water from their bellies. Khālid had a guide named Ṿāfī ibn-'Umnair at-Ṭā'ī whom the poet meant when he said:

> "How wonderful has Ṿāfī been, who succeeded in finding the way from Kurā'īr to Suwa, to the water from which the coward who attempts to reach it returns before attaining it. No human being before thee ever did that!"

When the Moslems arrived in Suwa they found Ḥurḳūṣ and a band of men drinking and singing. Ḥurḳūṣ himself was saying:

> "Again give me to drink before Abu-Bakr’s army is on, our death may be at hand while we are unaware."\(^3\)

As the Moslems killed him, his blood flowed into the basin from which he had been drinking; and some report that his head, too, fell therein. It is claimed by others,\(^4\) however, that the one who sang this verse was one of those of the banu-Taghlīb whom Khālid had attacked with Ṿāfī’ah ibn-Bujair.

\(^1\) Baṣrī, p. 63; “Suwa”.


\(^3\) Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2124; Mémoire, p. 46; Diyār-bakri, vol. ii, p. 25.

\(^4\) Baṣrī, p. 62 seq.
Khâlid in Ḳarkisiya. According to al-Wâkidi, Khâlid started from Suwa to al-Kawâthil thence to Ḳarkisiya whose chief met him with a large host. Khâlid left him alone, turned to the mainland and went his way.

Araḵah makes terms. Another place to which Khâlid came was Araḵah (i.e. Arak) whose people he attacked and besieged. The city surrendered and made terms, offering a certain sum for the Moslems.

Dûmat al-Jandal, Kuṣam, Tadmur and al-Ḳaryatain taken. Dûmat al-Jandal he then reached and conquered. Then he came to Kuṣam in which the banu-Mashja‘ah ibn-at-Taim ibn-an-Namir ibn-Wabarah ibn-Taghib ibn-Ḥulwân ibn-Imrân ibn-al-Ḥâfi ibn-Ḳuḍā‘ah came to terms with him. Khâlid wrote them a promise of security and advanced to Tadmur [Palmyra]. Tadmur’s inhabitants held out against him and took to their fortifications. At last they sought to surrender and he wrote them a statement guaranteeing their safety on condition that they be considered dhimmah people, that they entertain Moslems and that they submit to them. Khâlid then pushed to al-Ḳaryatain, whose people resisted him but were defeated, losing a large booty.

Ḥuwârîn reduced. Khâlid proceeded to Ḥuwârîn in Saṣîr and made a raid on its cattle. Its inhabitants, having been reinforced by the inhabitants of Ba‘labakk and of Buṣra (the capital of Ḥaurân) stood out against him. The victory was won by Khâlid who took some as captives and killed others.

2 Balâdhanī, part I, chap. XIII.
3 Guy Le Strange, Palestine under the Moslems, pp. 540-542.
4 Christians, Jews and Sabians with whom a covenant has been made, who pay a poll tax and for whose security Moslems are responsible.
5 Baṣrî, p. 68.
Ghassân attacked. Thence he came to Marj Râhiṭ and led an incursion against Ghassân on their Easter day—they being Christians. He took some captive and killed others.

*Thaniyat al-'Ukāb.* Khalîd then directed Busr ibn-abi-Artât al-'Amiri of the Kūraish and Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah-İ. Fihri to the Ghūṭah¹ of Damascus where they attacked many villages. Khalîd arrived at Thaniyat in Damascus, the Thaniyat al-'Ukāb of to-day, and stood there for one hour, spreading his banner. This banner was the one the Prophet used, and was black in color; and because the Arabs call a banner “ʿukāb,” the Thaniyat was known since as Thaniyat al-'Ukāb. Others say that it was thus called because a vulture [Ar. ʿukāb] happened to descend on it at that time. But the first explanation is more reliable. I heard it said by some that at that place stood a stone image of a vulture. But there is no truth in that statement.

*Khalîd meets abu-'Ubaidah.* Khalîd camped at the East [Sharkî] gate of Damascus; and according to others, at the Jâbiyah gate. The bishop of Damascus offered him gifts and homage and said to Khalîd, “Keep this covenant² for me.” Khalîd promised to do so. Then Khalîd went until he met the Moslems who were at Kanât Buṣra. According to others, however, he came to the Jâbiyah where abu-'Ubaidah was with a band of Moslems. Here they met and went together to Buṣra.

¹ A place in Damascus noted for its orchards; ibn-Jubair, Riḥlah, p. 261; Le Strange, p. 33.

² What covenant is meant is not clear. This tradition may have been confused with one that comes later and speaks of the agreement between Khalîd and the bishop. Cf. Caetani, vol. ii, pp. 1204-1205.
CHAPTER III

THE CONQUEST OF BUŠRA

Buṣra comes to terms. When Khālid ibn-al-Walid at the head of the Moslems arrived in Buṣra,¹ all the Moslems gathered against it and put Khālid in chief command. They drew close to it and fought its patrician until he was driven with his armed men inside the town. Others assert that since Buṣra lay within the district of Damascus and, consequently under the rule and commandership of Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân, it was he who held the chief command. At last its people came to terms stipulating that their lives, property and children be safe, and agreeing to pay the poll-tax. According to some reporters, the inhabitants of Buṣra made terms agreeing to pay for each adult one dinâr and one jarib ² of wheat.

Thus the Moslems conquered all the region of Ḥaurân [Auranitis] and subdued it.

Maʿāb surrenders. Abu-ʿUbaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh, at the head of a heavy detachment composed of the commanders’ troops that had joined him, led the way to Maʿāb [Moab] in the district of al-Balkâ’ where the enemy was massed. Maʿāb surrendered and made terms similar to those made by Buṣra. According to others, however, the conquest of Maʿāb was effected before that of Buṣra. Still others assert that abu-ʿUbaidah conquered Maʿāb when he was the commander of all the Moslem forces in Syria in the days of ʿUmar.

¹ Eski-Shām or Old Damascus; Baedeker, Palestine and Syria, p. 201 (ed. 1894).
² Mawardi, p. 265, says that al-jarib is a measure of land 10 x 10 rods. It is also a measure of wheat that varies in different localities.
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CHAPTER IV

THE BATTLE OF AJNÂDÎN (OR AJNÂDAÍN)

The enemy routed. The battle of Ajnâdin\(^1\) ensued. In this battle about 100,000 Greeks took part, the majority of whom were massed one band after the other by Heraclius [Hiraklî], the rest having come from the neighboring districts. On that day, Heraclius was in Ḥimṣ [Ereessa]. Against this army, the Moslems fought a violent battle, and Khâlid ibn-al-Walid particularly distinguished himself. At last, by Allah’s help, the enemies of Allah were routed and shattered into pieces, a great many being slaughtered.

The martyrs. Those who suffered martyrdom on that day were ‘Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib ibn-Hâshim, ‘Amr ibn-Sa’îd ibn-al-‘Āṣî ibn-Urnaiyah, his brother Abân ibn-Sa’îd (according to the most authentic report. Others, however, claim that Abân died in the year 29), Ṭulaib ibn-‘Urnair ibn-Wahb ibn-‘Abd ibn-Ḵuṣâî (who fought a duel with an “unbeliever” who gave him a blow that severed his right hand making his sword fall down with the palm. In this condition he was surrounded and killed by the Greeks. His mother Arwa, daughter of ‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib, was the Prophet’s aunt. His surname was abu-‘Adî), and Salamâh ibn-Hishâm ibn-al-Mughirah. According to others, Salamâh was killed at Marj as-Ṣuffar. Other martyrs were: Ikrîmah ibn-abi-Jahi ibn-Hishâm al-Makhzûmî, Hâbbâr ibn-Ṣufyân ibn-‘Abd-al-Asad al-Makhzûmî (who, according to others, was killed in the battle of

\(^{1}\) Mémoire, p. 50 seq.; Skizzen, vol. vi, p. 54.
THE BATTLE OF AINADIN

Mu'tah), Nu‘aim ibn-'Abdallâh an-Nâhâm al-'Adawi (who, according to others, was killed in the battle of al-Yarmûk), Hishâm ibn-al-'Aṣi ibn-Wâ'il as-Sahmi (who is also supposed by others to have been slain in the battle of al-Yarmûk), Jundub ibn-'Amr ad-Dausi, Sa‘îd ibn-al-Ḥârith, al-Ḥârith ibn-al-Ḥârith, and al-Ḥajjâj ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-Ḳais ibn-'Adi as-Sahmi. According to Hishâm ibn-Muḥammad al-Kalbi, an-Nâhâm was killed in the battle of Mu'tah.

Sa‘îd ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-Ḳais was slain in the battle of al-Yarmûk; Tamîm ibn-al-Ḥârith, in the battle of Ajnâdîn; his brother, 'Ubaiddallâh ibn 'Abd-al-Asad, in al-Yarmûk; and al-Ḥârith ibn-Hishâm ibn-al-Mughirah, in Ajnâdîn.

_Heraclius flees to Antioch._ When the news of this battle came to Heraclius, his heart was filled with cowardice and he was confounded. Consequently, he took to flight to Antioch [Antâkiyâh] from Ḥimṣ [Emesa]. It was mentioned by someone that his flight from Ḥimṣ to Antioch coincided with the advance of the Moslems to Syria. This battle of Ajnâdîn took place on Monday twelve days before the end of Jumâda I, year 13. Some, however, say two days after the beginning of Jumâda II, and others two days before its end.

After that, the Greeks massed an army at Yâkûṣah which was a valley with al-Fauwârah at its mouth. There the Moslems met them, dispelled them and put them to flight with a great slaughter. Their remnants fled to the cities of Syria. The death of abu-Bakr took place in Jumâda II, year 13, and the Moslems received the news in al-Yâkûṣah.¹

¹ Mémoire, p. 64.
CHAPTER V

THE BATTLE OF FIHL IN THE PROVINCE OF THE JORDAN

Abu-'Ubaidah commander-in-chief. The battle of Fihl\textsuperscript{1} in the province of the Jordan was fought two days before the end of dhu-l-\textsuperscript{1}Ka'dah and five months after the proclama-
tion of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb as caliph. The commander-
in-chief was Abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāh, to whom 'Umar had sent a letter with 'Amir ibn-abī-Waḳḳāsh, a brother of Sa'd ibn-abī-Waḳḳāsh, conferring on him the governorship of Syria and the chief command.\textsuperscript{2}

Some say that the appointment of Abu-'Ubaidah to the governorship of Syria was received when Damascus was under siege. Khalīl, being the chief commander in time of war, Abu-'Ubaidah concealed the appointment from him for many days.\textsuperscript{3} When asked by Khalīl for the reason, Abu-'Ubaidah said, “I hated to dishearten thee and weaken thy position as thou standst facing an enemy.”

Terms made after the victory. The way this battle came about was that when Heraclius came to Antioch he sum-
moned the Greeks and the inhabitants of Mesopotamia to go forth to war, putting them under the command of one of his men in whom he trusted. These met the Moslems at Fihl in the province of the Jordan and a most fierce and bloody battle ensued, which ended, by Allah’s help, in the victory of the Moslems. The Greek patrician with about

\textsuperscript{1} Fahl or Fihl, ancient Pella; Mémoire, p. 73.
\textsuperscript{2} Ibid., p. 106.
\textsuperscript{3} Tābārī, vol. i, pp. 2146 and 2147.
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10,000 men was slaughtered, and the rest of the army distributed themselves in the cities of Syria, some of them joining Heraclius. The inhabitants of Fihl took to the fortifications where they were besieged by the Moslems until they sought to surrender, agreeing to pay tax on their heads and kharâj on their lands. The Moslems promised them the security of life and property, agreeing not to demolish their walls. The contract was made by Abu-‘Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh, but according to others, by Shuraḥbil ibn-Hasanah.
CHAPTER VI

THE PROVINCE OF THE JORDAN

Tiberias makes terms. Ḥafṣ ibn-‘Umar al-‘Umari from al-Haitham ibn-‘Adi:—Shurahbil conquered all the province of the Jordan [al-Urdunn] by force, with the exception of Tiberias, whose inhabitants came to terms, agreeing to give up one-half of their homes and churches.¹

‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣi and then abu-‘Ubaidah in chief command. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashki from abu-Bishr—the muezzin of the mosque at Damascus—and others:—When the Moslems arrived in Damascus, each commander used to direct his forces to a special region which he would make the object of his incursions. Thus ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣi used to go against Palestine, Shurahbil against the Jordan province and Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân against the province of Damascus. In case the enemy was massed in one group, they would all combine against him, each [commander] hastening to the support and the reinforcement of the other. In the early days of abu-Bakr, when they would join forces, the commander-in-chief would be ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣi. This was the case until the arrival of Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd, who became the commander of the Moslems in every battle. Abu-‘Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh later assumed the chief command in the whole of Syria, and the commanders acknowledged him as their chief for war and peace in behalf of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb. This was brought about when ‘Umar was

¹ Ṭabarî, vol. i, p. 2159.
proclaimed caliph and wrote to Khâlid dismissing him and assigning abu-'Ubaidah.

**Shurâhbil and then ‘Amr seizes Tiberias.** Shurâhbil ibn-Ḥasanah took Tiberias [Ṭabaraiyih] by capitulation after a siege of some days. He guaranteed for the inhabitants the safety of their lives, possessions, children, churches and houses with the exception of what they should evacuate and desert, setting aside a special spot for a Moslem mosque. Later, in the caliphate of ‘Umar, the people of Tiberias violated the covenant and were joined by many Greeks and others. Abu-'Ubaidah ordered ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi to attack them, so he marched against them at the head of 4,000 men. ‘Amr took the city by capitulation, the terms being similar to those of Shurâhbil. According to others, however, it was Shurâhbil also who conquered it the second time.

**Shurâhbil subdues all the Jordan province.** In addition to that, Shurâhbil took easy possession of all the cities of the Jordan with their fortifications, which, with no resistance, capitulated on terms similar to those of Tiberias. Thus did he take possession of Baisân, [Bethshean, Scythopolis] Sūsiyāh, Afīk, Jarash, Bait-Rās, Ḳadas, and al-Jaulān, and subdue the district of the Jordan and all its land.

According to abu-Ḥafṣ on the authority of al-Waḍīn ibn-‘Atā, Shurâhbil conquered Acre, Tyre and Ṣaffûriyih.

**The sea-coasts reduced.** It is stated by abu-Bishr, the muezzin, that abu-'Ubaidah directed ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi to the sea-coasts of the province of the Jordan. There the Greeks became too numerous for him being recruited by men from the district under Heraclius who was then at Constantinople. ‘Amr, therefore, wrote to abu-'Ubaidah asking for reinforcements. The latter sent Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān who went forth, having his brother, Mu‘āwiyyah, in the van of the army. The littoral of the Jordan was conquered by Yazid and ‘Amr to whom abu-'Ubaidah wrote regarding its con-
quest. In that campaign Muʿāwiyah distinguished himself and left a great impression.

Muʿāwiyah transplants people. Abu-Alyasaʿ al-Anṭāki from certain sheikhs from Antioch and the Jordan:—A body of Persians were transplanted in the year 42 by Muʿāwiyah from Baʿlabakk, Ḫimṣ and Antioch to the seacoasts of the Jordan, i. e., Tyre, Acre and other places; and he transplanted in the same year, or one year before or after, certain Asāwirah ¹ from al-Baṣrāh and al-Kūfah and certain Persians from Baʿlabakk and Ḫimṣ to Antioch. One of the Persian leaders was Muslim ibn-ʿAbdallāh, grandfather of ʿAbdallāh ibn-Ḥabib ibn-an-Nuʿmān ibn-Muslim al-Anṭāki.

Muʿāwiyah makes repairs in Acre and Tyre. According to a tradition communicated to me by Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd on the authority of al-Wākīdī, and by Hishām ibn-al-Laith ʿaṣ-Ṣūrī on the authority of certain sheikhs from Syria, when Muʿāwiyah came to sail from Acre to Cyprus he made repairs in Acre ['Akka] and in Tyre [Ṣūr]. Later both cities were rebuilt by ʿAbd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān, after having fallen into ruins.

Hishām ibn-al-Laith from our sheikhs who said, “When we took up our abode in Tyre and the littoral, there were Arab troops and many Greeks already there. Later, people from other regions came and settled with us, and that was the case with all the sea-coast of Syria.”

Artisans settled along the sea-coast. Muḥammad ibn-Saḥīm al-Anṭāki from contemporaneous sheikhs:—In the year 49 the Greeks left for the sea-coast. Industry at that time was confined to Egypt. Consequently, and in accordance with Muʿāwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyān’s orders, certain artisans and carpenters were gathered and settled along the coast. As for the industry of the Jordan province it was all confined to Acre.

¹ Persian armed cavalry.
Hishâm moves the industry to Tyre. Abu-l-Khaṭṭāb al-Azdi mentioned the case of a descendant of abu-Mu‘aṭṭ who lived in Acre and ran mills and workshops. Hishâm ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik wanted him to sell them to him; but the man refused. Hishâm therefore moved the industry \(^1\) to Tyre where he ran an inn and a workshop.

Tyre a naval base. According to al-Wâkidi, the ships used to be in Acre until the time of the banu-Marwân who moved them to Tyre, where they are until today.\(^2\) In the year 247, al-Mutawakkil gave orders that the ships be stationed in Acre and all along the coast, and he manned them with fighters.

---

\(^1\) Ar. ṣinā‘ah; Ya‘kûbi, p. 327: “dār aṣ-ṣinā‘ah” which means arsenal. The reference may be to the industry of making ships. Cf. Le Strange, p. 342 seq.

\(^2\) Ibn-Jubair, p. 305.
CHAPTER VII

THE BATTLE OF MARJ AS-ŠUFFAR

The "unbelievers" put to flight. The Greeks met in great numbers and were reinforced by Heraclius. The Moslems encountered them at Marj as-Šuffar on their way to Damascus on the first of Muḥarram, year 14.¹ The battle that ensued was so violent that blood flowed along with water and turned the wheels of the mill. Of the Moslems about 4,000 were wounded. At last the "unbelievers" took to flight and were dispersed, disregarding everything until they came to Damascus and Jerusalem. On that day, Khālid ibn-Saʿīd ibn-al-ʿĀṣi ibn-Umayyah (surnamed abu-Saʿīd) fell a martyr. In the evening previous to the day in the morning of which the battle was fought, he was married to ʿumm-Ḥakim, the daughter of al-Ḥārith ibn-Hishâm al-Makhzūmī, and the wife of ʿIkrimah ibn-abi-Jahl.² Hearing the news of his death, ʿumm-Ḥakim pulled out the post of the tent and fought with it. On that day, according to some report, she killed seven and had her face still covered with the ointment perfumed with saffron³ [with which women anointed themselves on the first night of matrimony].

According to the report of abu-Mikhnaf, this battle of Marj took place twenty days after the battle of Ajnādīn;

¹ Mémoire, pp. 79-80.
³ Tābarī, vol. i, p. 3169; Aghānī, vol. vi, pp. 6-7; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 322-182
the conquest of Damascus followed it, and after the conquest of Damascus the battle of Fihā took place. The report of al-Wākīdī, however, is more authentic.

It was regarding the battle of Marj that Khālid ibn-Saʿīd ibn-al-ʿĀṣī said:

"Isn't there a horseman who, tired of stabbing, would lend me his lance for the battle of Marj aṣ-Ṣūfarr?"

Referring to this battle, ʿAbdallāh ibn-Kâmil ibn-Ḥabīb ibn-ʿAmirah ibn-Khuφāf ibn-Amruʾi-l-Kaīs ibn-Buḥthah ibn-Sulaim said:

"The tribes of Mālik took part, but ʿAmirah disappeared from my sight in the battle of Marj aṣ-Ṣūfarr,"

meaning Mālik ibn-Khuφāf.

The story of the Samṣāmah sword. According to Hishām ibn-Muḥammad al-Kalbi, in the battle of Marj, Khālid ibn-Saʿīd suffered martyrdom with his sword aṣ-Samṣāmah hanging down from his neck. The Prophet had sent him as ʿāmil to al-Yaman, and on his way he passed by the kindred of ʿAmr ibn-Maʿdikarib az-Zubaidī of Madīḥij and attacked them, taking as captives the wife of ʿAmr and other kinsmen. ʿAmr proposed that Khālid grants them their liberty and they would accept Islām. And so it was. ʿAmr offered Khālid his own sword, aṣ-Samṣāmah, saying:

"A friend whom I offered as present not because of any hatred but because presents are for those of noble birth.
A friend whom I did not betray and who did not betray me, and so my qualities and fellow-drinkers did not.
I bestowed it on a nobleman of ʿArāsh who was pleased with it and by which he was protected against the evil men."

This sword Muʿāwiyyah took from the neck of Khālid when

1 Aghāni, vol. xiv, pp. 27, 31, 32.
he fell martyr in the battle of Marj. Mu‘āwiya kept it, but its possession was later disputed by Sa‘īd ibn-al-‘Aṣī ibn-Sa‘īd ibn-al-‘Aṣī ibn-Umayyah. ‘Uthmān decided the case in favor of the latter, who kept it until the battle of ad-Dār in which Marwān was struck on the nape of the neck and Sa‘īd fell unconscious by a blow. A Juḥainah man took the Ṣamṣāmah. The Juḥainah man kept it, and one day he gave it to a polisher to polish it. The polisher could not believe that one of the Juḥainah could possess such a sword, so he took it to Marwān ibn-al-Ḥakam, the governor of al-Madinah, who asked the Juḥainah man for an explanation, and he told its story. “By Allah,” exclaimed Marwān, “in the battle of ad-Dār, my sword was stolen from me, and so was that of Sa‘īd ibn-al-‘Aṣī.” Then came Sa‘īd and recognizing his sword took it, carved his name on it, and sent it to ‘Amr ibn-Sa‘īd al-Asḥadāk, the governor of Makkah. Sa‘īd perished, and the sword was left with ‘Amr ibn-Sa‘īd. When ‘Amr ibn-Sa‘īd was killed at Damascus and his belongings were stolen, his brother on the father’s side, Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘īd, took the sword, which later passed to Yaḥya ibn-Sa‘īd. At the death of Yaḥya, it passed to ‘Anbasah ibn-Sa‘īd ibn-al-‘Aṣī and then to Sa‘īd ibn-‘Amr ibn-Sa‘īd. When the last perished, the sword went to Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallāḥ ibn-Sa‘īd whose descendants live now in Bārik. Then it went to Abān ibn-Yaḥya ibn-Sa‘īd who decked it with an ornament of gold and kept it with the mother of a child [concubine] of his. At last Aiyūb ibn-abi-Aiyūb ibn-Sa‘īd sold it to al-Mahdi the “Commander of the Believers” for over 80,000 [dirhams]. Al-Mahdi put the ornament of gold back on it. When it came finally into the possession of Mūsā-ī-Hādi, the “Commander of the Believers,” he admired it and ordered the poet abu-ī-Ha‘ul to describe it, upon which the latter said:
"He who acquired the Şamsâmah of 'Amr az-Zubaidi
is the best of all men—Mûsa-l-Amin.
It is the sword of 'Amr which as we know
is the best that a scabbard ever sheathed.
Green in color between the edges of which is a garment
of poison in which death is clad.
If one unsheathes it, its brilliancy dazzles
that of the sun, so that the sun would scarcely be seen.
When the one to be smitten is at hand,
it does not matter whether the left or the right hand applies it.
What a good sword it is for him, who wants to defend his honor,
to smite with in the battle, and what a good companion!" ¹

Later on, al-Wâthik-Billâh, the "Commander of the Be-
lievers," called a polisher and ordered him to temper it. On
doing so, the sword was changed.

CHAPTER VIII

THE CONQUEST OF DAMASCUS AND ITS PROVINCE

The positions taken by the different generals. When the Moslems were done with the fight against those who were gathered at al-Marj, they stayed there for fifteen days at the end of which they returned [sic] to Damascus [Dimashk]. This took place fourteen days before the end of Muḥarram, year 14. Al-Ghūṭah and its churches the Moslems took by force. The inhabitants of Damascus be-took themselves to the fortifications and closed the gate of the city. Khālid ibn-al-Walid at the head of some 5,000 men whom abu-ʿUbaidah had put under his command, camped at al-Bāb ash-Sharkī [the east gate]. Some assert that Khālid was the chief commander but was dismissed when Damascus was under siege. The convent by which Khālid camped was called Dair Khālid.1 ‘Amr ibn-al-ʿĀṣī camped at the Tūma gate; Shurabīl, at the Faradīs gate, abu-ʿUbaidah at the Jābiyah gate, and Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān from the Ṣaghīr gate to the one known as Kaisān gate.2 Abu-ad-Dardā appointed ‘Uwaimir ibn-ʿĀmir al-Khazraji commander of a frontier garrison settled in the fortification3 at Barzah.4

The statement written by Khālid. The bishop5 who had

2 H. Lammins, MFO, vol. iii, p. 256; Kremer, Topographie von Damaskus, the chart next to page 36.
3 Mémoire, p. 90.
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provided Khâlid with food at the beginning of the siege was wont to stand on the wall. Once Khâlid called him, and when he came, Khâlid greeted him and talked with him. The bishop one day said to him, “Abu-Sulaimân, thy case is prospering and thou hast a promise to fulfil for me; let us make terms for this city.” Thereupon, Khâlid called for an inkhorn and parchment and wrote:—

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is what Khâlid would grant to the inhabitants of Damascus, if he enters therein: he promises to give them security for their lives, property and churches. Their city-wall shall not be demolished; neither shall any Moslem be quartered in their houses. Thereunto we give to them the pact of Allah and the protection of his Prophet, the caliphs and the ‘Believers’. So long as they pay the poll-tax, nothing but good shall befall them.”

The Moslems enter the city. One night, a friend of the bishop came to Khâlid and informed him of the fact that it was the night of a feast for the inhabitants of the city, that they were all busy and that they had blocked the Sharki gate with stones and left it unguarded. He then suggested that Khâlid should procure a ladder. Certain occupants of the convent, by which Khâlid’s army camped, brought him two ladders on which some Moslems climbed to the highest part of the wall, and descended to the gate which was guarded only by one or two men. The Moslems cooperated and opened the door. This took place at sunrise.

In the meantime, Abu-‘Ubaidah had managed to open the Jâbiyah gate and sent certain Moslems over its wall. This made the Greek fighters pour to his side and lead a violent fight against the Moslems. At last, however, the Greeks took to flight. Then Abu-‘Ubaidah at the head of

1 Tabari, vol. i, p. 2152.
the Moslems opened the Jābiyah gate by force and made their entrance through it. Abu-‘Ubaidah and Khâlid ibn-al-Walid met at al-Maṣṣalât which was the quarter of the coppersmiths in Damascus. The same spot is mentioned in a poem by Ḥassân ibn-Thâbit under the name of al-Bariṣ:  

“He who calls at al-Bariṣ for a drink,  
[is given the water of Barada mixed with dainty wine].”  

According to other reports, one night the Greeks carried out through the Jābiyah gate a corpse. A number of their brave and armed men accompanied the funeral. The rest of them stood at the gate to prevent the Moslems from opening it and entering until their Greek comrades should have returned from the burial of the dead man, thus taking advantage of the Moslems’ state of unmindfulness. But the Moslems knew of them and fought with them at the gate a most fierce and bloody conflict which ended in the opening of the gate by the Moslems at sunrise. Seeing that abu-‘Ubaidah was on the point of entering the city, the bishop hurried to Khâlid and capitulated. He then opened the Sharki gate and entered with Khâlid, with the statement which Khâlid had written him unfolded in his hand. Regarding that, certain Moslems remarked, “By Allah, Khâlid is not the commander. How could his terms then be binding?” To this, abu-‘Ubaidah replied, “Even the lowest of the Moslems can make binding terms on their behalf.” And sanctioning the capitulation made by Khâlid, he signed it, not taking into account the fact that a part of the city was taken by force. Thus all Damascus was considered as having capitulated. Abu-‘Ubaidah wrote to ‘Umar regarding that and forwarded the message. Then

1 Ḥassân, Diwān, p. 17.
the gates of the city were opened and all the Moslems met within.

According to the report of abu-Mikhna‘f and others, Khâlid entered the city by assault, whereas abu-‘Ubaidah entered it by capitulation, and they both met at the Zaiyâtîn [market of oil-dealers]. The former report however, is more authentic.

Al-Haitham ibn-‘Adî claimed that the people of Damascus capitulated agreeing to give up one-half of their homes and churches. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d reported that abu-‘Abdal-lâh al-Wâkîdî said, “I have read the statement issued by Khâlid ibn-al-Walid to the people of Damascus and found no mention in it of ‘half the homes and churches’. I do not know where the one who reported it got his information. The fact is that when Damascus was taken possession of, a great number of its inhabitants fled to Heraclius who was then at Antioch, leaving many vacant dwellings behind that were later occupied by the Moslems.”

Some one reported that it was abu-‘Ubaidah who had his quarters at the Sharkî gate, and Khâlid at the Jâbiyâh gate; but this view is erroneous.

The date of the conquest. According to al-Wâkîdî, the conquest of Damascus was effected in Rajab, year 14,¹ but the date which Khâlid’s statement of capitulation bears was Rabi‘ II, year 15. The explanation is that Khâlid wrote the statement with no date, but when the Moslems were preparing to set out against those gathered for their fight in al-Yarmûk, the bishop came to Khâlid asking him to renew the statement and add as witnesses abu-‘Ubaidah and the Moslems. Khâlid granted the request and inserted the names of abu-‘Ubaidah, Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân, Shurâhîbîl ibn-ḥasanah and others as witnesses. The date he put was the one in which the statement was renewed.

¹ Ya’kûbi, vol. ii, p. 159.
The city considered as having capitulated. Al-Ḳāsim ibn-Sallām from Sa'id ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz at-Tanūkhi:—Yazid entered Damascus by capitulation through the Sharki gate. At al-Maḳsalāt the two Moslem commanders met, and the whole city was considered as having capitulated.

The siege conducted for four months. Al-Ḳāsim from abu-l-As̲h̲'āth aṣ-Ṣan'ānī or abu-'Uthmān aṣ-Ṣan'ānī:—Abu-'Ubaidah spent at the Jābiyah gate four months ¹ conducting the siege.

The case of a church. Abu-'Ubaid from Rajā' ibn-abi-Salama:—Ḥāsān ibn-Mālik presented to 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz the case of a church that one of the commanders had bestowed on him as fief, and the possession of which was contested by the non-Arabs of Damascus. Regarding that, 'Umar said, “If it is included in the fifteen churches mentioned in their covenant, thou hast no claim on it.”

The following was stated by Ḍamrah on the authority of 'Ali ibn-abi-Ḥamalah, “The non-Arabs of Damascus disputed with us the right to a church at Damascus that was assigned by someone as fief to the banu-Naṣr, and the case was presented to 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz who took the church from us and returned it to the Christians. When Yazid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik, however, came to power he gave it back to the banu-Naṣr.”

The poll-tax. Abu-'Ubaid from al-Auzâ'i who said:—“At the outset, the poll-tax in Syria consisted of one jarīb and one dinār per head. 'Umar ibn-al-Khāṭṭāb made it four dinārs on those who had gold and forty dirhams on those who had silver, arranging them in ranks according to the wealth of the rich, the poverty of the poor and the medium possessions of the middle class.”

Hishām heard it said by our sheikhs that the Jews were

Ya'qūbī, Buldān, p. 325: “one year”.

¹ Conducting the siege.
for the Christians as *dhimmis* paying *kharâj* to them, and were, therefore, included in the capitulation.

According to certain reports, one of the terms imposed by Khâlid ibn-al-Walid on the inhabitants of Damascus, when they capitulated, was that every man should give as poll-tax one *dînâr* and one *jarib* of wheat, together with vinegar and oil for feeding the Moslems.

'Amr an-Nâkid from Aslam, the freedman of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb:—'Umar wrote to the commanders of the provinces of Syria [Ar. *ajnâd*] instructing them to levy a tax on every adult, making it forty *dirhams* on those who possessed silver, and four *dînârs* on those who possessed gold. Moreover, he ordered that in the way of providing the Moslems with wheat and oil, they have to give every Moslem in Syria and Mesopotamia [Ar. al-Jazîrah] two *modît* of wheat and three *kîsts* of oil per month. He also assessed on them grease and honey, the quantity of which I do not know; and for every Moslem in Egypt per month one *irdabb* [of wheat], clothing, and the right of being entertained as guest for three days.

'Amr ibn-Ḥammâd ibn-abi-Ḥanîfah from Aslam:—'Umar assessed as poll-tax four *dînârs* on those who possessed gold, and forty *dirhams* on those who possessed silver, in addition to offering the Moslems a subsistence tribute and providing them with three-days' entertainment.

A similar tradition was communicated to me by Muṣ'ab on the authority of Aslam.

*The cathedral of St. John.* It is reported that when Mu'âwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân came to power, he desired to add the church of St. John to the mosque in Damascus; but the

---

1 *Ar. mudi, Latin *modius*, is 17 *sâ's*; a *kîst* is half a *sâ'; an *irdabb* is 24 *sâ's.*

Christians refused. So he refrained. Later, when ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân was in power, he made the same request for the enlargement of the mosque offering them money in exchange; but they refused to deliver the church to him. In his turn, al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik called the Christians and offered them large sums for the church, and when they refused, he threatened them saying, “If ye do not agree, I will surely tear it down.” To this someone replied, “He, ‘Commander of the Believers’, who tears down a church will lose his wits and be affected with some blight.” Al-Walid, being angered at what was said, ordered that a spade be brought and began demolishing the walls with his own hand, while he had a robe of yellow silk on him. He then called workmen and house-razers and they pulled the church down. Thus it was included in the mosque. When ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz became caliph, the Christians complained of what al-Walîd had done for their church. ‘Umar wrote to his ‘âmil ordering him to return to the Christians that part which he had added to the mosque from their church. The people of Damascus disliked the idea saying, “Shall we destroy our mosque after we have called to prayer and held service in it? And can a Christian church be returned [to its former owners]?” Among the Moslems were at that time Sulaimân ibn-Ḥabîb al-Muḥâribî and other canonists. They then came to the Christians and proposed to turn over to them all the churches of al-Ghūtah that had been taken by force and were in the hands of the Moslems, provided they give up the church of St. John and cease to assert their claim on it. The Christians rather seemed to favor the proposition and consented to it. ‘Umar’s ‘âmil communicated the news to ‘Umar who was pleased and signed the agreement. Next to the tower of the Mosque of Damascus at the southern porch stands an inscription on marble near the roof which was
part of that which was built by the order of al-Walid the "Commander of the Believers" in the year 86.

The wall of Damascus. I myself heard Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr say, "The wall around the city of Damascus remained standing until it was demolished by ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-‘Abbâs after the question between Marwân and the banu-Umaiyyah had been settled."

Buṣra, Adhri‘ât, al-Bathaniyyah and other places reduced. Abu-Hâfs ad-Dimashki from the muezzin of the Damascus Mosque and other men:—At the arrival of Khâlid, the Moslems gathered their forces against Buṣra, and it capitulated. They then dispersed throughout all Ḥaurân which they subdued. The chief of Adhri‘ât came to them offering to capitulate on the same terms on which the people of Buṣra had capitulated and agreeing to make all the land of al-Bathaniyyah a kharâj land. The request was granted, and Yazid ibn-abî-Sufyân entered the city and made a covenant with its people. Thus the two districts of Ḥaurân and al-Bathaniyyah came under the full control of the Moslems. Thence they came to Palestine and the Jordan, invading what had not yet been reduced. Yazid marched against ‘Ammân and made an easy conquest of it, making terms of capitulation similar to those of Buṣra. Besides, he effected the complete conquest of the province of al-Balkâ’. When abu-‘Ubaidah came to power, all that was already conquered. At the conquest of Damascus, abu-‘Ubaidah was the commander-in-chief; but the terms of capitulation were made by Khâlid, abu-‘Ubaidah concurring.

'Arandal, ash-Sharât and the sea-coast reduced. During the governorship of abu-‘Ubaidah, Yazid ibn-abî-Sufyân went and took possession of 'Arandal by capitulation. He

1 Modern Nukrah in Ḥaurân.
2 The correct form is Gharandal; Ya'kûbi, Buldân, p. 326; Baedeker, p. 150.
also subdued the province of ash-Sharât with its mountains. It is stated by Sa‘id ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz on the authority of al-Waḍîn that after the [second] conquest of Damascus Yazid came to Sidon, ‘Irkhah,1 Jubail, and Bierût (which lie on the sea-coast) 2 with his brother, Mu‘âwiya, leading the van of the army. These cities he conquered with great facility, expelling many of their inhabitants. The conquest of ‘Irkhah was effected by Mu‘âwiya himself when Yazid was governor. Toward the close of the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khattâb or the beginning of the caliphate of ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân, the Greeks restored some of these coast-towns, and Mu‘âwiya again marched against those towns and conquered them. He then made repairs in them and stationed garrisons in them among whom he distributed the fiefs.

**Tripoli captured.** When ‘Uthmân was made caliph and Mu‘âwiya became governor of Syria, the latter directed Sufyân ibn-Mujîb al-Azdi to Tripoli [Aṭrâbulus] which was a combination of three cities.3 Sufyân erected on a plain a few miles from the city a fort which was called Ḥiṣn Sufyân [Sufyân fort], intercepted the recruits from the sea as well as from the land and laid siege to the city. When the siege was pressed hard against them, the inhabitants of Tripoli met in one of the three fortifications and wrote to the king of the Greeks asking for relief through reinforcement or ships on which they might escape and flee to him. Accordingly, the king sent them many ships which they boarded in the night time and fled away. When Sufyân arose in the morning—he having been accustomed to sleep

---

1 “‘Arkaḥ” in Hamadhâni, Buldân, p. 105; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 801; Correggi: ‘Arqaq”.
2 *Journal Asiatique*, 1859, vol. i, p. 120, note 1.
3 As its Greek name designates.
every night in his fort, and fortify the Moslems in it, and to rise up in the morning against the enemy—he discovered that the fortification in which the people of Tripoli were was vacant. Immediately he entered it and sent the news of the conquest to Mu‘awiyah. Mu‘awiyah made it a dwelling-place for a large body of Jews. It is this fortification in which the harbor of the city is to-day. Later ‘Abd-al-Malik built it and made it stronger.

Mu‘awiyah used to send every year to Tripoli a large body of troops to guard the city and used to assign it to a different ‘āmil; but in case the sea was closed, the ‘āmil with a small band would stay and the rest would return. This state of affairs lasted until ‘Abd-al-Malik began to rule. In the days of the latter, one of the Greek patricians with a large body of men came to the city and asked for a promise of safety, agreeing to settle therein and pay kharāj. His request was granted. He had not been there two years or two years and a few months when he took advantage of the absence of the troops from the city, shut its gate and killed the ‘āmil, taking his soldiers and many Jews as captives. He then made his way together with his followers to the land of the Greeks [Asia Minor]. Later the Moslems caught him on the sea going to a Moslem coast-town with a large number of ships, and killed him. Others say they took him captive and sent him to ‘Abd-al-Malik who killed and crucified him. I heard someone say that ‘Abd-al-Malik sent someone who besieged him in Tripoli until he surrendered and was carried before ‘Abd-al-Malik who killed and crucified him. Some of his followers took to flight and got as far as the land of the Greeks.

‘Ali ibn-Muhammad al-Madâ’ini related on the authority of ‘Attâb ibn-Ibrâhim that Tripoli was conquered by Sufyân ibn-Mujib, that its inhabitants violated the covenant in the days of ‘Abd-al-Malik and that it was reduced by al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik in his reign.
The Mediterranean littoral reduced. Abu-Ḥafṣ ash-Shāmi from al-Wadīn:—At first Yazid ibn-abi-Suṣyān directed Muʿāwiyyah against the littoral of the province of Damascus excluding Tripoli whose possession he did not covet. Muʿāwiyyah sometimes spent on the reduction of the fort a few days—two or more—in the course of which he was resisted either slightly or strongly before he could take it.

When the Moslems conquered a city, whether so situated as to overlook a wide territory or on the coast, they would station in it whatever number of Moslems was necessary; and if the enemy in it should start a revolt the Moslems would flock to it for reinforcement. But when ‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān became caliph he wrote to Muʿāwiyyah instructing him to fortify the coast-cities and man them, and to give fiefs to those whom he settled in them. Muʿāwiyyah did accordingly.

Abu-Ḥafṣ from Saʿīd ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzīz who said:—“I heard it said by some that after the death of his brother Yazid, Muʿāwiyyah wrote to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb describing the condition of the coast-towns. ‘Umar wrote back ordering that their fortifications be repaired, that garrisons be stationed in them, that watchmen be posted on their towers and that means be taken for lighting the fire on the towers to announce the approach of the enemy. ‘Umar gave Muʿāwiyyah no permission to carry out a naval campaign. But Muʿāwiyyah insisted so much that ‘Uthmān allowed him to carry out a sea expedition and instructed him to keep ready in the coast-cities troops in addition to those already in them, whether he wanted to set out on the campaign in person or send some one else on it. He also instructed him to give the garrison lands and distribute among them whatever houses had been evacuated, and to establish new mosques and enlarge those that had been established before his caliphate.”
According to al-Waḍīn, after that, men from all quarters moved to the coast cities.

'Alkamah nominated governor of Ḥaurān. Al-‘Abbās ibn-Hishām al-Kalbi from Ja’far ibn-Kilāb al-Kilābī:— 'Alkamah ibn-'Ulāthah ibn-'Auf ibn-al-Ahwaṣ ibn-Ja'far ibn-Kilāb was assigned by 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb to the governorship of Ḥaurān and he was made responsible to Muʿāwiyah. This position he held until his death. Before his death he heard that al-Ḥuṭai’ah-l-‘Absi was coming to visit him; so 'Alkamah bequeathed to him in his will a share equal to one of his sons' shares. Hence the poem of al-Ḥuṭai’ah: ¹

“Between me and becoming rich—had I only reached thee, when thou wert still living—
there would have been an interval of only a few nights.”

Kubbash farm. I was told by certain learned men among whom was a neighbor of Hishām ibn-‘Ammār that abu-Sufyān ibn-Ḥarb possessed in the pre-Islamic period, in which he carried on trade with Syria, a village in al-Balkā' called Kubbash. This village passed into the possession of Muʿāwiyah and his son, and at the beginning of the [Abbasid] dynasty, it was confiscated and possessed by certain sons of al-Mahdi, the “Commander of the Believers.” Then it passed into the hands of certain oil-sellers of al-Kūfah known as the banu-Nuʿaim.

The Prophet gives fief to Tamīm and Nuʿaim. 'Abbās ibn-Hishām from his grandfather:—Once came Tamīm ibn-Aus of the banu-ad-Dār ibn-Hāni’ ibn-Ḥabib of [the tribe of] Lakhm, surnamed abu-Ruḳaiyah, with his brother Nuʿaim ibn-Aus, to the Prophet who gave them as fief Ḥibra, Bait-‘Ainūn ² and Masjid Ibrāhim, and to that end he wrote

² Ibn-Duraid, p. 226.
a statement. When Syria was subdued, all that was restored to them. When Sulaimān ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik used to pass near this land he would not stop in it saying, "I am afraid the curse of the Prophet will follow me."

ʿUmar gives stipends to diseased Christians. Hishām ibn-ʿAmmār told me he heard it said by certain sheikhs that on his way to al-Jābiyah in the province of Damascus, ʿUmar ibn-al-Khattāb passed by certain Christians smitten with elephentiasis and he ordered that they be given something out of the ṣadakāhs and that food stipends be assigned to them.

Dair Khālid. Hishām reported that he heard it said by al-Walid ibn-Muslim that Khālid ibn-al-Walid made a condition in favor of the convent known as Dair Khālid, when its occupants offered him a ladder to climb to the city wall, to the effect that their kharāj be reduced. The condition was enforced by abu-ʿUbaidah.

The terms with Baʿlabakk. When abu-ʿUbaidah was done with Damascus, he advanced to Ḥims. On his way, he passed through Baʿlabakk whose inhabitants sought to secure safety and capitulate. Abu-ʿUbaidah made terms guaranteeing the safety of their lives, possessions and churches. To that end he wrote the following statement:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a statement of security to so and so, son of so and so, and to the inhabitants of Baʿlabakk—Greeks, Persians and Arabs—for their lives, possessions, churches and houses, inside and outside the city and also for their mills. The Greeks are entitled to give pasture to their cattle within a space of 15 miles, yet are not to abide in any inhabited town. After Rabīʿ and Jumāda I shall have passed, they are at

1 Ar. mujadhdhāmin, see Kāmūs, Tāj al-ʿArūs and Nihāyah; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 933, translates: "mutilati".
liberty to go where they will. Whosoever of them adopts Islam, shall have the same rights as we and be bound by the same obligations; and their merchants are entitled to go whither they will in the countries that have become ours through capitulation. Those of them who do not adopt Islam are bound to pay poll-tax and kharāj. Allah is witness and his witness is sufficient.”

CHAPTER IX

HIMŠ

The inhabitants capitulate. ʿAbbâs ibn-Hishâm from abu-Mikhnaḏ:—When abu-ʿUbaidah was through with Damascus, he sent ahead of him Khâlid ibn-al-Walid and Milhân ibn-Zaiyâr at-Ṭâʿi and then he followed them. When they met in Ḥimṣ [Emesa], the people of the city resisted them, but finally sought refuge in the city and asked for safety and capitulation. They capitulated to abu-ʿUbaidah agreeing to pay 170,000 dinârs.2

As-Simṭ captures Himṣ. According to al-Wâḏiḏi and others, as the Moslems stood at the gates of Damascus there appeared a dense band of the enemy’s horsemen. The troops of the Moslems set out and met them between Bait-Lihya and ath-Thaniyâh. The enemy was defeated and took to flight in the direction of Ḥimṣ via Kâra. The Moslems pursued them to Ḥimṣ but found that they had turned away from it. The people of Ḥimṣ saw the Moslems and, being scared because Heraclius had run away from them and because of what they heard regarding the Moslems’ power, valor and victory, they submitted and hastened to seek the promise of security. The Moslems guaranteed their safety and refrained from killing them. The people of Ḥimṣ offered them food for their animals and for themselves and the Moslems camped on the Orontes [al-Urunt, or al-Urund] (the river which empties its water in the sea near
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Antioch). The commander of the Moslems at that time was as-Simṭ ibn-al-Aswād al-Kindī.

When abū-‘Ubaydah was through with Damascus, he left over it in his place Yazīd ibn-abi-Sufyān, came to Ḥimṣ via Ba‘labakk, and encamped at the Rastan gate. The people of Ḥimṣ capitulated, and he guaranteed the safety of their lives, possessions, city-wall, churches, and wells excluding one-fourth of St. John’s Church which was to be turned into a mosque. He made it a condition on those of them who would not embrace Islām to pay kharāj.¹

According to certain reports, it was as-Simṭ ibn-al-Aswād al-Kindī who made the terms with the people of Ḥimṣ. When abū-ʿUbaydah arrived, he caused the terms to take effect. As-Simṭ divided the city into lots, each marked for one Moslem to build his house. He also made them settle in every place whose occupants had evacuated it and in every yard that was deserted.

The terms with Hamāh, Shaizar, Fāmiyāh and other places. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashkī from Saʿīd ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzīz:—When abū-ʿUbaydah ibn-al-Jarrāḥ effected the conquest of Damascus, he left over it as his lieutenant Yazīd ibn-abi-Sufyān; over the province of Palestine, ʿAmr ibn-al-ʿAṣī; and over the province of the Jordan, Shuraḥbīl. He then advanced to Ḥimṣ whose people capitulated on the same terms as those of Ba‘labakk. Leaving over Ḥimṣ ‘Ubādah ibn-as-Šāmit al-Anṣārī, he pushed towards Ḥamāh [Epiphania] whose people met him offering their submission. He made terms with them, stipulating that they pay tax on their heads and kharāj on their land. Thence he proceeded towards Shaizar. The people of Shaizar [Larissa] went out to meet him bowing² before him and

² Ar. kaffara; see GGA, 1863, p. 1348; Kashshāf, vol. i, p. 22.
accompanied by players on the tambourines and singers. They agreed to terms similar to those made with the people of Ḥamāh. Abu-ʻUbaidah’s horsemen reached as far as az-Zarrā‘ah and al-Ḳastal. He then passed through Ma‘arrat Ḥimṣ [Ma‘arrat an-NGu’mān] which was named after an-NGu’mān ibn-Bashīr. Its people came out playing on tambourines and singing before him. Thence he came to Ḥāmiyah whose people met him in the same way and consented to pay poll-tax and kharāj. Thus was the question of Ḥimṣ brought to an end, and Ḥimṣ and Ḥūnasrin became parts of one whole.

The “Junds” and “Awāsim.” There is a disagreement regarding the name “Jund” [as applied to the military districts of Syria]. According to some, Palestine was called “Jund” by the Moslems because it was a collection of many provinces, and so was each of Damascus, Jordan, Ḥimṣ and Ḥūnasrin. According to others, each district which had an army that received its monthly allowance in it was called “Jund.” Thus Mesopotamia belonged to Ḥūnasrin; but ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān made it a separate “Jund,” that is, made its army take its allowance from its kharāj. ‘Abd-al-Malik was asked to do so by Muḥammad ibn-Marwān. Down to the time of Yazid ibn-Mu‘āwiyyah, Ḥūnasrin and its districts were included in the province of Ḥimṣ; but Yazid constituted Ḥūnasrin, Antioch, Manbij and their districts as one “Jund.” When ar-Rashid Ḥārūn ibn-al-Mahdi was made caliph, he set Ḥūnasrin apart and made of it and its districts one “Jund.” He also separated Manbij, Dulk, Ra’bān, Ḥūrus, Antioch and Tizīn and called them “al-‘Awāsim” because these were

1 Yākūt, al-Muṣḥarik, p. 401.
3 The same word is commonly used for “troops”.
4 Zaidān, vol. i, p. 153; the word means “those that give protection.”
the cities to which the Moslems resorted after making an invasion and leaving the frontier cities, and where they were safe and protected. The chief city of "al-‘Awâṣim" he made Manbij [Hierapolis]. In this city ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Sâlih ibn-‘Ali lived in the year 173 and erected many buildings.

Al-Lâdhikiyah entered. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashkî from Sa‘îd ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz, and Mûsa ibn-Ibrâhîm at-Tanûkhi from certain sheikhs of Ḥims;—Abu-‘Ubaidah appointed in his place over Ḥims ‘Ubâdah ibn-aṣ-Ṣâmit al-Anşârî who left for al-Lâdhikiyah. Its people resisted him and the city had a massive gate that could be opened only by a number of men. Seeing how difficult it was to reduce the city, ‘Ubâdah encamped at a distance from it and ordered that trenches like canals be dug, each one large enough to conceal a man with his horse. The Moslems made special effort and got the work done. They then pretended to be returning to Ḥims; but no sooner had the night fallen with its darkness, than they returned to their camp and trenches, while the people of al-Lâdhikiyah were negligent of them being under the impression that the Moslems had left them. Early in the morning, they opened their gate and drove forth their cattle; but how terrified they were to meet the Moslems and see them enter through the gate! Thus was the city taken by force. ‘Ubâdah entered the fort and then climbed its wall and called "Allah is great" etc. Certain Christians of al-Lâdhikiyah fled to al-Yusaiyid, and later sought to surrender, agreeing to return to their lands. They were assigned to lands, and a fixed kharâj was assessed to be paid by them every year whether they should increase or decrease in number. Their church was left for

1 Lâodomicea; Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 338.
2 Kharâj muḥâfa'ah. See Berchem, La Propriété Territoriale, p. 45.
them. The Moslems, following the order of ‘Ubâdah, erected in al-Lâdhiqiyah a cathedral mosque that was later enlarged.

Al-Lâdhiqiyah destroyed and rebuilt. In the year 100, when ‘Abd-al-‘Aziz was caliph, the Greeks made a descent by sea on the coast of al-Lâdhiqiyah. They destroyed the city and took its inhabitants prisoners. ‘Umar ordered that it be rebuilt and fortified and asked the [Greek] "tyrant" \(^1\) to accept ransom for the Moslem prisoners. But this was not carried out till after his death in the year 101. The city was completed and garrisoned by the order of Yazîd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik.

According to a tradition communicated by one from al-Lâdhiqiyah, ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz fortified the city and finished its work before he died. All what Yazîd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik did was to repair the city and increase its garrison.

Baldah taken by assault. Abu-Ḥaṣṣ ad-Dimashkî from Sa‘īd ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz and Sa‘īd ibn-Sulaimân al-Ḥimṣi :—‘Ubâdah with the Moslems appeared at the coast and took by assault a city called Baldah lying two parasangs from Jabalah. The city was later destroyed and its inhabitants evacuated it. Jabalah, which was a fortification for the Greeks and was deserted by them when the Moslems conquered Ḥimṣ, was established by Mu‘âawiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân and guarded by a garrison.

The fort of Jabalah. Sufyân ibn-Muḥammad al-Bahrâni from certain sheikhs :—Mu‘âawiyyah erected for Jabalah \(^2\) a fort outside the older Greek fort which was now inhabited by monks and others devoted to religious exercises.

\(^1\) Ar. ḥâghiyyah, an appellation of the Byzantine emperor used by the Arabian writers.

\(^2\) Gabala, Gibellus Major, or Zibell; Le Strange, pp. 459-460.
**Antarțūs reduced.** Sufyân ibn-Muḥammad from his father and sheikhs:—'Ubâdah with the Moslems conquered Antarțūs [Tortosa] which was a fortified town and which was evacuated by its holders. Muʿāwiyyah built Antarțūs and fortified it giving the fiefs to the holders of the fort. The same thing he did with Marakīyah and Bulunyâs.

**Guards stationed in the littoral towns.** Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashki from his sheikhs:—Abu-ʻUbadah effected the conquest of al-Lâdhikiyah, Jabalah and Antarțūs through 'Ubâdah ibn-aṣ-Ṣâmit and used to put them in charge of a guard until the time in which the sea was closed. When Muʿāwiyyah stationed garrisons in the coast cities and fortified them, he put garrisons in, and fortified these cities, too, and treated them as the other littoral towns.

**Salamyah.** It was reported to me by a sheikh from Ḥims that close to Salamyah [Salaminias] lay a city called Muʿtakifah which one day was completely destroyed by an earthquake and only one hundred of its inhabitants survived. The survivors erected one hundred houses and lived in them. This new settlement was called Silm Mi‘ah which name was corrupted into Salamyah. Later there came to this place Ṣâliḥ ibn-‘Ali ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-'Abbâs, fortified it and lived in it with his sons. Many of his descendants still have their abode in it. According to ibn-Sâlim al-Anțâki, however, Salamyah is an ancient Greek name.

**Marwân destroys the wall of Ḥims.** I was told by Muḥammad ibn-Muṣaffa-l-Ḥimṣi that the wall of Ḥims was destroyed by Marwân ibn-Muḥammad, because in his retreat before the people of Khurâsân, he passed by the

---

1 Yāḳūt, vol. i, p. 388.

2 The guard was posted in them so long as the sea was open for navigation, i. e., until winter time.

3 "The safety of one hundred."
people of Ḥims, who had broken off from their allegiance, and they carried away some of his baggage, property and armories.

Al-Faḍl ibn-Ḳārīn and Mūsā ibn-Bugha as governors of Ḥims. The city of Ḥims had stones for pavement. In the days of Aḥmad ibn-Muḥammad ibn-abi-Iṣḥāḳ al-Muṭaṣim-Billāh, the people rose against his ‘āmil over them, al-Faḍl ibn-Ḳārīn at-Ṭabari, a brother of Mayazdiyār ibn-Ḳārīn, and in accordance with his orders the pavement was removed. They rebelled again, repaved the city and fought against al-Faḍl ibn-Ḳārīn until they worsted him. After robbing him of his money and wives, they put him to death and crucified him. Al-Muṭaṣim directed against them Mūsā ibn-Bugha-l-Kabīr [the Elder] his freedman, and the inhabitants including a large number of Christians and Jews, fought against him. After a fearful slaughter, Mūsā put the survivors to flight, pursued them to the city and entered it by force. This took place in the year 250.

Ḥims is the seat of a large granary that receives wheat and oil from the cities of the coast and other places that were given out as fiefs for their holders and recorded for them as such in special record books.

CHAPTER X

THE BATTLE OF AL-YARMÛK

A description of the battle. Heraclius gathered large bodies of Greeks, Syrians, Mesopotamians and Armenians numbering about 200,000.\(^1\) This army he put under the command of one of his choice men\(^2\) and sent as a vanguard Jabalah ibn-al-Aiham al-Ghassâni at the head of the "naturalized" Arabs [\textit{musta'ribah}] of Syria of the tribes of Lakhm, Judhâm and others, resolving to fight the Moslems so that he might either win or withdraw to the land of the Greeks\(^3\) and live in Constantinople. The Moslems gathered together and the Greek army marched against them. The battle they fought at al-Yarmûk was of the fiercest and bloodiest kind.\(^4\) Al-Yarmûk [Hieromax] is a river. In this battle 24,000 Moslems took part. The Greeks and their followers in this battle tied themselves to each other by chains, so that no one might set his hope on flight. By Allah's help, some 70,000 of them were put to death, and their remnants took to flight, reaching as far as Palestine, Antioch, Aleppo, Mesopotamia and Armenia. In the battle of al-Yarmûk certain Moslem women took part and fought violently. Among them was Hind, daughter of 'Utbah and


\(^2\) Ţabari, vol. i, p. 2347.

\(^3\) \textit{i. e.}, Asia Minor; Arabic—\textit{Bilâd ar-Rûm}.

mother of Mu'âwiya ibn-abi-Sufyân, who repeatedly exclaimed, "Cut the arms of these 'uncircumcised' with your swords!" Her husband abu-Sufyân had come to Syria as a volunteer desiring to see his sons, and so he brought his wife with him. He then returned to al-Madinah where he died. year 31, at the age of 88. Others say he died in Syria. When the news of his death was carried to his daughter, umm-Ḥabibah, she waited until the third day on which she ordered some yellow paint and covered with it her arms and face saying, "I would not have done that, had I not heard the Prophet say, 'A woman should not be in mourning for more than three days over anyone except her husband.'" It is stated that she did likewise when she received the news of her brother Yazid's death. But Allah knows best.

Those who lost an eye or suffered martyrdom. Abu-Sufyân ibn-Ḥarb was one-eyed. He had lost his eye in the battle of at-Ṭâ'if. In the battle of al-Yarmûk, however, al-Ash'ath ibn-Ḳais, Ḥâshim ibn-‘Utbah ibn-abi-Wakkâṣ az-Zuhri (i.e. al-Mirkâl) and Ḥais ibn-Makshûh, each lost an eye. In this battle ‘Amir ibn-abi-Wakkâṣ az-Zuhri fell a martyr. It is this ‘Amir who once carried the letter of Ṭumār ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb assigning abu-‘Ubâdah to the governorship of Syria. Others say he was a victim of the plague; still others report that he suffered martyrdom in the battle of Ajnâdîn; but all that is not true.

Ḥabīb ibn-Maslamah pursues the fugitives. Abu-‘Ubâdah put Ḥabīb ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri at the head of a cavalry detachment charged with pursuing the fugitive enemy,¹ and Ḥabīb set out killing every man whom he could reach.

The story of Jabalah. Jabalah ibn-al-Aïham sided with the Anṣâr saying, "Ye are our brethren and the sons of our

¹ Athir, vol. i, p. 179.
fathers," and professed Islâm. After the arrival of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb in Syria, year 17, Jabalah had a dispute with one of the Muzainah and knocked out his eye. 'Umar ordered that he be punished, upon which Jabalah said, "Is his eye like mine? Never, by Allah, shall I abide in a town where I am under authority." He then apostatized and went to the land of the Greeks. This Jabalah was the king of Ghassân and the successor of al-Ḥārith ibn-abi-Shimr.

According to another report, when Jabalah came to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, he was still a Christian. 'Umar asked him to accept Islam and pay ṣadākah; but he refused saying, "I shall keep my faith and pay ṣadākah." 'Umar's answer was, "If thou keepest thy faith, thou hast to pay poll-tax." The man refused, and 'Umar added, "We have only three alternatives for thee: Islâm, tax or going whither thou will-est." Accordingly, Jabalah left with 30,000 men to the land of the Greeks [Asia Minor]. 'Ubâdah ibn-as-Ṣâmit gently reproved 'Umar saying, "If thou hadst accepted ṣadākah from him and treated him in a friendly way, he would have become Moslem."

In the year 21, 'Umar directed 'Umair ibn-Sa'd al-Anṣâri at the head of a great army against the land of the Greeks, and put him in command of the summer expedition which was the first of its kind. 'Umar instructed him to treat Jabalah ibn-al-Aiham very kindly and to try and appeal to him through the blood relationship between them, so that he should come back to the land of the Moslems with the understanding that he would keep his own faith and pay the amount of ṣadākah he had agreed to pay. 'Umair marched until he came to the land of the Greeks and proposed to

---

1 Nöldeke: "Die Ghassânischen Fürsten" in Abhandlungen der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin), 1887, No. II, p. 45 seq.
Jabal al what he was ordered by 'Umar to propose; but Jabal al refused the offer and insisted on staying in the land of the Greeks. 'Umar then came into a place called al-Ḥimār—a valley—which he destroyed putting its inhabitants to the sword. Hence the proverb, "In a more ruined state than the hollow of Ḥimār."  

**Heraclius' adieu to Syria.** When Heraclius received the news about the troops in al-Yarmūk and the destruction of his army by the Moslems, he fled from Antioch to Constantinople, and as he passed ad-Darb ² he turned and said, "Peace unto thee, O Syria, and what an excellent country this is for the enemy!" ³—referring to the numerous pastures in Syria.

The battle of al-Yarmūk took place in Rajab, year 15.⁴

**Hubāsh loses his leg.** According to Hishām ibn-al-Kalbi, among those who witnessed the battle of al-Yarmūk was Ḥubāsh ibn-Ḳais al-Ḳusairi, who killed many of the ‘uncircumcised’ and lost his leg without feeling it. At last he began to look for it. Hence the verse of Sauwār ibn-Aufa:

> "Among us were ibn-‘Attāb and the one who went seeking his leg; and among us was one who offered protection to the quarter;"

—referring to dhu-l-Ruṣaybah.⁵

**Christians and Jews prefer Moslem rule.** Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashkī from Saʻīd ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz:—When Heraclius massed his troops against the Moslems and the Mos-

---

² The pass of Taurus.
³ Ṭabarī, vol. i, pp. 2395 and 2396.
⁴ The date of the Yarmūk is confused by some Arabian historians with that of Ajnāḍin, Jumāda ii, year 13; see Athīr, vol. ii, p. 315.
⁵ Kāmūs: "his name was Mālik".
lems heard that they were coming to meet them at al-Yarmûk, the Moslems refunded to the inhabitants of Hîms the kharâj they had taken from them saying, “We are too busy to support and protect you. Take care of yourselves.” But the people of Hîms replied, “We like your rule and justice far better than the state of oppression and tyranny in which we were. The army of Heraclius we shall indeed, with your ‘âmîl’s help, repulse from the city.” The Jews rose and said, “We swear by the Thorah, no governor of Heraclius shall enter the city of Hîms unless we are first vanquished and exhausted!” Saying this, they closed the gates of the city and guarded them. The inhabitants of the other cities—Christian and Jew—that had capitulated to the Moslems, did the same, saying, “If Heraclius and his followers win over the Moslems we would return to our previous condition, otherwise we shall retain our present state so long as numbers are with the Moslems.” When by Allah’s help the “unbelievers” were defeated and the Moslems won, they opened the gates of their cities, went out with the singers and music players who began to play, and paid the kharâj.

Abu‘Ubaidah reduces Kinnasrin and Antioch. Abu‘Ubaidah marched against the province of Kinnasrin and Antioch and reduced it.

Shurahbil transferred to Hîms. Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from his grandfather:—As-Simṭ ibn-al-Aswad al-Kindi distinguished himself as a fighter in the battle of al-Yarmûk and particularly in Syria and Hîms. It was he who divided the houses of Hîms among its people. His son Shurahbil was in al-Kûfah disputing the leadership over the Kindah tribe with al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ḳais al-Kindi. Now,
as-Simṭ appeared before ‘Umar saying, "'Commander of the Believers', I see thou dost not separate even captives from one another, yet thou hast separated me from my son. Change his position, if thou pleasest, to Syria, or mine to al-Kūfah." "Well," said ‘Umar, "I shall change his position to Syria." Accordingly, Shuraḥbil took up his abode in Ḥimṣ with his father.
CHAPTER XI

PALESTINE

Places conquered by 'Amr ibn-al-'Aṣi. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashḵī from learned sheikhs:—The first conflict between Moslems and Greeks took place in the caliphate of abu-Bakr in the province of Palestine, the one in chief command over the Moslems being 'Amr ibn-al-'Aṣi. Later on in the caliphate of abu-Bakr, 'Amr ibn-al-'Aṣi effected the conquest of Ghazzah, then Sabastīyah ¹ and Nābulus [Neapolis] with the stipulation that he guaranteed to the inhabitants the safety of their lives, their possessions and their houses on condition that they pay poll-tax, and kharāj on their land. He then conquered Ludd [Lydda] and its district, and then Yubna [Jabneh or Jabneel], 'Amawās [Emmaus] and Bait-Jabrīn ² [Eleutheropolis] where he took for himself an estate ³ which he named 'Ajlān after a freedman of his. He then conquered Yāfa [Jaffa] which according to others was conquered by Muʿāwiyah. 'Amr also conquered Rafaḥ and made similar terms with it.

The conquest of Jerusalem. As 'Amr was besieging Iliyā', i.e., Jerusalem in the year 16, abu-'Ubaidah after reducing Ḳinnasrin and its environs, came to him, and according to a report, sent him from Jerusalem to Antioch whose people had violated the covenant. 'Amr reduced the

¹ i.e., Samaria; abu-I-Fida, vol. i, p. 160.
³ Yāḵūt, vol. i, p. 79, line 12.
city and returned [to Jerusalem]. Only two or three days after his return, the inhabitants of Jerusalem asked to capitulate to Abu-'Ubaidah on the same terms as those of the cities of Syria as regards tax and kharāj, and to have the same treatment as their equals elsewhere, provided the one to make the contract be ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb in person, Abu-'Ubaidah communicated this in writing to ‘Umar who came first to al-Jābiyah in Damascus and then to Jerusalem. He made the terms of capitulation with the people of Jerusalem to take effect and gave them a written statement. The conquest of Jerusalem took place in the year 17.

A different account has been reported regarding the conquest of Jerusalem.

Al-Ḵāsim ibn-Sallām from Yazīd ibn-abi-Ḥabīb:—Khālid ibn-Thābit al-Fahmi was sent by ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, who was at that time in al-Jābiyah, at the head of an army to Jerusalem. After fighting with the inhabitants, they agreed to pay something on what was within their fortified city and to deliver to the Moslems all what was outside. ‘Umar came and concurred, after which he returned to al-Madinah.¹

Hishām ibn-'Ammār from al-Auzā‘i:—Abu-'Ubaidah reduced Kinnasrin and its districts in the year 16; after which he came to Palestine and camped in Jerusalem, whose people asked him to make terms with them, which he did in the year 17, with the stipulation that ‘Umar would come in person, put the terms into effect and write a statement of them to the people.

‘Umar welcomed by the people of Adhri‘āt. Hishām ibn-'Ammār from ‘Abdallāh ibn-Kāis:—The latter said, “I was one of those who went with Abu-'Ubaidah to meet ‘Umar as he was coming to Syria. As ‘Umar was passing,
he was met by the singers and tambourine players of the inhabitants of Adhrī'āt ¹ with swords and myrtle. Seeing that, 'Umar shouted 'Keep still! Stop them!' But abu-'Ubaidah replied, 'This is their custom (or some other word like it), "Commander of the Believers," and if thou shouldst stop them from doing it, they would take that as indicating thy intention to violate their covenant.' 'Well, then, said 'Umar, 'let them go on.'”

The plague of 'Amawās. The plague of 'Amawās [Emmaus] occurred in the year 18. To it a great many Moslems fell victim, among whom was abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāḥ (who was 58 years old and a commander in the army) and Mu‘ādh ibn-Jabal of the banu-Salimah of al-Khazraj who was surnamed abu-‘Abd-ar-Raḥmān and who died in the district of al-Ukhwānāh in the province of the Jordan, aged 38. This Mu‘ādh, abu-'Ubaidah on his deathbed had appointed as his successor. According to others he appointed 'Iyāḍ ibn-Ghanm al-Fihri. Some others say he appointed 'Amr ibn-al-Āṣi who appointed his own son as successor and departed for Egypt. Al-Hasan al-'Abbās ibn-'Abd-al-Muṭṭalib, surnamed abu-Muḥammad, fell, according to some, as martyr in Ajnādīn; but the fact is that he was a victim to the plague at 'Amawās. Other victims were Shurahbīl ibn-Ḥasanah, surnamed abu-'Abdallāh (who died 69 years old); Suhail ibn-'Amr of the banu-‘Āmir ibn-Lu‘ai, surnamed abu-Yazīd; and al-Ḥārith ibn-Hishām ibn-al-Mughirah-l-Makhzūmī (who, according to others, fell a martyr in the battle of Ajnādīn).

Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān governor of Syria. When 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb received the news of the death of abu-'Ubaidah, he wrote to Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān appointing him in his place as governor of Syria, and ordering him to

¹ Edrei of Numbers xxi: 33.
invade Ḟaisāriyah [Caesarea]. According to others, however, Yazid was appointed by ‘Umar as governor of the Jordan and Palestine; abu-ad-Dardā’, of Damascus; and ‘Ubādah ibn-aṣ-Ṣāmit, of Ḥims.

The conquest of Ḟaisāriyah. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wāḵīdī:—There is difference of opinion regarding the conquest of Ḟaisāriyah [Caesarea]. Some say Muʿawiyah subdued it; others, ‘Iyāḍ ibn-Ghanm, after the death of abu-Ubaidah whose successor he was; and still others ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣī. According to some, ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣī left for Egypt and appointed his son ‘Abdallāh to succeed him. The truth in all that, on which scholars agree, is that the first to lay siege to the city was ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣī who made his descent on it in Jumāda I, year 13. ‘Amr would camp around it as long as he could, and whenever the Moslem forces wanted to combine against their enemy, he would go to them. Thus he witnessed the battles of Ajnādīn, Fīḥl, al-Maṛj, Damascus and al-Yarmūk. He then returned to Palestine and after taking Jerusalem laid siege to Ḟaisāriyah. From Ḟaisāriyah he left for Egypt. After abu-Ubaidah, Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān became governor of Syria, and he appointed his brother to press the siege. Smitten by the plague, Yazid returned to Damascus where he died.

Other than al-Wāḵīdī state that ‘Umar appointed Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān to the governorship of Palestine together with the other provinces of Syria and ordered him to invade Ḟaisāriyah which had already been besieged. Yazid went against it with 17,000 men. Its people resisted; and he laid the siege. In the last part of the year 18, he fell ill and departed for Damascus leaving his brother Muʿawiyah in his place at Ḟaisāriyah. Muʿawiyah reduced the city ¹

and wrote to Yazid to that effect, and the latter communicated the news to ‘Umar.

Mu‘āwiyyah nominated governor of Syria. At the death of Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân, ‘Umar wrote to Mu‘āwiyyah making him governor in his [Yazid’s] place, upon which abu-Sufyân thanked ‘Umar saying, “May the tie of relationship be made stronger by thy kind behavior!”

Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr from Tamim ibn-‘Aṭiyyah.—‘Umar made Mu‘āwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân governor of Syria after Yazid, and appointed with him two men of the Prophet’s Companions for conducting prayer and performing the duties of kâdi: abu-ad-Dardâ’ to act as kâdi and to conduct prayer at Damascus and the Jordan, and ‘Ubâdah to act as kâdi and conduct prayer at Ħims and Kinnasrin.

Mu‘āwiyyah besieges Ǧaisâriyâh. Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wâkīdî: — When ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb made Mu‘āwiyyah governor of Syria, the latter besieged Ǧaisâriyâh until he reduced it, the city having been under siege for seven years. Its conquest took place in Shauwâl, year 19.

Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d from ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Amîr:—Mu‘āwiyyah besieged Ǧaisâriyâh until he lost all hope of reducing it. Previous to this, the city had been besieged by ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi and his son. When Mu‘āwiyyah at last took it by storm, he found in it 700,000 [sic!] soldiers with fixed stipends, 30,000 Samaritans and 20,000 Jews. He found in the city 300 markets, all in good shape. It was guarded every night by 100,000 men stationed on its wall. The city was reduced in the following way:—A Jew named Yûsuf came to the Moslems at night and pointed out to them a road through a tunnel the water in which would reach a man’s waist; in consideration for which information, safety was guaranteed him and his relatives. Mu‘āwiyyah sanctioned the conditions [made to Yûsuf] and the Moslems entered the city by night, calling “Allah is great!”
The Greeks seeking to flee through the tunnel found it occupied by Moslems. The Moslems opened the city gate and Mu'âwiyyah with his men went in. Many Arabs were in the city [as prisoners?]. One of them was a woman, Shâkrâ', whom Hassân ibn-Thâbit referred to when he said:

"Shâkrâ says, 'If thou shouldst relinquish wine, thou wouldst become rich in number.'"  

Others say her name was Sha' thâ'.

The captives from Kaïsâriyâh. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wâkîdî:—The prisoners from Kaïsâriyâh [Caesarea] amounted to 4,000. When Mu'âwiyyah sent them to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb the latter gave orders that they be settled in al-Jurf. They were then distributed among the orphans of the Anṣâr, and some were used as clerks and manual laborers for the Moslems. The daughters of abu-Umâmah As'ad ibn-Zurârah, having been given by abu-Bakr two servants from the prisoners of 'Ain at-Tamr who were now dead, 'Umar assigned to the daughters two of the captives of Kaïsâriyâh to take the place of the two dead servants.

Mu'âwiyyah forwarded two men of the Judhâm to carry the news of the conquest to 'Umar. Fearing that they might not hasten enough, he forwarded a man of the Khath'âm who exerted all effort in walking by day and by night repeating:

"The two brothers of Judhâm have brought insomnia on me, the brother of Ḥishm and the brother of Ḥarâm. How can I sleep so long as they are ahead of me? They are going along and the midday heat is becoming vehement."  

At last he got ahead of them and presented himself before

2 Ta'barî, vol. i, p. 2397.
`Umar who, hearing the news of the conquest, exclaimed
"Allah is great!"
Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr from one whose name I do not re-
member:—Kaisâriyah was taken by storm in the year 19.
Hearing the news of its capture, `Umar exclaimed,
"Kaisâriyah is taken by storm. Allah is great!" and so did
the rest of the Moslems. The city was besieged for seven
years and was finally reduced by Mu`awiyah.

The death of Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân took place at the end
of the year 18, in Damascus.

_The date of the conquest of Kaisâriyah._ Those who
claim that Mu`awiyah reduced Kaisâriyah in the days of his
brother believe that it was not reduced before the end of the
year 18; but those who claim that it was reduced while he
was governor of Syria believe that it was reduced in the
year 19. Of the two views, the latter is the tenable one.
According to still other reports, the city was reduced in
the early part of the year 20.

`Askalân reduced. ‘Umar ibn-al-`Haṭṭâb wrote to
Mu`awiyah instructing him to follow up the conquest of
what was left in Palestine. Accordingly, Mu`awiyah con-
quered `Askalân [Ascalon] which capitulated after some
resistance. According to others, however, it was `Amr ibn-
al-`Aṣi who first conquered the city. Later, its inhabitants
violated the covenant and were reinforced by the Greeks.
It was then that Mu`awiyah reduced it, settled garrisons of
cavalry in it and put it in charge of a guard.

`Abd-al-Malik makes repairs in `Askalân, Kaisâriyah and
other places. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from certain sheikks
of `Askalân:—The Greeks destroyed `Askalân and expelled
its inhabitants in the days of ibn-az-Zubair. When `Abd-
al-Malik ibn-Marwân became ruler, he rebuilt the city and
fortified it, and made repairs in Kaisâriyah, too.

Muḥammad ibn-Muṣaffa from abu-Sulaimân ar-Ramlî's
father:—In the days of ibn-az-Zubair the Greeks went out against Kaisâriyah and devastated it and razed its mosque to the ground. When 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân was settled in his rule, he made repairs in Kaisâriyah, restored its mosque and left a garrison in it. Moreover, he built Tyre and outer Acre which had shared the same fate as Kaisâriyah.

Sulaimân ibn-'Abd-al-Malik builds ar-Ramla. The following tradition was communicated to me by certain men well versed in the conditions of Syria:—Al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik made Sulaimân ibn-'Abd-al-Malik governor of the province of Palestine. Sulaimân took up his abode in Ludd and then founded the city of ar-Ramla and fortified it. The first thing he built in it was his palace and the house known as Dâr aš-Šabbâghîn [the house of the dyers] in the middle of which he made a cistern. He then planned the mosque and began its construction, but he became caliph before its completion. After becoming caliph, he continued its construction which was completed by 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz who reduced the original plan, saying, “The inhabitants of ar-Ramla should be satisfied with the size thereof to which I have reduced it.” After having erected a house for himself, Sulaimân permitted the people to build their houses, which they did. He dug for the inhabitants of ar-Ramla their canal which is called Baradah, and he dug also wells. The one he appointed to oversee the expenses of his palace in ar-Ramla and of the cathedral mosque was one of his clerks, a certain Christian of Ludd named al-Batrîk ibn-an-Naka.

1 Ar. massa-ra—“to make a city a boundary line between two things;” see an-Nihâyah; Le Strange, p. 303, translates: “made it his capital.”
2 Muqaddasi, p. 164.
3 “Ibn-Baka” in Hamadhâni, Buldân, p. 102.
Before Sulaimân there was no such city as ar-Ramla, and its site was sand [Ar. raml].

The Dâr as-Sabbâghin passed to the hands of the heirs of Šâlih ibn-'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-al-Abbâs, because it was confiscated with the possessions of the banu-Umaiyah.

The expenses of the wells and canal of ar-Ramla, after the time of Sulaimân ibn-'Abd-al-Malik, were met by the banu-Umaiyah. But when the banu-I-Abbâs assumed the caliphate, they paid the expenses. The order for these expenses was issued yearly by every caliph; but when al-Mu'taṣim became caliph, he gave a permanent decree for these expenses, thereby doing away with the necessity of issuing an order every time by the caliph. It became thereafter a current expense which the 'āmils paid and kept an account of.

"Reduction" and "restoration" in the kharâj. There are in Palestine special places containing documents from the caliphs, set aside from the records of the kharâj of the common people and containing a statement of the "reduction" and "restoration", the explanation of which is the following:—Certain estates having been abandoned in the caliphate of ar-Rashid and deserted by their occupants, ar-Rashid sent Harthamah ibn-A'yan to cultivate them. Harthamah asked some of their old tenants and farmers to go back to them with the understanding that he would reduce their kharâj and would deal with them more leniently. Those who went back are those to whom the "reductions" were made. Others came after that and their old lands were restored to them. These are the ones to whom the "restorations" were made.

Fiefs in 'Askalân. The following tradition was related to me by Bakr ibn-al-Haitham:—"I met a man of the Arabs in 'Askalân who said that his grandfather was one of those settled in 'Askalân by 'Abd-al-Malik and was given
a fief in it as one of the garrison of cavalry to whom fiefs were assigned. He also showed me a piece of land, saying, ‘This is one of the fiefs given by ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân’. I heard Muḥammad ibn-Yūsuf al-Fâryâbi 1 say:—‘Here in ‘Askalân are fiefs which were given out by the orders of ‘Umar and ‘Uthmân, and it matters not who takes possession of them.’”

1 “Firyâbi” in Ṭabari, vol. iii, p. 2557.
CHAPTER XII

THE PROVINCE OF KINNASRIN AND THE CITIES CALLED AL-'AWĀŠIM

Kinnasrin capitulates. Abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāh, after being through with al-Yarmūk, went to the province of Ḥims and passed from one place to the other examining it. Then he went to Kinnasrin [Chalcis] with Khālid ibn-al-Walid commanding the van of his army. The inhabitants of the city of Kinnasrin resisted at first, then they sought refuge in their stronghold and asked to capitulate. Abu-'Ubaidah made terms with them similar to those of Ḫims. Thus the Moslems effected the conquest of the land of Kinnasrin with its villages. The Ḥādir 3 Kinnasrin had been settled by the Tanūkh tribe since they came to Syria and pitched their tents in it. They later built their houses in it. These, abu-'Ubaidah summoned to Islâm. Some of them accepted it, but the banu-Saliḥ ibn-Ḥulwân ibn-'Imrân ibn-al-Ḥāf ibn-Ḳuḍā'ah remained Christian.4

Certain sons of Yazid ibn-Ḥunain at-Ṭā'i-l-Anṭākī from their sheikhs:—A group of men from this Ḥādir Kinnasrin embraced Islâm in the caliphate of al-Mahdi who inscribed on their hands in green color the word "Kinnasrin."

1 Ar. istakrāha, Caetani, vol. iii, p. 790, translates: "rinovo con gli abitanti il primitive trattato."
2 Ṭabarī, vol. i, p. 2393.
**Kinnasrin violates the covenant.** Thence abu-‘Ubaidah departed bent upon Aleppo [Halab], but hearing that the people of Kinnasrin had violated the covenant and proved perfidious, he directed against them as-Simṭ ibn-al-Aswad al-Kindi who reduced the city after besieging them.

Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr ad-Dimashkî from ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-Ghanm:—"We kept our post against Kinnasrin with as-Simṭ (or, perhaps he said Shurahbil ibn-as-Simṭ) as our leader. When he reduced the city, he carried off cows and sheep as booty. One part of the booty he distributed among us and the remaining part was treated according to the laws governing the spoils [Ar. maghnam 1]."

The Ḥādir Ṭayyi'. The Ḥādir Ṭayyi’ 2 was of old origin. It dates back to the disastrous war termed Ḥarb al-Fasâd 3 which tore up the tribe of Ṭayyi’ some of whom then came and established themselves on the two mountains [al-Jabalain, i.e., Aja and Salma]. Under these circumstances a large body of the Ṭayyi’ were dispersed over the country and some came and settled [near Kinnasrin]. When abu-‘Ubaidah came to them, some became Moslems and many made terms agreeing to pay poll-tax, a little after which they all accepted Islam with the exception of a few.

The Ḥādir of Aleppo. Close by the city of Aleppo stood a settlement called the Ḥādir Halab in which different Arab tribes including Tanûkh lived. Abu-‘Ubaidah made terms with them in which they agreed to pay poll-tax. Later they embraced Islam and lived with their descendants in the same place until a little after the death of ar-Rashid. The inhabitants of this Ḥādir once fought against the people of

---

1 Mawardi, p. 240 seq.
2 Mushirik, p. 118.
3 In which many atrocities were committed by both parties. See "Annotations on al-Kâmûs," by Muhammad ibn-at-Ṭayyib al-Fâsi.
the city of Aleppo and tried to drive them out of their city. The Hâshim tribe of the people of Aleppo wrote to all the Arab tribes of the vicinity asking for help. The first to come to their support and aid was al-‘Abbâs ibn-Zufar ibn-‘Aشim al-Hilâli (according to his maternal pedigree, because umm-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-‘Abbâs was Lubâbah, daughter of al-Ḥârith ibn-Ḥazn ibn-Bujair ibn-al-Huzam of the Hiläl tribe). The people of that Ḥâdir could not resist this al-‘Abbâs and his men. They were therefore expelled from their Ḥâdir, and that at the time of the insurrection of Muhammad ibn-ar-Rashîd; and their Ḥâdir was destroyed. They moved to Ḳinnasrin whose people met them with food and clothing. No sooner had they entered the city, than they attempted to subjugate it and were therefore driven out. Thus they were dispersed over the land, some settling in Takrit (whom I myself have seen) and others in Armenia and various other regions.

Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Zufar in Aleppo. I was told by al-Mutawakkil that he heard a sheikh of the banu-Ṣâliḥ ibn-‘Ali ibn- ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abbâs say to al-Mu‘tašim, in the year in which the latter invaded ‘Armmûriyâh’, that when al-‘Abbâs ibn-Zufar al-Hilâli arrived in Aleppo for the support of the Hashimites, some of their women called him saying, “Our hope, uncle, is in Allah and in thee!” To this al-‘Abbâs answered, “There is no danger, if it be the will of Allah; may Allah disappoint me, if I should disappoint you!”

Hiyâr bani-l-Ḳa‘kâ’. Ḥiyâr bani-l-Ḳa‘kâ’ was a well-known town in pre-Islamic time. In it was the stopping place of al-Mundhir ibn-Mâ’ as-Samâ’ al-Lakhmi, the king of al-Ḥîrah. It was also settled by the banu-l-Ḳa‘kâ’ ibn-Khulaid . . . ibn-Baghiḍ, who chose it for their abode and after whom it was thus called.

1 Mushtarik, p. 317; Yâḥût, vol. iii, p. 730.
‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân had given to al-Ｋa‘ka‘ a part of this Ḥiyyâr as sief, and to al-Ｋa‘ka‘a’s uncle, al-‘Abbâs ibn-Jaz’ ibn-al-Ｚâîrith other siefs which he exempted from the kharâj and assessed it on al-Yaman. They were also exempt after he died. All or most of them were waste land. The daughter of this al-‘Abbâs, Wallâdah, lived with ‘Abd-al-Malik and brought forth al-Walid and Sulaimân.

**Abu-‘Ubaidah reduces Aleppo.** Abu-‘Ubaidah set out for Aleppo sending before him ‘Iyâḍ ibn-Ghanm al-Fihri. (The name of the latter’s father was ‘Abd-Ghanm; but when ‘Iyâḍ accepted Islâm, he hated to be called ‘Abd-Ghanm,2 so he said, “I am ‘Iyâḍ ibn-Ghanm”.) Abu-‘Ubaidah, finding the people in a fortified position, camped around the city; but no sooner had he done so, than they sought to capitulate and make terms regarding the safety of their lives, their possessions, city wall, churches, homes and the fort. All this was granted them with the exception of a site for the mosque. The one to make the terms was ‘Iyâḍ; and abu-‘Ubaidah sanctioned them.

Some reporters claim that they capitulated, agreeing to share with the Moslems half of their homes and churches provided their lives be spared. Others assert that abu-‘Ubaidah found nobody in Aleppo, its inhabitants having moved to Antioch. From there they agreed in writing with abu-‘Ubaidah on the terms of peace. When the terms were concluded, they returned to Aleppo.

**Antioch reduced by abu-‘Ubaidah.** Abu-‘Ubaidah set out from Aleppo for Antioch [Antâkiyâh] in which a large body of men from the province of Kinnasrin had fortified themselves. On his arrival at Mahrûbah, which lay about

---

1 Ar. aughara. See Zaidân, vol. ii, p. 133.
2 One of the pre-Islamic gods.
two parasangs from Antioch, the troops of the enemy met him; and he dispersed them and forced them to seek refuge in the city. Abu-‘Ubaidah invested the city at all its gates, most of the army being at the Bâb Fâris and Bâb al-Baḥr [sea gate]. At last they capitulated, agreeing to pay poll-tax or evacuate the place. Some of them did leave; but others remained, and to the latter abu-‘Ubaidah guaran-
teed safety, assessing one dinâr and one jarîb [of wheat] on every adult. Later, they violated the con-
tract, which made abu-‘Ubaidah send against them ‘Iyâḍ ibn-Ghanm and Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamah, who reduced the city and made terms identical with the previous ones. Some say, however, that they violated the contract after abu-
‘Ubaidah’s return to Palestine. So he sent from Jerusalem ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣî who reduced it and returned to Jerusalem whose people, after a short time, sought to capitulate and make peace.

A garrison stationed in Antioch. Muḥammad ibn-Sahm al-Anṭâkî from certain sheikhs of the frontier cities:— Antioch was highly esteemed by ‘Umar and ‘Uthmān. When it was therefore reduced, ‘Umar wrote to abu-
‘Ubaidah saying, “Station in Antioch Moslems of strong determination and good management. Let them be its garri-
son, and never stop their allowances.” When he made Mu‘āwiyah governor, ‘Umar wrote to him something to that effect. Later ‘Uthmān instructed Mu‘āwiyah to station in it troops that would never leave and to assign them fiefs, which Mu‘āwiyah did. The following was said by abu-
Sahm, “As a child, while I was standing on the bridge of Antioch spanning the Orontes [Ar. al-Ūrunt] I heard an aged man of Antioch say, ‘This piece of land is a fief from ‘Uthmān to certain men that were in the army sent by abu-
‘Ubaidah. It was allotted them in the time in which Mu‘ā-
wiyah was, according to ‘Uthmān’s assignment, the gover-
nor of Syria.”’
Muslim ibn-'Abdallâh loses his life. Mu‘âwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân transplanted to Antioch in the year 42 some Persians and others from Ba‘labakk, Ḥims, al-Baṣrah and al-Kūfah.¹ One of those transplanted was Muslim ibn-‘Abdallâh, the grandfather of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḥabîb ibn-an-Nu‘mân ibn-Musîlim al-Anṭâkî. This Muslim was killed at one of the gates of Antioch which is known to-day as Bâb Muslim. His death was brought about when the Greeks started from the coast and set up their camp against Antioch and one of the “uncircumcised” threw a stone on Muslim, who was then on the city wall, and killed him.

Seleucia given as fief. According to a tradition communicated to me by certain sheikhs from Antioch, among whom was ibn-Burd al-Fâkih, al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik gave as fief to some of the troops of Antioch the land of Seleucia [Ar. Salûkîyâh] lying at the sea-coast. Moreover, he fixed the tax on a filthur (i. e., jarîb) one dinâr and one modius² of wheat. They cultivated the land; and the terms were carried into effect. He also built the fort of Seleucia.

Baghrâs. The land of Baghrâs [Pagrae] belonged to Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik who gave it as an unalienable legacy³ to be used in the cause of righteousness. The same man owned ‘Ain as-Sallaur with its lake and al-Iskandariyâh [Alexandria] which latter passed as fief into the hands of Rajâ’, a freedman of al-Mahdi, to be inherited by his [al-Mahdi] sons Mansûr and Ibrâhîm, later to Ibrâhîm ibn-Sa‘îd al-Jauhari, then by purchase to Ahmad ibn-abî-Duwâd al-Iyâdî, and lastly to al-Mutawakkil, “the Commander of the Believers.”

¹ “Miṣrân” used for the last two localities.
³ Ar. wâkîf.
Maslamah gives feiefs to Rabī’ah. According to a tradition communicated to me by ibn-Burd al-Anṭāki and others, certain men of the Rabī’ah tribe were assigned feiefs by Maslamah ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik, which were later confiscated, passed to al-Ma’mūn and put in charge of Sāliḥ al-Khāzin, the proprietor of the “Dār[-Ṣāliḥ]” in Antioch.

Abu-ʿUbaidah reduces Maʿarrat Miṣrin and other places. Abu-ʿUbaidah, hearing that a large body of Greeks were assembled between Maʿarrat Miṣrin ¹ and Aleppo, met them and killed many patricians, dispersing the whole army and carrying away captives and booty. Thus he effected the conquest of Maʿarrat Miṣrin and made terms similar to the terms of Aleppo. His cavalry roamed about until they got to Būṣa and reduced the villages of al-Jūmah, Sarmin,² Martahwān³ and Tizīn.⁴ The occupants of the convents of Ṭabāya⁵ and al-Fasilah capitulated, agreeing to entertain whomever of the Moslems passed by them. The Khuṇāṣirah Christians, too, came to abu-ʿUbaidah and made terms. Thus did all the land of Kinnasrin and Antioch fall into the hands of abu-ʿUbaidah. I learnt from al-ʿAbbās ibn-Hishām on the authority of his father that the Khuṇāṣirah were thus called after one, Khuṇāṣir ibn-ʿAmr ibn-al-Ḥārith al-Kalbi — later al-Kināni — who was their chief.

Buṭnān Ḥabib was so called after Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah-I-Fihri who was sent from Aleppo either by abu-ʿUbaidah or Iyāḍ ibn-Ghanm to Buṭnān, where he reduced a fort that later bore his name.

¹ Known also as Maʿarrat Kinnasrin and Maʿarrat Naṣrin. Yākūt, vol. iv, p. 574.
² Ibid., vol. iii, p. 83.
⁴ or Ṭūzin. Yākūt, vol. i, p. 907.
⁵ ? No diacritical points.
The treaty with Kûrus. Abu-‘Ubaidah set out bent upon Kûrus [Cyrhus], sending at the head of the vanguard ‘Iyâd. The latter was met by one of the monks of Kûrus, who asked to capitulate on behalf of its people. ‘Iyâd sent the monk to Abu-‘Ubaidah, who was now between Jabrin and Tall A‘zâz. Abu-‘Ubaidah accepted the capitulation and proceeded to Kûrus where he signed a covenant with its people, granting them the same rights granted to the people of Antioch. To the monk, he wrote a special statement regarding a village that he owned called Sharkina. He then distributed his cavalry and subdued all the province of Kûrus to the end of the frontier of Nikâbulus (Nicepholis).

Kûrus a frontier garrison for Antioch. Kûrus was for Antioch the seat of a garrison that kept watch on the enemy. To it came every year a detachment from the Antioch army to act as garrison. Later, one of the four divisions into which the army of Antioch was divided was moved to it; and the periodical detachments were no more sent there.

Salmân fort. Salmân ibn-Rabi‘ah-l-Bâhili was in the army of Abu-‘Ubaidah, together with Abu-Umâmah aš-Šudai ibn-‘Ajlân, a Companion of the Prophet. This Salmân occupied a fort in Kûrus which was called after him Hisn [fort] Salmân. He then returned from Syria, together with others, to reinforce Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wakâş in al-‘Irâk. According to others, Salmân ibn-Rabi‘ah had led an invasion

1 Ya‘kûbi, Buldân, p. 363; Rustah, p. 107.
3 or Tall ‘Azâz. Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 667.
5 Ar. jâlî‘ah, 1,500-2,000 men who came in spring and returned in winter.
6 Zaidân, vol. i, p. 120.
against the Greeks after the conquest of al-‘Irāk and be-
fore he started for Armenia. On setting out from the dis-
trict of Mar‘ash, he encamped near this fort and it was called
after him. This Salmān together with Ziyād ¹ were among
the Slavs whom Marwān ibn-Muḥammad stationed in the
frontier fortresses.² I heard someone say that this Salmān
was a Slav and that the fort was named after him.

Manbij, Dulūk and Ra‘bān make terms. Abu-‘Ubaidah
advanced to Ḥalab as-Sâjūr ³ and sent before him ‘Iyād to
Manbij [Hierapolis]. When abu-‘Ubaidah came up to
‘Iyād, he found that the people of Manbij had capitulated
on terms similar to those of Antioch. Abu-‘Ubaidah
carried the terms into effect and sent ‘Iyād ibn-Ghanm to
the region of Dulūk and Ra‘bān, whose inhabitants capitu-
lated on terms similar to those of Manbij. One condition
imposed on them was that they search for news regarding
the Greeks and forward it in writing to the Moslems. To
every district abu-‘Ubaidah conquered, he assigned a ‘āmil
and sent with him some Moslems. But in the dangerous
places he posted garrisons.

Bālis and Kāširīn captured. Abu-‘Ubaidah proceeded
until he got to ‘Arājin.⁴ The van of the army he sent to
Bālis [Barbalissus]; and to Kāširīn he sent an army under
Ḥabib ibn-Maslamaḥ. Bālis and Kāširīn ⁵ belonged to two
brothers of the Greek nobility to whom were given as fiefs
the adjacent villages and who were made guardians of the
Greek towns of Syria that lay between Bālis and Kāširīn.
When the Moslem armies reached these towns, their inhabi-

¹ The one after whom Ḥīṣa Ziyād was named; Yākūt, vol. ii, p. 276.
³ Yākūt, vol. i, p. 315; Mushtarik, p. 142.
⁵ Yākūt, vol. iv, p. 16.
tants capitulated, agreeing to pay poll-tax or evacuate the places. Most of them left for the Byzantine Empire, Mesopotamia and the village of Jisr Manbij [or Kal'at an-Najm]. At this time there was no bridge [Ar. jisr]. It was first put up for the summer expeditions in the days of 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân. Others claim that it is of ancient origin.

Abu-‘Ubaidah stationed in Bâlis a body of fighting men and settled in the city some Arabs, who were in Syria and who, after the advent of the Moslems to Syria, had accepted Islâm, together with others who were not among the forces sent to the frontiers, but who had emigrated from the deserts and belonged to the Kâis tribe. In Kâširîn, he settled others who, either themselves or their descendants, refused to stay in it. Abu-‘Ubaidah reached as far as the Euphrates and then returned to Palestine.

Maslamah canal. Bâlis and the villages attached to it on its upper, middle, and lower extremities were tithe-lands watered only by rain. When Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân led an expedition against the Greeks from the side of the Mesopotamian frontier fortresses, he camped at Bâlis whose inhabitants, together with those of Buwailis, Kâširîn, ‘Abidîn, and Şîffîn (which were villages attached to Bâlis) came to him, together with the inhabitants of the upper extremity, and they all asked him to dig for them a canal from the Euphrates to irrigate their land, agreeing to offer him one-third of the produce of the land, after taking away the usual tithe for the government.\(^1\) Maslamah consented and dug the canal called Nahr Maslamah; and the people lived up to their promise. Moreover, Maslamah repaired and strengthened the city wall. According to others, Maslamah himself started the idea and proposed the terms.

\(^1\) Ar. Sultân.
KINNASRIN AND AL-'AWASIM

Balis and its villages as fief. At the death of Maslamah, Balis with its villages passed into the hands of his heirs, who held them until the appearance of the "blessed dynasty" [Abbasid], at which time 'Abdallâh ibn-'Ali confiscated the possessions of the banu-Umaiyah, including Balis and its villages. Abu-l-'Abbâs the "Commander of the Believers," assigned Balis and its villages as fief to Sulaimân ibn-'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-al-'Abbâs, from whom they passed to his son, Muhammed ibn-Sulaimân. Muhammed's brother, Ja'far ibn-Sulaimân, repeatedly calumniated his brother to ar-Rashid, the "Commander of the Believers," stating that he used to spend many times the income of the possessions and [crown-] domains he held, for the purpose of attaining his ambition,¹ and upon the slaves and other dependents he kept. He added that it was legal for the "Commander of the Believers" to appropriate the money of his brother. These letters ar-Rashid ordered preserved. Now, when Muhammed died, Ja'far's letters were brought out and used as an argument against him. Muhammed had no other brother from his father and mother than Ja'far. The latter acknowledged that they were his letters; and so the possessions passed to ar-Rashid, who gave Balis and its villages as fief to al-Ma'mûn, after whom they passed to his son.

Mu'âdh advises against the division of the land. Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr from 'Abdallâh ibn-Ḳais al-Hamdâni:—When 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb came to al-Jâbiyah and wanted to divide the land among the Moslems, on the ground that it was taken by force, Mu'âdh ibn-Jabal objected saying, "By Allah, if thou dividest the land, the result will certainly be unfavorable. The great part will be in the hands of these people, who will pass away, and the whole will become the possession of one man. Others will come after

¹ The caliphate; Athir, vol. vi, p. 82.
them, who will bravely defend Islâm, but find nothing left. Seek therefore some plan that suits those who come first as well as those who come last.” ‘Umar acted according to the suggestion of Mu‘âdh.

The chief of Buṣra tells a lie regarding the tax. Al-Ḥusain ibn-ʿAli ibn-al-ʿAswad al-ʿIjli from Salamah-Ḥuḥani’s uncle:—The chief of Buṣra recounted that he had capitulated to the Moslems, agreeing to offer food, oil and vinegar. ‘Umar asked that a statement be written down to that effect; but abu-ʿUbaidah showed that the chief of Buṣra was telling an untruth and said, “The fact is that we made terms by which certain things should be sent to the winter quarters of the Moslems.” Then ‘Umar decreed that a poll-tax be assessed graded according to the various classes,¹ and that kharâj be imposed upon the land.

‘Umar fixes the tax. Al-Ḥusain from Aslam, a freedman of ‘Umar:—‘Umar wrote to the tax-collectors instructing them to levy poll-tax only on those who were adult, and he fixed it at four dinârs on those who possessed gold. He also assessed on them a subsistence tax by which each Moslem in Syria and Mesopotamia would receive two modii of wheat, and three kîsts of oil, and the right to be entertained as a guest for three days.

The tithe-lands of Syria. Abu-Ḥafṣ ash-Shâmi from Makhûl²:—Every piece of “tithe-land” in Syria is one whose people had evacuated it, and which had been given as fief to the Moslems, who, by the permission of the governors, cultivated it after it had lain as waste land claimed by no one.

¹ De Goeje, Mémoire, p. 150.
² Ḥajar, vol. iii, p. 935.
CHAPTER XIII

Cyprus

The first conquest of Cyprus. According to al-Wâkidî and others, the first expedition against Cyprus was led in sea by Mu‘awiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân. This was the first time the Moslems sailed in the Mediterranean. Mu‘awiyah had asked ‘Umar’s permission to lead a naval expedition, but ‘Umar refused.¹ When ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân became caliph, Mu‘awiyah wrote again asking permission to invade Cyprus, informing him about its proximity and the ease of acquiring it. In answer to this, ‘Uthmân wrote, “I have seen the answer ‘Umar gave when thou madest the request from him to lead a sea-expedition.” In the year 27, Mu‘awiyah again wrote to ‘Uthmân, referring to the ease with which the sea could be crossed to Cyprus. ‘Uthmân wrote back this time saying, “If thou sailest with thy wife, we allow thee to do so; otherwise, not.” Accordingly, Mu‘awiyah embarked from Acre with a large number of ships, accompanied by his wife Fâkhitah daughter of Šarâzih ² ibn-‘Abd-‘Amr ibn-Naufal ibn-‘Abd-Manâf ibn-Šuṣai. Likewise, ‘Ubâdah ibn-as-Sâmît took his wife umm-Ḥarâm of the Anšâr, daughter of Milhân. This took place in the year 28, after the cessation of the rainy season; others say, in the year 29. When the Moslems arrived in Cyprus and landed on its shore (Cyprus being an island 80 x 80 parasangs), its

¹ Ṭabari, vol. i, pp. 2820-2821.
² Duraïd, p. 55.
Archon [Urkin] demanded to make terms of capitulation, which was considered unavoidable by the people. Mu'awiyah made terms with them on 7,200 dinars to be paid annually by them. Similar terms had been made with them by the Greeks. Thus the people of Cyprus pay two tributes. It was made a condition that the Moslems would not prevent them from paying the tribute to the Greeks; on the other hand the Moslems made it a condition that they would not fight those who may come after them to subjugate the Cyprians, and that the Cyprians would keep the Moslems informed regarding the movement of their enemy—the Greeks. Thus when the Moslems used to undertake an expedition by sea, they did not molest the Cyprians. They were not supported by the Cyprians; nor did the Cyprians support any one against them.

*The second invasion by Mu'awiyah.* In the year 32, however, the Cyprians offered ships as an aid to the Greeks in an expedition in the sea. Consequently, Mu'awiyah invaded them in the year 33 with 500 ships. He took Cyprus by force, slaughtering and taking prisoners. He then confirmed them in the terms that were previously made, and sent to the island 12,000 men of those whose names were recorded in the register [Ar. diwan] and erected mosques in it. Moreover, Mu'awiyah transplanted from Ba'labakk a group of men, and erected a city on the island, whose inhabitants were assigned special stipends until the death of Mu'awiyah. His son Yazid, who succeeded him, sent the troops back and ordered the city destroyed.

According to other reports, the second invasion of Cyprus by Mu'awiyah was carried out in the year 35.

*Why Yazid withdrew the troops.* Muhammad ibn-Muṣaffa-Ⅽ-Iḥimsī from al-Walid:—Yazid ibn-Mu'awiyah

---

1 Athir, vol. iii, p. 74.
2 And therefore received stipends; al-Muṭarrizi, al-Mughrib, p. 187.
was offered a large and considerable sum of money as bribe; and that was why he withdrew the troops from Cyprus, upon which the Cyprians destroyed their city and Mosques.

_Uumm-Harâm dies in Cyprus._ Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from ʿAbd-as-Salām ibn-Mūsa's father.—When Cyprus was invaded for the first time, umm-Ḥarâm, daughter of Mihân, sailed with her husband, ʿUbâdah ibn-as-Ṣāmit. On their arrival in Cyprus, she disembarked and was offered a mule to ride upon. As she was riding, the mule stumbled; and she was killed. Her tomb in Cyprus is called "the Tomb of the Righteous Woman." ¹


_Muʿāwiyah makes permanent peace._ Hishâm ibn-ʿAmmâr ad-Dimashqî from Ṣafwân ibn-ʿAmr:—Muʿāwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân personally carried out the invasion of Cyprus and was accompanied by his wife. Its conquest, effected by Allah, was complete; and the booty he brought to the Moslems was great. The raids of the Moslems were repeated until Muʿāwiyah in his caliphate concluded permanent terms with the Cyprians to the effect that they pay 7,000 _dirâs_ and give advice and warnings to the Moslems regarding their enemy, the Greeks. This or something like it was agreed upon.

¹ _JRAS_, 1897, pp. 81-101.
The Cyprians expelled and returned. Al-Walid ibn-Yazid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik expelled many of the Cyprians to Syria, because of a charge of suspicion brought against them. When the Moslems disapproved of the act, Yazid ibn-al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik returned them to their home. In the caliphate of ar-Rashid, an invasion was led against them by Ḥumaid ibn-Ma‘yûf al-Hamdâni because of a rebellion they had started; and many were carried off as prisoners. Later they behaved properly towards the Moslems; and, by ar-Rashid’s orders, their prisoners were returned.

The tax increased. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wākidi:—The terms between Mu‘āwiyah and the Cyprians were kept in force until the time of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân who added 1,000 dinārs to their tax. That was the case until the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz who cancelled the addition. When Hishâm ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik, however, came to power, he restored it; and it was kept until the caliphate of Abu-Ja‘far al-Manṣūr, who expressed himself as follows: “We shall, above everyone else, do justice to them, and not enrich ourselves by oppressing them.” Accordingly, he restored the terms made by Mu‘āwiyah.

‘Abd-al-Malik wants to annul the treaty. The following was communicated to me by certain Syrian scholars and Abu-‘Ubaid al-Ḵāsim ibn-Sallâm:—During the governorship of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣāliḥ ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Abbâs over the frontier cities [Ar. thughûr], the Cyprians started a rebellion; and he, therefore, desired to break the covenant made with them. The canonists were numerous, among whom were the following whose opinions he sought: al-Laith ibn-Sa‘d, Mâlik ibn-Anas, Suṣyân ibn-‘Uyainah, Muṣa ibn-A‘yân, Ismâ‘îl ibn-‘Aiyâsh, Yaḥyâ ibn-Ḥamzah, Abu-Iṣḥâq al-Fazârî, and Makhład ibn-al-Ḥusain. They all answered him.
The opinion of al-Laith. The following is a quotation from the letter of al-Laith ibn-Sa'd: "The Cyprians are being constantly charged by us with infidelity to Moslems and loyalty to Allah's enemies, the Greeks. Allah himself has said: ¹ 'Or if thou fear treachery from any people, cast off their treaty in like manner.' He did not say, 'cast not off their treaty until thou art sure of their treachery.' I, therefore, consider it best that thou castest off their treaty and givest them a respite of one year for enforcing the law. Those of them who desire to go and settle in a Moslem land and become dhimmis, paying the kharāj, may do so; those who desire to emigrate to the land of the Greeks may do so; and those who desire to remain in Cyprus, with the understanding they are hostile, may do so and be considered an enemy to be fought and attacked. To give them a respite of one year would be enough to refute any protest they may make, and to prove our loyalty to the covenant."

The opinion of Màlik. The following statement was written by Màlik ibn-Anas:—"Our peace with the Cyprians is of old standing and carefully observed [? Ar. mutasâhar] by the governors placed over them, because they considered the terms a humiliation and belittlement to the Cyprians, and a source of strength to the Moslems, in view of the tax paid to them and the chance they had of attacking their enemy. Yet I know of no governor who broke their terms or expelled them from their city. I, therefore, consider it best to hesitate in breaking their covenant and casting off their treaty until the evidence [of disloyalty] is well established against them, for Allah says: ² 'Observe, therefore, the engagement with them through the whole time of their treaty.' If, after that, they do not behave properly and abandon their deceit, and thou art convinced of their perfidy,
then thou mayest attack them. In that case, the attack would be justified and would be crowned with success; and they would suffer humiliation and disgrace, by Allah’s will.”

The opinion of Sufyân ibn-‘Uyainah. This is what Sufyân ibn-‘Uyainah wrote: “We know of no one who made a covenant with the Prophet and violated it, without having the Prophet consider it legal to put him to death, except the people of Makkah. Their case was a favor on the part of the Prophet. Their violation consisted in rendering aid to their allies against the Khuzā‘ah, the Prophet’s allies. One of the terms stipulated against the people of Najrân was not to practise usury; but when they did practise it, ‘Umar decreed that they be expelled. Thus by ‘the consensus of opinion’ [Ar. ijma‘], he who violates a covenant forfeits the right of being entitled to security.”

The opinion of Mūsa ibn-A‘yan. Mūsa ibn-A‘yan wrote:—“Similar cases took place in the past, but in each case the governors would grant a period of respite; and so far as I know, none of the early men ever broke a covenant with the Cyprians or any other people. It may be that the common people and the mass among the Cyprians had no hand in what their leaders did. I, therefore, consider it best to abide by the covenant and fulfil the conditions thereof, in spite of what they have done. I have heard al-Auzā‘i say regarding the case of some, who, after making terms with the Moslems, conveyed information about their secret things and pointed them out to the ‘unbelievers’: ‘If they are dhimmis, they have thereby violated their covenant and forfeited their claim on security, making it right for the governor to kill or crucify them, if he so desires; but if they had been taken by capitulation and are not entitled to the Moslem’s security, then the governor would cast off their treaty, for Allah loveth not the machinations of the deceivers.’”

1 Cf. Kor., 12: 52.
Ismā‘il ibn-‘Aiyāši’s opinion. The following is what Ismā‘il ibn-‘Aiyāš wrote: “The people of Cyprus are humiliated and oppressed and they are subjugated, together with their wives, by the Greeks. It is therefore proper for us to defend and protect them. In the covenant of the people of Tafis, Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah wrote, ‘In case something should arise to divert the attention of the Moslems from you and some enemy should subjugate you, that would not be a violation of your covenant, so long as ye keep loyal to the Moslems.’ I, therefore, consider it best that they be left on their covenant and the security promised them, especially because when al-Walid ibn-Yazid expelled them to Syria, the Moslems considered the act outrageous, and the canonists disapproved of it; so much so that when Yazid ibn-al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik came to power, he restored them to Cyprus, which act was approved of by the Moslems and considered just.”

Yahya ibn-Hamzah’s opinion. The following was the statement issued by Yahya ibn-Ḥamzah: “The case of Cyprus is parallel to that of ‘Arbassūs 1 in which it has a good example and a precedent to be followed. This is the case of ‘Arbassūs : ‘Umar ibn-Sa’d once came to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb saying, ‘There lies between us and the Greeks a city called ‘Arbassūs, whose people disclose to our enemy our secrets, but do not disclose to us our enemy’s.’ ‘Umar replied, ‘When thou goest there, propose to give them for every ewe they possess two; for every cow, two; and for everything, two. If they consent, give that to them, expel them from the city and raze it to the ground. But if they refuse, then cast off their treaty to them and give them one year at the expiration of which thou mayest destroy the city.’ ‘Umar went to the city; and its people refused the

1 Yāḵūṭ, vol. iii, p. 633.
offer. He, therefore, gave them one year at the expiration of which he destroyed it. The people of ‘Arbassūs had a covenant similar to that of the people of Cyprus. To leave the Cyprians on the terms made with them and to have the Moslems use in their own cause what they receive [as tax] from the Cyprians is preferable. All holders of covenant, for the sake of whom the Moslems are not supposed to fight and on whom the Moslem regulations are not binding, are not dhimmis but ‘people of tribute’ to be spared so long as they are worthy, to be treated according to the covenant so long as they abide by it and consent to it, and to be forgiven so long as they pay their dues. It is reported that Mu‘ādh ibn-Jabal always hated to have the enemy capitulate on definite terms unless the Moslems were by the force of circumstances compelled to make terms, because no one could tell whether such capitulation would be of value and strength for the Moslems."

The opinion of Abu-Ishâk and Makhlad. Abu-Ishâk al-Fazârî and Makhlad ibn-al-Ḥusain wrote as follows:—

"We can find nothing more similar to the case of Cyprus than the case of ‘Arbassūs and the decision of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb regarding it. ‘Umar gave them two alternatives to choose from: a double fold of what they possessed and the evacuation of the city, or a respite of one year after casting off their treaty. Having rejected the former proposition, they were given one year at the end of which the city was destroyed. Al-Auzâ’î repeated a tradition to the effect that when Cyprus was conquered, the status quo of the people was kept, and terms were made on 14,000 dinârs of which 7,000 should go to the Moslems and 7,000 to the Greeks; and it was stipulated that the Cyprians should not

1 Ar. ahl fidyah, who are governed by their own laws and pay something to be let alone. For fidyah see at-Tahânawi, Kashf Iṣṭilâḥât al-Funûn, vol. ii, p. 1157. ʃ
disclose to the Greeks the condition of the Moslems.\textsuperscript{1} Al-Auzâ‘i used to say, 'The Cyprians did not abide by the terms they made with us.' But we consider them as 'people bound to us by covenant,' whose terms of peace involve rights to them and obligations on them, and the violation of which is legal only if they do some thing that shows their perfidy and treachery.'

\textsuperscript{1} Evidently there is a mistake in the text. The negative particle "\textit{la}" is superfluous.
CHAPTER XIV

THE SAMARITANS

The terms made by Abu-'Ubaidah. Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr from Ṣafwân ibn-'Amr:—Abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh made terms with the Samaritans in the provinces of the Jordan and Palestine, who acted as spies and guides for the Moslems, stipulating that they pay tax on their persons but nothing on their lands. When Yazid ibn-Mu'âwiyyah, however, assumed power he assessed kharâj on their lands.

The tax imposed by Yasîd. I was informed by certain men well versed in the conditions of the Jordan and Palestine that Yazid ibn-Mu'âwiyyah assessed kharâj on the lands of the Samaritans in the Jordan, and levied on every man two dinârs as poll-tax. He also assessed kharâj on their lands in Palestine and levied five dinârs on every man.

Sects. The Samaritans are Jews and are divided into two classes, one is called ad-Dustân [Dositheans] and the other al-Kûshân.¹

Their lands become crown-land. There was in Palestine in the early part of the caliphate of ar-Rashid a devastating plague which in some cases would attack all the members of a household. As a result, their land was rendered waste and useless. Ar-Rashid put it in charge of some who cultivated it and [by gifts] attracted the farmers and tenants into it, thus making it crown domains. In these places the

Samaritans lived. One of those villages called Bait-Mâma, which lay in the district of Nâbulus and whose inhabitants were Samaritans, made a complaint in the year 246 to the effect that they were poor and unable to pay the five-dinâr kharâj, upon which al-Mutawakkil gave orders that it be reduced again to three.

*Muʿāwiya sparing the hostages.* Hishâm ibn-ʿAmmâr from Ṣafwân ibn-ʿAmr and Saʿid ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzîz:—

The Greeks made peace with Muʿâwiya with the stipulation that he pay them a certain sum of money. Muʿâwiya took hostages from them and held them in Baʿlabakk. The Greeks proved perfidious to Muʿâwiya, but still the Moslems did not consider it legal to put the hostages in their hands to death; and so they set them free, saying, "Loyalty against perfidy is better than perfidy against perfidy." According to Hishâm, al-Auzâʾi, among other authorities, maintains the same view.
CHAPTER XV

AL-JARÂJIMAH

The treaty with al-Jarâjimah. I am informed by certain sheikhs from Antioch that al-Jarâjimah ¹ were the inhabitants of a town called al-Jurjumah ² lying between Baiyâs and Bûka on mount al-Lukâm [Amanus] near Ma‘din az-Zâj [vitriol pit]. While the Greeks held the authority over Syria and Antioch, the Jarâjimah were under the rule of the patrician and governor of Antioch. When Abu-‘Ubaidah came and reduced Antioch, they confined themselves to their city and, in their anxiety to save their lives, they tried to go and join the Greeks. The Moslems took no note of them, nor did any one call their attention to them. When later the people of Antioch violated their covenant and acted treacherously, Abu-‘Ubaidah sent and conquered Antioch once more, after which he made Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah-I-Fihri its governor. Ḥabib attacked al-Jurjumah, whose people did not resist but immediately sought for peace and capitulation. Terms were made providing that al-Jarâjimah would act as helpers to the Moslems, and as spies and frontier garrison in Mount al-Lukâm. On the other hand it was stipulated that they pay no tax, and that they keep for themselves the booty ³ they take from the enemy in case they fight with the Moslems. In these terms were in-

¹ Less correctly Jurâjimah. They are identical with the Mardaites; Lammens, MFO, vol. i, p. 17.
² Encyclopædia of Islam, vol. i, s. v., “Djarâdjima”.
³ Ar. nafl. See Muṭarrizi, p. 80.
cluded besides the Jarâjimah all those who lived in their city, as well as the merchants, employees and dependents, whether Nabateans or not, together with the inhabitants of the villages. These were called "ar-Rawâdif" ¹ because they were included in the terms with the Jarâjimah though not of their number. Others say they were so called because they came riding behind al-Jarâjimah when the latter presented themselves in the Moslem camp. On certain occasions, al-Jarâjimah acted properly with respect to the [Moslem] governors; but on others, they deviated from the right path and held friendly communications with the Greeks.

'Abd-al-Malik agrees to pay them a certain sum. In the days of ibn-az-Zubair, when Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam died and 'Abd-al-Malik, who was appointed by Marwân as heir-apparent, wished to succeed Marwân in the caliphate and was ready to leave for al-'Irâk to fight against al-Muṣ'ab ibn-az-Zubair, certain Greek horsemen went forth to Mt. al-Lukâm under a Greek leader and started for the Lebanon. after having been joined by a large body of al-Jarâjimah, Nabateans, and runaway slaves once possessed by the Moslems. Under these conditions, 'Abd-al-Malik had to make terms with them, agreeing to pay 1,000 dinârs per week. He also made terms with the Greek "tyrant," agreeing to pay him a sum of money, because 'Abd-al-Malik was too busy to fight against him, and because he feared that in case the "tyrant" came to Syria, he might overpower him. In this, he followed the precedent of Mu'āwiyyah who, being engaged in the fight in al-'Irâk, agreed to pay something to them [the Greeks], and took hostages from them, whom he held in Ba'ilabakk. All this synchronized with the attempt made by 'Amr ibn-Sa'īd ibn-al-'Āṣī to secure the caliphate

¹ Followers, dependents.
and his closing the gates of Damascus immediately after ʿAbd-al-Malik had left the city, which made ʿAbd-al-Malik still more busy. This took place in the year 70.

Suḥaim slays the Greek general. After that, ʿAbd-al-Malik sent to the Greek leader Suḥaim ibn-al-Muhājir who, in disguise and through gentle behavior, succeeded in reaching him. Suḥaim played the act of a friend and won the favor of the leader by censuring ʿAbd-al-Malik, cursing him and dwelling on his weak points; so much so that the leader put full confidence in Suḥaim and was wholly deceived. At the favorable moment, however, Suḥaim fell upon him with a band of troops and freedmen of ʿAbd-al-Malik, who had been previously prepared for the attack and stationed in a convenient place, and killed him together with the Greeks who were with him. Suḥaim thereupon announced publicly a promise of security to those who had joined the Greek leader. Accordingly, the Jarājimah were partly scattered among the villages of Ḥimṣ and Damascus; but the majority returned to their city on al-Lukām. In like manner, the Nabateans returned to their villages, and the slaves to their masters.

Maimūn al-Jurjumānī. One of these slaves was Maimūn al-Jurjumānī, a Greek slave, who belonged to the banu-umm-al-Ḥakam (umm-al-Ḥakam being the sister of Muʿāwiyah ibn-abi-Suḥyān) who were of the tribe of Thakīf. The slave was named after al-Jarājimah, because he mixed with them and rebelled with them in Mt. Lebanon. Hearing of his strength and valor, ʿAbd-al-Malik asked his masters to set him free, which they did. He was then put by ʿAbd-al-Malik at the head of a regiment of troops and stationed at Antioch. With 1,000 men from Antioch, he and Maslamah ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik led an invasion to at-Ṭuwānah.1

1 Yākūt, vol. iii, p. 554.
After fighting valiantly and standing gallantly, he fell a martyr. This so much grieved 'Abd-al-Malik that he sent a large army against the Greeks to avenge his death.

Al-Walid makes terms with them. In the year 89, Al-Jarâjimah gathered themselves into their city and were joined by a host of Greeks from Alexandretta [Iskandarûnâh] and Rusis. Consequently, al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik sent against them Maslamah ibn-'Abd-al-Malik, who fell upon them with a host of Moslems and reduced their city on the following terms: Al-Jarâjimah may settle wherever they wished in Syria, each one of them receiving eight dinârs, and each family receiving the fixed provisions of wheat and oil, i. e., two modii of wheat and two kîsts of oil; neither they nor any of their children or women should be compelled to leave Christianity; they may put on Moslem dress; and no poll-tax may be assessed on them, their children or women. On the other hand, they should take part in the Moslem campaigns and be allowed to keep for themselves the booty from those whom they kill in a duel; and the same amount taken from the possession of the Moslems should be taken [as tax] from their articles of trade and from the possessions of the wealthy among them. Then Maslamah destroyed their city and settled them in Mt. al-Ḥûwâr, Sunh al-Lûlûn [?] and 'Amk Tizin. Some of them left for Ḥims. The patrician of al-Jurjûmah accompanied by a body of men, after taking up his abode in Antioch fled to the Byzantine Empire.

Al-Wâthik cancels the poll-tax. When a certain 'âmil held al-Jarâjimah of Antioch responsible for poll-tax, they brought their case before al-Wâthik-Bïlâh at the time of his caliphate, and he ordered it cancelled.

Al-Mutawakkil levies tax. I was informed by a writer

in whom I have full confidence that al-Mutawakkil ordered that poll-tax be levied on these Jarājimah and that the regular allowance for food be given them, because, among other things, they were of value in the frontier garrisons.

Al-Jarājimah molest the summer expeditions. It is claimed by abu-l-Khaṭṭāb al-Azdi that in the days of ‘Abd-al-Malik, the people of al-Jurjūmah used to make razzias against the villages of Antioch and al-‘Amik; and whenever the summer expedition was carried out, al-Jarājimah would cut off those who lagged behind or followed, together with any whom they could cut off at the rear of the army. They went so far against the Muslems that, by ‘Abd-al-Malik’s orders, certain people from Antioch and some Nabateans were given stipends, placed as garrisons and set behind the armies of the summer expeditions in order to repel al-Jarājimah from the rear. Therefore those set behind the armies of the summer expedition were called rawāḍif, i. e., followers, and to every one of them eight dinārs were assigned. The former account is more authentic.

As-Zuṭṭ. Abu-Ḥafs ash-Shāmi from Makhūl:—Mu‘āwiyah transplanted in the year 49 or 50 to the seacoast some of the Zuṭṭ and Sayābijah of al- Başrah, and made some of them settle in Antioch. According to abu-Ḥafs, there is in Antioch a quarter known by the name of az-Zuṭṭ. Some of their descendants are in Būḳa in the province of Antioch and are known by the name of az-Zuṭṭ.

Some of the Zuṭṭ of as-Sind were carried by Muḥammad ibn-al-Ḳāsim to al-Ḥajjāj, who sent them to Syria, and were later transplanted by al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik to Antioch.

Lebanon rebels. Muhammad ibn-Sa’d from al-Wāḳidi:—

1 Kāmil, p. 41, line 3; p. 82, line 17; De Goeje, Mémoires sur les Migrations des Tsiganes, pp. 1-32, 86-97.

2 Ḥauḳal, p. 226.
Some people in Lebanon rebelled, complaining of the collector of the kharāj of Ba‘labakk. This made Šāliḥ ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Abbās send against them troops who destroyed their fighting power, and the rest were allowed to retain their [Christian] faith. Šāliḥ sent the latter back to their villages and expelled some of the natives of Lebanon. Al-_KIND_ ibn-Sallâm related to me on the authority of Muhammad ibn-Kathîr that Šāliḥ received a long communication from al-Auzâ‘i, of which the following extract has been preserved: “Thou hast heard of the expulsion of the dhimnis from Mt. Lebanon, although they did not side with those who rebelled, and of whom many were killed by thee and the rest returned to their villages. How didst thou then punish the many for the fault of the few and make them leave their homes and possessions in spite of Allah’s decree: ‘Nor shall any sinning one bear the burden of another,’ which is the most rightful thing to abide by and follow! The command worthy of the strictest observance and obedience is that of the Prophet who says, ‘If one oppresses a man bound to us by covenant and charges him with more than he can do, I am the one to overcome him by arguments.’” To this he added other citations.

The frontier and littoral towns fortified. Muhammad ibn-Sahl ‘al-Anṭâkî from abu-Iṣḥâk al-Fazârî:—The banu-Umayyah used to direct their summer and winter campaigns against the Greeks beyond the frontier cities of Syria and Mesopotamia by means of Syrians and Mesopotamians, and they used to station the ships for the invasion and to post the guard on the coast, giving up or delaying the invasion at the time in which the enemy was strong and wide awake [?]. When abu-Ja‘far al-Manṣûr began his rule, he examined the

1 Kor., 6: 164.
2 As-Suyâṭi, Kanâr al-′Ummâl, I, 270.
forts and cities of the coast, peopled and fortified them, and rebuilt those of them that were in need of being rebuilt. The same thing he did with the frontier cities. When al-Mahdi became caliph, he carried the work in the remaining cities and forts to completion and strengthened the garrisons. Mu‘āwiyyah ibn-‘Amr states, “What we saw of the efforts of Hārūn the ‘Commander of the Believers’ in conducting invasions, and of his penetrating insight in carrying on the holy war was really great. The industries he established were not established heretofore. He distributed possessions in the frontier and coast cities. He brought distress on the Greeks and humiliated them.” Caliph al-Mutawakkil ordered in the year 247 that ships be stationed on all the sea-coast and that garrisons be posted on it.
CHAPTER XVI

THE FRONTIER FORTRESSES ¹ OF SYRIA

Moslem razzias beyond the frontiers. I was informed by certain sheikhs from Antioch and by others that in the days of 'Umar and 'Uthmân, and after their time, the frontier cities of Syria included Antioch and other cities called later al-'Awaṣim by ar-Rashid. The Moslems used to lead their raids beyond these cities as they now raid what is beyond Ṭarsûs. Between Alexandretta and Ṭarsûs lay Greek forts and frontier garrisons, similar to those through which the Moslems now pass, and the inhabitants of which would sometimes, because of fear, leave them and flee to the Byzantine Empire, and sometimes, Byzantine fighters would be brought and stationed in them. It is said that when Heraclius left Antioch, he joined to himself the people of these towns, so that the Moslems might not be able to go between Antioch and the land of the Byzantines through a cultivated land.

Ibn-Ṭaibûn ² al-Baghrâsi from certain sheikhs:—The latter said, "What is known to us is that Heraclius moved the men from these forts, which he shattered. So, when the Moslems made their raids, they found them vacant. In certain cases the Greeks would make an ambush by these forts and take by surprise those of the army who were held back or cut off. Thus the leaders of the summer and winter campaigns, on entering the Greek land, would leave heavy troops in these forts until their return."

¹ Ar. thughûr; see Iṣṭakhri, pp. 55-56.
² ? Lacking in diacritical points.
The first to pass through ad-Darb. Regarding the first one to cross ad-Darb,¹ i. e., Darb Baghrás ² there is a disagreement. Some assert that the first was Maisarah ibn-Masrūk al-'Absi who was despatched by abû-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāḥ and who met a host of Greeks accompanied by the "naturalized" [musta'ribah] Arabs of the Ghassân, Tanûkh and Iyâd, trying to follow Heraclius [in Asia Minor]. Maisarah fell upon them and wrought a bloody massacre among them. He was later joined by Mâlik al-Ashtar an-Nakha'i sent as a reinforcement by abû-'Ubaidah from Antioch.

According to others, the first to cross ad-Darb was 'Umar ibn-Sa'd al-Anṣâri, when he was sent in connection with the case of Jabalâh ibn-al-Aîham.

According to abû-l-Khaṭṭâb al-Azdi, abû-'Ubaidah himself led the summer expedition passing through al-Masṣiṣah and then through Tarsûs whose people, together with those of the fortified cities lying beyond, had evacuated their places. Thus abû-'Ubaidah entered the land of the [Greek] enemy and carried his campaign as far as Zandah. According to others, abû-'Ubaidah did not himself go, but sent Maisarah ibn-Masrûk, who reached as far as Zandah.

Muʿāwiyyah and the forts. Abu-Ṣâlih al-Farrâ' from one supposed by him to have been 'Ubâdah ibn-Nusai:—When Muʿāwiyyah in the year 25 invaded 'Ammûriyah [Amorium], he found the forts between Antioch and Tarsûs all vacant. He therefore left in those forts some men from Syria, Mesopotamia and Kînasrîn until he had finished his expedition. One or two years later, he sent Yazîd ibn-al-Ḥurr al-'Absi at the head of the summer expedition and

¹ "Gr. Derbe near the Cilician gates which were the chief mountain pass from the direction of the countries occupied by the Arabs into the territory of the Greeks"—Lane. See Caetani, vol. iii, p. 805.

² Perhaps Bâlân pass of to-day.
instructed him to do the same thing, which Yazid did. All
the governors used to do the same.

The same authority says, "I read in the book of Ma-
ghāsi Mu‘āwiyyah [Mu‘āwiyyah’s campaigns] that Mu-
‘āwiyyah in the year 31 led an invasion setting out from near
al-Maṣṣiṣah and penetrating as far as Darauliyah. On his
return, he destroyed all the fortresses [belonging to the
Greeks] between the latter place and Antioch.

Al-Maṣṣiṣah. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wākīḍī and
others:—In the year 84, ‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-
Marwān led the summer campaign, entered through the
Darb Anṭākiyyah and reached al-Maṣṣiṣah [Mopsuestia],
where he rebuilt the fort on its old foundations. In this city,
he caused troops to settle, among whom were 300 chosen
from those known to be among the most valorous and
strong. The Moslems had never lived in this town before.
He also built a mosque in it over Tall al-Ḥiṣn [the hill of
the fort], and then led his army to the invasion of Sinān
fort, which he reduced. He then sent Yazid ibn-Ḥunain
aṭ-Ṭā‘i-l-Anṭāki who led an incursion and returned.

Abu-l-Khaṭṭāb al-Azdi holds that the first Moslem to
build the fort of al-Maṣṣiṣah was ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-
Marwān through his son ‘Abdallāh in the year 84 on its
old foundation. Its building and manning were completed
in the year 85. In this fortified town stood a church which
was converted into a granary. The troops [Ar. ṭawāli‘] from Antioch, numbering 1,500-2,000, used to go up to it
every year and spend the winter in it, at the end of which
they would leave.

When ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz came to the granary of
al-Maṣṣiṣah, he wanted to destroy the town together with
the forts that lay between it and Antioch saying, "I hate

1 Hamadhānī, p. 112.
to see the Greeks besieging its people." When he, however, learned that the town was built to check the Greek advance on Antioch, and that, in case it was destroyed, nothing would remain to stop the enemy from taking Antioch, he desisted and erected for its people a cathedral mosque in the Kafarbaiya quarter. In the mosque, he made a cistern whereon his name was inscribed. In the caliphate of al-Mu'tasim-Billah, the mosque, which was called Masjid al-Ḥiṣn [the fort mosque], fell into ruins.

Hishâm ibn-'Abd-al-Malik built the part outside the city wall [Ar. rabād], and Marwân ibn-Muḥammad built, to the east of Jaiḥan,1 al-Khuṣūṣ [wood houses], around which he erected a wall with a wooden gate, and dug a moat.

When abu-l-'Abbās became caliph, he assigned stipends for 400 men to be added to the garrison at al-Maṣṣiṣah, and distributed siefs among them. When al-Manṣūr became caliph, he assigned stipends for 400 men at al-Maṣṣiṣah. In the year 139, al-Manṣūr ordered that the city of al-Maṣṣiṣah, the wall of which had become shattered by earthquakes and whose population within the walls had become few in number, be well populated. Accordingly, in the year 140, he built the wall of the city, made its inhabitants settle in it and called it al-Ma'mūrah. Moreover, al-Manṣūr erected a cathedral mosque in it on the site of a heathen temple, and made it many times the size of the mosque of 'Umar. Al-Ma'mūn enlarged the mosque in the governorship of 'Abdallâh ibn-Ṭâhir ibn-al-Ḥusain over al-Maghrib. Al-Manṣūr assigned stipends for 1,000 men of its inhabitants. Besides, he transplanted [into it] the inhabitants of al-Khuṣūṣ, who were Persians, Slavs and Christian Nabateans—all of whom were settled in al-Khuṣūṣ by Marwân—gave them in it lots marked for dwell-

1 Pyramus river.
ings in exchange for and of the same measure as their old homes, pulled down their old dwellings and helped them to build the new ones. To these soldiers, who received stipends, al-Manṣūr gave fiefs and dwellings.

When al-Mahdi became caliph, he assigned stipends for 2,000 men at al-Maṣṣiṣah but gave them no fiefs, because the city was already manned with troops and volunteers. The periodical contingents [ṭawāli’] used to come from Antioch every year until the city was governed by Sālim al-Barallusi, who assigned in their place 1 stipends for 500 fighters, making a special rate of 10 dīnārs for each. Thus the people of the city were multiplied and strengthened. This took place when al-Mahdi held the caliphate.

Muḥammad ibn-Sahm from the sheikhs of the frontier region:—In the days of the “blessed dynasty”, the Greeks pressed the inhabitants of al-Maṣṣiṣah so hard that they left the city. After that Sāliḥ ibn-‘Ali sent to it Jabril ibn-Yahya-l-Bajali who peopled it and made Moslems settle in it in the year 140. Ar-Rashid 2 built Kafarbaiya; but according to others it was begun in the caliphate of al-Mahdi, and ar-Rashid changed the plan of its construction and fortified it with a moat. Its inhabitants complained to al-Ma’mūn concerning the rent 3 paid for the houses therein, and he abolished it. The houses were like inns. By order of al-Ma’mūn, a wall was commenced around the city and raised high, but not completed before his death. Al-Mu-ṭaṣṣīm-Billâh ordered that the wall be finished and raised to its proper height.

Al-Muthakkab. Al-Muthakkab 4 was fortified by Hishâm

---

1 Read muḍāḥa in place of muḍāhu.
3 Ar ghallah, i. e., rent due for houses built on the state property.
4 Iṣṭakhrī, p. 63; Haukal, p. 121.
ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik who entrusted the work to Ḥassān ibn-Māhawāh al-Anṭāki. As the moat was being dug, a leg-bone of extraordinary length was found and sent to Ḥishām.

Kāṭarghāsh, Mūrah and Baghrās. Hishām also had Kāṭarghāsh fort built by ‘Abd-al-‘Azīz ibn-Ḥaiyān al-Anṭāki. He also had Mūrah fort erected by a man from Antioch. This last fort was built because the Greeks had interfered with one of his messengers at Darb al-Lukām near al-‘Ākabah-l-Baiḍa. In this fort, he stationed forty men and a body of al-Jarājimah. In Baghrās [Pagrae], he established a garrison of fifty men and built a fort for it. Hishām, moreover, built the Būka fort in the province of Antioch, which was recently renewed and repaired.

After the Greeks had made a raid on the littoral of the province of Antioch in the caliphate of al-Mu’taṣīm-Billāh, a fort was built on that littoral by Muhammad ibn-Yūsuf al-Marwazi, surnamed abu-Sa‘īd.

‘Umar intends to destroy al-Maṣṣiṣah. Dā‘ūd ibn-‘Abd-al-Ḥamid, the kādi of ar-Rakkah, from a grandfather of his:—‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz intended to destroy al-Maṣṣiṣah and move its inhabitants because they suffered so much from the Greeks; but he died before he could accomplish it.

‘Aḥbat an-Nisā’. I was informed by certain men from Antioch and Baghrās that when Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik invaded ‘Ammūriyah, he took his wives with him; and other men in his army did the same. The banu-Umaiyah used to do that in order to infuse enthusiasm in the army by making them jealous for their harem. As Maslamah was passing through ‘Aḥbat 1 Baghrās on a narrow road that bordered on a valley, a stretcher in which a woman was carried fell down to the foot of the mountain. This made

1 A place difficult of ascent.
Maslamah order that all women should go on foot. So they did; and that ‘Akabat was, therefore, called “‘Aka-bat an-Nisā’” [the women’s ‘Akabat]. Previous to this, al-Mu‘taṣim had built on the edge of that road a low stone wall.

The roads of Antioch cleared of lions by means of buffaloes. It was stated by abu-an-Nu‘mān al-Anṭākī that the road between Antioch and al-Maṣṣiṣah was frequented by lions which molested the passers-by. When al-Walīd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik came to rule, the complaint was made to him, and he sent 4,000 buffaloes by which the required result was attained through Allah’s help.

Muḥammad ibn-al-Ḵāsim ath-Thakafi, the ‘āmil of al-Ḥajjāj over as-Sind, had sent from as-Sind thousands of buffaloes to al-Ḥajjāj, who gave al-Walīd 4,000 of them, and left the rest in the jungles of Kaskar.1 When Yazīd ibn-al-Muhallab was deposed and killed, and the possessions of the banu-l-Muhallab were confiscated by Yazīd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik, the latter carried away 4,000 buffaloes, which were in the districts of Dijlah 2 and Kaskar. These, too, Yazīd sent to al-Maṣṣiṣah together with az-Zuṭṭ sent there. Thus the buffaloes at al-Maṣṣiṣah were originally 8,000. At the time of the insurrection of Marwān ibn-Muḥammad ibn-Marwān the people of Antioch and Ḳīnāsārīn brought under their control and took possession of many of these animals; but when al-Mansūr became caliph he ordered that they be restored to al-Maṣṣiṣah. As for the buffaloes of Antioch, they were first brought by az-Zuṭṭ, and so were the first ones of Būkā.

Jisr al-Walīd. According to abu-l-Khatṭāb, the bridge on the road of Adhanah [Adana] from al-Maṣṣiṣah lay nine

---

1 Hamadhānī, Buldān, p. 196; ibn-Khurdāḥbih, p. 7.
2 Yāḵūt, vol. iii, p. 745.
miles from the latter, was built in the year 125, and was called Jisr al-Walid after al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik, who was slain.

*Adhanah.* According to abu-an-Nu’mân al-Anṭâki and others, Adhanah was built in the year 141 or 142 as the Khurâsân troops under Maslamah ibn-Yahya-1-Bajali, and the Syrian troops under Mâlik ibn-Adham al-Bâhili (all sent by Sâlih ibn-‘Ali) were camping in it.

*Saiḥân castle.* In the year 165, al-Mahdi sent his son Hârûn ar-Rashîd on an expedition to the Greek Empire. After camping at al-Khalij, ar-Rashîd went forth and repaired al-Maşṣîsah and its mosque, increasing its garrison and arming its inhabitants. He also built the castle which lay by Saiḥân [Sarus river] near Adhanah bridge. Previous to this, al-Mansûr had sent Sâlih ibn-‘Ali on an invasion to the Greek Empire, and the latter sent Hilâl ibn-Đâigham, at the head of a group of men from Damascus, the Jordan and other places, who built this castle; but the structure not being firm, ar-Rashîd dismantled the castle and rebuilt it.

*Abu-Sulaim rebuilds Adhanah.* In the year 194¹ abu-Sulaim Faraj al-Khâdim built Adhanah well and strong, fortified it, and chose men from Khurâsân and others to live in it, giving them an increase of stipends. All that was done by the order of Muhammad ibn-ar-Rashîd. He also made repairs in Қaṣr Saiḥân. When ar-Rashîd died in the year 193, his ʿâmil for collecting tithes from the frontier fortresses was abu-Sulaim who was now confirmed in his position by Muḥammad [ibn-ar-Rashîd]. To this abu-Sulaim belonged the residence in Antioch [that bears his name].

*Tarsûs and al-Hadath.* Muḥammad ibn-Sa’d from al-

---

¹"193" in Yākūt, vol. i, p. 179, line 19.
Walidi:—In the year 162, al-Hasan ibn-Kahtabah at-Ta'i invaded the land of the Greeks at the head of an army of the people of Khurasan, Mauzil, and Syria, reinforced by men from al-Yaman and volunteers from al-'Irak and al-Hijaz. He started near Tarsus and called the attention of al-Mahdi to the great troubles spared to Islam, and the good chance it afforded to frustrate and avert the enemies' intrigues and plans by building Tarsus, fortifying it and stationing a garrison in it. In that campaign, al-Hasan distinguished himself and subdued the land of the Greeks, and was therefore called ash-Shaitan [the devil]. Among his men in the invasion were Mandal al-'Anazi—the traditionist of the school of al-Kufah—and Mu'tamir ibn-Sulaiman al-Basri.

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from Sa'd ibn-al-Hasan:—When al-Hasan left the land of the Greeks, he camped at Marj [plain of] Tarsus from which he rode to the city, which was then in ruins. After examining it, he went around it in all directions and estimated that it could be inhabited by one hundred thousand.2 When he appeared before al-Mahdi, he described the condition of the city and referred to the strength that Islam and the Moslems would acquire, and to the anger and disappointment that will ensue to the enemy, if the city were rebuilt and manned with a garrison. He also told al-Mahdi something about al-Hadath that encouraged him to build it. Accordingly, al-Mahdi ordered him to build Tarsus and start with al-Hadath, which was immediately built. Al-Mahdi then ordered that Tarsus be built. In the year 171, ar-Rashid heard that the Greeks had enjoined one another to set forth to Tarsus in order to fortify it and station troops in it. He therefore sent in the year 171 Harthama ibn-A'yan as commander of the summer campaign and ordered him to build Tarsus, settle people

Hamadhani, Buldan, pp. 26-27, 128.2

Ibid., p. 113.
in it and make it a fortified boundary town \(^1\) between the two countries. Harthamah did so, putting the work, in accordance with ar-Rashid's orders, in charge of Faraj ibn-Sulaim al-Khādīm. Faraj put someone in charge, went to Madinat as-Salām \(^2\) and sent the first garrison, numbering 3,000, whom he chose from among the people of Khurāsān. The garrison came to Ṭarsūs. He then sent the second garrison that numbered 2,000 men, 1,000 of whom were from al-Maṣṣiṣah and 1,000 from Antioch, promising each man an increment of ten dinārs on his original stipend. The second garrison camped with the first at al-Madâ'in near Bāb al-Jihād, from the beginning of Muharram in the year 172, until the construction of the city of Ṭarsūs with its fortification and mosque was completed. Faraj measured the land between the two rivers and found it to be 4,000 lots, each lot being twenty dhirā's square. These lots he gave as fiefs to the inhabitants of Ṭarsūs. In Rabi' II, 172, the two garrisons settled in the city.

Yazīd ibn-Makhład governor of Ṭarsūs. 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣāliḥ appointed Yazīd ibn-Makhład al-Fazārī as āmil over Ṭarsūs. Those of the inhabitants who came from Khurāsān were afraid of him, because he belonged to the clan of al-Hubairiyah, and drove him from the city. He appointed abu-l-Fawāris to succeed him and 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣāliḥ confirmed abu-l-Fawāris in his position. This took place in the year 173.

Ṣisīyah. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wākīdī:—In the year 194 or 193, the inhabitants of Sisīyah [or Sis, later Little Armenia] evacuated their homes and went as far as the mountainous region of the Greeks.\(^3\) This Sisīyah was

\(^1\) Ar. miṣr.

\(^2\) City of peace, i.e., Baghdaḏ.

\(^3\) Yāḳūt, vol. iii, p. 217, gives the date 93 or 94 and reads ḍāli ar-Rūm instead of ḍla ar-Rūm.
the city of Tall ‘Ain-Zarbah and was rebuilt in the caliphate of al-Mutawakkil by ‘Ali ibn-Yahya-l-Armanî [the Armenian]. It was later ruined by the Greeks.

_Antioch burnt._ The one who burnt Antioch—condemned as it was to burning—1—in the land of the Greeks was ‘Abbâs ibn-al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik.

_Tall Jubair._ Tall Jubair was so called after a Persian from Antioch who fought a battle in it. The Tall lies less than 10 miles from Tarsûs.

_Dhu-l-Kilâ‘._ The name of the fort known as dhu-l-Kilâ‘ is really a corruption of dhu-l-Ḳilâ‘ [the fort of the castles], which name was given to it because it was made up of three castles. The explanation of its name in the Greek tongue is "the fortress with the stars."

_Kanîsat aṣ-Sulḥ._ Kanisat aṣ-Ṣulḥ [the church of peace] was so called because when the Greeks came to ar-Rashid to capitulate, they made it their headquarters.

_Marj Ḥusain._ Marj Ḥusain was named after Ḥusain ibn-Muslim al-Anṭâki who fought a battle in it and defeated the enemy.

_Ḍamālu_. In the year 163, al-Mahdi sent on a campaign his son, Ḥârûn ar-Rashid, who laid siege to Ḍamâlu (colloquial Samâlu). Its people asked a promise of security for ten of their nobility including the Comes.2 Ar-Rashid consented. One of their terms stipulated that they be never separated from one another. Therefore they were settled in Baghdâdîh near Bâb ash-Shammasîyâh.3 Their quarter

---

1 Hamadhâni, _Buldân_, p. 37: Said Makhlîl, "Four cities are of the cities of Paradise; Makkah, al-Madinah, Ilyâ‘ [Jerusalem] and Damascus; and four of the cities of fire: Antioch, at-Ṭuwânah, Constantinople and San‘â‘."


they called Samâlu, and it is still known as such. Others say that they surrendered to al-Mahdi who spared their lives and gathered them in that place, ordering that it be called Samâlu. According to ar-Rashid’s orders, those who were left in the fort were sold publicly.

One Abyssinian, who was heard cursing ar-Rashid and the Moslems, was crucified on one of the towers of the fort.

*Ain Zarbah and al-Hârûniyah.* Ahmad ibn-al-Ḫârîth al-Wâsiṭi from al-Wâkîdi:—In the year 180, ar-Rashid ordered that the city of *Ain Zarbah* [Anazarbus] be built and fortified. He summoned to it a regiment from Khurâsân and others, to whom he gave houses as fiefs. In the year 183, he ordered al-Hârûniyah built. It was accordingly built and manned with a garrison and with volunteers that emigrated to it. The city was named after him. Others say that Hârûn started its erection in the caliphate of al-Mahdi, but completed it in his own caliphate.

*Kanîsât as-Saudâ*. The city of Kanisat as-Saudâ [black church] had been built by the Greeks of black stone since the earliest of days, and had an old fort that was destroyed in the general havoc. Ar-Rashid ordered that this city be rebuilt and fortified. He also summoned to it troops, allowing them larger stipends. I was told by *Azzûn* ibn-Sa’d, one of the inhabitants of the frontier region, that the Greeks once invaded it—as al-Kâsim ibn-ar-Rashid was staying in Dâbîk—and carried away its cattle and a number of prisoners. They were pursued by the people of al-Mâṣṣîsah and its volunteers, who saved all that had been carried away and killed many of the Greeks, sending the rest of them back in distress and disorder. Then al-Kâsim sent some one to fortify the city, make repairs in it and increase its garrison. For this purpose some of az-Zuṭṭ, previously transplanted by al-Mu’tasim to *Ain Zarbah* and its environs from al-Bâṭâ’ih, which lay between Wâsiṭ and al-Bâṣrah, and which they had conquered, were available.
Abu-Iṣḥāq al-Fazārī’s opinion on the land of ath-Thaghr. I was informed by abu-Ṣāliḥ al-Anṭāki that abu-Iṣḥāq al-
Fazārī hated to buy land in the frontier region [ath-Thaghr] because he said, “Those who first wrested this land from
the Greeks did not divide it among themselves, and it later passed to others. Thus it had been transmitted to others
and attached to itself a suspicion that the wise man would do well to avoid.”

Tithe-exemptions annulled by al-Mutawakkil. In ath-
Thaghr, so many pieces of land were exempt from the tithe
that the total income of tithes was diminished to such an
extent that it could not meet the expenses. By al-Mutawak-
wil’s orders, therefore, all these exemptions were in the
year 243 abolished.
PART III
MESOPOTAMIA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF MESOPOTAMIA [AL-JAZIRAH]

‘Iyâḍ its governor. Dâ’ūd ibn-‘Abd-al-Ḥamīd the ḥâḍî of ar-Raḵkâh from Maimûn ibn-Mihrân.—All of Mesopotamia was conquered by ‘Iyâḍ ibn-Ghanm who, after the death of abu-‘Ubaidah, was made its ruler by ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb. Abū-‘Ubaidah had appointed ‘Iyâḍ to be his successor over Syria, but ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb appointed first Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân then Mu‘awiyah over Syria, and ordered ‘Īyâḍ 1 to invade Mesopotamia.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Sulaimân ibn-‘Atâ’ al-Kurashi.—Abū-‘Ubaidah sent ‘Īyâḍ ibn-Ghanm to Mesopotamia, and died while ‘Īyâḍ was still there. ‘Umar then assigned ‘Īyâḍ after abu-‘Ubaidah as governor of Mesopotamia.

The terms with ar-Ruha. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Sulaimân ibn-‘Atâ’:—When ‘Īyâḍ ibn-Ghanm, who was sent by abu-‘Ubaidah, reduced ar-Ruha 2 [Edessa, modern Urfa], he stood at its gate riding on a brown horse; and the inhabitants made terms stipulating that they should keep their cathedral and the buildings around it, and agreeing not to start a new church other than what they already had, to give succor to the Moslems against their enemy, and to forfeit their right of protection in case they fail to keep any of these conditions. Similar terms to those of ar-Ruha were made by the people of Mesopotamia.

2 Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2505.
The version of al-Wâkidî. Muhammad ibn-Sa'd states on the authority of al-Wâkidî that the most authentic report he heard regarding ʿIyâd was that abu-ʿUbaidah, in the year 18, fell victim to the plague of Emmaus [ʿAmawâs] after appointing ʿIyâd as his successor [over Syria]. ʿIyâd received a letter from ʿUmar, conferring upon him the governorship of Ḥimṣ, ʿInnasrin and Mesopotamia. On Thursday the middle of Shaʾbân, year 18, he marched to Mesopotamia at the head of 5,000 men, the van of the army being led by Maisarah ibn-Masrûk al-ʿAbsi, the right wing by Saʿid ibn-ʿAmir ibn-Ḥidhyam al-Jumâhi and the left by Ṣafwân ibn-al-Muʿāṭṭal as-Sulami. Khâlid ibn-al-Walid was on the left wing. Others assert that after Abu-ʿUbaidah, Khâlid never marched under any man's flag but remained in Ḥimṣ, where he died in the year 21 after designating ʿUmar to execute his will. Some claim that he died in al-Madinah; but that he died in Ḥimṣ is the more authentic report.  

The terms with ar-Rakkah. The van of ʿIyâd’s army arrived in ar-Rakkâh and made a raid on its environs, where Beduin Arabs were encamped with a group of peasants, carrying off much booty. Those who escaped took to flight and entered the city of ar-Rakkâh. ʿIyâd advanced with his troops until he arrived, with his troops in military array, at Bâb ar-Ruha—one of the gates of the city. For an hour the Moslems were shot at, and some of them were wounded. In order to escape the enemy’s stones and arrows, ʿIyâd withdrew, and, after going round the city on

1 Ḥajjar, vol. i, pp. 853-854.
2 Yâkût, vol. ii, pp. 74-75.
horseback, he stationed horse-guards at its gates. He then returned to the main army and sent bands of soldiers who went around, bringing back with them prisoners from the villages and large quantities of food. It was the proper time for reaping the harvest. This condition having lasted for five or six days the patrician of the city asked for peace from 'Iyâd, who made terms with him, guaranteeing for the population the security of their lives, children, possessions and city. 'Iyâd said, "The land is ours; we have subdued and secured it". However, he left it in their hands on the kharâj basis. That part of the land which was not wanted and rejected by the dhimmis, he turned over to the Moslems on the tithe basis. Moreover, 'Iyâd assessed poll-tax to the amount of one dinâr per annum on every man, holding women and boys exempt. In addition to the dinâr, he levied on them kafises of wheat, and some oil, vinegar and honey. When Mu'âwiyyah came to power, he laid that as a regular tax upon them. The people then opened the city gates and established a market for the Moslems at the Ruha gate. The following is the statement issued by 'Iyâd:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is what 'Iyâd ibn-Ghanm gave to the people of ar-Ra'kâhah when he entered the city. He gave them security for their lives and possessions. Their churches shall not be destroyed or occupied, so long as they pay the tax assessed on them and enter in no intrigue. It is stipulated that they build no new church or place of worship, or pub-

1 Ar. sarâya who, according to al-Mas'udi, Kitâb at-Tanbih, p. 279, were bands of soldiers varying between 3 and 500 persons, that go forth at night.

2 A measure of capacity consisting of ten makkûks; cf. Mawardi, p. 255.
licly strike clappers,\(^1\) or openly celebrate Easter Monday\(^2\) or show the cross in public. Thereunto, Allah is witness and Allah is a sufficient witness. Signed by ‘Iyāḍ’s own signature.”

Others report that ‘Iyāḍ assessed four dinārs on every adult of ar-Raḵkah; but the fact is that ‘Umar wrote after this to ‘Umair ibn-Sa’d, his governor, instructing him to assess four dinārs on every man, as it was the case with those who possessed gold.

**The terms with ar-Ruha.** ‘Iyāḍ then advanced against Ḥarrān and encamped at Bājuđdah, whence he sent forth the van of the army. The people of Ḥarrān closed the city gates, shutting the troops out. ‘Iyāḍ followed up the van and when he camped at Ḥarrān, the Ḥarrāniyah from among its inhabitants sent him a word saying that they had under their control a part of the city and asking him to go to ar-Ruha, promising to accept whatever terms he may make with it, and leaving him free to negotiate with the Christians of Ḥarrān. Hearing that, the Christians sent him word, consenting to what had been proposed and offered by al-Ḥarrāniyah. Accordingly, ‘Iyāḍ advanced to ar-Ruha whose people gathered against and shot at the Moslems for an hour. The fighters made a sally, but the Moslems put them to flight and forced them to seek refuge in the city. No sooner had that taken place than they offered to capitulate and make peace. To this, ‘Iyāḍ consented and wrote them the following statement:\(^3\)

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful.

---

1. Ar. nākūs.

2. Ar bā‘ūth, used to-day for the Christian festival of Monday after Easter, is defined by Kāmūs, Tāj al-‘Arūs and Līsān al-‘Arab as corresponding to the Moslem prayer in which a petition for rain is offered. Cf. S. Fraenkel, *Die Aramäischen Fremdwörter im Arabischen*, p. 277.

THE CONQUEST OF MESOPOTAMIA

This is a statement from ‘Iyâd ibn-Ghanî to the bishop of ar-Ruha. If ye open before me the city gate and agree to offer to me for every man one dinâr and two modii of wheat, then I grant you safety for your persons, possessions and those dependent on you. It is incumbent on you to guide the one who goes astray, to repair the bridges and roads, and give good counsel to the Moslems. Thereunto, Allah is witness; and he is sufficient.”

Dâ’ûd ibn-Abd-al-Ḥamid from a grandfather of his:—The statement of ‘Iyâd to the inhabitants of ar-Ruha ran as follows:—

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a statement from ‘Iyâd ibn-Ghanî and his accompanying Moslems to the inhabitants of ar-Ruha. I have granted them security for their lives, possessions, offspring, women, city and mills, so long as they give what they rightly owe. They are bound to repair our bridges, and guide those of us who go astray. Thereunto, Allah and his angels and the Moslems are witnesses.”

Harrân and Sumaisâṭ capitulate. ‘Iyâd then came to Harrân and directed Ṣafwân ibn-al-Mu‘aṭṭal and Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamah-I-Fihrî to Sumaisâṭ. With the people of Harrân, he made terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. Its inhabitants opened the city gates for him, and he assigned a governor over it. He then came to Sumaisâṭ and found Ṣafwân ibn-al-Mu‘aṭṭal and Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamah directing their operations against it, after having reduced many of its villages and forts. The people of Sumaisâṭ made terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. ‘Iyâd used to make incursions from ar-Ruha and return to it.

All Mesopotamia reduced by ‘Iyâd. Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d from az-Zuhri:—In the days of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, not

4 Samosata; Iṣṭakhrî, p. 62.
a foot was left in Mesopotamia unsubdued by ‘Iyāḍ ibn-Ghanm who reduced Ḥarrān, ar-Ruha, ar-Raḵkāh, Ḵarkisiya [Circesium] Naṣibin [Nisibis] and Sinjār.

Muḥammad [ibn-Sa‘d] from Thābit ibn-al-Ḥajjāj:— ‘Iyāḍ effected the conquest of ar-Raḵkāh, Ḥarrān, ar-Ruha, Naṣibin, Maiyafārīkīn, Ḵarkisiya, and all the villages and towns of the Euphrates by capitulation; but all the open fields by force.

Muḥammad [ibn-Sa‘d] from Rāshid ibn-Sa‘d:—‘Iyāḍ effected the conquest of Mesopotamia and its towns by capitulation; but its land, by force.

The terms with Ḥarrān. Someone reported that when ‘Iyāḍ came to Ḥarrān from ar-Raḵkāh, he found it deserted, its inhabitants having moved to ar-Ruha. When ar-Ruha was captured, the people of Ḥarrān in it made terms regarding their city similar to those of ar-Ruha.

Sarūj and other places subdued by ‘Iyāḍ. Abu-Aiyūb ar-Raḵši-l-Mu‘addab from al-Ḥajjāj ibn-abi-Manī‘ ar-Ruṣāš’s ¹ grandfather:—‘Iyāḍ captured ar-Raḵkāh, then ar-Ruha, then Ḥarrān, and then Sumaisāt on the same terms of capitulation. Thence he came to Sarūj, ² Rāskīfa ³ and al-Arḍ al-Baiḍlā‘, subdued their land, and made terms with the holders of their forts similar to those of ar-Ruha. The people of Sumaisāt after that rebelled, which made him, on hearing it, return and besiege the city until he reduced it. Having heard that the inhabitants of ar-Ruha had broken their covenant, he camped around the city, upon which they opened their city gates. He entered the city and left in it his ‘āmil with a small band. Thence he came to the villages of the Euphrates ⁴ which are

¹ Dhahabi, Mushtabiḥ, p. 225; Mushtarik, p. 206.
² Baṭnān; see ZDMG, vol. xxx, p. 354.
³ R. Payne Smith, Thesaurus Syriacus, cols. 3902 and 2910.
⁴ Kuraiyāt or Kāyāt al-Furāt; cf. Hamadhānī, Buldān, p. 136.
Jîsr Manbij and its dependents, which he reduced on similar terms. 'Ain al-Wardah or Ra's al-'Ain to which he came next held out against him; so he left it. He then came to Tall Mauzin and took it on the same terms as ar-Ruha. That took place in the year 19. Against Çarkisiya, 'Iyâd directed Habib ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri who took the city by a capitulation similar to that of ar-Raḳḳah. 'Iyâd captured Āmid without fighting and on terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. He captured Maiyâfârikin on the same terms. He also reduced the fort of Kafartûtha. After a conflict, he reduced Naṣîbin and the terms concluded were similar to those of ar-Ruha. Tür 'Abdin, Hisn Mâridin and Dâra he took on the same terms. Karda and Bâzabda he conquered on the same terms as those of Naṣîbin. The patrician of az-Zawazân came to 'Iyâd and made terms regarding his lands, agreeing to pay tax. All that took place in the year 19 and in a part of Muharram, year 20. He then advanced to Arzan and took possession of it on terms similar to those of Naṣîbin. He then passed through ad-Darb into Badlis which he left for Khilâṭ with whose patrician he made terms. Finally, he got to al-'Ain al-Ḥâmiḍah in Armenia beyond which he did not go. On his way back, he made the chief of Badlis responsible for the kharâj of Khilâṭ with its poll-tax and what was due on its patrician. He then proceeded to ar-Raḳḳah, and on to Ḥims whose governorship had been entrusted to him by 'Umar. In the year 20, he died. 'Umar after that appointed Sa'id ibn-'Amir ibn-Ḥidhyam, who died after a short time. 'Umar

1 Hoffman, Syrische Akten Persischer Märtyrer, p. 183.
2 Hoffman, op. cit., p. 224. note 1778.
3 R. Payne Smith, op. cit., col. 1801.
5 Hoffman, op. cit., p. 46.
then appointed 'Umar ibn-Sa'd al-Anṣāri, who succeeded in capturing 'Ain al-Wardah after a severe conflict.

'Ain al-Wardah or Ra's al-'Ain captured. Al-Wâkidi from abu-Wahb al-Jaishâni Dailam ibn-al-Muwassa':—

'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb wrote to 'Iyâd instructing him to send 'Umar ibn-Sa'd to 'Ain al-Wardah. This he did. The van of the army went ahead, assailed a group of peasants and carried away some of the enemy’s cattle as booty. The inhabitants of the city closed their gates and set up the mangonels on them. Many Moslems were killed by stones and arrows. Then one of the patricians of the city appeared and cursed the Moslems saying, “We are different from what ye have met heretofore!” At last the city was taken by capitulation.

Amr ibn-Muḥammad from a grandfather of al-Ḥajjāj ibn-abi-Mani':—Ra’s al-‘Ain held out against ‘Iyâd ibn-Ghanm; but ‘Umar ibn-Sa’d, who was ‘Umar’s governor over Mesopotamia, reduced it after a fierce resistance on the part of its inhabitants. The Moslems entered by force; but terms of capitulation were drawn up stipulating that the land be held by them and the tax be imposed on their persons to the amount of four dīnārs per head. Their women and children were not taken as captives.

The following statement was made by al-Ḥajjāj: “I heard it said by certain sheikhs from Ra’s al-‘Ain that when ‘Umar entered the city he shouted, ‘Never mind; never mind; [come] to me! [come] to me!’ and that constituted a guarantee of security for them.”

It is claimed by al-Haitham ibn-'Adi that ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent abu-Mūsa-l-Ash‘ari to ‘Ain al-Wardah, which

---

1 Ar. 'arrādhah; see Ḥomāsah (ed. Freytag), p. 307.
2 Another name for 'Ain-al-Wardah. See al-Mas'ūdi, Tanbih, p. 54; Yâkūt, vol. iii, p. 764.
he invaded with the troops of Mesopotamia after the death of 'Iyâd. The fact is that 'Umair captured it by force and did not take any captives. He only imposed kharâj and poll-tax. The view of Haitham is not shared by any other authority.

According to al-Ḥajjâj ibn-abi-Manî', a part of the inhabitants of Ra's al-'Ain having vacated it, the Moslems utilized their lands and cultivated them according to the fief system.

**Sinjâr captured.** Muhammad ibn-al-Mufaqid al-Maušili from certain sheikhs of Sinjâr.—Sinjâr¹ was held by the Greeks. Kisra—[Chosroes] known as Abarwiz—wanted to put to death one hundred Persians who were brought before him because of rebellion and disobedience. Someone having interceded in their behalf, he ordered them sent to Sinjâr, which he was then attempting to reduce. Two of them died, and 98 arrived there, joined the troops who were encamped against the city, and were the first to capture it. There they settled and multiplied. When 'Iyâd was through with Khilâf and was going to Mesopotamia, he sent an expedition to Sinjâr, took the city by capitulation, and settled it with Arabs.

**Maušil.** Some reports claim that 'Iyâd reduced one of the forts of Maušil, but that is not confirmed.

According to ibn-al-Kalbi, 'Umair ibn-Sa'd, the 'âmil of 'Umar is identical with 'Umar ibn-Sa'd ibn-Shuhaid ibn-'Amr one of al-Aus; but according to al-Wâkidî, he is 'Umair ibn-Sa'd ibn-'Ubaid whose father, Sa'd, was killed in the battle of al-Kâdisiyah. This Sa'd, according to the Kufite school, is one of those who compiled the Koran in the time of the Prophet.

**Khâlid dismissed.** Al-Wâkidî states that some reports

¹ Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 158.
claim that Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ruled in ‘Umar’s name a part of Mesopotamia; and once as he was in a bath, at Āmid [Diyarbakr], or at some other place, he daubed himself with a substance containing wine, which made ‘Umar dismiss him. This, however, is not confirmed.

The tax on Mesopotamia. ‘Amr an-Nâqid from Maimûn ibn-Mihrân:—For some time, oil and vinegar and food were taken for the benefit of the Moslems in Mesopotamia, which tax was later reduced through the sympathy of ‘Umar and fixed at 48, 24, and 12 dirhams. In addition to the poll-tax, every one had to provide two mudds of wheat two kîsts of oil and two kîsts of vinegar.

Mosques erected. I was informed by a number of the inhabitants of ar-Raḵkâh that when ‘Iyâd died and Sa’îd ibn-‘Amir ibn-Ḥidhyam became governor of Mesopotamia, the latter erected the mosque of ar-Raḵkâh and that of ar-Ruha, after which he died. The mosques in Diyâr Muḍar and Diyâr Rabî‘ah were erected by ‘Umaîr ibn-Sa‘îd.

Mu‘awiyyah settles Arab tribes. When Mu‘awiyyah ruled over Syria and Mesopotamia in the name of ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân, he was instructed by him to settle the Arabs in places far from the cities and villages, and allow them to utilize the lands unpossessed by anyone. Accordingly, he caused the banu-Tamîm to settle at ar-Râbiyyah; and a promiscuous multitude of Ḫais and Asad and others, in al-Mâzîḥin and al-Mudaibîr.¹ The same thing he did in Diyâr Muḍar. In like manner, he stationed the Rabî‘ah in their Diyâr. The cities and villages and frontier garrisons he put in charge of some, who received stipends in order to guard them and protect them, and whom he put there with his ‘āmils.

Scorpions in Naṣîbin. Abu-Ḥafṣ ash-Shâmi from Ḥam-

¹ Ḥudâmah, p. 246.
mād ibn-'Amr an-Naṣibi:—The ʿāmil of Naṣibin wrote to Muʿāwiya, ‘Uthmān’s governor over Syria and Mesopotamia, complaining that some of the Moslems in his company had fallen victim to the scorpions. Muʿāwiya wrote back instructing him to demand of the inhabitants in each quarter of the city a fixed number of scorpions to be brought every evening. This he did. They used to bring the scorpions before him, and he would order that they be killed.

Karkisiya, the Euphrates forts and other places reduced. Abu-Aiyūb al-Muʿaddab ar-Rakki from Abu-ʿAbdallāh al-Karkasānī’s sheikhs:— When ʿUmar ibn-Saʿd captured Raʾs al-ʿAin he made his way across and beyond al-Khabūr to Karkisiya whose people had violated the covenant. With them he made terms similar to those made before, and then advanced against the forts along the course of the Euphrates one after the other, which he reduced all on the same terms as Karkisiya. In none of them did he meet severe resistance. Some of them would sometimes throw stones at him. When he was through with Talbas and ʿĀnāt, he came to an-Naʿūsah, Alūsah and Hit where he found out that ʿAmmār ibn-Yāsir, the ʿāmil of ʿUmar ibn-Khaṭṭāb over al-Kūfah, had sent an army for the invasion of the region above al-Anbār, under the leadership of Saʿd ibn-ʿAmr ibn-Ḥarām al-Anṣārī. The holders of these forts had come to Saʿd and demanded peace, which he arranged with them, retaining one-half of the church of Hit. ʿUmar, therefore, kept on his way to ar-Raḵkāh.

I learned from certain scholars that the one who went against Hit and the forts beyond in al-Kūfah was Miḍāj

1 A tributary of the Euphrates; Tābīḥ, p. 54.
2 Vowels uncertain; Caetani, vol. iv, p. 222.
3 Hoffman, op. cit., p. 137, note 1162.
4 Yāḵūt, vol. i, p. 65.
ibn-'Amr as-Sulami, an ally of the banu-'Abd-Shams and one of the Companions, who effected their capture. This Midlâj built al-Ḥadithah on the Euphrates. His descendants were at Hit. The memory of one of them, surnamed abu-Ḥarûn, still lives there. Others assert that Midlâj was sent by Sa'd ibn-'Amr ibn-Ḥarâm; but Allah knows best.

Nahr Sa'id. In the place of Nahr Sa'id—the canal named after Sa'id ibn-'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân (who was nicknamed Sa'id al-Khair and who practised asceticism)—once stood a jungle frequented by lions. Al-Walid gave it to him [Sa'id] as fief, and he dug out the canal and erected the buildings that stand there. According to others, it was 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz who gave it as fief.

Ar-Râfîkah. There is no trace that ar-Râfîkah is an old city. It was built by al-Manṣūr the “Commander of the Believers” in the year 155, according to the plan of his city in Baghdadh. Al-Manṣūr stationed in it an army of the people of Khurâsân and entrusted it to al-Mahdi, who was at that time the heir-apparent. Later, ar-Rashid built its castles. Between ar-Raḵkah and ar-Râfîkah lay a wide tract of sown land to which 'Ali ibn-Sulaimân ibn-'Ali moved the markets of ar-Raḵkah when he came as governor to Mesopotamia. Previous to this, the greatest market of ar-Raḵkah was called Sūḵ Ḥishām al-'Atîk [the old market of Ḥishām]. When ar-Rashid visited ar-Raḵkah, he increased the number of these markets, whose income together with that from the confiscated towns, is still collected to-day.

Ruṣâfat Ḥishām and al-Hani wa-l-Mari. As for Ruṣâfat Ḥishām,1 it was built by Ḥishām ibn-'Abd-al-Malik who previous to its building, used to stop at az-Zaitûnah. Hi-

---

1 or ar-Ruṣâfat bi-ash-Shām; Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 784. Ruṣâfat means causeway.
shām dug out al-Hani wa-l-Mari [canals], thus making the crown-land known as al-Hani-wa-l-Mari tillable land. He founded in it Wāsiṭ ar-Raḳḳah.\(^1\) This same land was confiscated at the beginning of the [Abbasid] dynasty and passed into the hands of ummings-Ja‘far Zubaidah, daughter of Ja‘far ibn-al-Mansūr, who built in it the fief house that bears her name, and settled more people in it.

*Ar-Raḥbah.* There is no trace that ar-Raḥbah, which lies below Ḳarkisiya, is an old city, it having been built by Mālik ibn-Ṭauḵ ibn-‘Attāb\(^2\) at-Taghlabi in the caliphate of al-Ma‘mūn.

*Adhramah.* Adhramah in Diyyar Rabi‘ah was an old village which al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb at-Taghlabi took from its chief and in which he built a castle, thus fortifying it.

*Kafartūtha.* Kafartūtha\(^3\) was an old fort that was occupied by the offspring of abu-Rimthah, who made a town of it and fortified it.

*Diyar Rabi‘ah and al-Barriyah.* Mu‘āfa ibn-Ṭā‘ūs from his father:—The latter said, "I asked certain sheikhs regarding the tithes of Balad and Diyyar Rabi‘ah and al-Barriyah\(^4\) and was told that they were the tithes of lands held by the Arabs when they embraced Islam, or reclaimed by them from waste lands unpossessed by any one or given up by the Christians, and which have consequently become waste and covered with brushwood. These lands were given to the Arabs as fiefs."

*Ain ar-Rāmiyah.* Abu-‘Affān ar-Raḳḳi from certain sheikhs of the writers of ar-Raḳḳah and others:—‘Ain ar-

---

1 Yāḳūt, vol. iv, p. 889.
2 Cf. Maḥāsin, vol. ii, p. 34.
3 R. Payne Smith, col. 181r.
4 The desert part of Mesopotamia. Yāḳūt, vol. i, p. 601; Bakri, p. 566.
Rûmiyâh together with its spring belonged to al-Walid ibn-‘Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu’aiţ who gave it to abu-Zubaid at-Ţâ‘i from whom it passed to abu-l-‘Abbâs the “Commander of the Believers.” Abu-l-‘Abbâs gave it as fief to Mâimûn ibn-Ḥamzah, the freedman of ‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abbâs, from whose heirs ar-Râshid bought it. It lies in the district of ar-Râqîkâh.

Ghadât ibn-Hubairâh. Ghadât ibn-Hubairâh [the forest of ibn-Hubairâh] was first given as fief to ibn-Hubairâh, but later confiscated and assigned as fief to Bishr ibn-Mâimûn, the builder of at-Ţâkât¹ [archways or arcades] at Baghdâdh in the vicinity of Bâb ash-Shâm [the Syrian gate]. This Ghadât was later bought by ar-Râshid. It lies in the province of Sarûj.

‘A’ishah fief. The fief which was given by Hishâm to his daughter, ‘A’ishah, at Râskifa and which bore her name was also confiscated.

Sala‘ûs and Kafarjadda. ‘Abd-al-Malik and Hishâm owned a village called Sala‘ûs and half of another called Kafarjadda which lay in the province of ar-Ruha.

Tall ‘Afra’, Tall Madhâba, al-Muṣalla and Rabaḍ Harrân. In Harrân, al-Ghamr ibn-Yazid owned Tall ‘Afra’, the land of Tall Madhâba,² and Arḍ al-Muṣalla [place of prayer], together with the confiscated lands and the workshops in Rabaḍ Harrân.

Marj ‘Abd-al-Wâhid. Before al-Ḥadath and Zibatrah were built, Marj³ ‘Abd-al-Wâhid was a pasturing place reserved for the Moslems⁴; but when these two were built, the Moslems could do without the Marj, which was peopled

¹ Cf. Le Strange, Baghdâd during the Abasid Caliphate, p. 130.
² Lacking in diacritical points.
³ The word means meadow.
⁴ Ar. ḥima; see Mawardi, p. 324.
and later added by al-Ḥusain al-Khādim in the caliphate of ar-Rashid to al-Ḥwāz. After that, some people unjustly took possession of it and of its farms, in which condition it remained until ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ṭâhir came to Syria and returned it to the crown-lands. Abu-Aiyûb ar-Raḵṣî heard it said that ‘Abd-al-Wâḥid, after whom the Marj was named, was ‘Abd-al-Wâḥid ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-al-Ḥakam ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣî, a cousin of ‘Abd-al-Malik. He owned the Marj, but turned it into a pasture land exclusively for the Moslems. He is the one whom al-Ḵaṭâmi lauded, saying:

“If fate would overlook only ‘Abd-al-Wâḥid, let not the case of all the other inhabitants of the city grieve thee.”
CHAPTER II

THE CHRISTIANS OF THE BANU-TAGHLIB IBN-WÂ’IL

‘Umar doubles their šadakah. Shaibân ibn-Farrûkh from as-Saffâh ash-Shaibâni:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb wanted to collect the poll-tax from the Christian tribe, banu-Taghlib; but they took to flight and some of them went to a distant land. An-Nu‘mân ibn-Zur‘ah (or Zur‘ah ibn-an-Nu‘mân) addressed ‘Umar saying: “I plead in Allah’s name for the banu-Taghlib. They are a body of Arabs too proud to pay poll-tax, but severe in warfare. Let not thy enemy, therefore, be enriched by them to thy disadvantage.”¹ Thereupon ‘Umar called them back and doubled the šadakah laid on them.

Neither Moslems, nor of the “people of the Book.” Shaibân from ibn-‘Abbâs:—The latter said, “What is slaughtered by the Christians of the banu-Taghlib shall not be eaten, and their women shall not be taken as wives [by us]. They are neither of us nor of the ‘people of the Book.’”

‘Umair consults ‘Umar. ‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from ‘Awânah ibn-al-Ḥakam and abu-Mikhnaф:—‘Umair ibn-Sa’d wrote to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb informing him that he had come to the regions on the Syrian slope of the Euphrates and captured ‘Anât and the other forts of [i. e., along the course of] the Euphrates; and that when he wished to constrain the banu-Taghlib of that region to accept Islâm, they refused and were on the point of leaving for some Byzantine territory; no one on the Syrian slope of the Euphrates whom he wished to constrain to Islâm had before the banu-

¹ Cf. Yūsuf, p. 68.
Taghlib showed such tenacity and asked permission to emigrate. ‘Umair asked ‘Umar’s advice on this matter. ‘Umar wrote back ordering him to double on all their pasturing cattle and land the amount of *sadakah* ordinarily taken from Moslems; and if they should refuse to pay that, he ought to war with them until he annihilates them or they accept Islâm. They accepted to pay a double *sadakah* saying, “So long as it is not the tax of the ‘uncircumcised,’ we shall pay it and retain our faith.”

The terms with the banu-Taghlib. ‘Amr an-Nākid from Dâ’ūd ibn-Kurdūs:—After having crossed the Euphrates and decided to leave for the land of the Greeks, the banu-Taghlib made terms with ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, agreeing not to immerse [baptize] a child or compel him to accept their faith, and to pay a double *sadakah*. Dâ’ūd ibn-Kurdūs used to repeat that they had no claim to security [*dhimmah*], because they used immersion in their ritual—referring to baptism.

*Only they pay double *sadakah*.* Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from az-Zulīrī:—None of the “people of the Book” pay *sadakah* on their cattle except the Christian banu-Taghlib or—he perhaps said—the Christian Arabs, whose whole possessions consist of cattle. These pay twice what the Moslems pay.

*Zur’ah intercedes in their behalf.* Sa‘īd ibn-Sulaimān Sa‘dawayh from Zur’ah ibn-an-Nu’mān:—The latter interceded with ‘Umar in favor of the Christians of the banu-Taghlib, saying, “They are Arabs too proud to pay the poll-tax, and are possessors of tillable land and cattle.” ‘Umar had decided to take tax from them and they became dispersed in the whole country. At last, ‘Umar made terms

---

1 Cf. Yūsuf, p. 68.
3 MPO, vol. iii, pp. 159, 162.
with them, stipulating that they pay double what the Moslems pay in the form of ṣadakāh on the land and cattle, and that they do not christen their children.

What 'Ali would do. According to Mughirah, 'Ali used to repeat, "If I should have the time to deal with the banu-Taghlib, I would have my own way with them. Their fighters I would surely put to death, and their children I would take as captives, because by christening their children they violated the covenant and are no more in our trust [dhimmah]."

What Ziyād said. Abu-Naṣr at-Tammâr from Ziyād ibn-Ḥudair al-Asadî:—The latter said, "I was sent by 'Umar to the Christians of the banu-Taghlib in order to collect from them half the tithe on their possessions, and was warned against collecting tithes from a Moslem, or from a dhimmi that pays kharāj."

'Uthmân withdraws his word. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd from Muḥammad ibn-Ibrâhim ibn-al-Ḥārith:—'Uthmân gave orders that nothing be accepted from the banu-Taghlib as tax except the tithe on gold and silver. Having, however, learned the fact that 'Umar took from them a double ṣadakāh, he withdrew his word.

The tax on banu-Taghlib. According to al-Wâkidî, it is said by Sufyān ath-Thauri, al-Auzâ‘î, Mâlik ibn-Anas, ibn-abi-Lailah, ibn-abi-Dhi‘b, abu-Ḥanifah and abu-Yûsuf that from one of the banu-Taghlib is collected double what is collected from a Moslem, on land, cattle and possessions. But if he is a child or idiot, a double ṣadakāh—according to the school of al-‘Irâk—is taken on his land, and nothing on his cattle; and according to the school of al-Ḥijâz, a double ṣadakāh is taken on his cattle and his land. They all, however, agree that what is taken from the banu-Taghlib should be spent in the same way as kharāj, because it is a substitute for tax.
CHAPTER III

THE FORTIFICATIONS OF THE MESOPOTAMIAN FRONTIER

Shimshāt. When ʿUthmān ibn-ʿAffān became caliph, he wrote to Muʿāwiyyah conferring on him the governorship of Syria, and assigned ʿUmar ibn-Saʿd al-Anṣārī as governor of Mesopotamia. Later he dismissed the latter and combined both Syria and Mesopotamia, including their frontier fortifications [thughūr] under Muʿāwiyyah, in the meantime ordering Muʿāwiyyah to invade or send someone to invade Shimshāt,\(^1\) i.e., Armenia IV. Accordingly, Muʿāwiyyah sent thereto Ḥabīb ibn-Maslamah-I-Fihri and Ṣafwān ibn-Muʿaṭṭal as-Sulami who, after a few days of camping around it, reduced it and made terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. Ṣafwān took up his abode in Armenia until his death towards the end of Muʿāwiyyah’s caliphate. It is held by others that Muʿāwiyyah himself led the invasion with these two in his company, that he then conferred its governorship on Ṣafwān, who lived in it until his death. After stopping in Malatya in the year 133, Constantine the “tyrant” camped around Shimshāt with hostile intentions, but effected nothing. After making a raid on the surrounding places, he departed. Shimshāt was included in the kharāj-land until the time of al-Mutawakkil who changed it into a tithe-land, putting it on the same level with the other frontier fortresses.

Kamkh. After the conquest of Shimshāt, Ḥabīb ibn-

\(^1\) Yākūt, vol. iii, p. 319.
Maslamah attacked Ḥiṣn Kamkh ¹ but failed to reduce it. Saḥwān too attacked it and failed. In the year 59—the year in which he died—Saḥwān made another attempt on it, at which time he was accompanied by ‘Umar ibn-al-Ḥubāb ² as-Sulami, who climbed the wall and kept struggling single-handed until the Greeks gave way and the Moslems climbed up. Thus the reduction of Kamkh was due to ‘Umar ibn-al-Ḥubāb and was the thing in which he boasted and others boasted for him. Later, however, the Greeks succeeded in taking it; but it was recaptured by Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik. Thus the fort passed back and forth from the hands of the Moslems to the hands of the Greeks until the year 149 in which al-Manṣūr left Baghdād for Ḥadīthat al-Mauṣil from which he sent al-Ḥasan ibn-Ḳaḥṭabah and after him Muḥammad ibn-al-Asḥath, both under the leadership of al-‘Abbās ibn-Muḥammad, for the invasion of Kamkh. Muḥammad ibn-al-Asḥath died at Amid. ³ Al-‘Abbās and al-Ḥasan advanced to Malatyah ⁴ from which they took provisions, and then camped around Kamkh. Al-‘Abbās ordered that mangonels be set upon the fort. The holders of the fort covered it with cypress wood to protect it against the mangonel stones, and killed by the stones they hurled two hundred Moslems. The Moslems then set their mantelets ⁵ and fought severely until they captured it. Among those in the company of al-‘Abbās ibn-Muḥammad ibn-ʿAli in this campaign was Maṭar al-Warrāk. Once more the Greeks took Kamkh fort, and in the year 177 an attack against it was led by Muḥammad ibn-

¹ Ḥauḳal, pp. 129, 130.
³ Diyarbakr.
⁴ Yāḳūṭ, vol. iv, pp. 633-634.
⁵ Ar. dabbābah; Zaidān, vol. i, p. 143.
‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-abi-‘Amrah-1-Anšâri, the ūmil of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣâliḥ over Shimshât, which resulted in its reduction. The fort was entered on the 14th of Rabî‘ II, 177, and was held by the Moslems until the time of the civil war led by Muḥammad ibn-ar-Rashid, at which time its holders fled away and the Greeks took possession of it. Some hold that the fort was delivered to the Greeks by ‘Ubaiddallâh ibn-al-Akṭa‘ who, thereby, saved his son who was held by them as prisoner. In the caliphate of al-Ma‘mûn, ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ṭâhir reduced it; and it was in the hands of the Moslems until certain Christians from Shimshât, Kâlikâla together with Bîkrâṭ ibn-Ashūṭ, the patrician of Khîlât, succeeded by subtle means in transferring it to the Greeks, and in this wise winning their favor which the Christians desired because they held crown-lands in the province of Shimshât.

Malâtyah. Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamah-1-Fihri was sent by ‘Iyâḍ ibn-Ghanîm from Shimshât to Malâtyah 1 whose conquest he effected. The city was later lost to the Moslems. When Mu‘âwiyyah became governor of Syria and Mesopotamia, he sent again Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamah who took it by force and stationed in it a Moslem company of horsemen to keep post on the frontier and a ūmil. When Mu‘âwiyyah visited it on his way to the land of the Greeks, he stationed in it a garrison from Syria, Mesopotamia and other places. It became one of the headquarters for the summer expeditions. In the days of ‘Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair, its inhabitants having left it, the Greeks came and devastated it; but they soon after evacuated it, and it was occupied by Armenian and Nabatean [Aramean] Christians.

Twrândah. Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wâkidî:—

After its invasion by ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik in the

1 Yâkût, vol. iv, pp. 633-634.
year 83, the Moslems settled in Ṭurandah \(^1\) and built their houses in it. This Ṭurandah is three days’ journey from Malatyah and lies in the interior of the Byzantine Empire. Malatyah at this time was in ruins and inhabited by only a few Armenian dhimmis and others. In summer, a detachment of troops from Mesopotamia would come and stay in it until the rain and snow began to fall, at which time they would return. When 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz became caliph, he made the inhabitants of Ṭurandah, against their will, evacuate it, because he feared a raid of the enemy upon them. As they left, they carried away everything on their backs, leaving nothing behind and breaking even the jars of oil and vinegar. 'Umar settled them in Malatyah and destroyed Ṭurandah, making Ja'wanah ibn-al-Ḫārith of the banu-'Āmir ibn-Ṣa'ṣa'āh the governor of Malatyah.

**The Greeks descend upon Malatyah.** In the year 123, some 20,000 Greeks made a descent on Malatyah. Its inhabitants closed the gates; and the women appeared on the wall with turbans on their heads and took part in the fight. The people of Malatyah then sent a messenger to appeal for help. He rode on a post-mule and came to Hishām ibn-'Abd-al-Malik who was then at ar-Ruṣāfah. Hishām summoned the Moslems to the help of Malatyah, but hearing that the Greeks had withdrawn from it, he communicated the news to the messenger and sent him with horsemen to remain at the frontier in readiness for the enemy. Hishām led an expedition in person, after which he alighted in Malatyah where he lay encamped until it was built. On his way, he passed through ar-Raḵkah which he entered with his sword at his side. This was the first time in his rule in which he carried his sword.

It is reported by al-Wâkidî that in the year 133, Constan-

\(^1\) Yāḵūt, vol. iii, p. 534.
tine the "tyrant" directed his march to Malatyah. Kamkh at that time was in Moslem hands; and its governor was one of the banu-Sulaim. The people of Kamkh having sent a call to the people of Malatyah for succor, 800 horsemen sallied forth from it to meet the Greeks. The Greek cavalry defeated them after a battle, and Constantine camped around Malatyah and invested it. At this time, Mesopotamia was the scene of a civil war and its 'āmil Mūsa ibn-Ka'b was at Harrān. Therefore, when the people of Ma-
latyah sent a messenger soliciting aid, nobody came. Hear-
ing that, Constantine addressed the people of Malatyah saying, "O people of Malatyah, I would not have come to you had I not realized your state and the fact that your authorities [sultān] are too busy to help you. Make peace therefore with me and leave the city that I may destroy it and go my way." The people did not comply with his de-
mand; so he set the mangonels. The siege was pressed so hard and the inhabitants were so exhausted that they asked Constantine for safe-conduct, which request he ac-
cepted. As they prepared to leave, they carried every light thing they could and threw what was too heavy into wells and hiding places. As they made their way out, all the Greeks stood in two rows from the city gates to the end of the line, with their swords unsheathed and the point of the one sword on the point of the one opposite to it, thus making an arch. The Greeks saw them off until they got to their place of safety, upon which they turned toward Mesopotamia where they settled in various places. Malat-
yah was then razed to the ground by the Greeks, who left nothing but a granary of which only one side was dam-
aged. Hīṣn Kalūdhiyyah was also destroyed by them.

Malatyah rebuilt. In the year 139, al-Mansūr wrote to Şāliḥ ibn-'Ali ordering him to rebuild and fortify Malat-
yah. He then deemed it best to send 'Abd-al-Wahhāb ibn-
Ibrâhim al-Imâm as governor over Mesopotamia and its frontier fortresses. Accordingly, 'Abd-al-Wahhâb started in the year 140 at the head of troops from Khurâsân and was accompanied by al-Ḥasan ibn-Ḥaṭtabah. He ordered the people of Syria and Mesopotamia to furnish contingents of troops, which they did to the number of 70,000. With these, he marched to the site of Malatya, gathered workmen from various places and started the construction. Al-Ḥasan ibn-Ḥaṭtabah himself would sometimes carry a stone and hand it over to the mason. He would also provide the workers with dinners and suppers at his own expense, opening his kitchens to the public. 'Abd-al-Wahhâb was displeased at this and wrote to abu-Ja'far stating that he ['Abd-al-Wahhâb] gave food to the people, but al-Ḥasan distributed many times more, his aim being to contend with him for superiority in beneficence, to spoil what he did, and to disparage him by means of extravagance and hypocrisy; and that al-Ḥasan had special heralds to go round calling people to his meals. To this, abu-Ja'far replied, "Boy, al-Ḥasan feeds people on his own account; and thou feedest them on mine. What thou hast written was due to thy ignominy, deficient energy and base-mindedness." In the meantime, he wrote to al-Ḥasan: "Feed the people, but do not use a herald." Al-Ḥasan used to announce to the workmen that he who, in building a wall, got first to the crown of a cornice would receive so much." This made them put forth special effort to finish the work; and thus was Malatya with its mosque rebuilt in 6 months. For every group of ten to fifteen troops in the army, he built a house of two rooms below and two rooms above and a stable. At a distance of thirty miles from the city, he built a frontier castle and another on a rivulet called Kubâkib that empties its water into the Euphrates. Al-Manṣūr settled in Malatya 4,000 fighters from Mesopotamia, Malatya being one of
the Mesopotamian frontier towns, adding to each man's stipend ten dinârs, and giving to each a bounty of one hundred dinârs, in addition to the pay allotted to the different tribes. He stationed in the town the necessary garrison, assigned farms to the troops as fief and built the ḫaludhiyâh fort.

Constantine desists from Jaihân. Constantine the "tyrant", at the head of an army of more than 100,000 men, came to Jaihân; but hearing of the great number of the Arabs, he desisted from it.

Naṣr ibn-Mâlik and Naṣr ibn-Sa'd accompany 'Abd-al-Wahhâb. I heard it said that 'Abd-al-Wahhâb was accompanied in the expedition mentioned above by Naṣr ibn-Mâlik al-Khuza'î and Naṣr ibn-Sa'd al-Kâtib, a freedman of al-Anṣâr. Hence the poet's words:

"Thou hadst on thy sides two Naṣrs: Naṣr ibn-Mâlik and Naṣr ibn-Sa'd, may thy victory [Ar. naṣr] be unparalleled!"

Muḥammad ibn-Ibrâhîm goes against Malatyah. In the year 141, Muḥammad ibn-Ibrâhîm was sent to invade Malatyah at the head of an army from the people of Khurâsân, with al-Musaiyab ibn-Zuhair leading the choice men of the army. He posted a body of horsemen in Malatyah so that the enemy should not covet its possession. Those of its old inhabitants who survived returned to it.

Ar-Rashid humiliates the Greeks. In the days of ar-Rashid, the Greeks attempted the conquest of Malatyah but to no avail. Ar-Rashid led an invasion, overcame and humiliated them.

Mar'ash. When abu-Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh was in Manbij, he sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walid to the region of Mar'ash whose fort Khâlid seized on the condition that its

holders be allowed to emigrate to another place, after which he destroyed it. When Sufyân ibn-‘Auf al-Ghâmidi made an expedition against the Greeks in the year 30, he started from Mar‘ash and made a tour in the land of the Greeks. Mar‘ash was built by Mu‘âwiyyah and populated by him with troops. After the death of Yazid ibn-Mu‘âwiyyah, the Greeks reiterated their attacks on the city and so the inhabitants had to desert it. ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân, after the death of his father, Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam, and after asserting his claim upon the caliphate, made terms with the Greeks, agreeing to pay them a certain sum. But in the year 74, Muhammad ibn-Marwân attacked the Greeks, and thus the peace was broken.

In the year 75, Muḥammad ibn-Marwân once more led the summer campaign, and the Greeks went forth in Jumāda I from Mar‘ash to al-A‘mâḳ [valleys]. The Moslems marched against them under Abân ibn-al-Walid ibn-‘Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu‘aiṭ accompanied by Dinâr ibn-Dinâr, a freedman of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân and a governor of Ḫinnasrîn and its districts. The two armies met in ‘Amḳ [valley] Mar‘ash where a fierce battle was fought, resulting in the defeat of the Greeks. The Moslems chased them, massacring and capturing. In this same year, Dinâr came across a band of Greeks at Jîsr [bridge] Yaghra about ten miles from Shimshâṭ, and routed them. Later al-‘Abbâs ibn-al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik came to Mar‘ash, built it, fortified it, moved people into it and erected in it a cathedral mosque. He imposed upon the people of Ḫinnasrîn a contingent of troops to be sent to Mar‘ash.

When Marwân ibn-Muḥammad during his caliphate was busy fighting against Ḥims, the Greeks came against Mar‘ash and invested it until its inhabitants made terms to evacuate it. Accordingly, they together with their families left for Mesopotamia and the district of Ḫinnasrîn, upon which
the Greeks destroyed the city. The ‘āmil of Marwân over
the city was at that time al-Kauthar ibn-Zufar ibn-al-
Hârith al-Kilâbi and the “tyrant” was Constantine son of
Leon.¹ When Marwân was through with Ḥims and had
destroyed its wall, he sent an army to rebuild Mar‘ash. It
was rebuilt and made into a city; but the Greeks led an in-
surrection and destroyed it.

In the caliphate of abu-Ja‘far al-Manṣūr, Ṣâlih ibn-‘Ali
rebuilt Mar‘ash and fortified it. He invited men to settle in
it, promising to increase their stipends. He was succeeded
by al-Mahdi who increased its garrison and armed the
people.

Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wâkîdî:—Mikhâ’il
[Michael] set out from Darb al-Ḥadâth at the head of
80,000 men and came to ‘Amk Mar‘ash, killing, burning and
carrying away the Moslems as captives. Thence he advanced
to the gate of the city of Mar‘ash in which there was ‘Īsa
ibn-‘Ali who in that year was on an expedition. The freed-
men of ‘Īsa together with the inhabitants of the city and
their troops sallied out against Michael and showered on
him their lancets and arrows. Michael gave way before
them and they followed him until they were outside the
city range; at which he turned upon them, killing eight of
‘Īsa’s freedmen and chasing the rest back to the city. Hav-
ing gone in, they closed its gates and Michael, after invest-
ing the city, departed and stopped at Jaiḥân. When Thumâ-
mah ibn-al-Walîd al-‘Absî, who was then in Dâbîk and who
in the year 161 led the summer expedition, heard of that, he
despached against Michael a strong detachment of cavalry
most of whom lost their lives. This aroused the anger of
al-Mahdi who began preparations for sending al-Ḥasan ibn-
Kâhṭabah on an expedition in the following year, i. e., 162.

¹ Ar. Kūṣṭaṇṭîn ibn-Ŝlyûn. He was the successor of Heraclius;
Maḥâsin, vol. i, p. 84; Aṭhir, vol. ii, p. 444.
Hiṣn al-Hadath and Darb al-Hadath. Hiṣn al-Ḥadath was one of the places reduced in the days of ʿUmar by Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah who was sent by ʿIyāḍ ibn-Ghanm. After that, Muʿāwiyah used to pay frequent attention to it. Darb al-Ḥadath was ominously called by the banu-ʿUmaiyyah “as-Salāmah” [safety] because they suffered a great calamity in it, the calamity being, according to some, the occurrence implied in the term Ḥadath [which means occurrence]. Others assert that the Moslems met on the way a youth who fought against them with his companions, hence the name Darb al-Ḥadath.¹

At the time of the insurrection of Marwān ibn-Muḥammad, the Greeks went and destroyed the city of al-Ḥadath and drove its people out as they had done in the case of Malāṭyah.

In the year 161, Michael went out to ʿAmk Marʿash, and al-Mahdi directed al-Ḥasan ibn-Ḵahtabah to make a tour in the Byzantine Empire. Al-Ḥasan’s hand lay so heavily upon the people that they put his picture in their churches. His entrance to the land of the Greeks [Asia Minor] was through Darb al-Ḥadath where he examined the site of its city [al-Ḥadath] which he was told was evacuated by Michael. Al-Ḥasan chose that site for his city, and when he departed he spoke to al-Mahdi regarding the reconstruction of this city as well as that of Țarsūs. Al-Mahdi gave orders that al-Ḥadath be built first. Among the companions of al-Ḥasan in this campaign were Mandal al-ʿAnazi ²—the Kuftite traditionist, and Muʿtamir ibn-Sulaimān al-Baṣri. Al-Ḥadath was rebuilt by ʿAli ibn-Sulaimān ibn-ʿAli, the governor of Mesopotamia and Ḳinnasrīn, and was called al-Muhammadiyah. The death of al-Mahdi

¹ "The pass of the youth."
² Cf. Dhahabi, Mushābiḥ, p. 377.
coincided with the completion of its building, so it is really al-Mahdiyyah as well as al-Muhammadiyyah. Brick was the material used in its construction. The death of al-Mahdi fell in the year 169.

Al-Mahdi was succeeded by his son Mūsā-1-Hādī who dismissed 'Ali ibn-Sulaimān and conferred the governorship of Mesopotamia and Ḍinnasrīn upon Muḥammad ibn-Ibrāhīm ibn-Muḥammad ibn-'Ali. Since 'Ali ibn-Sulaimān had by this time completed the building of the city of al-Ḥadath, Muḥammad assigned to it troops from Syria, Mesopotamia and Khurāsān, fixing forty dinārs as the stipend of each soldier. To these he assigned the houses as fiefs, and bestowed three hundred dirhams on every one of them. The city was completed in 169.

According to abū-l-Khaṭṭāb, 'Ali ibn-Sulaimān assigned 4,000 paid troops to al-Ḥadath and settled them in it, transferring 2,000 men into it from Malāṯyah, Shimshāṭ, Sumaisāt, Kaisūm, Dulūk and Ra‘bān.

It was stated by al-Wāḳīḍī that when the building of al-Ḥadath was completed, winter set in and rain and snow fell in great quantities. The houses of the city, not being strongly built or provided with the necessary precautions, had their walls soon covered with cracks and fell to pieces. The Greeks then occupied it and the troops together with the people that were in it were scattered. Hearing that, Mūsā conscripted a contingent of troops headed by al-Musaiyab [not al-Musaiyib] ibn-Zuhair, another by Raḥib ibn-Ḥātim and still another by Ḥāmzah ibn-Mālik. Mūsā, however, died before they were sent out.

After that, ar-Rashīd became caliph, and he gave orders to rebuild the city, fortify it, station a garrison in it and assign to its fighters dwellings and lands as fiefs.

It was stated by others than al-Wāḳīḍī that when al-Ḥadath was built, one of the great patricians of the Greeks
made a descent upon it with a strong host. The city was built with bricks, one placed on top of the other, without mortar intervening and which were damaged by the snow. The 'āmil with all those in the city took to flight, and the enemy entered it, putting its mosque to flames, destroying the city and carrying away the movable possessions of the people. When ar-Rashid became caliph, he rebuilt it.

I was informed by one from Manbij that ar-Rashid wrote to Muḥammad ibn-Ibrāhim confirming him in the work he was doing. Thus the erection of the city of al-Ḥadath and its peopling were carried out by him on behalf of ar-Rashid. Later, Muḥammad was dismissed by ar-Rashid.

Rahwat Mālik. In the year 46, Mālik ibn-ʿAbdallāh al-Khathʿami, nicknamed Mālik aṣ-Ṣawāʿif [summer expeditions] and who was a Palestinian, made an expedition to the Byzantine territory and returned with great booty. On his way back he stopped at a place called ar-Rahwat, fifteen miles from Darb al-Ḥadath. There he spent three days during which he sold the booty and divided its shares. Therefore the place was called Rahwat Mālik.

Marj ʿAbd-al-Wāḥid. Marj ʿAbd-al-Wāḥid was a pasture-land devoted to the exclusive use of the Moslem cavalry, which after the erection of al-Ḥadath and Zibaṭrāh was of no more use and therefore was changed into a sown land.

Zibaṭrāh. Zibaṭrāh was an old Greek fort that was reduced together with the old Ḥadath 1 fort by Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah-I-Fihri. The fort stood until it was destroyed by the Greeks in the days of al-Walid ibn-Yazid. It was then rebuilt, but not so strongly, therefore the Greeks made another attack on it at the time of the insurrection of Mar-

---

1 Caetani, vol. iv, p. 60, note 1.
wān ibn-Muhammad and destroyed it. Al-Manṣūr built it again and it was once more torn into pieces by the Greeks. It was then rebuilt by ar-Rashīd under the supervision of Muḥammad ibn-Ibrāhīm who stationed a garrison in it. When al-Maʾmūn became caliph, the Greeks made another descent on it and tore it into pieces, after which they made a raid on the pasturing cattle of its holders and carried away some cattle. Al-Maʾmūn gave orders for repairing and fortifying it. In the year 210, the deputies of the Greek "tyrant" came asking for peace, which al-Maʾmūn refused. In pursuance of his orders, his āmīls [lieutenants] in the frontier fortresses made tours in Asia Minor where they wrought heavy slaughter, subdued the land and won many brilliant victories. One misfortune was the loss of the life of Yakṣān ibn-ʿAbd-al-Aʿla ibn-Aḥmad ibn-Yazid ibn-Asīd as-Sulami.

In the days of al-Muʿtaṣim-Billāh abu-ʾIsḥāk ibn-ar-Rashid, the Greeks made a sally against Zibatrah ¹ in the course of which they killed the men, captured the women and destroyed the city. This greatly aroused the anger of al-Muʿtaṣim who chased them as far as Ammūriyah, destroying many forts on the way. He camped against Ammūriyah until he reduced it, putting its fighters to death and carrying off the women and children as prisoners. He then destroyed Ammūriyah, and ordered that Zibatrah be rebuilt. He also fortified and garrisoned it. The Greeks after that tried to reduce it but failed.

Hisn Manṣūr. According to abu-ʿAmr al-Bāhili and others the Manṣūr fort was named after Manṣūr ibn-Jaʿwanah ibn-al-Ḥarīth al-ʿAmīrī of Kāis who had charge of building and repairing it, and who occupied it in the days of Marwān with a large host of the troops of Syria and Mesopotamia in order to repulse the enemy.

¹Yāḵūt, vol. ii, p. 914.
This same Manṣūr was governor of ar-Ruha when its inhabitants rebelled in the early part of the [Abbasid] dynasty and were besieged by al-Manṣūr, the ‘āmil of abu-l-‘Abbās over Mesopotamia and Armenia. When al-Manṣūr captured the city, Manṣūr took to flight; but when he was later given safe-conduct, he appeared on the scene. When ‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Ali dismissed abu-Ja‘far al-Manṣūr, ‘Abdallāh made Manṣūr the chief of the guard in his district. When ‘Abdallāh fled to al-Baṣrah, Manṣūr disappeared but was discovered in the year 141 and brought before al-Manṣūr, who, on his way from Jerusalem, put him to death at ar-Raḳḳah. According to others, Manṣūr was given safe-conduct and appeared after the flight of [Abdallāh] ibn-‘Ali. After this there were found letters on him directed to the Greeks and betraying Islām. When al-Manṣūr, in the year 141, arrived at ar-Raḳḳah from Jerusalem, he sent someone who brought him; and he was beheaded at ar-Raḳḳah. Al-Manṣūr then departed for al-Hāshimiyyah ¹ at al-Kūfah.

In the caliphate of al-Mahdi, ar-Rashīd built the Manṣūr fort and stationed a garrison in it.

¹ Yākūt, vol. iv, p. 946; Baghdād under the Abbasid Caliphate, p. 5.
CHAPTER IV

ARABIC MADE THE LANGUAGE OF THE STATE REGISTERS

Greek remained the language of the state registers until the reign of 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân, who in the year 81 ordered it changed. The reason was that a Greek clerk desiring to write something and finding no ink urined in the inkstand. Hearing this, 'Abd-al-Malik punished the man and gave orders to Sulaimân ibn-Sa‘d to change the language of the registers. Sulaimân requested 'Abd-al-Malik to give him as subsidy the kharâj of the Jordan province for one year. 'Abd-al-Malik granted his request and assigned him to the governorship of the Jordan. No sooner had the year ended, than the change of the language was finished and Sulaimân brought the registers to 'Abd-al-Malik. The latter called Sarjûn [Sergius] and presented to him the new plan. Sarjûn was greatly chagrined and left 'Abd-al-Malik sorrowful. Meeting certain Greek clerks, he said to them, "Seek your livelihood in any other profession than this, for God has cut it off from you."

The total tax of the Jordan which was thus assigned as subsidy was 180,000 dinârs, that of Palestine was 350,000; that of Damascus 400,000; that of Hîms with Kînnsarîn and the regions called to-day al-'Awâšim, 800,000, and according to others 700,000.

1 Ar. diwân which may also be used in the sense of office or bureau.

2 Ar. ma‘ûnah; see Mubarrad, Kâmîl, p. 76, last line.
PART IV
ARMENIA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF ARMENIA

Traditions have been communicated to me by Muhammad ibn-Ismā‘il of Bardha‘ah and others on the authority of Abu-Barâ’ Anbasah ibn-Baḥr al-Armani; by Muhammad ibn-Bishr al-Kāli on the authority of his sheikhs; by Barmak ibn-‘Abdallāh ad-Dabili, Muḥammad ibn-al-Mukhaiyis al-Khilāṭi and others on the authority of some well versed in the affairs of Armenia. These traditions I here with transmit, having pieced them up together into one whole, to wit:—

The four provinces. Shimshāṭ, Kālikala, Khilāṭ Arjish and Bajunais constituted Armenia IV; the district of al-Busfurrajān [Waspurakan], Dabil [Dwin], Sirāj Ṣair and Baghrawand constituted Armenia III; Jurzān [Georgia] constituted Armenia II; as-Sisajān and Arrān constituted Armenia I.1 According to others, Shimshāṭ alone constituted Armenia IV; Kālikala, Khilāṭ, Arjish and Bajunais, Armenia III; Sirāj Ṣair, Baghrawand, Dabil, and al-Busfurrajān, Armenia II; and as-Sisajān, Arrān [Albania], and Taflis, Armenia I.2 Jurzān and Arrān were held by the Khazar, while the rest of Armenia was held by the Greeks under the governorship of “the Lord of Armaniyâkus”.

Kubâdh ibn-Fairûz builds many cities. Al-Khazar used from time to time to make raids and reach as far as ad-Dinawar. Because of it, Kubâdh ibn-Fairûz al-Malik 3

1 *The Encyclopaedia of Islām*, vol. i, p. 444.
2 Khurdâdhibh, pp. 122-123.
3 i. e., the king. He belonged to the Sassanian Dynasty.
despatched one of his great generals at the head of 12,000 men, who ravaged the land of Arrân and conquered the region lying between ar-Rass river and Sharwân. Ḳu̇bâdâh then followed him and built in Arrân the city of al-Bailâkân, the city of Bardha‘ah—which is the capital of the whole frontier region, and the city of Ḳabalâh, i.e., al-Khazar. After that he erected Sudd al-Lîbn [brick dam] lying between the land of Sharwân and al-Lan gate. Along this Sudd, he established 360 cities which fell into ruins after the erection of the city of al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb.

Anâshirwân builds other cities. Ḳulâdh was succeeded by his son Anâshirwân Kisra who built the cities of ash-Shâbirân and Maskat, and later al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb ¹ which was called Abwâb because it was built on a road in the mountain. He settled in the places he built a people whom he called as-Siyâsijûn.² In the land of Arrân, he established Abwâb Shakkan,³ al-Ḳamîbarân, and Abwâb ad-Dûdâniyâh. Ad-Dûdâniyâh are a tribe who claim to be descended from the banu-Dûdân ibn-Asad ibn-Khuzaïmah. He also built ad-Durduhûkiyâh ⁴ which consisted of twelve gates,⁵ each one of which was a castle of stone. In the land of Jurzân he established a city, Sughdabil, which he populated with a body of as-Sughd [Sogdians] and Persians, making it a fortified town. Next to the Greek lands in the region of Jurzân, he built a castle and called it Bâb Fairûzi-ku̇bâdâh; another called Bâb Lâdhîkâh; still another Bâb

⁵ Cf. Hamadhâni, p. 288.
Bāriḵah which lies on the Ṭarabazundah sea [Black Sea]. He also erected Bāb al-Lān, Bāb Samsakhī,1 al-Jardamān fort, and Samshulda fort. Moreover, Anūshirwān conquered all the forts of Armenia held by the Greeks, built and fortified the city of Dabīl, built an-Nashawa—the capital of the al-Busfurrajān district, the fort of Waiš and other castles in the land of as-Sisajān including al-Kilāb and Sāhyūnīs castles. In the forts and castles, he stationed Siyāsījiyāh men noted for valor and efficiency in warfare.

Anūshirwān builds a wall between his domain and that of the Turks. Anūshirwān then wrote to the king of the Turks asking for reconciliation and peace and for action in unison. In order to assure him of his friendliness, Anūshirwān sought his daughter’s hand and expressed a desire to be his son-in-law. Meanwhile, he sent him a maid of his, who was adopted by one of his wives, and said she was his daughter. Consequently, the Turk presented his daughter to Anūshirwān and came to see him. The two met at al-Barshaliyah where they caroused together for some days, and each felt the other was friendly to him and expressed his loyalty. Anūshirwān ordered some of his friends in whom he confided to wait for nightfall and set fire to a part of the Turkish camp, which they did. In the morning, the Turkish king complained to Anūshirwān; but the latter denied having ordered it or known that his men had done it. After a few nights, Anūshirwān gave his orders to repeat the act, and his men did. The Turk grumbled so much at the act that Anūshirwān had to show sympathy for him and apologized to him, upon which he was appeased. By order of Anūshirwān, fire was set in a corner of his own camp where nothing but cottages of straw and twigs stood. In the morning, Anūshirwān grumbled to the Turk, saying,

"Thy men were on the point of destroying my camp; and thou rewardest me by throwing suspicion upon me!" The Turk swore that he knew no reason for the act, upon which Anūshirwân addressed him, saying, "Brother, thy troops and mine look with disfavor on the peace we made, because they have thereby lost the booty depending on razzias and wars that might be carried out between us. I fear they undertake things to corrupt our hearts after our mutual agreement of sincerity, so that we may once more have recourse to enmity after our new blood relationship and our friendship. I deem it wise, therefore, that thou allowest me to build a wall between thee and me with one gate through which none from us will go to you and from you to us, except the ones thou wishest and we wish." The Turk accepted the proposal and left for his own land.

Anūshirwân commenced building the wall. He built the side of it that faced the sea with rock and lead. Its width he made 300 dhirâ's, and its height reached the mountain heights. He ordered that stones be carried in boats and dropped into the sea, so that when they appeared above the surface, he could build on them. The wall extended over a distance of three miles in the sea. When the construction was completed, he fixed on its entrance iron gates and entrusted it to one hundred horsemen to guard it. Before this, it took 50,000 troops to guard the place. On this wall he also set a mantelet. The Khākân¹ was later told, "Anūshirwân has deceived thee and given thee for wife one who is not his daughter and fortified himself against thee"; but Khākân was no match for such wiles.

Anūshirwân assigns kings. Anūshirwân assigned kings, stationed them in different districts, and conferred on each one of them the governorship [made him Shâh] of one dis-

¹ The Turkish king.
trict. One of these was "Khakân al-Jabal" [lord of the mountain] who bore the title "Ṣâhib as-Sarîr" 1 [holder of the throne] and was named Wahrârzân-shâh. Another was the king of Filân surnamed Filân-shâh. Others were Ṭabarsarân-shâh, the king of al-Lakz—surnamed Jar-shân-shâh—the king of Maskat (whose kingship has been abolished), the king of Lîrân—surnamed Lîrân-shâh—and the king of Sharwân called Sharwân-shâh. He also made the chief of Bukh ² its king, and the chief of Zirikirân its king. The kings of Jabal al-Ḳabāk ³ he left over their kingdoms and made terms with them, stipulating that they pay an annual tribute.

Thus Armenia was in the hands of the Persians until the appearance of Islam, at which time many Siyâsijûn abandoned their forts and cities which fell into ruins. The Khazar and Greeks thus got possession of what was once in their hands.

Kâlikâla. At a certain period, the Greek princes were scattered about and some of them became like Mulûk at-Tawâ'if, ⁴ and one ruled over Armaniyâkus. After the death of the latter, his wife succeeded him and her name was Kâli. ⁵ She built the city of Kâlikâla, ⁶ which she named Kâlikâlah. The meaning of the word is "the benevolence of Kâli." She set her picture on one of the city gates. The Arabs arabicized Kâlikâlah into Kâlikâla.

Kâlikâla reduced. When 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân became caliph, he wrote to Mu'awiyah, his 'âmîl over Syria and

---

1 Istakhri, p. 191, note f; Mas'ûdi, vol. ii, pp. 41-42.
2 St. Martin, vol. i, p. 76.
3 Cf. Meynard, p. 437, "Qabq (Caucase)."
4 Petty kings among whom the Persian kingdom was divided after Alexander. Ţabari, vol. i, pp. 704-713.
5 Hamadhâni, p. 292.
Mesopotamia together with their frontier cities, ordering him to send Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah-ī-Fihri into Armenia. Ḥabib had left a good impression in connection with the conquest of Syria and the invasion of the Greeks. This fact was fully realized by ‘Umar, by ‘Uthmān and by ‘Uthmān’s successor. Others say that ‘Uthmān wrote [directly] to Ḥabib ordering him to make an expedition against Armenia. The former view is more authentic. Accordingly, Ḥabib went against it at the head of 6,000, but according to another estimate, 8,000, of the people of Syria and Mesopotamia. Arriving in Ḫālikāla, he camped around it; and when its people came out against him, he fought them and drove them to the city. They then asked for peace, agreeing to evacuate the place and pay the tax. Many of them left the city and went as far as Asia Minor. Ḥabib remained in the city with his men for a few months. He then learned that the patrician of Armaniyāḵus had massed a large army against the Moslems and was reinforced by troops from al-Lān, Afkhāz and from Samandar in al-Khazar. Therefore, he wrote to ‘Uthmān asking for reinforcement. ‘Uthmān wrote to Muʿawiyah asking him to send to Ḥabib a body of men from Syria and Mesopotamia interested in the “holy war” and booty. Accordingly, Muʿawiyah sent 2,000 men who were settled in Ḫālikāla, given fiefs and stationed as horsemen guard to keep post in it. At the receipt of Ḥabib’s request, ‘Uthmān also wrote to Saʿīd ibn-al-ʿAṣī ibn-Saʿīd ibn-al-ʿAṣī ibn-Umayyah, his ‘āmil over al-Kūfah, ordering him to reinforce Ḥabib with an army headed by Salmān ibn-Rabiʿah-ī-Bāhilī who bore the title “Salmān al-Khail” [the Salmān of horsemen] and who was generous, benevolent and of a warlike nature. Salmān set out at the head of 6,000 Kufites. The Greeks

1 Müller, Der Islam in Morgen- und Abendland, pp. 259-260.
and their followers had already arrived and encamped on the Euphrates, before Ḥabib received the reinforcement. Taking advantage of the night, the Moslems swept over them and killed their chief. That evening Ḥabib’s wife, umm-‘Abdallāh, daughter of Yazid of the Kalb tribe, asked Ḥabib, “Where shall I meet thee?” To this, Ḥabib replied, “Either at the tents of the ‘tyrant’, or in Paradise!” When he got to those tents he found her there.

When the Moslems were done with their enemy, Salmān returned. The Kufite troops wanted to have a share in the booty but were refused, which led into a verbal dispute between Ḥabib and Salmān. Some Moslems threatened Salmān with death, regarding which the poet said:

“If ye kill Salmān, we kill your Ḥabib;
and if ye depart towards ibn-‘Affān, we would also depart.”

‘Uthmān was communicated with, and he wrote back, “The spoils belong wholly to the Syrians by right.” Meanwhile, he wrote to Salmān ordering him to invade Arrān.

It is reported by others that in the caliphate of ‘Uthmān, Salmān ibn-Rabi‘ah went to Armenia, made captives and plundered, returning in the year 25 to al-Walid ibn-‘Ukbaḥ at Ḥadīthat al-Mausil. Al-Walid received a letter from ‘Uthmān informing him that Mu‘āwiyah had written him to the effect that the Greeks were gathered against the Moslems in great numbers, and that the Moslems wanted reinforcements, and ordering him to send 8,000 men. Accordingly, al-Walid sent 8,000 men under Salmān ibn-Rabi‘ah-li-Bāhili. Mu‘āwiyah sent an equal number under Ḥabib ibn-Maslamaḥ-li-Fihri. The two leaders reduced many

1 Referring to the Greek general.
2 Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2893-2894.
3 Ibid., vol. i, pp. 2807-2808.
forts, carried away many prisoners and fell to dispute regarding the general leadership. The Syrians wanted to kill Salmān, hence the verse quoted above. The former report, however, is more authentic and was orally communicated to me by many from Ḫālikāla and in writing by al-ʿAṭṭāf ibn-Sufyān abu-l-ʿAṣbagh, the ḫādi of Ḫālikāla.

Ḥabīb kills al-Mauriyyān. Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from ‘Abd-al-Ḥamīd ibn-Jaʿfar’s father:—Ḥabīb ibn-Maslamah besieged the inhabitants of Dabil and camped around the city. Al-Mauriyyān ar-Rūmi came against him; but under the cover of the night, Ḥabīb killed him and plundered what was in his camp. Salmān then joined Ḥabīb. The authorities of this tradition believe that Ḥabīb fell upon the Greek at Ḫālikāla.

Kūsān subdues Ḫālikāla. Muḥammad ibn-Bishr al-Ḵālī and ibn-Warz al-Ḵālī from the sheikhs of Ḫālikāla:—Ever since its conquest, the city of Ḫālikāla held out against attacks until the year 133 in which “the tyrant” set out, besieged Malatyah, destroyed its wall and expelled the Moslems that were in it to Mesopotamia, after which he encamped at Marj al-Ḥaṣa whence he directed Kūsān al-Armani against Ḫālikāla. Kūsān came and invested the city, whose inhabitants at that time were few and whose ṣāmil was abu-Karīmah. In the course of the siege, two Armenian brothers who lived in the city made a breach through a rampart in its wall, went out to Kūsān and brought him in to the city. Thus Kūsān subdued the city, killed [many], took captives and razed it to the ground, carrying off what he plundered to “the tyrant”. The captives he distributed among his companions.

Al-Manṣūr rebuilds and al-Muʿāṣim fortifies Ḫālikāla.

¹ Patrician of Armaniyyākus which province is listed in De Goeje’s edition of Baladhuri as a name of person.
According to al-Wâkidî, in the year 139 al-Manṣûr gave ransom¹ for those of the captives of Ḫâlikâla who survived; and he rebuilt Ḫâlikâla, populated it, and returned them into it. He also invited to it troops from Mesopotamia and other places to live in it. In the caliphate of al-Muʿtaṣim-Billâh, the Greek “tyrant” came to Ḫâlikâla and threw projectiles on its wall until it was on the point of falling. Thereupon al-Muʿtaṣim had to spend 500,000 dirhams to make the city strong again.

The patricians of Khilâṭ and Muks. After having captured Ḫâlikâla, Ḥabib marched to Mirbâla where the patrician of Khilâṭ brought him a statement written by ʿIyâḍ ibn-Ghanm, who had guaranteed to the patrician the security of his life, possessions and country and had concluded a treaty with him stipulating that the patrician should pay tax. Ḥabib sanctioned the terms of the statement. He then occupied a house between al-Harâk² and Dasht al-Warak. The patrician of Khilâṭ brought him the money he owed and offered a present which Ḥabib refused to accept. Ḥabib then visited Khilâṭ and passed to aṣ-Ṣâbâbah [?]³ where he was met by the chief of Muks,⁴ one of the districts of al-Busfurrajân. Ḥabib made peace with him in exchange for an annual tax to be paid for his land, sent a man with him and wrote him a statement of peace and safety.

Arjîsh, Bâjunais and al-Ṭirrikh. To the villages of Arjîsh and Bâjunais, Ḥabib sent a body of men who subdued them and laid poll-tax on them. The leading men of these villages came to Ḥabib and made a treaty agreeing to

¹ Ar. ḥāda bihim; see Mawardi, pp. 82 and 232.
³ Original not clear.
⁴ St. Martin, vol. i, p. 175.
pay the kharāj on their lands. As for aṭ-Ṭirrikh lake, he did not interfere with it, and it was used by the public until Muḥammad ibn-Marwān ibn-al-Ḥakam became governor of Mesopotamia and Armenia, upon which he took possession of its fish and sold them, making an income out of it. The lake after that became the property of Marwān ibn-Muḥammad and was thus lost to Muḥammad.

_Dabil and other towns sue for peace._ Ḥabib now came to Azdisāṭ,¹ the chief village of al-Hurmuz,² crossed Nahr al-Akrād and encamped at Marj Dabil. Thence he sent the cavalry against Dabil and marched until he reached its gate. The people took to the fortifications and threw projectiles on him. Ḥabib set a mangonel against the city and used it until they sued for peace and capitulation. This he granted them. His cavalry wandered around, occupied Jurna,³ reached as far as Ashūsh, dhāt-al-Lujum, al-Jabal Kūntah ⁴ and Wādi-l-Ahrār and subdued all the villages of Dabil. He also despatched a force against Sirāj Ṭair and against Baghrawand whose patrician came and made terms, agreeing to pay an annual tribute, to be loyal to the Moslems, to entertain them, and to aid them against the enemy. The text of the treaty with Dabil ran as follows:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a treaty of Ḥabib ibn-Maslama with the Christians, Magians and Jews of Dabil, including those present and absent. I have granted you safety for your lives, possessions, churches, places of worship, and city wall. Thus ye are safe and we are bound to fulfil our covenant, so long as ye fulfil yours and pay poll-tax and kharāj. Thereunto

2 Balādhuri reads "Ḳirmiz," which is a clerical error.
3 Marāṣid, vol. i, p. 25.
4 Original not clear.
Allah is witness; and it suffices to have him for witness. Signed by Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah."

_An-Nashawa and al-Busfurrajân_. Ḥabib after this proceeded to an-Nashawa and took possession of it on terms similar to those of Dabil. The patrician of al-Busfurrajân came and made terms regarding all of his country together with the land of Haşātīltah [?] and Afāristah [?], agreeing to pay a certain tax every year.

_As-Sisajân_. Ḥabib then moved to as-Sisajân [?] whose people resisted him but were defeated. He also conquered Waiş; and made terms with the holders of the forts at as-Sisajân to the effect that they pay tax. He then proceeded to Jurzân.

_Dhāt-al-Lujum_. I was informed by certain sheikhs from Dabil, among whom was Barmak ibn-'Abbās, that Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah marched with his men bent on Jurzân. When they got to dhāt-al-Lujum, they left some of their horses and mules to graze, leaving their bridles together in one place. Suddenly, a band of the "uncircumcised" fell upon them before they could bridle their animals. In the fight that ensued, the "uncircumcised" drove the Moslems away and seized the bridles together with as many horses and mules as they could. Later, the Moslems returned to them, massacred them and took back what has been carried away from them. That is why this spot was called "dhāt-al-Lujum" [the place of the bridles].

As Ḥabib was advancing against the patrician of Jurzân, he was met by a messenger of the patrician and the inhabitants of the town, who presented a written message and asked for a treaty of peace and security. Accordingly, Ḥabib wrote to them:

1 Certain diacritical points missing.
2 Meynard, p. 335.
"Your messenger, Nukla,¹ came to me and my companions 'the Believers' saying on your behalf that we are a nation whom Allah has honored and given superiority, which Allah did, great praise be to Allah, and prayer and peace be on Muḥammad his Prophet and noblest creature! Ye also stated that ye would like to make peace with us. As for your present, I have estimated its value and considered it a part of your tax. I have made a treaty of peace with you and inserted one condition in it. If ye accept the condition and live up to it, well and good. Otherwise 'announce ye a war waged by Allah and his Prophet²'. Peace be to those who follow the proper guidance."

The treaty with the people of Taflis. Ḥabib thence proceeded to Taflis [Tiflis] and made the following statement of peace to its people:—

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a statement from Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah to the inhabitants of Taflis which lies in Manjalis³ at Jurzān al-Hur-muz,⁴ securing them safety for their lives, churches, convents, religious services and faith, provided they acknowledge their humiliation and pay tax to the amount of one dinār on every household. Ye are not to combine more than one household into one in order to reduce the tax, nor are we to divide the same household into more than one in order to increase it. Ye owe us counsel and support against the enemies of Allah and his Prophet to the utmost of your ability, and are bound to entertain the needy Moslem for one night and provide him with that food used by 'the people of the Book' and which it is legal for us to partake of.

¹ Cf. Ṭabarī, vol. i, p. 2674.
² Kor., 2: 279.
³ Brosset, vol. i, pp. 245, 248.
⁴ and not "Ḵirmiz" as Balāḏhuri has it. See Ṭabarī, vol. i, p. 2674.
If a Moslem is cut off from his companions and falls into your hands, ye are bound to deliver him to the nearest body of the 'Believers', unless something stands in the way. If ye return to the obedience of Allah and observe prayer, ye are our brethren in faith, otherwise poll-tax is incumbent on you. In case an enemy of yours attacks and subjugates you while the Moslems are too busy to come to your aid, the Moslems are not held responsible, nor is it a violation of the covenant with you. The above are your rights and obligations to which Allah and his angels are witness and it is sufficient to have Allah for witness."

The following is a copy of the treaty made by al-Jarrâh ibn-'Abdallâh-l-Ḫakami with the people of Taflis:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a treaty made by al-Jarrâh ibn-'Abdallâh with the inhabitants of Taflis in the district of Manjalis and the province of Jurzân. They have shown me the treaty made with them by Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamah to the effect that they accept the humiliation of the tax, and that he made terms with them regarding lands belonging to them, vineyards, and mills, called Awâra and Sabina in the district of Manjalis, and regarding Ta'âm and Didûna in the district of Kûliwiṭ in the province of Jurzân, stipulating that they pay on these mills and vineyards a tax of 100 dirhams per annum without repeating it. These terms of peace and security I put into effect and ordered that the sum be never increased on them. Let no one, therefore, to whom this my treaty is read increase the tax on them; so Allah wills. Written by—."

Various places conquered by Ḥabîb. Ḥabîb conquered Ḥawâriḥ, Kasfaryabs [?], Kisâl, Khunân, Samsakhi, al-

1 Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2675: "become Moslem".
2 Lacking in diacritical points.
Jardamān, Kastasji,1 Shaushit,2 and Bāzalit,3 which capitulated on the terms that the lives of the inhabitants be spared, that places of worship and their walls be not molested and that they pay annual tribute on their lands and persons. The people of Ḳalarjit, Tharyālīt,4 Khākhīt,5 Khūkhīt,6 Arṭahāl,7 and Bāb al-Lāl8 also made terms with Ḥabīb. Aṣ-Ṣanārīyah and ad-Ḍūdāniyah made terms, agreeing to pay an annual tax.

Al-Bailakān. By order of ʿUthmān, Salmān ibn-Rabiʿah-l-Bâhili proceeded to Arrān. Here he conquered the city of al-Bailakān which capitulated on terms stipulating that he guarantee the safety of their lives, possessions and city walls, and that they pay poll-tax and kharāj.

Bardhaʿah and other places. Thence Salmān advanced to Bardhaʿah and camped on ath-Thurthūr9 river which flows at a distance of less than one parasang from the city. The inhabitants closed their city gates against him; and he made an attempt on it for many days, making raids on its villages. It was the time for reaping the harvest. At last, its people made terms similar to those of al-Bailakān and opened their gates. Thus he made his entrance and occupied the city. Salmān then sent his cavalry which conquered Shifshin, al-Misfawān, Ūdh, al-Miṣrīyān,10 al-Hur-

1 Brosset, vol. i, p. 512.
3 Brosset, vol. i, pp. 45, 86.
5 “Kakheth” in Brosset, l. l.
9 St. Martin, vol. i, p. 87.
10 Text not clear.
hiliyan and Tabar, all of which are districts. Other places in Arran were reduced. The Kurds of al-Balasajân ¹ he summoned to Islam; but they fought against him and were subdued. Some were made to pay tax and others sadakah; but the latter were few.

Shamkur. I was informed by some people from Bardha'ah that Shamkur was an ancient city to which Salmân ibn-Rabi'ah sent someone who reduced it. It was well populated and flourishing until it was destroyed by as-Sâwardiyah, who after the departure of Yazid ibn-Usaid from Armenia came together and became a source of trouble and misfortune. In the year 240, the city was rebuilt by Bugha, the freedman of al-Muta'asim and the governor of Armenia, Adharbaijan and Shimshât. He settled in it people from al-Khazar who, because of their interest in Islam came, and sought security. He also transplanted merchants to it from Bardha'ah and called it al-Mutawakkiliyah.

Kabalah and other places. Salmân thence advanced to the junction of ar-Rass and al-Kurr behind Bardij. Crossing al-Kurr he reduced Kabalah; and the chief of Shakkan and al-Ćamibarân capitulated, agreeing to pay annual tax. In like manner did the people of Khaizân,² the king of Sharwan and the other kings of al-Jibal, the people of Mas'kat, ash-Shabirân and the city of al-Bab capitulate. The city of al-Bab was closed after him. Khâkân with his cavalry met Salmân beyond al-Balanjar river. The latter was killed with 4,000 Moslems who in that critical position were heard shouting "Allah is great!".

Salmān the first kādi of al-Kūfah. This Salmān ibn-Rabi‘ah was the first to hold the position of kādi in al-Kūfah,\(^1\) where he spent forty days without hearing a case. He transmitted traditions on ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb’s authority. Says ibn-Jumānah-l-Bāhili referring to Salmān and Ḥutaibah ibn-Muslim:

“We have two tombs one at Balanjār
and another at Sin-İstān [China] and what a tomb that is!
The one who lies in China has brought about conquests in all places;
and the merits of the other cause abundant rain to fall.”\(^2\)

Among the companions of Salmān at Balanjār was Karzah ibn-Ka‘b al-Anşāri. It was he who carried the news of Salmān’s death to ‘Uthmān.

Ḥabib put by ‘Uthmān in charge of the frontier fortresses. Having made these conquests in Armenia, Ḥabib reported his success to ‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān who received his letter immediately after the news of Salmān’s death. ‘Uthmān was on the point of assigning Ḥabib over all Armenia; but he then deemed it best to put him in charge of the campaigns on the frontiers of Syria and Mesopotamia, because of his efficiency in doing what he intended to do. ‘Uthmān conferred on Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Yamān al-‘Absi the governorship of the frontier fortresses of Armenia; and the latter left for Bardha‘ah and sent his āmils to the places that lay between it and Kālikala and up to Khaizān. He then received ‘Uthmān’s message instructing him to depart and leave in his place Silah ibn-Zufar al-‘Absi who was in his company. Ḥudhaifah assigned Silah as his successor.

Ḥabib returned to Syria and began his campaigns against the Greeks. He settled in Ḥims, but Mu‘awiyah moved him to Damascus, where he died in the year 42, aged 35. Once

---

\(^1\) Gottheil, *Egyptian Cadis*, page VI.

\(^2\) For an explanation see ibn-Ḥutaibah, *Kitāb al-Ma‘ārif*, p. 221.
when 'Uthmân was besieged, Mu'âwiyah sent this Ḥabib at the head of an army to his relief. Having arrived in Wâdi-l-Ḳura, Ḥabib heard of the death of 'Uthmân and took his way back.¹

Various governors of Adharbajân and Armenia. 'Uthmân appointed al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bah governor of Adharbajân² and Armenia, but dismissed him later, and appointed al-Ḳâsim ibn-Rabi'ah ibn-Umâyiah ibn-abi-āṣ-Sâlt ath-Thakafi governor of Armenia. Others say he appointed 'Amr ibn-Mu'âwiyah ibn-al-Muntafî al-'Ukailî governor of Armenia; and still others say that for 15 years after al-Mughirah, one of the banu-Kilāb ruled over Armenia, and that he was succeeded by al-'Ukailî. Under 'Ali ibn-abi-Ṭâlib, al-Aslath ibn Ḳais ruled over Armenia and Adharbajân. He was followed by 'Abdallâh ibn-Ḥâtîm ibn-an-Nu'mân ibn-'Amr al-Bâhili who ruled over it in the name of Mu'âwiyah. 'Abdallâh died in it and was succeeded by his brother 'Abd-al-'Azîz ibn-Ḥâtîm ibn-an-Nu'mân, who built the city of Dabil, fortified it and enlarged its mosque. He also built the city of an-Nashawa and repaired the city of Bardha'ah. Others say he rebuilt Bardha'ah and deepened the trenches around it. He also rebuilt the city of al-Bailaḳân. These cities were dilapidated and ready to fall into ruins. According to others, it was Muḥammad ibn-Marwân who in the days of 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân rebuilt Bardha'ah. Al-Wâkidi states that 'Abd-al-Malik built Bardha'ah under the supervision of Ḥâtîm ibn-an-Nu'mân al-Bâhili or his son. This 'Abd-al-Malik appointed 'Uthmân ibn-al-Walîd ibn-'Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu'āît to the governorship of Armenia.

² Meynard, pp. 14-17.
Armenia rebels. During the insurrection of ibn-az-Zubair, Armenia rose and its nobles with their followers threw off their allegiance. When Muḥammad ibn-Marwān held under his brother ‘Abd-al-Malik the governorship of Armenia, he led the fight against them and won the victory, slaughtering and taking captives. Thus, he subdued the land. He promised those who survived higher stipends than the ordinary soldiers’ pay. For that purpose they assembled in churches in the province of Khilāṭ where he locked them in and put guards on the door, and then he frightened them. In this campaign umm-Yazid ibn-Usaid was taken captive from as-Sisajān, she being the daughter of as-Sisajān’s patrician.

‘Adi governor of Armenia. Sulaimān ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik made ‘Adi ibn-‘Adi ibn-‘Amirah 2-l-Kindi governor of Armenia. ‘Adi ibn-‘Amirah was one of those who had left ‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib and settled in ar-Raḵkāh. He was later made the governor of Armenia by ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz. This ‘Adi was the one after whom the Nahr ‘Adi at al-Bailaḵān was named. According to others, the ‘āmil of ‘Umar was Ḥātim ibn-an-Nu’mān, but that is not confirmed.

Mi’lak and al-Hārith as governors. Yazid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik conferred the governorship on Mi’lak ibn-Ṣaffār al-Bahrānī, but he later dismissed him and assigned al-Hārith ibn-‘Amr at-Ṭā‘i, who made an incursion against the inhabitants of al-Lakz 3 conquering the district of Ḩasma- данном.

Al-Jarrāh as governor. When al-Jarrāḥ ibn-‘Abdallāh

1 Ar. aḥrār, the class that constituted the aristocracy of Armenia before the Persian rule; see Yāḵūt, vol. i, pp. 222, 438.
2 Ṭabari, vol. ii, p. 887: “‘Umairah”.
al-Ḥakami of Madhhiğ became governor of Armenia, he stopped at Bardha‘ah where his attention was called to the different measures and weights used by the people and which he fixed according to the standards of justice and honesty introducing a new measure, called al-Jarrāḥi, with which they deal until to-day. After crossing al-Kurr,¹ he marched until he went over the river known by the name of as-Samûr and came to al-Khazar, among whom he wrought a great slaughter. He also fought against the inhabitants of the land of Ḥamzin² and made terms with them stipulating that they be transplanted to the district of Khaizân where he gave them two villages. He then attacked the people of Ghûmik³ and captured some of them. Turning back, he came to Shakka, and his army spent the winter at Bardha‘ah and al-Bailakân. Al-Khazar assembled their troops and crossed ar-Rass.⁴ He fought against them in Sahrâ’ [desert] Warthân, and when they withdrew to the region of Ardabil ⁵ he engaged them in battle at a distance of four parasangs from Armenia. After a three days’ battle, he suffered martyrdom together with his men, and therefore was the river called Nahr al-Jarrâḥ. A bridge spanning it also bore the same name.

**Maslamah as governor.** Hishâm ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik after that appointed Maslamah ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik to the governorship of Armenia, put at the head of the van of his [Maslamah’s] army Saʾid ibn-ʿAmr ibn-Aswad al-Jurashi, and accompanied him by Ishâk ibn-Muslim al-ʿUkaili with his brothers, Jaʿwanah ibn-al-Ḥarîth ibn-Khâlid of the banu-

¹ Ištakhrī, p. 187.
⁵ Meynard, pp. 21-22.
Rabi'ah ibn-'Amir ibn-Ṣa'ṣa'ah, Dhufâfah and Khâlid—the two sons of 'Umair ibn-al-Ḥubâb as-Sulami—al-Furât ibn-Salmân al-Bâhili, and al-Walid ibn-al-Ḳaṭâr al-'Absi. Sa'id engaged in conflict with al-Khazar who were at this time besieging Warthân, and forced them to withdraw, putting them to flight. Al-Khazar came to Maimadh in Adharbajân; and as Sa'id was preparing for the conflict with them, he received a message from Maslamah ibn-'Abd-al-Malik blaming him for attacking al-Khazar before his [Maslamah's] arrival, and informing him that he had assigned in his place over the army 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Muṣlim al-'Uḳaili. As soon as Sa'id turned over the army to his successor, he was arrested by Maslamah's messenger who fettered him and carried him to Bardha'ah where he was thrown into its prison. Al-Khazar left and Maslamah followed them. When Maslamah communicated the news to Hishâm, Hishâm wrote back:

"Dost thou leave them at Maimadh where thou canst see them, and then seek them beyond the limit of soil [where sand begins] ?"

Thereupon Hishâm ordered that al-Jurashi be released from prison.

Maslamah made peace with the people of Khaizân, and by his order, its fort was dismantled. He appropriated in it estates for himself. It is known to-day by the name of Ḥauz Khaizân. The kings of al-Jibâl also made peace with him. The Shâhs of Sharwân, Lîrân, Ṭabarsarân, Filân and Jarshân presented themselves before him; and so did the chief of Maskat. Maslamah, thereupon, betook himself to the city of al-Bâb, which he reduced. In its castle were a thousand families of al-Khazar whom he besieged and against whom he hurled stones and then pieces of iron shaped like stones. All that, however, was of no avail. He, therefore, resorted to the spring, the water of which Anû-
shirwân had conducted into their cistern, and slew on it cows and sheep throwing the contents of their stomachs and some assafetida into the water. It did not take the water more than one night before it bred worms, became vitiated and corrupted. Therefore, the holders of the castle fled under the cover of the night and vacated the castle. In the city of al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb, 24,000 Syrians were settled by Maslamah ibn-'Abd-al-Malîk and assigned stipends. Accordingly, the inhabitants of al-Bâb to-day do not allow any ‘âmil to enter their city unless he has money to distribute among them. He, moreover, built a granary for food, another for barley, and an armory. He ordered that the cistern be filled with earth, repaired the city, and provided it with embattlements. In the company of Maslamah was Marwân ibn-Muḥammad who took part in the attack against al-Khazar and distinguished himself in fighting. After Maslamah, Hishâm appointed Sa‘îd al-Jurashi who spent two years in the frontier region.

*Marwân as governor.* Marwân ibn-Muḥammad then became the ruler of the frontier and took up his abode at Kisâl. Marwân was the one who built the city of Kisâl. This city lies 40 parasangs from Bardha’ah and 20 from Taflis. Marwân then entered the country of al-Khazar next to Bâb al-Lân and made Asîd ibn-Zâfir as-Sulami abu-Yazîd, accompanied by the kings of al-Jîbâl, enter it from the side of al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb. Then Marwân made an incursion on the Slavs who were in the land of al-Khazar and captured 20,000 families whom he settled in Khâkhîţ. When they later put their commander to death and took to flight, Marwân pursued and slaughtered them.

When the chief of al-Khazar learned of the great num-

---

1 Brosset, vol. i, pp. 238 seq.
2 "Usaid" in Duraîd, p. 187, line before last.
ber of men with whom Marwân had swept over his land and of their equipment and strength, his heart was filled with cowardice and fear. When Marwân came close to him, he sent him a messenger inviting him to "Islâm or war", to which he replied, "I have accepted Islâm. Send therefore someone to present it to me." Marwân did so. The chief professed Islâm and made a treaty with Marwân according to which Marwân confirmed him as ruler of his kingdom. Marwân with a host of al-Khazar accompanied the chief; and al-Khazar were made to settle in the plain of the province of al-Lakz between as-Samûr and ash-Shâ- birân.

The land of as-Sarîr. After that, Marwân made his entrance to the land of as-Sarîr, slaughtered its inhabitants, and reduced certain forts in it. Its king offered him submission and allegiance and made terms, agreeing to give every year 1,000 youths—500 lads and 500 maids—with black hair and eyebrows and with long eyelashes, together with 100,000 modii¹ to be poured in the granaries of al-Bâb. Marwân took from him a pledge.

The people of Tûmân made terms with Marwân, agreeing to give every year 100 youths—50 maids and 50 lads—each 5 spans in height, with black hair and eyebrows and with long eyelashes, together with 20,000 modii for the granaries.

The land of Zirîkîrân. He then entered the land of Zirîkîrân,² whose king made terms, agreeing to offer fifty youths, and 10,000 modii for the granaries every year. Thence he proceeded to the land of Hamzîn which refused to make terms and whose fort, after an investment of one month, he reduced. He then set fire to the fort and de-

¹ Wheat measure.
² Original not clear.
stroyed it. The terms agreed upon were that they give 500 youths only once and not to be responsible for such a gift any more, and that they carry 30,000 modii every year to the granaries of al-Bâb. Then he advanced to Sindân, which capitulated on condition that it offer 100 youths to be given by its chief only once and not to be responsible for such a gift in the future, together with 5,000 modii to be carried every year to the granaries of al-Bâb. On the followers of the Shâh of Tabarsarân, Marwân assessed 10,000 modii to be carried per annum to the granaries of al-Bâb; but on the Shâh of Filân he did not assess anything, because of his distinction in warfare, ability in conflict and the praiseworthiness of his cause.

Al-Lakz, Khirsh and other places. Marwân thence made a descent on al-Lakz castle [whose chief] had refused to pay anything of what was assessed, had set out to meet the chief of al-Khazar and was killed by a shepherd who shot an arrow at him without knowing him. The people of al-Lakz then made terms, agreeing to give 20,000 modii to be carried to the granaries. Having appointed Khashram as-Sulami as their ruler, Marwân came to the castle of the chief of Sharwân which was called Khirsh and which lay on the sea shore. The chief rendered submission and agreed to leave the height. Marwân imposed 10,000 modii on the people of Sharwân per annum, and made it a condition on their chief to be in the van of the army when the Moslems start the attack against al-Khazar, and in the rear when they return; and on the Shâh of Filân that he should only take part in the attack; on the Shâh of Tabarsarân that he be in the rear when the Moslems start, and in the van when they return.

1 Lacking in diacritical points, d'Ohsson, p. 68; “Misdâr” in Mahâsin, vol. i, p. 318.
2 Surrender the castle.
Thabiti rebels. Marwân then advanced to ad-Dūdāniyāh and slaughtered its people. The news of the death of al-Walid ibn-Yazid then came to him, and Thabit ibn-Nuʿaim al-Judhānī rose against him.1 Musâfir al-Ḵaṣṣāb, who was one of those established in al-Bāb by ad-Ḍāḥṭār al-Khārijī [the rebel], now came to Thabit, espoused his cause and was made by him governor over Armenia and Adharbaijān. Musâfir then came to Ardabil in disguise, where he was joined by a group of the ash-Shurat, and they all came to Bājarwân2 in which they found people with similar views and were joined by them. Thence they came to Warthān from whose inhabitants a large body of men, who held similar views, joined them; and they all crossed over to al-Bailakān where they were joined by a large crowd holding similar views. Marwân then came to Yūnān.3 Ishāq ibn-Muslim was made governor of Armenia by Marwân ibn-Muḥammad and never ceased to fight against Musâfir who was at al-Kilāb castle in as-Sisajān.  

When the “blessed dynasty” appeared and Abu-Jaʿfar al-Manṣūr was made ruler of Mesopotamia and Armenia in the caliphate of as-Saffāḥ Abu-ḥ-ʿAbbās, he sent against Musâfir and his followers a general from Khurāsān, who fought them until he overpowered them and slew Musâfir. The inhabitants of al-Bailakān, who had fortified themselves in al-Kilāb castle under the leadership of Ḫadad ibn-Asfar al-Bailakānī, surrendered.  

The governorship of Yazīd. When al-Manṣūr became caliph, he made Yazīd ibn-Usaid as-Sulami governor of Armenia. The latter reduced Bāb al-Lān and stationed in

---

1 Ṭabarī, vol. ii, pp. 1892 seq.
2 Meynard, p. 74.
3 First syllable mutilated in the original; cf. Ištakhri, p. 192; Haukal, p. 251.
it a cavalry guard with stipends. He also subdued aš-Ṣanāriyah, whose inhabitants paid kharāj. In compliance with al-Manṣūr’s orders, he married the daughter of the king of al-Khazar. She gave birth to a child which did not live; she herself died in child-birth. Yazid sent someone to the naphtha and salt mines of the land of Sharwān and levied tax on them. He put someone in charge of them. He also built the city of Arjil aš-Ṣughra [the Less] and Arjil al-Kubra [the Great], and settled people from Palestine in them.

Ash-Shamākhiyah. Muḥammad ibn-Ismā‘il from certain sheikhs from Bardha‘ah:—Ash-Shamākhiyah¹ which lay in the province of Sharwān was thus called after ash-Shamākh ibn-Shujā‘, who was the king of Sharwān during the rule of Sa‘īd ibn-Sālim² al-Bahlīlī over Armenia.

Al-Hasan suppresses the revolt. Muḥammad ibn-Ismā‘il from certain sheikhs:—After the dismissal of ibn-Usaid and Bakkār ibn-Muslim al-‘Ukailī, and during the governorship of al-Ḥasan ibn-Ḳaḥṭabah at-Ṭā‘i, the Armenians broke off their allegiance under their chief Mūshā‘īl³ al-Armani. Al-Manṣūr sent reinforcements under ‘Amīr ibn-Ismā‘il. Al-Ḥasan engaged himself in fight with Mūshā‘īl and killed him, dispersing his troops. Things went on well with al-Ḥasan. The Nahr al-Ḥasan in al-Bailakān is named after this al-Ḥasan; and so are the Bāgh⁴ at Bardha‘ah named Bāgh al-Ḥasan, and the crown-lands known as al-Ḥasaniyah.

Different governors of Armenia. ‘Uthmān ibn-‘Umarah ibn-Khuraim succeeded al-Ḥasan ibn-Ḳaḥṭabah, and then

¹ Mukaddesi, p. 276; Iṣṭakhri, p. 192; Meynard, p. 353: “Shamākhi.”
² “Salm,” Ṭabari, vol. iii, p. 305.
³ St. Martin, vol. i, p. 342; Brosset, vol. i, p. 159.
⁴ Persian—garden, vineyard.
came Rauh ibn-Ḥātim al-Muhallabi, Khuzaimah ibn-Khāzim, Yazid ibn-Mazyad ash-Shaibâni, ‘Ubaidallâh ibn-al-Mahdi, al-Faḍl ibn-Yahya, Sa‘id ibn-Sâlim, and Muḥammad ibn-Yazid ibn-Mazyad. Of these rulers, Khuzaimah was the severest. It was he who introduced the system by which Dabil and an-Nashawa paid land tax according to the area, not the produce. The Armenian patricians did not cease to hold their lands as usual, each trying to protect his own region; and whenever a ‘āmil came to the frontier they would coax him; and if they found in him purity and severity, as well as force and equipment, they would give the kharâj and render submission, otherwise they would deem him weak and look down upon him.

The governorship of Khâlid ibn-Yazid. In the caliphate of al-Ma‘mûn, the Armenian patricians were under the rule of Khâlid ibn-Yazid ibn-Mazyad, who accepted their presents and associated personally with them. This corrupted them and encouraged them against the ‘āmils of al-Ma‘mûn who came after him.

Al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Ali over the frontier region. Al-Mu’taṣim-Billâh appointed to the governorship of the frontier region al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Ali-l-Bâdhaghisi, better known as al-Ma‘mûni, who let its patricians and nobles go their way, and dealt so leniently with them that they became more disloyal to the Sultan and more severe on the people who came under their rule. Jurzân was subdued by Ḫishâk ibn-İsmâ‘il ibn-Şlu‘aib, a freedman of the banu-Umayyah. Sahl ibn-Sanbât, the patrician, rose against the ‘āmil of Ḥaidar ibn-Kâwus al-Afšîn over Armenia and killed his secretary and had a narrow escape by flight. Armenia after this was ruled by ‘āmils who would remit to its people what was due from them, and accept whatever kharâj could be offered.

The governorship of Yūsuf ibn-Muḥammad. Two years
after al-Mutawakkil became caliph, he conferred the governorship of Armenia upon Yûsuf ibn-Muhammad ibn-Yûsuf al-Marwazi. As he passed through Khilât, Yûsuf seized its patrician Bukrât ibn-Ashût and carried him off to Surra-man-ra’a, which act greatly offended the patricians, nobles and feudal lords [Ar. mutaghallîâh]. Later a ‘âmil of his, named al-‘Alâ’ ibn-Ahmad, went to a convent at as-Sisajân, called Dair al-Akdâh, which was highly respected and richly endowed with gifts by the Armenian Christians, and carried away all what was in it and oppressed its occupants. This act was too much for the patricians, who held communication with each other and urged each other to throw off their allegiance and rise in revolt. They instigated al-Khuwaithiyah,¹ who were “uncircumcised” and were known by the name of al-Artân, to fall upon Yûsuf, and urged them against him in revenge for the carrying-away of their patrician Bukrât. Meanwhile, every one of the patricians and feudal lords sent them horses and men to help them bring that about. Accordingly, they fell upon Yûsuf at Ţarûn, after he had distributed his followers in the villages, and slew him, carrying away all that his camp contained.

The governorship of Bugha the Elder. Al-Mutawakkil assigned to the governorship of Armenia Bugha-l-Kabir [the Elder] who, arriving in Badlis, seized Mûsa ibn-Zurârah who, in revenge for Bukrât, had favored and taken part in killing Yûsuf. Bugha warred against al-Khuwaithiyah, slaughtering a great number, and carrying many away as captives. He then invested Ashût ibn-Ḥamzah ibn-Jâjik, the patrician of al-Busfurrajân, at al-Bâk,² compelled him to surrender his castle and carried him as captive to Surra-

¹ St. Martin, vol. i, p. 100.
² Khurṭdâdhhbih, p. 123, line 11; Ţabari, vol. iii, p. 1410, line 3.
man-ra’a. He then advanced to Jurzân and succeeded in laying hold on Ishâk ibn-Ismâ’il, whom he kept in confinement until his death. Bugha reduced Jurzân, and carried away those Christians and non-Christians of Arrân, of the elevated region of Armenia,¹ and of as-Sisajân, who belonged to the revolutionary party. Thus the political state of affairs in that frontier region became so quiet as never before. In the year 241, he came to Surra-man-ra’a.

¹ Text corrupt.
PART V

NORTHERN AFRICA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF EGYPT AND AL-MAGHRIB [MAURITANIA]

‘Amr moves against Egypt. After the battle of al-Yarmûk, ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Asî laid siege to Caesarea [Ḳaisâriyah]. When Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân assumed power, ‘Amr left his son in his place at Caesarea and led, all of his own accord, an army of 3,500 to Egypt. ‘Umar was angry because of it and wrote to him, rebuking and reprimanding him for following his own opinion, without consulting ‘Umar, and ordering him to return home in case the message was received before his arrival in Egypt. ‘Amr, however, received the message in al-‘Arîsh. It is asserted by others that ‘Umar wrote to ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Asî, ordering him to proceed to Egypt. ‘Amr received the message as he was besieging Caesarea. The one who delivered the message was Sharîk ibn-‘Abdah, to whom ‘Amr gave 1,000 dinârs, which Sharîk refused to accept. ‘Amr asked him to conceal the matter and not disclose it to ‘Umar.

Al-Fustâṭ. The advance of ‘Amr against Egypt took place in the year 19. He first stopped at al-‘Arîsh and then proceeded to al-Faramâ’, in which were troops ready for the fight. ‘Amr fought and defeated them, taking possession of their camp. Thence he advanced straight on to al-


Fustat and camped at the myrtle gardens, as the people of al-Fustat had dug moats. The name of the city was Alyunah, but the Moslems called it Fustat because they said, "This is the meeting place [Ar. fustat] of the people, and the place where they assemble." Others say that 'Amr pitched a tent [also fustat] in it, and it bore its name from it.

As 'Amr ibn-al-Asi was besieging al-Fustat, he was joined by az-Zubair ibn-al-Auwam ibn-Khuwailid at the head of 10,000—others say 12,000 men—among whom were Kharijah ibn-Hudhafah-1-Adawi and 'Umair ibn-Wahb al-Jumali. Az-Zubair was on the point of leading an incursion and wanted to go to Antioch; but 'Umar said to him, "Abu-'Abdallah, wouldst thou like to take the governorship of Egypt?" To this az-Zubair replied, "I do not care for it, but would like to go there on a holy war and cooperate with the Moslems. If I find that 'Amr has already reduced it, I would not interfere with his affairs, but would go to some sea-coast and keep post at it; but if I find him in the struggle, I shall fight on his side." With this understanding, he left.

Az-Zubair led the attack on one side, and 'Amr ibn-al-Asi on the other. Finally az-Zubair brought a ladder and climbed on it until, with his sword unsheathed, he looked down upon the fort and exclaimed, "Allah is great!" and so did the Moslems exclaim and follow him up. Thus he took the fort by assault, and the Moslems considered it legal to take all that was in it. 'Amr made its holders dhimmis, imposed a poll-tax on their person and kharaj on their land, and communicated that to 'Umar ibn-al-Khattab who endorsed it. Az-Zubair marked certain lots in Misr [Old

1 Yâkût, vol. i, pp. 355, 450.
2 Makrizi, vol. ii, pp. 75-76.
Cairo] for himself [ikhtaffa] and built a well-known mansion in which 'Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair resided when he invaded Ifriqiyyah\(^1\) in the company of ibn-abi-Sarh. The ladder which az-Zubair used is still in Miṣr.

'Affân ibn-Muslim from Hîshâm ibn-'Urwah.—Az-Zubair was sent to Miṣr; and when he was told there were in it warfare and pest, he replied, "We have come here only for warfare and pest." The Moslems put ladders up and climbed on them.

'Amr an-Nâkid from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabîb.—'Amr ibn-al-'Aṣî entered Egypt with 3,500 men. When 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb heard about the situation in Egypt, he was affected with solicitude and fear and despatched az-Zubair ibn-al-'Auwâm at the head of 12,000 men. Az-Zubair took part in the conquest of Miṣr and marked out in it certain lots.\(^2\)

The division of the land. 'Amr an-Nâkid from Sufyân ibn-Wahh al-Khaulâni.—When we conquered Miṣr without making a covenant with it, az-Zubair rose and said to 'Amr, "Divide it"; but 'Amr refused. Then az-Zubair said, "By Allah, thou shouldst divide it as the Prophet divided Khaibar." 'Amr wrote that to 'Umar who wrote back, saying, "Leave it as it is, so that the descendants of the descendants\(^3\) may profit by it."

A tradition to the same effect was communicated to me by 'Abdallâh ibn-Wahh on the authority of Sufyân ibn-Wahh.

'Amr and az-Zubair conquer Egypt. Al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabîb.—'Amr ibn-al-'Aṣî entered

\(^1\) Africa = Tunis. Ibn-‘Adhâri, al-Bayân al-Maghrib, vol. i, pp. 3 seq.

\(^2\) Ar. khitaṭ. See Maḳrizi, vol. ii, pp. 76 seq.

\(^3\) Ar. ḫabar al-ḥabarâh. See Muṭarrizi, p. 105; Caetani, vol. iv, p. 247; Maḳrizi, vol. ii, p. 72, line 23; p. 73, line 25; an-Nihâyah, vol. i, p. 198.
Egypt at the head of 3,500 men. Just before that, 'Umar was affected with solicitude and fear and sent az-Zubair ibn-al-'Auwâm at the head of 12,000 men. Az-Zubair took part with 'Amr in the conquest of Egypt and marked out for himself two lots in Miṣr and Alexandria.

Ibrâhîm ibn-Muslim al-Khawârizmi from 'Abdallâh ibn-‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âsî:—The latter said, "There is a disagreement regarding the conquest of Miṣr: some say it was conquered by force, and others by capitulation. The fact is that my father ['Amr ibn-al-‘Âsî] arrived in it and was resisted by the people of Alyûnah. He finally took possession of it by force and led the Moslems in. Az-Zubair was the first to climb its fort. The chief of Miṣr said to my father, 'We have heard of what ye did in Syria and how ye assessed poll-tax on the Christians and Jews, leaving the land in the hands of its owners to utilize it and pay its kharâj. If ye treat us the same way, it would do you more good than to kill, capture and expel us.' My father consulted with the Moslems and they all advised him to accept the terms, with the exception of a few men who asked him to divide the land among them. Accordingly, he assessed on every adult, excepting the poor, two dinârs as poll-tax, and on every land-owner, in addition to the two dinârs, 215 three irdabbs of wheat, two kîsts of oil, two kîsts of honey and two kîsts of vinegar, to be given as a subsistence allowance to the Moslems, and gathered in the public house of provision [dâr-ar-risk], where it is divided among them. A census was taken of the Moslems, and the inhabitants of Miṣr were required to provide every one of the Moslems with a woolen upper gown, an upper cloak or turban, breeches and a pair of shoes¹ per annum. Instead of the woolen gown, a Coptic robe would do. To this end, a state-

¹ Dozy, Noms des Vêtements, s. v. jubbah, burnus, 'amâmah, sirwâl and khuff.
ment was written, in which it was stipulated that so long as they lived up to these terms, their women and children would neither be sold nor taken captives, and their possessions and treasures would be kept in their hands. The statement was submitted to 'Umar, the 'Commander of the Believers,' who endorsed it. Thus, the whole land became kharâj-land. Because, however, 'Amr signed the contract and the statement, some people thought that Miṣr was taken by capitulation.”

After the king of Alyūnâh had made arrangements for himself and for the people in his city, he made terms on behalf of all the Egyptians similar to the terms of Alyūnâh. The Egyptians consented, saying, “If those of us who are protected by fortifications have accepted such terms, and were content with them, how much more should we be content who are weak and have no power of resistance.” Kharâj was assessed on the land of Egypt to the amount of one dinâr and three irdabbs of wheat on every jarîb, and two dinârs on every adult. The statement was submitted to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb.

The terms made with 'Amr. 'Amr an-Nâkid from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabib—Al-Muḳaḳuḳis 3 made terms with 'Amr ibn-al-'Āṣi, stipulating that 'Amr should let those of the Greeks go who wanted to leave, and keep those who wanted to stay, on certain conditions, which he specified, and that he would assess on the Copts, two dinârs per head. Hearing this, the king of the Greeks was enraged and sent his

troops, who, closing the gates of Alexandria, announced to 'Umar their readiness for war. Al-Muākākīs presented himself before 'Amr and said, “I have three requests to make: do not offer to the Greeks the same terms thou hast offered me, because they have distrusted me; do not violate the terms made with the Copts, for the violation was not started by them; and when I die, give orders that I be buried in a church at Alexandria (which he named).” 'Amr answered, “The last is the easiest for me.”

_Bilhīt, al-Khāsīs, Sultaīs and Alexandria._ Certain villages in Egypt resisted the advance of the Moslems, and 'Amr carried away some of their inhabitants as prisoners. These were the following: Bilhīt,2 al-Khāsīs,3 and Sultaīs.4 Their captives were carried away to al-Madinah. ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent them back and made them, together with the Coptic community, _dhimmīs_. The covenant they had, they did not violate. The following is the report of the conquest of Alexandria made by 'Amr to 'Umar: “Allah has given to us the possession of Alexandria by force and against its will, without covenant or contract.” According to Yazīd ibn-abi-Habib, however, the city was taken by capitulation.

_The tax of Egypt._ Abu-Aiyūb ar-Rakki from Yazīd ibn-abi-Habib.—The _kharāj_ and poll-tax which ‘Amr raised from Egypt amounted to 2,000,000 dinārs; but that raised by ‘Abdallāh ibn-Sa’d ibn-Abī-Sarh, 4,000,000. When ‘Uthmān remarked to ‘Amr, saying, “After thee the milch camels have yielded more milk”, ‘Amr replied, “This is because ye have emaciated their young.”5

In the year 21, 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb wrote to ‘Amr ibn-

1 Makrīzī, vol. i, p. 263.
5 Makrīzī, vol. i, p. 159.
al-ʿAṣi informing him of the straits in which the inhabitants of al-Madinah were, and ordering him to transport by sea to al-Madinah all the food he had collected as kharāj. Accordingly, the food with the oil was carried there; and when it reached al-Jâr,\(^1\) it was received by Saʿd al-Jâr.\(^2\) Later it was kept in a special house at al-Madinah and distributed among the Moslems by measure. At the time of the first insurrection, the supply was cut off. In the days of Muʿāwiyah and Yazid, it was again carried to al-Madinah. Then it was cut off until the time of ʿAbd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân, after which it was carried until the caliphate of abu-Jaʿfar, or a little previous to that.

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Yazid ibn-abî-Ḥabîb:—After the first peace was made, the tax-payers in Egypt made new terms in the caliphate of ʿUmar, stipulating that instead of the wheat, oil, honey and vinegar they offered, they would pay two dinârs in addition to the other two dinârs. Each one thus was bound to pay four dinârs; and they consented to that and preferred it.

*Ain Shams, al-Faiyûm and other places reduced.* Abu-Aiyāb ar-Rakki from al-Jaishâni:—The latter said, “I heard it stated by a number of those who witnessed the conquest of Egypt that when ʿAmr ibn-al-ʿAṣi reduced al-Fustât, he despatched to *Ain Shams* ʿAbdallâh ibn-Ḥudhâfah as-Sahmi, who took possession of its land and made terms with the inhabitants of its villages similar to those of al-Fustât. Likewise ʿAmr despatched Khârijah ibn-Ḥudhâfah al-ʿAdawi to al-Faiyûm,\(^4\) al-Ushmûnain, Ikh-

---

3 Heliozolis; confused by some historians with Bâb Alyûnâh (Babylon); Butler, p. 212, note.
mim, al-Basharûdât and the villages of upper Egypt, which he reduced on the same terms. ‘Amr also sent ‘Umair ibn-Wahb al-Jumali to Tinnis, Dimyat, Tûnah, Damirah, Shaṭa, Diḳahlah, Bana and Bûṣîr, which he reduced on the same terms. ‘Amr also sent ‘Ukba ibn-‘Amir al-Juhani (others say ‘Amr’s freedman, Wardân after whom Sûk [market] Wardân in Egypt is named) to the rest of the villages in the lower part of the country; and he did the same. Thus did ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi effect the conquest of all Egypt and make its land kharâj-land.

The Copts have no covenant. Al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from Aiyûb ibn-abi-l-‘Aliyah’s father:—The latter said, “I heard ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi say from the pulpit, ‘I have occupied this position and am bound to none of the Egyptian Copts by covenant or contract. If I want, I can kill; if I want, I can take one-fifth of the possessions; if I want, I can sell captives. The people of Anṭâbulus are excluded because they have a covenant which must be kept’.”

Al-Maghrib and Egypt taken by force. Al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from Mûsa ibn-‘Ali ibn-Rabâḥ al-Lakhmi’s father:—All al-Maghrib was taken by force.

Abu-‘Ubaid from as-Ṣalt ibn-abi-‘Asîm, the secretary of Ḥâiyân ibn-Shuraiḥ:—The latter said that he read the letter of ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz to Ḥâiyân, his ‘āmil over Egypt, stating that Egypt was taken by force, with no covenant or contract.

Poll-tax of the Copts not to be increased. Abu-‘Ubaid from ‘Ubaţdallâh ibn-abi-Ja‘far:—Mu‘âwiyyah wrote to Wardân, a freedman of ‘Amr, ordering him to increase the poll-tax of every Copt by one kirât, but Wardân wrote back, “How can I increase it while it is stated in their covenant that their tax should not be increased?”

Egyptians overtaxed. Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from ʿAbd-al-Ḥamīd ibn-Jaʿfar’s father:—The latter heard ʿUrwaḥ ibn-az-Zubair say, “I spent seven years in Egypt and was married in it. I found its people exhausted, being burdened with more than they could bear. The country was conquered by ʿAmr through capitulation, covenant and something assessed on the inhabitants.”

The statement of ʿAmr. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from ʿUkbah ibn-ʿĀmir al-Juhani:—The Egyptians had a covenant and a contract. ‘Amr gave them a statement to the effect that they were secure with respect to their possessions, lives and children, and that none of them would be sold as slaves. He imposed on them a kharāj not to be increased, and promised to expel all fear of attack by an enemy. ‘Ukbah added, “And I was a witness thereunto”.

The division of the land. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Sufyān ibn-Wāḥib al-Khauliṇī:—The latter said, “At the conquest of Miṣr by us, which was effected without covenant, az-Zubair ibn-al-ʿAuwām rose and said, ‘‘Amr, divide it between us!’ ‘Amr replied, ‘By Allah, I will not divide it before I consult ʿUmar.’ He wrote to ʿUmar, and the latter wrote back, ‘Leave it as it is, so that the descendants of the descendants may profit by it.’”

Its kharāj. Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from Usāmah ibn-Zaid ibn-Aslam’s grandfather:—In the year 20, ‘Amr ibn-al-ʿĀṣi, accompanied by az-Zubair, subdued Egypt. When Egypt was conquered, the people made terms, agreeing to pay something he imposed on them, which was two dīnārūs on every man, excluding women and boys. The kharāj of Egypt during his governorship amounted to 2,000,000 dīnārūs; but later it reached 4,000,000.

Two dīnārūs on each Copt. Abu-ʿUbaid from Yazīd ibn-abi-Ḥabīb—Al-Mukauṭis, the chief of Egypt, made terms with ‘Amr ibn-al-ʿĀṣi, stipulating that each Copt pays two
dinârs. Hearing this, Heraclius, the chief of the Greeks, was enraged with anger and sent the troops to Alexandria and closed its gates; but ‘Amr reduced the city by force.

The poll-tax of the native village of umm-Ibrâhim annulled. Ibn-al-Ḳattât, i. e., abu-Mas‘ūd, from ash-Sha‘bi:—‘Ali ibn-al-Ḥusain, or al-Ḥusain himself, interceded with Mu‘āwiyah regarding the poll-tax of the fellow-villagers in Egypt of the mother of Ibrâhim,1 the Prophet’s son; and it was cancelled. The Prophet himself used to recommend that the Copts be favorably treated.

The Prophet recommends the Copts. ‘Amr from Mâlik, and al-Laith from a son of Ka‘b ibn-Mâlik:—The Prophet said, “If ye conquer Egypt, treat the Copts favorably, because they have dhimmah and blood-relationship.” It is stated by al-Laith that umm-Ismâ‘il 2 was a Copt.

‘Umar confiscates ‘Amr’s possessions. Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Madâ’ini from Abdallâh ibn-al-Mubârak:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb used to record the possessions of his ‘âmils at the time of their appointment; and whatsoever was later added was partly or wholly confiscated by him. He once wrote to ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣi, “It has become revealed that thou ownest commodities, slaves, vases and animals which thou didst not possess when thou wert made governor of Egypt.” ‘Amr wrote back, “Our land is a land of agriculture and trade; we, therefore, get as income more than what is necessary for our expenses.” To this, ‘Umar replied, “I have had enough experience with the wicked ‘âmils. Thy letter is the letter of one disturbed because justice has been meted out to him. Therefore, my suspicion has been aroused against thee, and I have sent to thee Muhammad ibn-Maslamah with a view to dividing with thee what thou hast. Reveal to him thy secret,

1 Mâriyah, the Copt; Nawâwi, p. 853.
2 The reference is to Hagar.
and give out whatever he demands of thee; thereby thou wouldst be spared his severity. What is concealed has been revealed." Thus were 'Amr's possessions confiscated by 'Umar.

Al-Madâ'ini from 'Isa ibn-Yazid:—When Muḥammad ibn-Maslamah divided with 'Amr ibn-al-'Āṣi his possessions, 'Amr made this remark, "An age in which the son of Ḥantamah² treats us in this manner is certainly an evil age. Al-'Āṣi used to put on silk garments with brocade borders!" "Hush," said Muḥammad, "had it not been for this age of ibn-Ḥantamah which thou hastest, thou wouldst be found bending in the court-yard of thy house, at the feet of a goat, whose abundance of milk would please thee and scarcity would displease thee."³ "I beg thee by Allah," exclaimed 'Amr, "report not what I have just uttered to 'Umar. A conversation is always confidential." Muḥammad replied, "So long as 'Umar lives, I shall not mention anything that took place between us."

Egypt taken by force. 'Amr an-Nākid from 'Abdallāh ibn-Hubairah:—Egypt was taken by force.

'Amr from ibn-An'am's grandfather (who witnessed the conquest of Egypt):—Egypt was taken by force without covenant or contract.

¹ Freytag, Provo., vol. i, p. 160, number 33.
² 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb's mother; Nawāwi, p. 447.
CHAPTER II

THE CONQUEST OF ALEXANDRIA

The battle of al-Kiryaun. When 'Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi conquered Miṣr, he settled in it and wrote to 'Umar ibn-al- Khaṭṭāb soliciting his orders to march against Alexandria [al-Iskandariyah]. ¹ 'Umar wrote and ordered him to do so; so 'Amr marched against it in the year 21, leaving as his substitute [lieutenant] over Miṣr Khârijah ibn-Ḥudhâfah ibn-Ghânim ibn-'Āmir ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-'Ubaid ibn-'Awîj ibn-'Adî ibn-Ka'b ibn-Lu'ai ibn-Ghâlib. In the meantime, those Greeks and Copts who lived below Alexandria had gathered and said, "Let us attack him in al-Fusṭâṭ before he reaches here and makes an attempt on Alexandria." 'Amr met them at al-Kiryaun ² and defeated them with a great slaughter. In their ranks were men from Sakha, Bilhît, al-Khais and Sulṭais, and others who came to their assistance and support.

Alexandria reduced. 'Amr kept his way until he arrived in Alexandria, whose inhabitants he found ready to resist him, but the Copts in it preferred peace. Al-Muḳauḳis communicated with 'Amr and asked him for peace and a truce for a time; but 'Amr refused. Al-Muḳauḳis then ordered that the women stand on the wall with their faces turned towards the city, and that the men stand armed, with their faces towards the Moslems, thus hoping to scare them

¹ Duḳmāḳ, vol. v, p. 121.
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[Moslems]. ‘Amr sent word, saying, “We see what thou hast done. It was not by mere numbers that we conquered those we have conquered. We have met your king Heraclius, and there befell him what has befallen him.” Hearing this, al-Mukaukis said to his followers, “These people are telling the truth. They have chased our king from his kingdom as far as Constantinople. It is much more preferable, therefore, that we submit.” His followers, however, spoke harshly to him and insisted on fighting. The Moslems fought fiercely against them and invested them for three months. At last, ‘Amr reduced the city by the sword and plundered all that was in it, sparing its inhabitants of whom none was killed or taken captive. He reduced them to the position of dhimmis like the people of Alyûnah. He communicated the news of the victory to ‘Umar through Mu‘awiyah ibn-‘Udaij al-Kindi (later as-Sakûni) and sent with him the [usual] fifth.

Some state that al-Mu‘akûkis made terms with ‘Amr to the effect that he should pay 13,000 dinârs, that those who prefer to leave Alexandria should leave, and those who prefer to stay should stay, and that two dinârs be assessed on every adult Copt. To this end, ‘Amr wrote a statement. He then left in his place over Alexandria ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Udhâfah ibn-‘Kais ibn-‘Adî ibn-Sa‘d ibn-Sahm ibn-‘Amr ibn-Huṣâïî ibn-Ka‘b ibn-Lu‘ai at the head of a cavalry guard of the Moslems, and departed for al-Fuṣṭât.2

Manuwiyl captures Alexandria. The Greeks wrote to Constantine, son of Heraclius, who was their king at that time, telling him how few the Moslems in Alexandria were, and how humiliating the Greeks’ condition was, and how they had to pay poll-tax. Constantine sent one of his men, called

2 Makrizi, vol. i, pp. 263 seq.
Manuwil[^1] [Manuel], with three hundred ships full of fighters. Manuwil entered Alexandria and killed all the guard that was in it, with the exception of a few who by the use of subtle means took to flight and escaped. This took place in the year 25. Hearing the news, 'Amr set out at the head of 15,000 men and found the Greek fighters doing mischief in the Egyptian villages next to Alexandria. The Moslems met them and for one hour were subjected to a shower of arrows, during which they were covered by their shields. They then advanced boldly and the battle raged with great ferocity until the "polytheists" were routed; and nothing could divert or stop them before they reached Alexandria. Here they fortified themselves and set mangonels. 'Amr made a heavy assault, set the ballistae and destroyed the walls of the city. He pressed the fight so hard until he entered the city by assault, killed the fighters and carried away the children as captives. Some of its Greek inhabitants left to join the Greeks somewhere else; and Allah's enemy, Manuwil, was killed. 'Amr and the Moslems destroyed the wall of Alexandria in pursuance of a vow that 'Amr had made to that effect, in case he reduced the city.

According to certain reports, this invasion took place in the year 23; and according to others, the insurrection took place in the years 23 and 25; but Allah knows best. 'Amr assessed on the land of Alexandria *kharāj*; and on its people, poll-tax.

*Al-Mukaukis.* Some report that al-Mukaukis forsook the people of Alexandria when they violated the covenant, but 'Amr reinstated him with his people on the terms of their first capitulation. Others assert that he died before this invasion.

*Alexandria taken by capitulation.* Muhammad ibn-Sa'd

[^1]: Butler, pp. 468-475.
from 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz:—The latter said, "Not a town in al-Maghrib did we take by capitulation except three: Alexandria, Kafarṭis and Sulṭais. 'Umar used to say, 'Whosoever of the inhabitants of these places accepts Islām will be set free together with his possessions'."

How the dwellings were divided. 'Amr an-Nāʾkid from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabīb:—'Amr ibn-al-Āṣi conquered Alexandria, and some Moslems took up their abode in it as a cavalry guard. Later, they withdrew, after which they made an assault and hastened to secure dwellings. Some of them would come to the houses they once occupied and find them already held by a fellow Moslem. Regarding this, 'Amr remarked, "I am afraid the dwellings would fall into ruins if different ones of you should occupy them in turn." Consequently, when the invasion was made and the Moslems arrived in al-Kiryaun, he said, "Go with Allah's blessing. Whosoever of you sticks his lancet into a house, that house is his and his father's sons'." Thus, the Moslem would enter a house and stick his lancet into some apartment of it; then another would come and stand his lancet in the same house. The same house would thereby be in the possession of two or three persons,¹ which they would occupy until their withdrawal, at which the Greeks would come and occupy it.

Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabīb used to say, "No money from the rent of these houses is legal.² They can neither be sold nor bequeathed, but they are dwelling-places for the Moslems during the time they hold their post as guard."

The second conflict. During the second conflict with Alexandria, when Manuwil, the Greek eunuch, came, the people closed the gates; but 'Amr reduced it and destroyed its wall.

'Abdallāh ibn-Sa'd replaces 'Amr. No sooner had 'Amr returned to al-Fustat after assigning his freedman, War-dān, as governor of Alexandria, than he was dismissed. In the place of 'Amr, 'Uthmān appointed 'Abdallāh ibn-Sa'd ibn-abi-Sarh ibn-al-Ḥārith of the banu-'Amr ibn-Lu'ai, 'Uthmān's foster-brother. That took place in the year 25.

According to others, 'Abdallāh ibn-Sa'd was in charge of the kharāj of Egypt in behalf of 'Uthmān. Between 'Abdallāh and 'Amr, a verbal dispute arose and 'Abdallāh wrote and accused 'Amr. 'Amr was dismissed by 'Uthmān, who assigned 'Abdallāh to both functions, and wrote him saying that Alexandria was taken once by force and revolted two times, and ordering him to station in it a cavalry guard that would never depart from it, and to assign abundant subsistence allowances to the guard, and change its personnel once in every six months.

Ibn-Hurmuz. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wāḳidi:—ibn-Hurmuz al-A'raj al-Ḵārī [the lame "reader"] used to say, "Your best coast, from the standpoint of guard, is Alexandria." At last he left al-Madinah and joined the guard stationed in Alexandria, where he died in the year 117.

The capitation tax. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Mūsa ibn-'Ali's father:—The capitation tax from Alexandria was 18,000 dinārs; but when Hishām ibn-'Abd-al-Malik became caliph, it amounted to 36,000.

'Abdallāh ibn-Sa'd made governor. 'Amr from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabib:—'Uthmān dismissed 'Amr ibn-al-'Aṣī from Egypt and assigned in his place 'Abdallāh ibn-Sa'd. But when the Greeks occupied Alexandria, the Egyptians asked

1 Nawāwi, pp. 345-347.
2 Makrizi, vol. i, p. 270.
3 Makrizi, vol. i, p. 269.
‘Uthmân to keep ‘Amr until he was through with the fight against the Greeks, because he had special knowledge of warfare and inspired awe in the enemy. ‘Uthmân did so; and ‘Amr defeated the Greeks. ‘Uthmân then wanted ‘Amr to be in charge of the army, and ‘Abdallâh in charge of the kharâj; but ‘Amr refused, saying, “My case is that of one who holds the horns of the cow while the chief milks it.” ‘Uthmân then appointed ibn-Sa‘d to the governorship of Egypt.

The Abyssinians of al-Bîma. For seven years after the conquest of Egypt, the Abyssinians of al-Bîma kept up their resistance, and could not be subjugated because of the water with which they flooded their thickets.

The second conquest of Alexandria. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Wahb from Mûsa ibn-‘Ali’s father:—‘Amr conquered Alexandria for the second time by capitulation, which conquest took place in the caliphate of ‘Uthmân after the death of ‘Umar.

1 Tabari, vol. iii, p. 1106.
CHAPTER III

THE CONQUEST OF BARKAH AND ZAWILAH

Barkah makes terms. Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from ‘Abdallāh ibn-Hubairah:—After reducing Alexandria, ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi led his army intent upon the conquest of al-Maghrib [Mauritania] until he arrived in Barkah, the chief city of Anṭābulus,1 whose inhabitants made terms on a poll-tax of 13,000 dinārs to be raised as the price of those of their children whom they desired to sell.2

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from ‘Abdallāh ibn-Hubairah:—After investing and fighting the people of Anṭābulus and its city, Barkah,3 which lay between Egypt and Ifriqiyyah [Africa = Tunis], ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi made terms with them, stipulating that they pay a poll-tax which might include the price of those of their children whom they desired to sell. ‘Amr wrote a statement to that effect.

Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from Ishāk ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-abi-Farwān:—The inhabitants of Barkah used to send their kharāj to the governor of Egypt without having anyone come to urge them for it.4 Their land was the most fertile land of al-Maghrib, and it never saw an insurrection.

Al-Wâkidi states that ‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi used to say, “Had it not been for my possessions in al-

---

2 Caetani in vol. iv, p. 533, nota, thinks it must have meant the right to offer to the Moslems their children as slaves according to a fixed price.
4 As-Suyūṭī, Ḥusn al-Muḥāḍarah, vol. i, p. 86.
Hijaz, I would live in Barkah, because I know of no place that is more safe or isolated than it.'

'Amr's report to 'Umar. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Mu'awiyah ibn-Salih.—'Amr ibn-al-'Asi wrote to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb informing him that he had appointed 'Ukbah ibn-Nafi' al-Fihri governor of al-Maghrib and that the latter had reached as far as Zawilah. He also informed him that peace prevailed among all between Zawilah and Barkah, that their allegiance was strong and that the Moslems among them had paid ṣadakāh and the "people of the covenant" acknowledged the poll-tax imposed. 'Amr also wrote that he had assessed on the inhabitants of Zawilah and on those living in the region between his town and Zawilah, what he saw would be tolerated by them, and ordered all his 'āmilis to collect ṣadakāh from the rich to be distributed among the poor, and poll-tax from the dhimmis to be carried to 'Amr in Egypt, and to raise from Moslem lands the tithe and half the tithe, and from those who capitulated, what had been agreed upon.

The origin of the Berbers. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham once told me, "I asked 'Abdallah ibn-Salih regarding the Berbers, and he said, 'They claim to be the descendants of Barr ibn-Kais; but Kais had no son with the name, Barr. In fact they are descended from the race of the giants [Philistines] against whom David fought. In ancient times, their home was Palestine; and they were tent-dwellers. Later on, they came to al-Maghrib, where they multiplied.'"

The Berbers of Luwātah. Abu-'Ubaid al-Kāsim ibn-Sallām from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabib.—'Amr ibn-al-'Asi made this a condition on the Berber inhabitants of Luwātah at

1 Tabari, vol. i, p. 2646.
2 See article on Berbers in the Encyclopaedia of Islām.
3 Butler, p. 430.
Barkah. "Ye have to sell your children and wives in order to pay the poll-tax on you." Commenting on this, al-Laith said, "If they were slaves, that would not be a legal thing for them to do."

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabib:—‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz wrote regarding the Luwátah women, "Whoever has a Luwátah woman, let him either be engaged to her through her father, or return her to her people." Luwátah¹ is a village inhabited by Berbers who had a covenant.

CHAPTER IV

THE CONQUEST OF TRIPOLI

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from ‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭalḥah:—In the year 22,¹ ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āsi advanced to Tripoli.² He met resistance but reduced the place by force, carrying away many loads of fine silk brocade from its merchants. This booty he sold and divided its price among the Moslems. He wrote to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, “We have arrived in Tripoli which lies nine days from Ifrikiyah. If the ‘Commander of the Believers’ thinks it best to allow us to invade the latter, it will be well.” ‘Umar wrote back, ordering him not to go, saying, “This should not be called Ifrikiyah, but Mufarriḳah,³ which is treacherous to others, and to which others are treacherous.” ‘Umar wrote that because its inhabitants used to pay something to the king of the Greeks and often treated him treacherously, while the king of al-Andalus, who had made terms with them, treated them treacherously. These facts were known to ‘Umar.

According to a tradition communicated to me by ‘Amr-an-Nāḳid on the authority of certain sheikhs, Tripoli was taken by ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āsi through a covenant made by him.

¹ Weil, Geschichte der Chalifen, vol. i, p. 124 note: “year 23”.
³ Pun on words. Mufarriḳah means causing deviation from the right course.
CHAPTER V

THE CONQUEST OF IFRIKIYAH


The magnates of Ifrikiyyah make terms with ‘Abdallāh. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from ‘Abdallāh ibn-az-Zubair:—The latter said:—“‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān sent us on an expedition against Ifrikiyyah, whose patrician exercised authority from 356
Tripoli to Tanjah [Tangiers]. ‘Abdallah ibn-Sa’d ibn-abi-Sarh marched against him and occupied ‘Akbah. After a few days’ fight, I was enabled to kill, by Allah’s help, the patrician. His army took to flight and was torn to pieces. Ibn-abi-Sarh sent detachments and scattered them all over the country; and they carried away a large booty and drove before them all the cattle they could. Seeing that, the great men of Ifrikiyah met together and offered ‘Abdallah ibn-Sa’d 300 quintals \(^1\) of gold provided he would let them alone and leave their land. Their request was granted."

Muhammad ibn-Sa’d from ibn-Ka’b:—‘Abdallah ibn-Sa’d ibn-abi-Sarh made terms with the patrician of Ifrikiyah, stipulating that the latter should pay 2,500,000 dinârs.

‘Ukbah ibn-Nâfi’. Muhammad ibn-Sa’d from Mûsa ibn-Damrah-I-Mâzini’s father:—When ‘Abdallah ibn-Sa’d made terms with the patrician of Ifrikiyah, he returned to Egypt without appointing anyone to the governorship of Ifrikiyah, which at that time had no meeting-place \(^2\) or central town. When ‘Uthmân was murdered and Muhammad ibn-abi-Hudhaifah ibn-‘Utbah ibn-Rabi’ah ruled over Egypt, he sent nobody to Ifrikiyah; but when Mu’âwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân came to power, he assigned over Egypt Mu’âwiyyah ibn-Hudaij as-Sakûni who, in the year 20, sent ‘Ukbah ibn-Nâfi’ ibn-‘Abd-Ḳais ibn-Lakît al-Fihri to Ifrikiyah. ‘Ukbah invaded it and parcelled it out into lots among the Moslems.

‘Ukbah sent Busr ibn-abi-Arṭâh \(^3\) to a castle in al-Ḳaira-wân, which he reduced, killing and capturing many. It is now known as Ḷal’at Busr and lies near a city called Majjânah, near the silver mine.

\(^1\) Ar. \(kintār\) = "1,200 dinârs, and in the language of Barbar = 1,000 mithkâls of gold or silver"; \(T’A\).

\(^2\) Ar. kairawân. See De Goeje’s edition of Balâdhuri, gloss., pp. 92-93.

\(^3\) Makrizi, vol. i, p. 272, does not have "abi" in the name.
I heard it said that Mūsa ibn-Nuṣair sent Busr, who was then 82 years old, to this castle; and the latter reduced it. This Busr was born two years before the Prophet's death. Others than al-Wâkidi claim that Busr was one of those who transmitted traditions from the Prophet; but Allah knows better.

Various governors. It was stated by al-Wâkidi that ‘Abdallâh ibn-Sa’d held the governorship until Muḥammad ibn-abi-Ḥudhaifah assumed authority over Egypt, which he had made to rise in rebellion against ‘Uthmân. Later on, ‘Ali assigned Ẓāiṣ ibn-Sa’d ibn-‘Ubâdah-1-Anṣâri as governor of Egypt, after which he dismissed him and chose Muḥammad ibn-abi-Bakr as-Ṣiddîk. The latter he also dismissed and assigned Mâlik al-Ashtar, who was taken sick¹ at al-Ḳulzum [Suez]. ‘Ali once more assigned Muḥammad ibn-abi-Bakr, who was later killed by Mu’āwiyyah ibn-Ḥudajj and burned in a donkey’s belly.²

‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣî ruled in the name of Mu’āwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân. He died in Egypt on the feast of the breaking of the fast of Ramaḍân [al-ḥitr] in the year 42 (others say 43), and was succeeded by his son ‘Abdallâh whom Mu’āwiyyah dismissed. Mu’āwiyyah assigned ibn-Ḥudajj, who spent four years in Egypt; at the close of which he made a razzia and plundered. After that he returned to Egypt and sent there ‘Ukbah ibn-Nâfî’ al-Fihri. Others say that ‘Ukbah was appointed by Mu’āwiyyah over al-Maghrib; and so he invaded Ifríkiyyah at the head of 10,000 Moslems and reduced it. He parcelled out its Ḑairawân³ in lots among the Moslems, the site being a thicket covered with tamarisk and other trees and which nobody could attempt because of

¹ Maḥâsin, vol. i, pp. 116-117.
the beasts, snakes and deadly scorpions. This ibn-Nâfi' was a righteous man whose prayer was answered. He prayed to his Lord, who made the scorpions disappear; even the beasts had to carry their young and run away.

Al-Wâkîdi says, "I once said to Mûsâ ibn-'Ali, 'Thou hast seen the buildings in Ifrikiyyah that are connected together and that we still see to-day. Who was it that built them?' And Mûsâ replied, 'The first one was 'Ukbah ibn-Nâfi' al-Fihri who marked out the plans for the buildings, himself built a home, and the Moslems at the same time built houses and dwelling-places. He also built the cathedral mosque that is in Ifrikiyyah.'"

It was in Ifrikiyyah that Ma'bad ibn-al-'Abbâs fell a martyr in the campaign of ibn-abi-Sarh during the caliphate of 'Uthmân. Others say he met natural death during the war; but that he fell a martyr is the more authentic report.

According to al-Wâkîdi and others, Mu'âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân dismissed Mu'âwiyah ibn-Abdajî' and conferred the governorship of Egypt and al-Maghrib on Maslamah ibn-Mukhallad al-Anşârî, who appointed his freedman, abu-i-Muhâjir, governor of al-Maghrib. When Yazid ibn-Mu'âwiyah, however, came to power, he reinstated 'Ukbah ibn-Nâfi' in his position, and the latter invaded as-Sûs al-Adna, which lay behind Tanjah. There he went about without being molested or fought by anybody. At last he departed.

Yazid ibn-Mu'âwiyah died and his son Mu'âwiyah ibn-Yazid, surnamed abu-Laila, was proclaimed caliph. Mu'âwiyah called a general public prayer meeting, and resigned the caliphate. He retired to his home where he died after

3 i.e., the nearer (= Dar'ah) in distinction from al-Akṣâ—the farther; Ya'kûbî, Buldân, pp. 359-360.
two months. Later came the rule of Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam and the insurrection of ibn-az-Zubair.

Then came ʿAbd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân to power; and everything went smoothly with him. He assigned as ḍamīl over Egypt his brother ʿAbd-al-ʿAziz who put over Ifrikiyyah Zuhair ibn-Ḳais al-Balawi.¹ Zuhair conquered Tunis and left for Barkah. Hearing that a band of Greeks had landed from their ships and were doing mischief, he went against them with a cavalry detachment. On meeting them, he fell a martyr with his companions. His tomb is still there. His and his companions’ tombs are called Kubur ash-Shuhadda’ [the martyrs’ tombs].

Then Ḥassān ibn-an-Nuʿmān al-Ghassāni ² became ruler. He made an incursion against al-Kāhinah,³ the queen of the Berbers. He was defeated by her and came and occupied certain castles within the territory of Barkah. These castles were included within one whose roof was an arched structure upon which one could cross over. Since then, these castles were called Kuṣūr Ḥassān.⁴

Ḥassān made another incursion, killed the queen and carried into captivity many Berbers whom he sent to ʿAbdal-ʿAziz. Regarding these captives, the poet, abu-Miḥjan Nuṣaib, used to say, “I have seen in ʿAbd-al-ʿAziz’s home Berber captives who have faces more beautiful than which I never saw.”

According to ibn-al-Kalbi, Ḥishām assigned Kulthum ibn-ʿIyāḍ ibn-Waḥwah al-Ḳushairi to the governorship of Ifrikiyyah, whose people rebelled and put him to death. Ibn-al-Kalbi also states that Ifrikiyyah was subdued in pre-

¹ ‘Adhāri, vol. i, p. 16.
² Ibid., vol. i, pp. 18 seq.
³ Fem. of kāhin = soothsayer.
Islamic times by Ifrikiš ibn-Ḳais ibn-Ṣaifi-l-Ḥimyari and was named after him. He killed Jurjir 1 [Gregory] its king and said regarding the Berbers, “How barbarous they are!” Hence the name, Berbers.

Al-Kairawân. According to a tradition communicated to me by certain inhabitants of Ifrikiyah on the authority of their sheikhs, when ‘Uḥbah ibn-Nāfi’ al-Fihri wanted to build al-Ḳairawân, 2 he began to think regarding the site of the mosque, and he saw in a dream as if a man called to prayer at a certain spot where he later erected the minaret. When he awoke, he started to erect the boundary marks where he had seen the man stand, after which he built the mosque.

Muḥammad ibn-Sa’d from al-WāKidī:—Muḥammad ibn-al-Asḥ‘ath al-Khuzā‘i ruled over Ifrikiyah in the name of abu-l-‘Abbās “the Commander of the Believers”, and repaired the city of al-Ḳairawân with its mosque. He was later dismissed by al-Manṣūr, who assigned ‘Umar ibn-Ḥafṣ Hizârmard 3 in his place.

1 Cf. ‘Adhâri, vol. i, pp. 5-6.
2 Istâkhri, pp. 39-40.
3 ‘Adhâri, vol. i, p. 64. “‘Amr ibn-Ḥafṣ ibn-Ḳabišah.”
CHAPTER VI

THE CONQUEST OF TANJAH [TANGIER]

According to al-Wâkidî, 'Abd-al-‘Azîz ibn-Mawwân made Mûsâ ibn-Nuṣair,¹ a freedman of the banu-Umaiyah and who came originally from 'Ain at-Tamr (some say he belonged to the clan of Arâshah, a branch of the Bali; others say, to the clan of Lakhm) governor over Ifrikiyyah. According to others, Mûsâ ruled over it in the time of al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik, in the year 89. He reduced Tanjah and occupied it, he being the first to occupy and mark it in lots for the Moslems. His horsemen went as far as as-Sûs al-Adna,² which was over twenty days’ journey from as-Sûs al-Aksha [the farther as-Sûs = modern Morocco]. Thus he subjugated as-Sûs al-Aksha, carrying many captives from the inhabitants and receiving homage. His 'âmîl collected from them ṣadaqah. Later he assigned Târik ibn-Ziyâd, his freedman, over it [Tanjah and environs] and departed to Kairawân Ifrikiyyah.

¹ 'Adhâri, vol. i, pp. 24 seq.
² Ibid., vol. i, p. 27.
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PART VI
ANDALUSIA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF ANDALUSIA

Târik crosses the Straits. According to al-Wâkidî, the first to invade Andalusia was Târik ibn-Ziyâd, the âmil of Müsa ibn-Nuṣair, and that was in the year 92. Târik was met by Ulyân, the commander of the Majâz al-Andalus, whom he promised safety provided he would transport him with his companions to Andalusia in his ships. When he arrived there, Târik was resisted by the people, but he effected the conquest of the land in the year 92. The king of Andalusia, it is claimed, belonged to the Ashbân [Spanish] people whose origin was from Işbahân. Müsa ibn-Nuṣair wrote Târik a severe letter for risking the lives of the Moslems and following his own opinion without consulting Müsa as regards the campaign. In the meantime, he ordered him not to go beyond Cordova [Ar. Kürtubah]. Müsa himself proceeded to Cordova in Andalusia; and Târik sought and was reinstated in his favor. Târik then reduced the city of Tulaiṭulah, the capital of the kingdom.

1 Al-Andalus, Spain. Ya’kûbi, Buidân, pp. 353-355.
2 'Adhârî, vol. ii, pp. 11 seq.
4 'Adhârî, vol. ii, pp. 5 seq.
5 Ispahan of Persia. The Arabs were misled to this conclusion by the accidental similarity between the two names. See Mas’ûdi, vol. ii, pp. 326-327.
7 Toledo. Muḥaddasi, p. 235; Khurdâdhbih, p. 89.
of Andalusia and which lies next to France [Ar. Faranjah]. Here he carried off a wonderful table \(^1\) which Mūsa ibn-Nuṣair, on his return in the year 96, offered as a present to al-Walid ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik in Damascus, who was sick at that time. When Sulaimān ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik came to power, he demanded 100,000 dinārs from Mūsa ibn-Nuṣair; but when Yazid ibn-al-Muhallab interceded in Mūsa’s behalf, he was spared.

Ismā‘īl governor of al-Maghrib. When ‘Umar ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAziz became caliph, he appointed over al-Maghrib Ismā‘īl ibn-ʿAbdallāh ibn-abi-l-Muhājir, a freedman of the banu-Makhzūm, who behaved according to the best standards and invited the Berbers to Islām. ‘Umar ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAziz also wrote them letters to that effect, which were read to them in the different districts by Ismā‘īl. Thus did Islām prevail over al-Maghrib.

Yazīd as governor. When Yazid ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik assumed power, he appointed Yazīd ibn-abi-Muslim, a freedman of al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Yūsuf, over Ifrīqiyyah and al-Maghrib. The latter arrived in Ifrīqiyyah in the year 102, and had his guard of Berbers. On the hand of every guard, he inscribed the word “Guard”;\(^2\) which act displeased them and made them impatient with him. Some of them entered into a conspiracy and agreed to kill him. One evening, he went out for the sunset prayer, and they killed him in his place of worship. Yazid then appointed Bishr ibn-Ṣaf-wân al-Kalbi. Bishr beheaded ʿAbdallāh ibn-Mūsa ibn-Nuṣair in revenge for Yazid [ibn-abi-Muslim] on the ground that he was suspected of killing him and arousing people against him.

Bishr and other governors. Hishām ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik

---

\(^1\) “Once owned by king Solomon”, Marākishi, p. 8.

\(^2\) Ar. ḫarāṣṭ. ‘Adhāri, vol. i, p. 34.
again appointed Bishr ibn-Ṣafwân.¹ The latter died in al-
Kairawân in the year 109. Hishâm appointed in his place
ʿUbaidah ibn-ʿAbd-ar-Raḥmân al-Ḵaisi,² after whom Hi-
shâm appointed ʿAbdallâh ³ ibn-al-Ḥabḥâb, a freedman of
the banu-Salûl. ʿAbdallâh sent ʿAbd-ar-Raḥmân ibn-
Ḥabib ibn-abî-ʿUbaydah ibn-ʿUkbah ibn-Nâfî al-Fihri to
the invasion of as-Sûs⁴ and the land of as-Sûdân. The
victories won by ʿAbd-ar-Raḥmân were unparalleled, and
among the booty he carried away were two of the women
slaves of that region, each with one bosom. These people
are known by the name of Tarâjân.

After ibn-al-Ḥabḥâb, Hishâm appointed Kulthûm ibn-
ʿIyâḍ al-Ḵushairi who arrived in Ifrikiyah in the year 23⁵
and was killed in it. Hishâm appointed after Kulthûm,
Ḥanzâlah ibn-Ṣafwân al-Kalbi,⁶ a brother of Bishr, who
fought against the Kharijites⁷ and died there while he held
the governorship.

When al-Walid ibn-Yazid ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malîk assumed
power, ʿAbd-ar-Raḥmân ibn-Ḥabib al-Fihri rose against
him. ʿAbd-ar-Raḥmân was in good favor with the inhabi-
tants of this frontier region [northern Africa and al-Magh-
rib], because of the good deeds done in it by his grand-
father ʿUkbah ibn-Nâfî. Consequently, ʿAbd-ar-Raḥmân
subdued this region; and Ḥanzâlah departed, leaving ʿAbd-
ar-Raḥmân over it.

¹ ‘Adhâri, vol. i, p. 35.
² Ibid., vol. i, p. 36: “as-Sulami”.
⁴ Idrisi, Ṣifat al-Maghrib, p. 165.
⁵ ‘Adhâri, vol. i, p. 41.
⁷ Al-Khaŵârizîj. Rebels led by the heretic ʿUkkâshah aṣ-Ṣufri; see
When Yazid ibn-al-Walid assumed the caliphate, he did not send to al-Maghrib any ‘āmil.

Then came Marwān ibn-Muḥammad to power. ‘Abd-ar-Raḥmān ibn-Ḥabīb communicated with him and professed homage and sent him presents. Marwān had a secretary, Khālid ibn-Rabi‘ah-l-Ifriki, who was a special friend of ‘Abd-al-Ḥamid ibn-Yahya and kept up a correspondence with him. Marwān confirmed ‘Abd-ar-Raḥmān as governor of the region, and appointed after him Ilyās ibn-Ḥabīb, and after that, Ḥabīb ibn-‘Abd-ar-Raḥmān. After this, the Ibādītes and the Berbers of the Khârijites had the upper hand.

Towards the end of abu-l-‘Abbās’ caliphate, Muḥammad ibn-al-Ash‘ath al-Khuzâ‘i came to Ifrikiyyah as its ruler at the head of 70,000 men, according to others, 40,000. His rule lasted for four years, during which he repaired the city of al-Κairawān. At last, the troops of the city rose against him together with others. I heard it reported that the inhabitants of the town and the troops that were in it rose against him and he held out against them in his castle for 40 days, during which his followers from Khurāsān, and others who owed him allegiance, came to his help. Consequently, he succeeded in laying hold on those who fought against him. He then went over the names and put to death every one whose name was Mu‘āwiyyah, Sufyān, Marwān or any other name that is borne by anyone of the banu-Umayyah, sparing only those who had different names. He was thereupon dismissed by al-Manṣūr.

‘Umar ibn-Ḥafṣ ibn-‘Uthmān ibn-Ḳabiṣah ibn-abi-Ṣuf-rah-l-‘Ataki, known as Hizārmard, was then made governor by al-Manṣūr, who had great admiration for him. ‘Umar entered Ifrikiyyah and launched in it a campaign that carried

---

1 Ash-Shahrastānī, Kitāb al-Milal w-an-Nihal, p. 100 (ed. Cureton).
THE CONQUEST OF ANDALUSIA

him to the extremity of the land of the Berbers, where he built a city which he called al-'Abbâsiyâh. Abu-Ḥātim as-Saddarâtî-l-Ībâdi (one of the inhabitants of Saddarâtah and a freedman of the Kindah) fought against Hizârmâr, and the latter suffered martyrdom together with some members of his family. The frontier region broke out in revolt, and the city he had established was destroyed.

Hizârmâr was succeeded by Yazid ibn-Ḥâtim ibn-Ḳâbişâh ibn-al-Muhâllâb, who rebelled at the head of 50,000 men and was accompanied to Jerusalem by abu-Ja‘far al-Manşûr who spent large sums of money on him. Yazid advanced until he met abu-Ḥâtim in Tripoli [Ar. Aţrâbûlus]. He killed him and made his entrance to Ifrikîyâh, where everything went smoothly with him.

Yazid ibn-Ḥâtim was succeeded by Rauḥ ibn-Ḥâtim, and the latter by al-Faḍl ibn-Rauḥ, who was slain by the troops that rose up against him.

I was informed by Aḥmad ibn-Nâkid, a freedman of the banu-l-Aghlâb, that al-Aghlâb ibn-Sâlim at-Tamîmî, of Marūr, was among those who came from Khurâsân with al-Musauwidâh. Al-Aghlâb was appointed by Mûsâ-l-Hâdî governor of al-Maghrib. When al-Aghlâb came to Ẓâirawân Ifrikîyâh, Ḥârish, who was once in the army of the frontier region of Tûnis, gathered a body of men, with whom he marched against him and besieged him. Al-Aghlâb later made a sortie, and in the battle which followed was hit by an arrow and fell dead. Neither his followers nor those of Ḥârish knew of it. At last Ḥârish

1 Kindî, pp. III-117.
2 Ibid., p. 110.
3 Hamadhâni, Buldân, pp. 319-322.
4 The partisans of the Abbasid dynasty, so called because they wore black clothes.
was defeated with his army and were pursued by the men
of al-Aghlab for three days, during which many were killed,
including Ḥarish himself, who fell in a place called Sūk al-
Aḥad. Al-Aghlab after this was called “the martyr”
[ash-Shahīd].

Ibrāhīm ibn-al-Aghlab, one of the leading men of the
Egyptian army, arose one day with twelve men and carried
away from the treasury the exact value of their subsistence
allowances and no more. They ran away to a place called az-
Zāb which lay at a distance of more than ten days from al-
Kaʿrawān. The ‘āmil of this frontier region, at that time
under ar-Rashīd Hārūn, was Harthamah ibn-Aʿyan.1 Ibrāhīm
ibn-al-Aghlab assumed the commandership of the
troops that were in that region and offered presents to
Harthamah, showing him kindness and telling him in writ-
ing that he did not rebel or disobey, but was rather forced
to what he did by urgency and necessity. Harthamah as-
signed him to be governor of the region and intrusted to
him its affairs.

When Harthamah’s resignation from the governorship
of this region [Ifriqiyyah] was accepted, he was succeeded
by ibn-al-ʿAkki,2 whose rule was so bad that the people rose
up against him. Ar-Rashīd consulted Harthamah regarding
a man whom he could assign to that post and intrust to him
its management, and Harthamah advised him that Ibrāhīm
be reconciled, won over and appointed over the region. Ac-
cordingly, ar-Rashīd wrote to Ibrāhīm, stating that he
had forgiven him his crime, excused his fault and thought
it wise to assign him to the governorship of al-Maghrib as
an act of favor, expecting to receive from him loyalty and
good counsel. Ibrāhīm became ruler of the region and
managed its affairs thoroughly.

1 Kindi, p. 136.  
2 ʿAdhāri, vol. i, p. 80.
One of the city troops named 'Imrân ibn-Mujâlid rose in a revolt and was joined by the army of the region, who demanded that their subsistence allowances be given them, and laid siege to Ibrâhim in al-Kâirawân. Soon after that, those who pay allowances and stipends came bringing money from the kharâj of Egypt; and when the dues were given, they [the rebels] dispersed themselves. Ibrâhim built al-Kašr al-Abyaḍ [the white citadel] two miles to the kiblah of al-Kâirawân, and parceled out the land around it among the Moslems, who established themselves and their residences there. Thus did that section become populated. Ibrâhim also built a cathedral mosque with gypsum and brick and marble columns, and covered it with cedar wood, making it 200 dhirâ's in length and almost 200 dhirâ's in width. He bought slaves to the number of 5,000, emancipated them and made them settle around it. This city he called al-'Abbâsiyâh, which is still flourishing to-day.

Al-'Abbâsiyâh. Muhammad ibn-al-Aghlab ibn-Ibrâhim ibn-al-Aghlab built in the year 239 a city near Tâhârî and named it al-'Abbâsiyâh, too. This city was destroyed by Aflâh ibn-'Abd-al-Wahhâb al-İbâḍî, who wrote to the Umayyad chief of Andalusia, informing him of his act in order to win his favor. The Umayyad chief sent him 100,000 dirhams.

Bârah. There lies in al-Maghrib a land known as al-Ard al-Kabiřâh [the big land], situated at a distance of 15 days, more or less, from Barkah. In it lies a city on the coast, called Bârah, whose inhabitants were Christians, but not Greeks. This city was invaded by Ḥablâh, the freed-

2 Tabari, vol. iii, p. 562.
3 Idrisi, Sīfat al-Maghrib, p. 56.
man of al-Aghlab, who failed to reduce it. It was later invaded by Khalfūn al-Barbari (supposed to have been a freedman of the Rabi‘ah) who reduced it in the early part of al-Mutawakkil’s caliphate.

**Al-Mufarraj ibn-Sallâm.** After Khalfūn there arose one called al-Mufarraj ibn-Sallâm who conquered and brought under his control 24 forts. He then forwarded the news of the situation to the Master of the post¹ in Egypt, and told him that he and his followers could conduct no [public] prayer unless the imām confirms him over his district and makes him its ruler, so that he may not be included in the category of usurpers. Al-Mufarraj erected a cathedral mosque. Finally his men rose up against him and killed him.

**Sūrān.** He was followed by Sūrān who sent his messenger to al-Mutawakkil, the “Commander of the Believers,” asking for a confirmation and a letter of appointment to a governorship. Al-Mutawakkil, however, died before his messenger departed with the message to Sūrān.

Al-Muntaṣir-Billāh died after holding the caliphate for six months. Then came al-Musta‘in-Billāh Ahmad ibn-Muḥammad ibn-al-Muṭaṣim who ordered his ‘āmil over al-Maghrib, Utāmish, a freedman of the “Commander of the Believers”, to confirm Sūrān; but no sooner had the messenger started from Surra-man-ra‘a, than Utāmish was slain.² That region was after that governed by Waṣīf, a freedman of the caliph, who confirmed Sūrān in his position.

¹ Ṣaḥīb al-barid. Ibn-at-Tikṭaka, al-Fakhri, p. 129.
² Ṭabarî, vol. iii, pp. 1512, 1513.
PART VII
ISLANDS IN THE SEA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF CERTAIN ISLANDS IN THE SEA

Sicily. The first to invade Sicily was Mu‘awiyah ibn-Ḫudaij al-Kindi in the days of Mu‘awiyah ibn-abi-Sufyān. It was continually invaded after that. The descendants of al-Aghlab ibn-Sālim al-Ifriki conquered more than 20 cities in it, which are still in the hands of the Moslems. In the caliphate of al-Mutawakkil, Ḩādī ibn-Muḥammad ibn-al-Aghlab reduced it to the Yānah castle and Ghalyānah fortress.

It is stated by al-Wāḳidi that ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ḵais ibn-Makhlad ad-Dīzākī plundered Sicily and carried off idols of gold and silver studded with pearls, which he sent to Mu‘awiyah. Mu‘awiyah sent them to al-Ṭabarānī to be carried into India and sold there with a view to getting a higher price for them.

Rhodes. Mu‘awiyah ibn-abi-Sufyān sent expeditions by sea and by land. He sent to Rhodes Ṣunādah ibn-abi-Umaiyyah-l-Azdi. Ṣunādah was one of those on whose authority traditions were reported. He had chance to meet abu-Bakr, ‘Umar and Mu‘adh ibn-Jabal, and died in the year 80. Ṣunādah took Rhodes by force. Rhodes was a thicket in the sea. In pursuance of Mu‘awiyah’s order, Ṣunādah caused Moslems to settle in it. This took place in the year 52.

3 Cf. Idrisi, “Italy”, p. 49; Amari, Bibliotheca Arabo-Sicula, p. 60.
4 Rūdīs. See Kindi, p. 38.
Rhodes is one of the most fertile of all islands, and is about sixty miles in size. It is rich in olive trees, vineyards, fruits and fresh water.

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wâkidi and others:—The Moslems occupied Rhodes for seven years, living in a fort made for them. At the death of Mu'âwiyah, Yazid wrote to Junâdah ordering him to destroy the fort and return. Mu'âwiyah used to alternate its occupants, making them live there in turns. Mujâhid ibn-Jabr ¹ lived in it and taught the Koran.

Arwâd. In the year 54, Junâdah ibn-abi-Umaiayah reduced Arwâd,² and Mu'âwiyah made the Moslems settle in it. Among those who took part in conquering it was Mujâhid and Tubai'³, a son of Ka'b al-Aḥbâr's⁴ wife. It was here that Mujâhid taught Tubai' the Koran. Others say that he did it in Rhodes. This Arwâd is an island lying near Constantinople [al-Ķustânîniyâh].

Crete. Junâdah led a razzia against Crete [Ikriṭiši],⁵ a part of which he conquered at the time of al-Walid. Later, the island was lost to the Moslems. In the caliphate of ar-Rashid it was invaded again by Ḥumaid ibn-Ma'ŷûk al-Ḥamdâni, who reduced a part of it. In the caliphate of al-Ma'mûn, it was invaded by abu-Ḥâfs 'Umar ibn-'Īsa-l-Andalusi, known by the name of al-Ikriṭishi, who first reduced one fort and occupied it. Then he kept on reducing one part after another until none of the Greeks were left. He also dismantled their forts.

¹ Kindi, p. 39.
² Ta'bari, vol. ii, p. 163.
³ Ta'bari, vol. ii, p. 163; Dhahabi, p. 69.
⁵ Idriṣi, "Italy", p. 19; Rustah, p. 85; "Ikriṭiyah".
PART VIII
NUBIA
CHAPTER I

TERMS MADE WITH NUBIA

‘Ukбah leads the attack. Muḥammad ibn-Sa’d from abu-l-Khair:—When the Moslems subdued Egypt, ‘Amr ibn-al-Āṣi sent to the surrounding villages, in order to overrun and pillage them, a detachment of cavalry under ‘Ukбah ibn-Nāfi’ al-Fihri (Nāfi’ being a brother of al-Āṣi on his mother’s side). The cavalry entered the land of Nubia as the summer expeditions of the Greeks do. The Moslems met in Nubia determined resistance. They were subjected to such severe showers of arrows until most of them were wounded and had to return with many wounds and blinded eyes. Therefore were the Nubians called the “archers of the eyes”.

The terms made. This state of affairs continued until ‘Abdallāh ibn-Sa’d ibn-abi-Sarḥ ruled over Egypt. The Nubians asked for peace and conciliation from ‘Abdallāh, who granted their request, the terms being that they pay no tax but offer as a present three hundred slaves per annum; and that the Moslems offer them as a present food equivalent to the value of the slaves.

The Nubians as archers. Muḥammad ibn-Sa’d from a sheikh of the tribe of Ḥimyar:—The latter said, “I have been to Nubia twice during the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, and I never saw a people who are sharper in warfare than they. I heard one of them say to the Moslem, ‘Where do you want me to hit you with my arrow?’ and

1 An-Nūbah. See Idrisi, Ṣifat al-Maghrib, p. 19.
in case the Moslem would disdainfully say, ‘In such a spot’, the Nubian would never miss it. They were fond of fighting with arrows; but their arrows would scarcely ever hit on the ground. One day, they arrayed themselves against us and we were desirous to carry the conflict with the sword; but they were too quick for us and shot their arrows, putting out our eyes. The eyes that were put out numbered 150. We at last thought that the best thing to do with such a people was to make peace. We could carry very little booty away from them; and their ability to inflict injury was great. ‘Amr, however, refused to make peace with them and went on contending against them until he was dismissed and was succeeded by ‘Abdallâh ibn-Sa’d ibn-abi-Sarih, who concluded peace with them.’

According to al-Wâkidi, Mu’âwiyyah ibn-Ḥudaij al-Kindi lost his eye in Nubia and thus became one-eyed.

**The legality of selling their children as slaves.** Abu-‘Ubaid al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabib:—The latter said, “Between us and the black tribes [Ar. asâwid], no treaty or covenant exists. Only a truce was arranged between us, according to which we agreed to give them some wheat and lentils, and they to give us slaves. It is all right to buy their slaves from them or from others.”

Abu-‘Ubaid from al-Laith ibn-Sa’d:—The latter said, “The terms we made with the Nubians stipulated only that we neither fight against them nor they against us, that they give slaves and we give them their value in terms of food. If they desire, therefore, to sell their wives or children, there is no reason why they should not be bought.”

In a report of Abu-l-Bukhturi and others, it is stated that ‘Abdallâh ibn-Sa’d ibn-abi-Sarih made terms with the Nubians to the effect that they give four hundred slaves per

---

1 i. e. they scarcely ever missed their aim.
year, whom they shall bring forth and for whom they shall receive food in exchange.

The caliph al-Mahdi ordered that Nubia be held responsible every year for 360 slaves and one giraffe, and that they be given wheat, vinegar, wine, clothes and mattresses or the value thereof.

The Nubians recently claimed that the tribute is not due on them every year, and that it was demanded from them in the caliphate of al-Mahdi, at which time they told the caliph that the tribute was a part of what they took as slaves from their enemies and therefore they had, if they could not get enough slaves, to use their own children and offer them. Al-Mahdi ordered that they be tolerated, and that the tribute of one year be considered as if for three. No confirmation, however, could be found in the registers of al-Ḥaḍrah; but it was found in the register in Egypt.

**Al-Ḳummi in al-Bujah.** Al-Mutawakkil ordered one, Muḥammad ibn-ʻAbdallāh, known as al-Ḳummi, to be sent and put in charge of al-Maḍīn in Egypt. He also put him in charge of al-Ḳulzum [Suez], the road of al-Ḥijāz, and the furnishing of guides to the Egyptians when on holy pilgrimage. Arriving in al-Maḍīn, he conveyed provisions in ships from al-Ḳulzum to the land of al-Bujah. He then proceeded to a sea-coast, called ‘Aīdhāb, where the ships met him. With these provisions, he and his followers were strengthened and fed until they came to the castle of the king of al-Bujah. Al-Ḳummi attacked him in

---

1 Ar. bāḥt, Quatemère, Mémoires Géographiques et Historiques sur l’Égypte, vol. ii, pp. 42, 53.

2 Perhaps al-Khaḍrā'. See Idrisi, Ṣifat al-Maghrib, p. 84; Ḥamadhanī, Buldān, pp. 79-80.


4 Idrisi, Ṣifat al-Maghrib, p. 27.
small force, and the king of al-Bujah made a sally with his numerous men on camels fastened with girths. Al-Kummi brought bells and put them on his horses. As soon as the camels heard the bell sounds, they ran away with the al-Bujah men over hills and valleys. The chief of al-Bujah was killed and was succeeded by his sister’s son,\(^1\) whose father was one of the kings of al-Bujah. He sued for a truce, which al-Mutawakkil granted only on condition that he [the chief] should tread on his [al-Mutawakkil’s] carpet. Accordingly, he came to Surra-man-ra’a and made terms in the year 241, agreeing to pay tribute in money and slaves. He was then sent back with al-Kummi. Thus, the people of al-Bujah are in a state of truce in which they pay tax and do not prevent the Moslems from working in the gold mine, which terms are mentioned in the conditions imposed upon their chief.

CHAPTER II

The Karâṭîs

The Greeks used to get the Karâṭîs from Egypt, and the Arabs used to get the dinârs from the Greeks. 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân was the first to inscribe on the upper part of these fabrics such phrases as “Declare: Allah is one!” and others with the name of Allah. One day, he received from the Byzantine king a message, saying, “You have recently introduced upon your Karâṭîs some inscription that we hate. If you leave that out, well and good; otherwise, you shall see on the dinârs the name of your Prophet associated with things you hate.” This was too much for ‘Abd-al-Malik, who hated to abolish a worthy law that he had established. He thereupon sent for Khalîd ibn-Yazid ibn-Mu‘âwiya and said to him, “O Abu-Hâshim! It is a calamity!” Khalîd replied, “Be free from your fright, ‘Commander of the Believers’; declare the use of their dinârs illegal; strike new coinage in place of them, and let not these infidels be free from what they hate to see on the fabrics.” “Thou hast eased my mind,” said ‘Abd-al-Malik, “may Allah give thee ease!” He then struck the dinârs.

According to ‘Awânah ibn-al-Hakam, the Copts used to

---

1 Rolls of papyrus for writing; also, cloth of Egyptian fabric used for carrying vases or clothes. Zaidàn, Ta‘rikh at-Tamaddun, vol. i, p. 103; Zeitschrift für Assyriologie, pp. 187-190, yr. 1908.
2 Al-Kindi, Faḍā’il Miṣr, p. 209, lines 9-10 (ed. Oestrup).
3 Ar. ḥawâmir. Fraenkel, op. cit., p. 251.
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inscribe the word "Christ" at the top part of the ḣarâṭīs, and to ascribe divinity to him (may Allah be highly exalted above that!); and they used to put the sign of the cross in place of "In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful". That is why the Byzantine king was disgusted and his anger was aroused with the change that 'Abd-al-Malik introduced.

According to al-Madâ'ini, it was stated by Maslamah ibn-Muḥārib that Khâlid ibn-Yazid advised 'Abd-al-Malik to declare the use of the Greek dīmārs illegal, to prohibit their circulation and to stop the sending of the ḳarâṭīs to the Byzantine empire. Accordingly, no ḳarâṭīs were carried there for some time.
PART IX

AL-IRÂK AND PERSIA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF AS-SAWĀD

THE CALIPHATE OF ABU-BAKR AŠ-ṢIDDĪҚ

_Al-Muthanna invades as-Sawād._ Al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah ibn-Salamah ibn-Ḍamḍam ash-Shaibānī used to lead incursions with some of his men against as-Sawād. Having heard of it, abu-Bakr made inquiries regarding him and learned from Kāis ibn-ʿAṣīm ibn-Sinān al-Mīnkārī that that was not a man with no reputation, or of unknown origin, or of no support; but it was al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah ash-Shaibānī. Later, al-Muthanna presented himself before abu-Bakr and said to him, “Caliph of the Prophet of Allah, make me your lieutenant over those of my people who have accepted Islām, that I may fight against those foreigners, the Persians.” Abu-Bakr wrote him a covenant to that effect. Al-Muthanna proceeded till he came to Khāffān; and inviting his people to Islām, they accepted it.

_Khālid in al-Ubullah._ Abu-Bakr then wrote to Khālid ibn-al-Walid al-Makhzūmī, ordering him to go against al-ʿIrāk. Others say that he sent him from al-Madinah. In the meantime, abu-Bakr wrote to al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah ordering him to receive Khālid and obey his word.

Previous to this, Madhʿūr ibn-ʿAdi-ʾIjli had written to abu-Bakr presenting his case and the case of his people, and asking to be put in charge of the campaign against the Persians. Now, abu-Bakr wrote and ordered him to join Khālid, stop with him when he stopped and move with him.

1 ʿIrāk, the region west of the Tigris. Rustah, p. 104.
when he moved. On the arrival of Khâlid in an-Nibâj, he was met by al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah. Thence Khâlid proceeded to al- Başrah in which there was at this time Suwaid ibn-Ḵuṭbah adh-Duhli (others than abu-Míchnaf say that there was in it Ḳuṭbah ibn-Ḳatâdah adh-Duhli) of the tribe of Bakr ibn-Wâ’il, accompanied by a band of followers. Suwaid had designs regarding al- Başrah similar to those of al-Muthanna regarding al-Ḵūfah, which at that time was not called al-Ḵūfah but al-Ḥirah. Suwaid said to Khâlid, “The inhabitants of al-Ubullah had assembled against me but failed to make the attack simply because of thy presence, as I believe.” “If that is so,” answered Khâlid “the advisable thing for me would be to leave al- Basrah in the day time and return in the night, at which time my companions would enter thy camp and we will fight together.” Accordingly, Khâlid left in the direction of al-Ḥirah and when darkness fell, he turned back until he got to the camp of Suwaid, which he entered with his men. In the morning, the inhabitants of al-Ubullah, hearing that Khâlid had left al-Basrah, advanced towards Suwaid. Seeing the great number of men in his army, they were confounded and turned back. Thereupon, Khâlid shouted, “On them! I see in them the looks of a people whose hearts Allah has filled with terror!” Then the Moslems charged them, put them to flight, and by Allah’s help, killed a great number and caused others to drown in Diqlat al-Basrah. Thence Khâlid passed through al-Khuraibah, reduced it and carried its inhabitants away into captivity. He left over it in his place—as it is reported by al-Kalbi—Shuraiḥ ibn-

1 Khurdâdhbih, pp. 145, 147.
2 or Diqlat al-Aura = the united course of the Tigris and the Euphrates before they empty into the Persian Gulf. Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 745.
3 Hamadhâni, Buldân, p. 189.
'Āmir ibn-Ḳain\(^1\) of the banu-Sa'd ibn-Bakr ibn-Hawāzin. The city was a fortified frontier town for the Persians.

*Nahr al-Mar'ah.* It is also reported that Khālid came to the river known as al-Mar'ah\(^2\) river, with whose people he made terms. He then fought against a body of men assembled at al-Madhār.\(^3\)

*Khālid proceeds to al-Ḥirah.* Khālid then proceeded to al-Ḥirah,\(^4\) and left Suwaid ibn-Ḳuṭbah to rule over his district, saying, “We have crushed the Persians in thy district in a way that will humiliate them before thee.”

Others report that when Khālid was in the district of al-Yamāmah, he wrote to abu-Bakr for reinforcements; and abu-Bakr sent him Jarīr ibn-'Abdallāh al-Bajali. Jarīr met Khālid as the latter was on his way out of al-Yamāmah, joined him and attacked the al-Madhār’s chief by Khālid’s orders. Allah knows if that is so.

Al-Wâkidi states, “Our friends in al-Ḥijāz maintain that Khālid left for al-ʻIrāk, passing by Faid\(^5\) and ath-Tha'labiyah,\(^6\) after which he came to al-Ḥirah.

*Zandaward, Durna and other places reduced by Khālid.* Khālid ibn-al-Walid passed through Zandaward in Kaskar and reduced it; he also reduced Durna and its territory, which capitulated after one hour’s shooting by the people of Zandaward on the Moslems.

He then proceeded to Hurmuzjarad, to the inhabitants of which he made a promise of security. The city itself was taken. Khālid then came to Ullais. Jābān,\(^7\) the chief

---

1 Tabari, vol. i, p. 2382.
2 i.e., the woman’s river; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2026.
3 Yāḵūt, vol. iv, p. 468; Hamadhānī, p. 211.
4 Ḥauḵal, p. 163.
of the Persians, set out against him and Khâlid sent ahead al-Muthanna ibn-Ḫârithah ash-Shaibâni who met Jabân at Nahr ad-Damm [sanguine canal]. Khâlid made terms with the inhabitants of Ullais, stipulating that they act as spies, guides and helpers to the Moslems against the Persians.

Khâlid in al-Ḫirah. Khâlid then proceeded to Mujtamaʿ al-ʿAnhâr [confluence of canals], where he was met by Azâdhibih, the holder of the frontier fortifications of Kisra that lay between the Persian and the Arab territories. The Moslems fought against him and defeated him. Then Khâlid came and stopped at Khaffân. Others say he proceeded directly to al-Ḫirah, where he was met by ‘Abd-al-Masih ibn-ʿAmr ibn-Ḵais ibn-Ḫaiyân ibn-Buḳailah (Buḳailah’s proper name being al-Ḫârith) of the Azd, Ḥâni’ ibn-Ḵabisah ibn-Masʿūd ash-Shaibâni and Ḫâs ibn-Kabîsah at-Ṭâʾi (others say Farwah ibn-Ḫâs), Ḫâs being the ‘āmil of Kisra Abarwiz over al-Ḫirah after an-Nuʿmān ibn-al-Mundhir. These men made terms with Khâlid, stipulating that they pay 100,000 dirhams per year, others say 80,000 per year, that they act as spies for the Moslems against the Persians, and that Khâlid would not destroy any of their churches or citadels.

It was reported by abu-Mikhnaf, on the authority of abu-Muthannah-l-Walid ibn-al-Ḵatāmi, who is the same as ash-Sharki ibn-al-Ḵatāmi-l-Kalbi, that ‘Abd-al-Masih, who was an aged man, appeared before Khâlid who asked him, “Where dost thou come from, old man?” And he replied, “From my father’s back.”—“What didst thou come out from?”—“From my mother’s womb.”—“Woe unto thee! Where art thou now?”—“In my clothes.”—“Woe

---

to thee! Where dost thou stand now?"—"On the ground."—"Dost thou have reason [Ar. ta'kul]?"—"Yes, I can bind [a'kul] and tie up [a camel]."—"Woe to thee! I am speaking to thee like a man!"—"And I am answering thee like a man."—"Art thou for peace or for war?"—"For peace."—"What are these forts then?"—"We built them for the rogue until the meek comes." The two then discussed the question of peace and it was agreed that 100,000 [dirhams] be offered the Moslems every year. The money taken from these people was the first sum carried to al-Madinah from al-'Irâk. It was also stipulated that they seek no evil for the Moslems and that they act as spies against the Persians. All that took place in the year 12.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Yaḥya ibn-Ādam:—The latter said: "I heard it said that the people of al-Ḥirah were 6,000 men, on each one of whom 14 dirhams, each having the weight of 5 kīrāts, were assessed, making 84,000 dirhams in all, of 5 kīrāts each, or 60,000 of 7 each. To that end, he [Khâlid] wrote them a statement which I myself have read."

It is reported that Yazid ibn-Nubaishah-l-'Āmiri said, "We came to al-‘Irâk with Khâlid and went as far as the frontier fort of al-‘Udhaib. We then came to al-Ḥirah whose people had fortified themselves in al-Ḵaṣr al-Abyaḏ [white citadel], Ḵaṣr ibn-Buḫailah and Ḵaṣr al-‘Adasiyin. We went around on horseback in the open spaces among their buildings, after which they made terms with us." (According to ibn-al-Kalbi al-‘Adasiyin were a branch of the Kalb, and were named after their mother who was also of the Kalb tribe.)

1 Pun on words. Caetani, vol. iv, p. 657 takes it to mean, "I am rich enough to pay the blood-wit ['aḳīl] and to retaliate by killing [ḥawad]."

Abu-Mas'ud al-Kūfī from ash-Sha'bi:—Khuraim ibn-Aus ibn-Ḥarīthah ibn-Lâm at-Ṭâ'î said to the Prophet, "If Allah enables thee to reduce al-Ḥirah, I shall ask thee to give me BukAILah’s daughter." When Khâlid wanted to make terms with the inhabitants of al-Ḥirah, Khuraim said to him, "The Prophet has given me BukAILah’s daughter. She should not therefore be included in thy terms." This was testified to by Bashîr ibn-Sa’d and Muḥammad ibn-Maslamah of the Anṣār; and therefore, Khâlid did not include her in the terms, but turned her over to Khuraim. She was then bought from Khuraim for 1,000 dirhams, she being too old for Khuraim to marry her. Some one remarked to Khuraim, "She was sold very cheap. Her people would have paid thee many times the price thou hast charged." And he replied, "I never thought there was a number above ten hundred."

Another tradition has it that the one who asked the Prophet to give him BukAILah’s daughter was one of the Rabi‘ah. The former view, however, is more authentic.

Bânikiya taken. Khâlid ibn-al-Walid despatched Bashîr ibn-Sa’d abu-an-Nu‘mân ibn-Bashîr of the Anṣār to Bânikiya. Bashîr was met by the Persian horsemen headed by Farrukhbundâd. Bashîr’s men were shot with arrows; but he led the charge and put the enemy to flight, killing Farrukhbundâd. He then returned with a wound which became recrudescent, when he came to ‘Ain at-Tamr, and caused his death. Others say that Khâlid himself, accompanied by Bashîr, met Farrukhbundâd.

Khâlid then sent Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Bajali to the people of Bânikiya. Jarîr was met by Buṣbuhra ibn-Ṣalûba, who refused to fight and proposed to make peace. Jarîr

1 Mawardi, p. 333; Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2047-2048.
2 Hamadhâni, p. 165.
made terms with him on 100,000 dirhams and one mantle. Others say that ibn-Ṣalûba came to Khâlid and, refusing to fight, made those terms. After the battle of an-Nukhailah and the death of Mihrân, Jarir came and received from ibn-Ṣalûba’s people and from the people of al-Ḥirah the sum agreed upon, and wrote them a receipt. Others deny that Jarir ibn-‘Abdallâh ever came to al-‘Irâq except in the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb. Abu-Mikhna and al-Wâkîdi, however, repeat that he went there twice.

Khâlid wrote a statement to Buṣbuhra ibn-Ṣalûba and sent the mantle to abu-Bakr together with the money from al-Ḥirah and the thousand dirhams. Abu-Bakr offered the mantle as a present to al-Ḥusain ibn-‘Ali.

Abu-Nâṣr at-Tammâr from ‘Abdallâh ibn-Mughaffal al-Muzani:—No part of al-‘Irâq made covenant [with the Moslems] except al-Ḥirah, Ullais and Bânîkiya.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-Mughaffal:—No land below al-Jabal is fit for sale except the land of the banu-Ṣalûba and the land of al-Ḥirah.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Aswad ibn-Kais’s father:—The latter said, “We arrived in al-Ḥirah and made terms on so much money and a camel’s saddle.” In answer to my question, “What did ye do with the saddle?” he replied, “One of us had no saddle and we gave it to him.”

Abu-‘Ubaid from Ḥumaid ibn-Hîlîl:—When Khâlid arrived in al-Ḥirah, its inhabitants made terms without offering any resistance. The following verse was written by Dirâr ibn-al-Azwar al-Asadi:

---

1 Ar. failasân = Persian apparel of dark wool. Dozy, Vêtements, pp. 278-280.
2 Mughaffal and not Mughaffil as Balâdhuri has it. See Dhahabi, p. 477.
3 Al-Jabal or al-Jibâl = Media. Hamadhâni, pp. 209 seq.
“I had insomnia in Bâniqiya and whosoever receives
what I received there—a wound, would certainly have insomnia.”

Al-Wâkidi states, “Our companions agree that this Dirâr
was slain in al-Yamâmah.”

Al-Falâlij and Tustar. From Bâniqiya, Khâlid came
to al-Falâlij,1 in which was massed a host of Greeks. They
were soon dispersed, and Khâlid, meeting no resistance, re-
turned to al-Ḥirah. Hearing that Jâbân was at the head of
a great army in Tustar,2 Khâlid sent against him al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah ash-Shaibâni and Ḥanzalah ibn-ar-
Rabi3 ibn-Râhâf al-Usaidi of the banu-Tanîm (he is the
one called Ḥanzalah-ı-Kâtib[the scribe]). No sooner had
these two come to the place where Jâbân was, than he fled.

Sûk Baghḍâd and al-Anbâr. Khâlid proceeded to al-
Anbâr4 whose people betook themselves to their fortifica-
tions. Here some one came to Khâlid and pointed out to
him Sûk [market] Baghḍâd,5 which later [after Baghḍâd
was founded] was called as-Sûk al-‘Atîk [the old market]
and which lay near Kârn as-Ṣarât.6 Khâlid sent al-Muthanna who made a raid on this market, and the Moslems
filled their hands with gold and silver and commodities light
to carry. They spent the night at as-Sailahîn, and then
came to al-Anbâr where Khâlid was. The Moslems then
invested the inhabitants of al-Anbâr and set fire to places in
its district. Al-Anbâr was thus called because the Persian
granaries were in it and the friends and protégés of An-Nu’

2 Ḥaukal, p. 172.
3 “Rabi’ah’” in Duraid, p. 127; and “Rabi’ah ibn-Ṣaifî” in Kutaibah,
Ma’ârif, p. 153.
4 Iṣṭakhri, p. 77.
5 Le Strange, Baghḍâd during the Abbasid Caliphate, p. 12.
6 ʿAs-Ṣarât Point, where ṣaṣ-Ṣarât canal disembogued to the Tigris.
See Yaʾkûbi, Buldân, p. 235.
mān used to get their subsistence allowances from it. Seeing what had befallen them, the inhabitants of al-Anbār made terms which satisfied Khālid, and so he left them in their homes.

Others assert that Khālid sent al-Muthanna before him to Baghdādh and then followed him and directed the raid against it, after which he returned to al-Anbār. This, however, is not authentic.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswād from ash-Shaʿbī:—The people of al-Anbār have a covenant [with the Moslems].

A tradition communicated to me by certain sheikhs from al-Anbār states that terms were concluded with the people of al-Anbār in the caliphate of ʿUmar in which it was stipulated that they pay for their canton [ṭassūj] 400,000 dirhams and 1,000 cloaks fabricated in Ǧaṭawān, per year. The terms were made by Jarīr ibn-ʿAbdallāh al-Bajalī. Others say that the sum was 80,000; but Allah knows best.

Jarīr reduced Bawāzīj al-Anbār in which are to-day many of his freedmen.

According to a report there came to Khālid ibn-al-Walīd someone who pointed out to him a market above al-Anbār in which the Kaḥf, Bakr ibn-Wāʾil and others from the tribe of Ḳuṣāʿah used to meet. Khālid despatched against this place al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah who made a raid against it, carried as booty what there was in it, slaughtered and took captives.

ʿAin at-Tamr. Thence Khālid advanced to ʿAin at-Tamr and invested its fort in which a great frontier guard of Persians was stationed. The holders of the fort made a sally and fought, but after that, they confined themselves to their fort, where Khālid and the Moslems besieged them until they sued for peace. Khālid refused to give them

---

1 Yaḥūt, vol. iii, p. 759.
promise of security and reduced the fort by force, slaugh-
tering and carrying away captives. Here he found certain
persons in a church whom he took captives. Among these
captives was (1) Ḥumrān ibn-Abān ibn-Khālid at-Tamri.
Others say his father’s name was Abba. This Ḥumrān was
the freedman of ‘Uthmān. He first belonged to al-Musāi-
yab ibn-Najabah-l-Fazārī from whom ‘Uthmān bought
him, and then released him. ‘Uthmān later sent him to al-
Kūfah to make inquiry regarding the conduct of his ‘āmil
there, on which occasion Ḥumrān did not tell the truth. So
‘Uthmān denied him the rights of protection [Ar. jiwār] and
Ḥumrān went and settled in al-Baṣrah. Among other
captives were (2) Sirīn, father of Muḥammad ibn-Sirīn,1
whose brothers were Yaḥya ibn-Sirīn, Anas ibn-Sirīn, and
Maʿbad ibn-Sirīn, Muḥammad being the eldest brother, and
all being the freedmen of Anas ibn-Mālik al-Anṣārī; (3)
abu-ʿAmrah, a grandfather of ʿAbdallāh ibn-ʿAbd-al-Aʿla,
the poet; (4) Yasār, a grandfather of Muḥammad ibn-
Iṣḥāq—the author of as-Sirah 2—and a freedman of Ḵais
ibn-Makhramah ibn-al-Muṭṭalib ibn-ʿAbd-Manāf; (5)
Murraḥ abu-ʿUbaid, a grandfather of Muḥammad ibn-Zaid
ibn-ʿUbaid ibn-Murraḥ (Nafis ibn-Muḥammad ibn-Zaid
ibn-ʿUbaid ibn-Murraḥ, the owner of the citadel [kāṣr]
near al-Ḥarrah [volcanic tract of al-Madinah] was a son
of this Muḥammad. His descendants give the name of
their ancestor as ‘Ubaid ibn-Murraḥ ibn-al-Muʿalla-l-An-
ṣārī and later az-Zurākī); (6) Nuṣair, the father of Mūsā
ibn-Nuṣair, the governor of al-Maghrib. This Nuṣair was
a freedman of the banu-Umaiyah, as it is asserted by freed-
men in the frontier towns descended from slaves whom he

1 Bakri, p. 199.

2 The biography of the Prophet from which ibn-Hishām’s was
abridged.
had released. Ibn-al-Kalbi says that Abu-Farwah 'Abd ar-Rahmân ibn-al-Aswad and Nuṣair abu-Mûsa ibn-Nuṣair were both Arabs of [the clan of] Arâshah of [the tribe of] Bai and that they were taken captives from Jabal al-Jalil [Mt. Galilee] in Syria during the caliphate of Abu-Bakr. Nuṣair's name was originally Naṣr which was later used in the diminutive form—Nuṣair. Some one of the banu-Umayyah gave him his liberty; and he returned to Syria where in a village called Kafarmara ¹ his son Mûsa was born. Mûsa was lame. Al-Kalbi adds that some one said that the two [Nuṣair and Abu-Farwah] were brothers taken captives from 'Ain at-Tamr, and that they owed their liberty to the banu-Đabbah.

According to 'Ali ibn-Muḥammad al-Madâ'ini, it is stated by someone that Abu-Farwah and Nuṣair were of the captives of 'Ain at-Tamr. Abu-Farwah was bought by Nâ'im al-Asadi who sold him later to 'Uthmân who used him for digging graves. When the people rose up against 'Uthmân, Abu-Farwah joined them and said to 'Uthmân, "Restore what thou hast wrongfully taken from others!" To this 'Uthmân replied, "Thou representest the first thing, I bought thee out of the suedeah funds that thou mayest dig the tombs; but thou hast left that." His son 'Abdallah ibn-Abi-Farwah was one of the illustrious freedmen. One of his descendants ² was ar-Rabi' ibn-Yûnus ibn-Muḥammad ibn-Abi-Farwah, a companion of al-Maṣûr. Abu-Farwah was thus called because of a furred garment [Ar. farwah] which he had on when he was taken captive. ³

According to certain reports, Khâlid made terms with the

¹ "Kafarmathra" in Marâṣid, vol. ii, p. 504.
² Caetani, vol. ii, p. 945.
³ Aghâni, vol. iii, p. 127, adds Kaisân, one of the ancestors of Abu-l-'Atâhiyah, to the list of captives.
holders of 'Ain at-Tamr fort and these captives [mentioned above] were found in a church in a certain canton.¹ Some say that Sirin was one of the inhabitants of Jarjarâya and that he came there on a visit to a relative of his and was taken captive together with those in the church.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Yahya ibn-Ādam from ash-Sha‘bi:—Khâlid ibn-al-Walid made terms with the people of al-Ḥirah and 'Ain at-Tamr, and stated them in a letter to abu-Bakr, which the latter endorsed. Yahya adds, “I asked al-Ḥasan ibn-Sâliḥ,² ‘Have the people of 'Ain at-Tamr, like those of al-Ḥirah, to pay something for their lands, but nothing for their persons?’ To this al-Ḥasan replied, ‘Yes.’”

It is stated by someone that there was at 'Ain at-Tamr at the head of the an-qualities tribe, Hilâl ibn-'Alkâh ibn-Ḳais ibn-al-Bishr an-Namiri,³ who gathered an army and fought against Khâlid. He was defeated, killed and crucified. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, there was at the head of the an-Namir at that time 'Alkâh ibn-Ḳais ibn-al-Bishr himself.

The wound of Bashir ibn-Sa’id al-Anṣâri became recrudescent and caused his death. He was buried at 'Ain at-Tamr. By his side was buried 'Umair ibn-Ri‘ab ibn-Mu-hashshim ibn-Sa’id ibn-Sahm ibn-'Amr, who was hit by an arrow at 'Ain at-Tamr and fell a martyr.

The razziias of an-Nusair ibn-Daisam. When Khâlid ibn-al-Walid was at 'Ain at-Tamr he sent an-Nusair ibn-Daisam ibn-Thaur to a spring of water by which were settled the banu-Taghlib, whom he surprised by night, killing and carrying away many captives. One of the prisoners

² One of the intermediate authorities of this tradition.
asked Khâlid to release him, promising to point out to him a quarter inhabited by the banu-Rabi‘ah. Khâlid did so and an-Nusair came to the Rabi‘ah quarter, where he fell upon them in the night-time and carried away booty and captives. He then proceeded inland towards Takrit. Thus did the Moslems enrich themselves with booty.

According to a tradition communicated to me by abu-Mas‘ūd al-Kūfī, on the authority of Muḥammad ibn-Marwân, an-Nusair came to ‘Ukbarâ’ and gave promise of security to its inhabitants, who brought forth food for his men and their animals. He then passed through al-Baraddûn, whose people hurried to present themselves before the Moslems. An-Nusair said, “Never mind!”; which was enough to guarantee their safety.

Thence an-Nusair advanced to al-Mukharrim which according to abu-Mas‘ūd was not called then Mukharrim,¹ but was so called after being occupied by a certain descendant of Mukharrim ibn-Ḥazn ibn-Ziyâd ibn-Anas ibn-ad-Daiyân al-Ḥārithi, as it is mentioned by Hishâm ibn-Muḥammad al-Kalbi.

The Moslems then crossed a bridge lying near Kaṣr [castle] Sâbûr, known to-day by the name of Kaṣr ʿIsa ibn-ʿAli. The bridge was in charge of Khurzâd ibn-Mâhibun-dâdh who went out against the Moslems, but was fought and defeated by them. The Moslems then retreated to ‘Ain at-Tamr.

An-Nusair and Hudhaifah. It is stated by al-Wâkidi that after the battle of al-Jîsr [bridge] and after making the Moslems withdraw to Khaffân, al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah sent in the caliphate of ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb an-Nusair and Hudhaifah ibn-Miḥṣan at the head of a body of horsemen, who destroyed a band of the banu-Taghlib

¹ Ya’kûbi, Buldân, p. 253.
and crossed over to Takrit from which they carried away camels and goats.

“One of the things told me by abu-Mas‘ūd,” said ‘Attâb ibn-Ibrâhim, “was that an-Nusair and Ḥudhaifah promised security to the people of Takrit and wrote a statement which was carried out by ‘Utbah ibn-Farkad as-Sulami when he reduced at-Ṭirhân [or Ṭirahân] and al-Mauṣil. He also mentioned the fact that an-Nusair, directed by Khâlid ibn-al-Walid, made a raid against villages in Maskin and Kaṭrabbul [or Ḥuṭrubbul] from which he carried off large booty.”

From ‘Ain at-Tamr, Khâlid advanced to Syria and said to al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah, “Return [to al-Ḥirah?]—may Allah have mercy on thee—to thy Sultan, untired and unfailing.”

The departure of Khâlid for Syria took place in Rabi‘ II, according to others, Rabi‘ I, year 13. It is claimed by some that Khâlid came from ‘Ain at-Tamr to Dûmah, which he reduced, and after that he proceeded to al-Ḥirah and thence to Syria. That he departed for Syria from ‘Ain at-Tamr, is, however, more reliable.

1 Certain verses describing the battles referred to above are here omitted from the translation. This was done in a few other cases.

CHAPTER II

THE CALIPHATE OF ‘UMAR IBN-AL-KHAṬṬĀB

_Abu-‘Ubad chief commander_. When ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb was proclaimed caliph, he directed _Abu-‘Ubad ibn-Mas‘ūd ibn-‘Amr ibn-‘Umair ibn-‘Auf ibn-‘Ukdhah ibn-Ghiyarah ibn-‘Auf ibn-Thakif_ (who is identical with _Abu-l-Mukhtār ibn-abi-‘Ubad_) to al-‘Irāk with 1,000 men. Meanwhile, he wrote to al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah, ordering him to receive _Abu-‘Ubad_ and obey his word. In the company of _Abu-‘Ubad_, he sent _Salīṭ ibn-‘Kais ibn-‘Amr al-al-Anṣāri_, saying to him, “Had it not been for the fact that thou art too hasty, I would have put thee in chief command. But warfare is a stubborn thing, and only the cautious man is fit for it.”

_Abu-‘Ubad defeats Ḥabān, al-Jālīnūs and other Persian chiefs_. _Abu-‘Ubad_ marched forward and left no Arab tribe by which he passed without arousing its interest in the “holy war” and plunder. Thus, he was joined by a large host. On arriving in al-‘Udhaib, he heard that Ḥabān, the Persian, was at Tustar with a large body of men. _Abu-‘Ubad_ met Ḥabān and put his troops to flight, taking some of them captive.

Thence _Abu-‘Ubad_ proceeded to Durna \(^1\) in which there was assembled a body of Persians. These _Abu-‘Ubad_ chased to Kaškar. He then advanced to meet al-Jālīnūs,\(^3\)


\(^3\) “Jālīnūs”, in Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2170.
who was at Bârûsma [or Mârûsma]; but ibn-al-Andarz-‘azz made terms with him, agreeing to pay four dirhams on every person, provided Abu-‘Ubaid should keep his way.

Abu-‘Ubaid sent al-Muthanna to Zandaward, and finding that its inhabitants had violated their covenant, al-Muthanna fought against them, won the victory and carried away [many] captives. Abu-‘Ubaid also sent Urwah ibn-Zaid-al-Khail at-Tâ‘i to az-Zawâbi, with whose chief [dih-kân] me made terms similar to those made with Bârûsma.

1 Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2029 seq.
CHAPTER III

THE BATTLE OF KUSS AN-NATIF, OR THE BATTLE OF AL-JISR

Dhu-l-Hājib. Hearing that the Arabs were massing their forces, the Persians sent dhu-l-Hājib [the eye-browed] Mardānshāh who was nicknamed by Anūshirwān “Bahman” [potent, endowed with great means] because he augured good from him. He was called dhu-l-Hājib because, in his pride, he tied up his brows, to lift them above his eyes. His name, it is said, was Rustam.

The elephant. Abu-'Ubaid ordered that the bridge [on the Euphrates] be erected; and it was, the people of Bānikiya helping in the construction. It is said that this bridge once belonged to the people of al-Ḥirah on which they crossed over to their farms. Being in ruins, Abu-'Ubaid ordered it repaired. Over this bridge, Abu-'Ubaid and the Moslems crossed from al-Marwaḥah and met dhu-l-Hājib who was accompanied by 4,000 men armed from head to foot, and one elephant—others say many elephants. A fierce fight ensued, in the course of which many wounds were inflicted on the Moslems. At this, Saliḥ ibn-Ḵāis said to Abu-'Ubaid, “I have warned thee against crossing this bridge and advised thee to withdraw to some quarter and write for reinforcements to the ‘Commander of the Believers’; but thou hast refused.” Saliḥ fought until he was killed. Abu-'Ubaid asked, “Which is the vulnerable point in this creature?” and he was told that it was its trunk, upon which he made a rush and struck the trunk of the ele-

3 Yāḵūt, vol. iv, p. 505.
phant. Abu-Miḥjan ibn-Ḥabib ath-Thakafi also charged the elephant and struck and broke its leg. The "polytheists", thereupon, made an attack which resulted in the death of Abu-ʻUbaid. Others say that the elephant threw its weight upon him and crushed him.¹

The Moslems who fell. After Abu-ʻUbaid, the flag was carried by his brother, al-Ḥakam, who was then killed, and the flag passed to the hands of his son, Jabr, who also fell. Al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥarithah carried it for one hour, after which he withdrew his men as some of them defended the others. On this occasion, ʻUrwh ibn-Zaid al-Khail fought so fiercely that his action was estimated to be equivalent to that of a whole group of men.

Among those who took part in the defense of the Moslems on the west bank of the river, was the poet Abu-Zubaid aṭ-Ṭāʿī, who happened to be at al-Ḥirah on some personal business. Abu-Zubaid was a Christian.

Al-Muthanna came and occupied Ullais and communicated the news in a letter to ʻUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent with ʻUrwh ibn-Zaid.

Among those killed in the battle of al-Jisr [the bridge], according to Abu-Mikhnaf, was Abu-Zaid al-Anṣāri, one of those who compiled the Koran in the days of the Prophet.

The battle of al-Jisr was fought on Saturday at the end of Ramadān, year 13.

Abu-ʻUbaid al-Ḳāsim ibn-Sallâm from Ḳais ibn-abi-Ḥāzim.—As Abu-ʻUbaid was crossing Bānikiya with a band of followers, the "polytheists" cut the bridge and many of his men lost their lives. Ismāʿil ibn-abi-Khālid adds that Abu-ʻAmr ash-Shaibâni stated that the battle of Mihrān was fought at the beginning of the year, and al-Ḳādisiyah at the end of it.

² Dinawari, p. 119.
CHAPTER IV

THE BATTLE OF MIHRÁN OR AN-NUKHAILAH

Jarir ibn-'Abdallâh's campaign in al-'Irâk. According to abû-Mîkhnaf and others, for one year after the calamity that befell abû-'Ubâid and Sâli, 'Umar ibn-al-Khaţâb refrained from the mention of the name of al-'Irâk. In the meantime, al-Mûthânna ibn-Ḫârithah was staying in the region of Ullâis summoning the Arabs to the "holy war". At last 'Umar invited the Moslems to an expedition to al-'Irâk, but they kept aloof and hesitated to go there, so much so that he was on the point of carrying the expedition in person. Now, a body of al-Azd came to 'Umar intent on the invasion of Syria; but he asked them to go to al-'Irâk and aroused their interest in the spoils to be taken from the Kisra family. They left it for him to choose for them, and he ordered them to start [for al-'Irâk].

Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh came from as-Sarâh at the head of the Bajilah tribe, and offered to go to al-'Irâk, provided one-quarter of what they took possession of be allotted to him and his men. 'Umar accepted the offer and Jarîr started towards al-'Irâk. Some claim that he went via al-Bâshrah and had a conflict with the satrap [Marzûbân] of al-Madhâr, whom he defeated. Others claim that the conflict with the Marzûbân took place when Jarîr was in the company of Khâlid ibn-al-Wâlid. Still others assert that Jarîr took the road to al-'Udhaib, passing through Faid and ath-Thâ'labiyah.

1 Ṭabarî, vol. i, p. 2186; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 155.
'Affân ibn-Muslim from ash-Sha‘bi:—After the death of abu-'Ubaid, who was the first to be directed by 'Umar to al-Kūfah, 'Umar directed Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh there, saying, "Wouldst thou go to al-'Irâk if I allow thee one-third of the spoils after the [usual] fifth has been taken?" and Jarîr said, "I will."

Dair Hind. The Moslems assembled in Dair Hind 1 in the year 14 immediately after the death of Shirawâiâh, and the succession of Bûrân, daughter of Kisra, who was to rule until Yazdajîrd ibn-Shahriyâr came of age. Yazdajîrd 2 sent against them Mîhrân ibn-Mihribundâdhw al-Hamadhâni at the head of 12,000 men. The Moslems offered no resistance until he crossed the bridge on the Euphrates and arrived next to Dair al-A‘war. 3

The battle of al-Buwaib. It is reported by Saîf that Mîhrân, after crossing al-Jîsr [the bridge], came to a place called al-Buwaib. 4 It was in this place that he was killed.

Someone has said that the irregularities in the land of al-Buwaib were filled up with bones in the time of the civil war, 5 made level with the surface and covered with powdered soil [and that whenever the soil was removed the bones were seen]. 6 The spot lay between as-Sâkûn [canal] and the banu-Sulaim [canal]. 7 This was the place in which the water of the Euphrates sank in the time of the Kisras and from which it poured into al-Jauf. 8

5 The reference is, perhaps, to the insurrection of Muṣ‘ab ibn-az-Zubair.
6 The text is corrupt.
7 Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2191.
The Moslems camped at an-Nukhailah and were led, according to the Bajilah, by Jarir ibn-'Abdallâh, and, according to the Rabi'ah, by al-Muthanna ibn-Ḫârithah. Others affirm that the Moslems were commanded in turn by the heads of the various tribes. The Moslems met their enemy, and Shurahbil ibn-as-Simṭ al-Kindi distinguished himself in the fight that ensued. Mas'ūd ibn-Ḫârithah was slain. So al-Muthanna said, “Fare not, Moslems, because my brother is killed. Such is the fate of the best among you.” Upon this, the Moslems charged, as if they were one body, with confidence and patience which resulted, by Allah’s help, in the death of Mihrân and the defeat of the “infidels”. The Moslems pursued them with slaughter; and few were those who escaped. On this day, Kurî ibn-Jamâh al-‘Abdi applied his sword until its edge was bent. When the night fell, they returned to their camp. This took place in the year 14.

The death of Mihrân was effected by Jarir ibn-'Abdallâh and al-Mundhir ibn-Ḫassân ibn-Dirār aḏ-Ḍabbi, each one of whom claimed that he had killed him, which led to a fierce dispute. At last, al-Mundhir carried away Mihrân’s belt; and Jarir, the rest of the spoils from him. Some assert that among those who killed him was al-Ḫiṣn ibn-Ma‘bad ibn-Zurârah ibn-'Udas at-Tamimi.

Moslem raids. After this victory, the Moslems did not cease to make raids in the regions between al-Ḫiraḥ and Kaskar, Šūra, Barbisma [?] and Ṣarāt Jânâsīb and between al-Fallūjatāin, an-Nahrain and ‘Ain-at-Tamr.  

1 Yâkūt, vol. iv, pp. 771-772.
3 See Yûsuf, p. 16, lines 16-17.
4 Cf. Dinawari, p. 121.
5 See Le Strange, The Lands of the Eastern Caliphate, p. 25, map.
The Moslems also attacked Ḥiṣn Malikiya, which was a watching post, and reduced it. They drove the Persians from other watching posts at at-Ṭaff, the Persians by this time having become emaciated and having become weak and feeble in power. Certain Moslems crossed Nahr [canal] Sūra and came to Kūtha, Nahr al-Malik and Bâdûraiya; some reaching as far as Kalwâdha. The Arabs in these razzias lived on what they plundered.

There are those who say that between the battles of Mihrân and al-Ḳâdisiyah, 18 months elapsed.
CHAPTER V

THE BATTLE OF AL-KĀDISIYAH

‘Umar sends Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wakīlās. The Moslems wrote to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb telling him of the great number of the Persians massing against them, and asked for reinforcements. ‘Umar desired to lead the razzia in person and collected an army for that purpose; but he was advised by al-‘Abbās ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib and other sheikhs from among the Companions of the Prophet to stay at home and send out the forces and troops; and ‘Umar did that. ‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib advised him to go himself; but ‘Umar replied, ‘I have made up my mind to stay.’ ‘Umar proposed to ‘Ali the idea of going; but the latter refused, upon which ‘Umar wanted Sa‘id ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Amr ibn-Nufail al-‘Adawi to go. Finally, it occurred to him to send Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wakīlās, which he did. The name of abu-Wakīlās was Mālik ibn-Uhaib ibn-‘Abd-Manāf ibn-Zuhrah ibn-Kilāb. Sa‘d was a man of valor and a good shot. Others say that at this time Sa‘id ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Amr was on an expedition in Syria.

Sa‘d proceeded to al-‘Irāk and stayed at ath-Tha‘labiyah for three months, in the course of which all the troops overtook him. Thence he came, in the year 15, to al-‘Udhaib. Al-Muthanna ibn-Hārithah happened to be ill at that time, and he advised Sa‘d to meet the enemy between al-Kādisiyah and al-‘Udhaib. His case soon became serious and he was carried to his clan among whom he died. Sa‘d married his wife.
Rustam. According to al-Wâkidî, al-Muthanna died before Rustam came to al-Ḳâdisiyah. This Rustam, who was from ar-Rai—or from Hamadhân as others say—came and occupied Burs from which he left for a place between al-Ḥirah and as-Sailahîn, where he stayed for four months without trying measures or fighting with the Moslems. The Moslems, in the meantime, lay camped between al-‘Udhâib and al-Ḳâdisiyah. Rustam sent ahead of him dhu-l-Ḥâjib, who camped at Ṭizanâbâdâh. The “polytheists” numbered about 120,000, and were accompanied by thirty elephants, and had a great banner called Dirafsh Kâbiyân; 1 while the Moslems, taken together, numbered between 9,000 and 10,000. When the Moslems were in need of fodder or food, they sent horsemen into the interior of the land who would make raids along the lower course of the Euphrates. From al-Madinah, ‘Umar used to send them sheep and camels for slaughter.

Al-Mughirah reinforces Sa’d. Al- Báṣrah was built sometime between the battle of an-Nukhailah and al-Ḳâdisiyah by ‘Utbah ibn-Ghazwân. When ‘Utbah asked leave for a pilgrimage, he assigned as successor al-Mughirah ibn-Shu’bah, who was confirmed in his position by a letter from ‘Umar. Before long, the charge 2 that was brought against al-Mughirah was brought against him, and ‘Umar appointed Abu-Mûsa governor of al-Báṣrah and recalled al-Mughirah to al-Madinah. Later, ‘Umar sent al-Mughirah back to al-Báṣrah together with those who gave witness against him. Now, on the day of the battle of al-Ḳâdisiyah, ‘Umar wrote to Abu-Mûsa, ordering him to reinforce

1 or Dirafshikâbiyân. In Persian: dirafsh-i-Kâwiyân = the royal standard of the Sassanians; see Vullers’ Persian Dictionary; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2175.

2 Of having immoral relations with umm-Jamil, which is discussed later by al-Balâdhuri. See abu-l-Fida, vol. i, p. 163.
Sa'd; upon which Abu-Mūsa sent al-Mughirah with 800 (others say 400) men. Having taken part in the battle, al-Mughirah returned to al-Madinah.

Kais reinforces Sa'd. In the meantime, 'Umar wrote to Abu-'Ubaidah ibn al-Jarrāḥ, and he sent to the reinforcement of Sa'd Kais ibn-Hubairah ibn al-Makshūḥ al-Murādi, who according to some, took part in the battle of al-Kādisiyah, and according to others, did not arrive until the battle was over. Kais commanded 700 men.

The battle of al-Kādisiyah took place at the end of the year 16. Some say that it was 'Utba ibn-Ghazwān who sent al-Mughirah to the reinforcement of Sa'd, that al-Mughirah was assigned governor of al-Baṣrah only after he returned from al-Kādisiyah and that 'Umar, after calling al-Mughirah back to al-Madinah because of the charge brought against him, never sent him out of al-Madinah except when he assigned him governor of al-Kūfah.

Al-'Abbās ibn al-Walid an-Narsi from ash-Sha'bi:— 'Umar wrote to Abu-'Ubaidah, "Send to al-Kādisiyah Kais ibn-Makshūḥ at the head of the men he invites to join him." Kais summoned a body of men and arrived, at the head of 700 of them, to find the victory already won by Sa'd. Kais's men asked for a share in the booty. Sa'd wrote to 'Umar, who wrote back, "If Kais arrived before the burial of those that were killed, then thou shouldst give him his share."

Al-Mughirah's interview with Rustam. Rustam asked Sa'd to send some companions of his to consult with him. Sa'd delegated al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bah. Al-Mughirah betook himself towards Rustam's throne, in order to sit by him, but was not allowed to do so by the Persian cavalry guard [asāwirah]. Rustam said many things, among which was the following, "I have learned that ye were forced to what ye are doing by nothing but the narrow
means of livelihood and by poverty. We are ready to give you what will satisfy you, and to see you leave with certain things that ye choose.” ¹ Al-Mughirah answered, “Allah has sent us his Prophet by following and obeying whom we were made prosperous, and he has ordered us to fight those who differ from our faith ‘Until they pay tribute out of hand and in a humbled state’.” ² We, therefore, call thee to the worship of Allah alone and the belief in his Prophet, which if thou shouldst do, well and good; otherwise, the sword will decide between us.” Rustam, snorting with anger, said, “By the sun and by the moon, the day will not break to-morrow before we kill you all.” “No strength and no force but in Allah,” answered al-Mughirah, and departed riding a lean horse with a sword broken at its edge and wrapped up in rags.³

‘Amr and al-Ash’ath interview Rustam. ‘Umar wrote to Sa’d instructing him to send to the magnate of the Persians a delegation to invite him to Islâm. Accordingly, Sa’d sent ‘Amr ibn-Ma’dikarib az-Zubaidi and al-Ash’ath ibn-Ḳais al-Kindi at the head of a delegation. They passed by Rustam, and on being brought before him, he asked them, “To whom are ye going?” to which they replied, “To your chief.” A long conversation followed in which they said, “Our Prophet has promised us the conquest of your land,” upon which Rustam called for a palm-leaf basket full of soil and said, “This is for you from our land!” ‘Amr ibn-Ma’dikarib immediately arose, spread his cloak and departed, carrying in it some of the soil. When he was asked later, “Why didst thou do that?” ‘Amr replied, “Because I considered it a good omen, indicating that

¹ Dinawari, p. 127; Ṭabarī, vol. i, p. 2271.
² Koran, 9: 29.
³ Ṭabarī, vol. i, p. 2270.
their land will one day be ours, and we will take possession of it." Finally, they presented themselves before the king and invited him to İslâm. The king became angry and ordered them to leave, saying, "Had ye not been envoys, I would have put you to death!" He also wrote and rebuked Rustam for sending them to him.

_A Moslem forage expedition._ Later, a forage expedition\(^1\) of the Moslems, headed by Zuhrâh ibn-Ḥawiyah ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-Ḳatâdah at-Tamimi—later as-Sâ'di—(others say it was headed by Ḳatâdah ibn-Ḥawiyah\(^2\)), came across some Persian cavalry, which was the occasion for the final conflict. The Persians rallied to the succor of their cavalry, and the Moslems to those on their expedition; and a fierce battle raged between the two. The time was an afternoon. 'Amr ibn-Ma’dikarib az-Zubaidi rushed forward and, seizing a Persian chief by the neck, lifted him to the saddle in front of him, saying [to his men], "I am Abu-Thaur! Do ye as I do!" He then stabbed the nose of one of the elephants, saying, "Apply your swords to their trunks; the vulnerable point in the elephant is his trunk."

_Sa'd slaps his wife._ Sa'd ibn-abi-Wakkâs had, for a special reason, appointed Khâlid ibn-'Urfuṭah-l-'Udhri, an ally of the banu-Zuhrâh, to be commander of the army and director of the affairs of the Moslems. Sa'd lived in Ḵaṣr [tower] al-'Udhaib. His wife, Salma, daughter of Ḥafṣah of the tribe of the banu-Taimallâh ibn-Thâlabah, and formerly the wife of al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah, often repeated, "O, Muthanna! But there is no more Muthanna to aid the cavalry!" Hearing that, Sa'd slapped her on the face; upon which she said, "Is it jealousy or cowardice, Sa'd?"

\(^1\) Ar. _'allāfah_.
\(^2\) Ḥajar, vol. ii, p. 23.
**Abu-Mihjan in prison.** Abu-Mihjan ath-Thakafi was alienated to Badi' by 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb in punishment for his being addicted to wine. He somehow managed to run away and followed Sa'd; he, according to al-Wâkidi, not being one of those who had started with Sa'd. In the army of Sa'd, abu-Mihjan again drank wine on account of which Sa'd flogged and imprisoned him in al-'Udhaib tower. Here he asked Zabrâ', a concubine of Sa'd, to release him that he might take part in the fight, promising to return to his fetters. She made him swear by Allah that he would do so if released. Riding on Sa'd's mare, he rushed on the Persians, pierced through their line and thrust his sword into the nose of the white elephant. Sa'd who was watching him, said, "The mare is mine; but the charge is that of abu-Mihjan." Abu-Mihjan then returned to his fetters. Others say that it was Salma, daughter of Ḥafṣah, who gave him the mare; but the former report is more authentic. When the question of Rustam was settled, Sa'd said to abu-Mihjan, "By Allah, I shall never punish thee for wine after seeing what I saw of thee." "As for me," answered abu-Mihjan, "by Allah, I shall never drink it again."

**The slayer of Rustam.** On that day, Tulaihah ibn-Khuwailid al-Asadi distinguished himself in fighting, and with a blow, cut the under-helmet of al-Jalīnūs, but did not injure his head. On the same occasion, Kais ibn-Makshūh turned to the people and said, "To be killed is the fate of the noble. Let not those 'uncircumcised' have more pa-

---

3 Dinawari, p. 129.
tience or be more anxious to die than yourselves." Saying this, he rushed and fought fiercely. By Allah's help, Rustam was slain and his body was found covered with so many blows and stabs that the one who gave the fatal blow could not be determined. 'Amr ibn-Ma'dikarib, Ṭulaiḥah ibn-Khuwailid al-Asadi, Ḍurṭ ibn-Jammāḥ al-'Abdi and Dīrār ibn-al-Azwār al-Asadi had all rushed at him. This Dīrār, according to al-Wâṣṣid, was killed in the battle of al-Yamāmah. Some say that Rustam was killed by Zuhair ibn-'Abd-Shams al-Bajali; others, by 'Auwām ibn-'Abd-Shams; and still others by Hilāl ibn-'Ullafah at-Tāimi.¹

This battle of al-Kādisiyah was fought on Thursday, Friday and the night of Saturday, which last was since called "Lailat al-Harir".² The night of the battle of Sīffin was also thus called.

Some say that Kāis ibn-Makshūṭ took no part in the fight at al-Kādisiyah, having arrived there after the Moslems had been through with the fighting.

Salman ibn-Rabī'ah's part. Almad ibn-Salmān al-Bāhili from certain sheikhs:—Salmān ibn-Rabī'ah invaded Syria in the company of abu-Umāmah as-Sūdai ibn-'Ajlān al-Bāhili, and took part in the battles fought by the Moslems there. He then went forth to al-Ṭrāk together with those who, under great urgency, hastened to al-Kādisiyah as a reinforcement, and took part in the decisive conflict. He settled at al-Kūfah and was killed in Balanjar.

According to al-Wâṣṣid, a group of Persians, planting their banner firmly in the ground, said, "We shall not leave our position until we die," upon which Salmān ibn-Rabī'ah-l-Bāhili made an attack and killed them, carrying their banner away.

¹ Ya'ḳūbī, vol. ii, p. 165.
Khālid ibn-'Urfaṭah's part. Sa'd sent Khālid ibn-'Urfaṭah at the head of the cavalry charged with pursuing the enemy. Khālid and his men killed every one they overtook until they arrived in Burs. Here Khālid was the guest of one, Bisṭām, who treated him with kindness and loyalty. A canal that ran there was called Nahr Bisṭām. Khālid then passed through aš-Šarāh [canal] and caught up with Jālinūs. Kathir ibn-Shihāb al-Ḥārithi charged Jālinūs and stabbed him, and according to others, killed him. Ibn-al-Kalbi says that it was Zuhrah ibn-Ḥawiyah as-Sa'di who killed him. The former report is more authentic.

The Persians fled to al-Madâ‘in, following Yazdajird [their king]. Sa'd immediately communicated with ‘Umar, announcing the victory and giving the names of those who had fallen.

The Persian arrows. Abu-Rajā’ al-Fārisi from his grandfather:—The latter said: “I took part in the battle of al-Ḵādisiyah when I was still a Magian. When the Arabs sent their arrows against us, we began to shout, 260 ‘dūk! dūk!’ ¹ by which we meant, spindles. These spindles, however, continued to shower upon us, until we were overwhelmed. Our archer would send the arrow from his Nāwakiyah bow, but it would not do more than attach itself to the garment of an Arab; whereas their arrow would tear the coat of mail and the double cuirass that we had on.”

According to Hishām ibn-al-Kalbi, the first to kill a Persian in the battle of al-Ḵādisiyah was Rabī‘ah ibn-‘Uthmān ibn-Rabī‘ah of the banu-Naṣr ibn-Mu‘awiyah ibn-Bakr ibn-Hawāzin ibn-Manṣūr.

In this battle, Sa‘d ibn-‘Ubad al-Anṣārī fell a martyr. His death afflicted ‘Umar so much that he said, “His death almost marred the joy of the victory for me.”

CHAPTER VI

THE CONQUEST OF AL-MADĀ‘IN

An-Nakhîrkhan slain by Zuhair. After the battle of al-Kādisiyah, the Moslems started off, and after passing Dair [monastery] Ka‘b [?],1 they were met by an-Nakhîrkhan,2 who appeared at the head of a large body of men from al-Madâ‘in.3 In the conflict that ensued, Zuhair ibn-Sulaim al-Azdi seized an-Nakhîrkhan by the neck; and they both fell to the ground. Zuhair took a dagger that was in the other man’s belt and cut open his abdomen, thereby putting him to death.

Bahurasir. Sa‘d and the Moslems went and occupied Sâbât. They then assembled in the city of Bahurasir,4 which lay in the Shīk al-Kūfah [western bank of the Tigris], where they spent nine months (others say 18),5 during which they ate fresh dates for two seasons. The inhabitants of that city fought against them until they could offer no more resistance, at which the Moslems entered the city. When the city was thus reduced, Yazdajird ibn-Shahirriyār, the Persian king, resolved to flee and was suspended in a basket from the wall of al-Abyāḑ fort in al-Madâ‘in and was therefore called by the Nabateans Barzabil [the

1 Yūsuf, p. 17, l. 8: “Dair al-Masâlih” (?).
3 Seleucia-Ctesiphon. Meynard, Dictionnaire de la Perse, p. 518.
4 Nöldeke, Perser, p. 16, n. 4.
5 Dinawari, p. 133.
son of the basket]. Yazdajird thence left for Ḥulwân ¹ with the principal dignitaries of his kingdom, and carried with him the treasury of the kingdom, his precious but light ² pieces of furniture, private treasury, wives and children. In the year in which he fled, plague and famine ravaged all Persia. The Moslems then crossed [the Tigris] through a ford, and took possession of the city on the eastern bank of the river.

The Arabs cross the Tigris. ‘Affân ibn-Muslim from abu-Wâ’il:—The latter said, “When the Persians were put to flight at al-Ḳâdisiyah, we pursued them. They reunited at Kūtha ³ and we pursued them until we reached the Tigris, at which the Moslems said, ‘Why do you gaze at this small body of water? Let us wade through.’ Accordingly, we waded through, and once more put the enemy to flight.”

Muḥammad ibn-Sa’d from Abân ibn-Ṣâliḥ:—When they were defeated at al-Ḳâdisiyah, the fugitive Persians came to al-Madâ’in. The Moslems having arrived at the Tigris, whose water was flowing higher than ever, found that the Persians had removed the ships and ferry-boats to the eastern bank and burned the bridge. Sa’d and the Moslems finding no way to cross over were greatly afflicted. At last, Sa’d chose one of the Moslems who swam across on horseback. Likewise, the other Moslems crossed on horseback and, on landing, made the owners of the ships transport the baggage. Seeing that, the Persians said, “By Allah, those we are fighting are nothing less than demons [jinn]!” and they took to flight.

¹ Yākūt, vol. ii, p. 312.
² Ar. khaḍf ; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 724, takes it to be khaʃfa and stretches the meaning into “ma abbandonando in gran parte le sue masserizie”.
³ Cf. Dinawari, p. 133.
⁴ Text not clear. Caetani, vol. iii, p. 723.
Sabat and ar-Rumiyah. 'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from 'Awânah ibn-al-Ḥakam, and abu-'Ubaidah Ma'mar ibn-al-Muthanna from abu-'Amr ibn-al-'Alâ':—Sa'd ibn-abi-Wakâş sent at the head of the van of his army Khâlid ibn-'Ur-孚thâ, who succeeded in reducing Sabat 1 before Sa'd's arrival. Khâlid proceeded and camped at ar-Rumiyah 2 until its people made terms, agreeing to have those of them who wanted to leave, do so, and those who wanted to stay, stay, on condition that they offer homage and give counsel, pay kharâj, and act as guides for the Moslems, without entertaining any treachery against them. Sa'd [in crossing the river] found no ferry-boats, but was shown a ferry near aş-Ṣaiyâdin village. The horses waded through while the Persians shot them with their arrows. All the Moslems, however, escaped, and only one of them from the tribe of Taiyi', whose full name was Salîl ibn-Yazid ibn-Mâlik as-Sinbisi, was killed.

Kisra's concubines. 'Abdallâh ibn-Ṣâliḥ from ash-Sha'bi:—The latter said, "In the battle of al-Madâ'in, the Moslems carried away many of Kisra's maids [concubines] who had been brought from all quarters of the world and lavishly adorned for him. My mother was one of them. On that day, too, the Moslems found camphor and, taking it for salt, put it in their cooking-pans." 2

According to al-Wâkidî, Sa'd was all done with the conquest of al-Madâ'in and Jalûlâ' in the year 16.

1 Sometimes called Sabat Kisra. Yâkût, vol. i, p. 3; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 724, l. 4.
3 Dinawari, p. 134; Yûsuf, p. 17.
CHAPTER VII

THE BATTLE OF JALÛLÂ'

A description of the battle. After spending several days in al-Madâ'în, the Moslems received word that Yazdajird had massed a great host, which was then at Jalûlâ', and had directed it against them. Sa'd ibn-abi-Wâkkâş thereupon dispatched Hâshim ibn-'Utbah ibn-abi-Wâkkâş at the head of 12,000 men to meet them. The Moslems found that the Persians, having left their families and heavy baggage at Khânîkîn,¹ had dug trenches and fortified themselves, binding themselves with a pledge never to flee. Reinforcements were coming to them all the time from Hûlwân and al-Jîbâl [the mountains, i.e., Media]. The Moslems, thinking it best to hasten the attack before the reinforcements became too strong, met them with Hûjr ibn-'Adî-l-Kindî commanding the right wing, 'Amr ibn-Ma'dîkarîb commanding the cavalry and Tûlaihah ibn-Khuwailîd commanding the infantry. The Persians were on this occasion led by Khurr-razâd, a brother of Rustam. The fight that ensued was the fiercest they ever had, in which arrows and lances were used until broken to pieces, and swords were applied until they were bent. Finally the Moslems altogether made one onslaught and drove the Persians from their position, putting them to flight. The Persians fled away and the Moslems kept pursuing them at their very heels with fearful slaughter until darkness intervened and they had to return to their camp.

¹ Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 393.
THE BATTLE OF JALULÂ'

Hâshim ibn-'Utbah left Jarir ibn-'Abdallâh in Jalûlâ' with a heavy force of cavalry to act as a check between the Moslems and their enemy. Yazdajird thereupon left Ḥul-ân.

Mahrûdh. The Moslems carried on many raids in the regions of as-Sawâd on the east bank of the Tigris. Coming to Mahrûdh, Hâshim made terms with its dihkan, stipulating that the latter should pay a jarib of dirhams [?], and the former should not kill any of the men.

Ad-Daskarah. On a charge of treachery, against the Moslems, Hâshim put the dihkan of ad-Daskarah to death.

Al-Bandaniyân. Hâshim then proceeded to al-Bandaniyân, whose inhabitants sued for peace, agreeing to pay tax and kharaj. Consequently, Hâshim promised them security.

Khânîqin. At Khânîqin there was a small remnant of the Persians against whom Jarir ibn-'Abdallâh now marched and whom he put to death. Thus was no region of the Sawâd Dijlah left unconquered by the Moslems or unpossessed by them.

According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi, the leader of the army in the battle of Jalûlâ’ in behalf of Sa’d was ‘Amr ibn-‘Utbah ibn-Naful ibn-Uhaib ibn-‘Abd-Manâf ibn-Zuhrah whose mother was ‘Âtikah, daughter of abu-Wakâş.

After the battle of Jalûlâ’, Sa’d left for al-Madâ’in where he gathered a host of men, and then kept on his way to the region of al-Ḥirah.

The battle of Jalûlâ’ took place at the close of the year 16.

The converts. Those who embraced Islâm were among others, Buṣbuhra—the dihkan of al-Falâlij and an-Nahrain,
Bistām ibn-Narsi—the dihkhān of Bābil and Khuṭarniyah, ar-Rufail—the dihkhān of al-ʿĀl, and Fairuz—the dihkhān of Nahr al-Malik and Kūthi. ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb did not interfere with them but left their lands in their own hands and annulled the poll-tax they paid.

Hāshim’s campaign. Abu-Masʿūd al-Kūfī from ʿAwānāh’s father:—Saʿd ibn-abi-Waḳḳāṣ dispatched Hāshim ibn-ʿUtbaḥ ibn-abi-Waḳḳāṣ accompanied by al-Ashʿath ibn-Kāis al-Kindi. Hāshim passed through ar-Rādhānāt and visited Daḵūka and Khānijār, conquering all that region together with all the district of Bājarma. Hāshim penetrated towards Sinn Bārimma and Bawāzīj al-Mulk as far as the border of Shahrazūr.

ʿUmar’s message to Saʿd. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Yazīd ibn-abi-Ḥabīb:—When Saʿd ibn-abi-Waḳḳāṣ completed the conquest of as-Sawād, he received the following letter from ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb:

“I have received thy letter in which thou statest that thy men have asked thee to divide among them whatever spoils Allah has assigned them. At the receipt of my letter, find out what possessions and horses the troops on ‘horses and camels’ have acquired and divide that among them, after taking away one-fifth. As for the land and camels, leave them in the hands of those men who work them, so that they may be included in the stipends [pensions] of the Moslems. If thou dividest them among those present, nothing will be left for those who come after them.”

2 Yākūt, vol. iii, p. 592.
3 Ibid., vol. iv, p. 846.
5 Ibid., vol. iii, p. 169.
6 Kor., 59:6.
How the land and the inhabitants of as-Sawād should be considered. Al-Ḥusain from ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ḥāzim:—The latter said, “I once asked Mujāhid regarding the land of as-Sawād and he answered, ‘It can neither be bought nor sold.’ This is because it was taken by force and was not divided. It belongs to all the Moslems.”

Al-Walid ibn-Ṣāliḥ from Sulaimān ibn-Yasār:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb left as-Sawād for those who were still in men’s loins and mothers’ wombs [i.e., posterity], considering the inhabitants dhimmis from whom tax should be taken on their person, and kharāj on their land. They are therefore dhimmis and cannot be sold as slaves.

The following statement was made by Sulaimān: “Al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik wanted to consider the inhabitants of as-Sawād as having been acquired without fighting [Ar. fai’]; but when I told him of the position ‘Umar took regarding them, Allah prevented him from doing so.”

Al-Ḥūsain ibn-al-Aswad from Ḥārithaḥ ibn-Muḍarrib:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, desiring to divide as-Sawād among the Moslems, ordered that they be counted. Each Moslem had three peasants for his share. ‘Umar took the advice of the Prophet’s Companions, and ‘Ali said, “Leave them that they may become a source of revenue and aid for the Moslems.” Accordingly, ‘Umar sent ‘Uthmān ibn-Ḥunaif al-Anṣāri who assessed on each man 48, 24, or 12 [dirhams].

Abu-Naṣr at-Tammār from ‘Ali:—The latter said, “If ye were not to strike one another on the face [have civil war] I would divide as-Sawād among you.”

Al-Ḥūsain ibn-al-Aswad from ‘Āmir:—The people of as-Sawād have no covenant, rather they came under our control by surrender.

1 Ar. māddah; see an-Nihāyah, vol. iv, p. 84.
Al-Ḥusain from ash-Shaʿbi:—The latter was asked whether the people of as-Sawād had a covenant, to which he replied, “At first, they had none; but when the Moslems consented to take kharāj from them, then they came to have one.”

Al-Ḥusain from ‘Amir:—The latter said, “The people of as-Sawād have no covenant.”

*The Magians.* ‘Amr an-Nāṣir from Jaʿfar ibn-Muḥammad’s father:—The Emigrants had a sitting place in the mosque in which ʿUmar used to discuss with them the news he received from the different regions. One day he said, “I know not how to treat the Magians;” upon which ʿAbd-ar-Rahmān ibn-Auf rose and said, “I bear witness that the Prophet said, ‘Treat them according to the same law with which you treat the People of the Book.’”

*The Bajilah’s share in as-Sawād.* Muḥammad ibn-aṣ-Ṣabbāḥ al-Bazzāz from Kais ibn-abī-Ḥāzim:—The Bajilah tribe constituted one-fourth of the Moslems in the battle of al-Ḵādisiyah, and ʿUmar had allotted them one-fourth of as-Sawād. Once when Jarir [ibn-ʿAbdallāh] called on ʿUmar, the latter said, “Had I not been responsible for what I divide, I would leave to you the share already given; but I see that the Moslems have multiplied, so ye have to restore what ye have taken.” Jarir and the others did as ʿUmar said; and ʿUmar offered Jarir a present of 80 dinārs.1

A woman of the tribe of Bajilah, called ūmm-Kurz, came to ʿUmar and said, “My father died and his share in as-Sawād holds good. I shall never deliver it!” ʿUmar turned to her and said, “But, ūmm-Kurz, thy people have all consented to do so.” “I shall never consent,” said she, “unless thou carry me on a submissive she-camel covered with

---

1 Yusuf, p. 18.
a red nappy mantle [Ar. *kaṭifah*] and fill both of my hands with gold,” which ‘Umar did.

Al-Ḥusain from Jarir:—‘Umar gave to the Bajilah one-quarter of as-Sawâd which they held for three years.

Kāis said:—“Jarir ibn-‘Abdallâh accompanied by ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir called on ‘Umar who said, ‘Had I not been held responsible for what I divide, I would leave to you the shares already given; but I see now that ye ought to restore what ye have taken.’ And they did, upon which ‘Umar offered a present of 80 *dinârs* to Jarir.”

According to a tradition communicated by al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Uthmân az-Ziyâdi on the authority of Kāis, ‘Umar gave Jarir ibn-‘Abdallâh 400 *dinârs*.

Ḥumaid ibn-ar-Rabi’ from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâliḥ:—‘Umar gave the Bajilah, in exchange for the fourth of as-Sawâd they held, a stipend of 2,000 *dirhams*.

Al-Walîd ibn-Ṣâliḥ from Jarir ibn-Yazid ibn-Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh’s grandfather:—‘Umar allotted to Jarir and his men one-quarter of what they had conquered in as-Sawâd. When the spoils of Jalûlâ’ were brought together, Jarîr demanded his quarter. Sa’d communicated the demand to ‘Umar who wrote back as follows: “If Jarîr wants himself considered as having with his men, fought for a pay similar to the pay of al-*Mu’alla*afah *Kulûbuhum*, then ye may give them their pay. If, however, they have fought in Allah’s cause and will accept his remuneration, then they are part of the Moslems, having their rights and their obligations.” Hearing that, Jarîr said, “Truly and honestly has the ‘Commander of the Believers’ spoken. We do not want our quarter.”

---

1 Yahya ibn-Adam, pp. 29 *seg*.
2 Dhahabi, p. 244.
3 Those whose hearts are won to Islam by special gifts. See De Goeje, *Mémoire*, p. 51; Tabari, vol. i, p. 1679; Kor., 9:60.
THE ORIGINS OF THE ISLAMIC STATE

Al-Ḥusain from Ibrāhim an-Nakha‘i:—Someone came to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, saying, “I have accepted Islām and ask thee to exempt my piece from the land-kharāj,” to which ‘Umar replied, “Thy land has been taken by force.”

Khalaf ibn-Hishām al-Bazzār from Ibrāhim at-Taimi:—When ‘Umar conquered as-Sawād, the troops said to him, “Divide it among us because we have reduced it by force through our swords.” But ‘Umar refused, saying, “What will then be left for those Moslems who come after you? Moreover, I am afraid that if I divide it, ye may come to be at variance with one another on account of its water.” ‘Umar, therefore, left the people of as-Sawād in possession of their lands, assessing a tax on their person and a fixed tax \(^1\) on their lands which he did not divide.

A survey of as-Sawād. Al-Ḳāsim ibn-Sallām from ash-Sha‘bi:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent ‘Uthmān ibn-Ḥunain al-Anṣārī to make a survey \([yamsah]\) of as-Sawād, which he found to be 36,000,000 jarībs, on every jarīb of which he assessed one dirham and one kafīz. Al-Ḳāsim adds, “I heard that the kafīz was a measure of theirs also called ash-shābulkān.” \(^2\) According to Yāḥya ibn-Ādam, it is equivalent to al-makhtūm al-Ḥajjāj.”

The tax assessed. ‘Amr an-Nāṣirī from Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallāh ath-Thākafī:—‘Umar assessed on every jarīb in as-Sawād, whether cultivated or uncultivated, provided it was accessible to water, one dirham and one kafīz, on every jarīb of raṭbah \([trefoil or clover]\) five dirhams and five kafīzes, and on every jarīb of trees ten dirhams and ten ka-

---


\(^2\) Māwardī, pp. 272, 304.

\(^3\) Introduced through al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Yūsuf who died in the year 90 A. H.
fizes (palm trees not mentioned). On every man, he assessed 48, 24, or 12 dirhams as poll-tax.

Al-Ḵāsim ibn-Sallâm from abu-Mijlaz Lâhîk ibn-Ḥumâid:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb assigned ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir to act as religious head \(^1\) for the people of al-Kūfah and to command their militia, ‘Abdallâh ibn-Mas‘ūd to be their kâdi and treasurer, and ‘Uthmân ibn-Ḥunaif to measure the land. To these three, he assigned each day one goat, one-half of which, together with the appendages \(^2\) to be taken by ‘Ammâr and the other half to be divided between the other two. ‘Uthmân ibn-Ḥunaif measured the land and assessed on each jarib of palm trees, 10 dirhams; of vine trees, 10 dirhams; of sugar-cane, 6 dirhams; of wheat, 4 dirhams; and of barley, 2 dirhams. To this end, he wrote to ‘Umar, who endorsed the assessments.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ‘Amr ibn-Maimûn:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb sent Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Yamân beyond the Tigris, and ‘Uthmân ibn-Ḥunaif below the Tigris; and they assessed on every jarib one kafiz and one dirham.

Al-Ḥusain from Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallâh ath-Thakafi:—When al-Mughirab ibn-Shu‘bah was governor of as-Sawâd, he wrote, “We find here other products than wheat and barley,” and mentioned Indian peas, grapes, clover \(^3\) and sesame, upon each of which he assessed 8 dirhams and excluded palm-trees.\(^4\)

Khalaf al-Bazzâr from al-‘Aizâr ibn-Ḥuraith:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb assessed on one jarib of wheat two dirhams and two jaribs; on one jarib of barley, one dirham, and

\(^1\) Ar. āla as-ṣalâh; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 756, translates: “l’autorità civile.”

\(^2\) Ar. sawākit. Yûsuf, p. 20: “baṭn” = belly.

\(^3\) Ar. raṭbah or ruṭbah may also be applied to cucumber, melon and the like; see Caetani, vol. v, pp. 370 and 371; Yûsuf, pp. 20-22.

\(^4\) Cf. Adam, p. 98.
one *jarīb*; and on every two *jarībs* in the uncultivated land that can be sown, one *dirham*.

Khalaf al-Bazzār from al-‘Aizār ibn-Ḥuraith:—‘Umar assessed on one *jarīb* of vine-trees 10 *dirhams*, on one *jarīb* of clover, 10; of cotton, 5; on one Fārisi palm-tree, one *dirham* and if of inferior quality, one *dirham* on two trees.

‘Amr an-Nākīd from abu-Mijlaz:—‘Umar assessed on a *jarīb* of palm-trees 8 *dirhams*.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ash-Sha‘bi:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent ‘Uthmān ibn-Ḥunaif 1 who assessed on the people of as-Sawād 5 *dirhams* on one *jarīb* of clover, and 10 *dirhams* on one *jarīb* of vine-trees; but he assessed no tax on what was grown among the vines.

Al-Walid ibn-Ṣāliḥ from al-Miswar ibn-Rifā‘ah:—‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz said that the *kharāj* of as-Sawād in the time of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb was 100,000,000 *dirhams*; but in the time of al-Ḥajjāj, it amounted to 40,000,000.

Al-Walid from Aiyūb ibn-abi-Umāmah ibn-Sahl ibn-Ḥunaif’s father.—‘Uthmān ibn-Ḥunaif put seals around the necks 2 of 550,000 of the “uncircumcised”, and the *kharāj* during his governorship amounted to 100,000,000.

Al-Walid ibn-Ṣāliḥ from Mus‘ab ibn-Yazīd abu-Zaid al-Anṣārī’s father:—The latter said, “‘Ali-ibn-abi-Ṭālib sent me to the land irrigated by the Euphrates, mentioning different cantons and villages, and naming Nahr al-Malik 3 Kūtha, Bahurasir, ar-Rūmakān, Nahr Jaubar, Nahr Durḳīt and al-Bihkubādḥāt. He ordered me to assess on every *jarīb* of wheat, if thickly sown, one *dirham* and a half and one *sā‘*; if thinly sown, two-thirds of a *dirham* and if not so

---

2 Yūsuf, p. 73, l. 12-16; Caetani, vol. v, pp. 371-372.
thickly or thinly sown one dirham; and on barley, one-half of that. He also ordered me to assess on the gardens that include palm-trees and other kinds, 10 dirhams per jarib; on one jarib of vine-trees, if its trees had been planted for three full years and a part of the fourth, and if it bears fruit, ten dirhams, with nothing on palm-trees that are outside the villages and the fruits of which are eaten by the passers-by. On vegetables, including cucumbers, grains, sesame and cotton, he ordered me not to assess anything. On those landlords [dihk̡âns] who ride mules and wear rings of gold around their feet, he ordered me to assess 48 dirhams each; and on those of them who are merchants of medium means, 24 dirhams per annum each; but on the farmers and the rest of them, 12 dirhams each."

Ḥumaid ibn-ar-Rabi' from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâliḥ:—The latter said, "I asked al-Ḥasan, 'What are those different rates of assessed land-tax [tasḵ?]?' And he replied, 'They, one after the other, have been assessed according to the nearness and distance of the land from the markets and the drinking places in the river [furād].' Yahya ibn-Adam says, 'The Moslems of as-Sawād asked al-Mansūr towards the end of his caliphate to introduce the system by which they turn over to the authorities as tax a part of the produce of the land; but he died before the system was introduced. Later, by al-Mahdi's orders, the system was introduced in all places with the exception of 'Aḵabat Ḥulwān.'"

1 Māwardi, p. 306, l. 12.
2 Ar. mukāṣamah, as contrasted with misāḥah, is the system of land tenure by which the kharāf is levied on the produce and not the area, and is from one-tenth to one-half of the produce of the lands. Cf. Māwardi, p. 260; De Goeje's Baladhuri "Glossarium", pp. 86-87; ibn-Ṭikṭāka, p. 215, l. 16, p. 260, l. 5; Berchem, La Propriété Territoriale, p. 45.
The survey of Ḥudhaifah. ʻAbdallāh ibn-Ṣāliḥ al-ʿIjli from certain authorities:—Ḥudhaifah who measured the surface of the land irrigated by the Tigris, died at al-Madāʾin. The Ḵānātir Ḥudhaifah [arches of Ḥudhaifah] are named after him, because he camped near them; but others say because he renewed them. His cubit [Ar. dhirāʿ], like that of ibn-Ḥunaif, is the length of a man’s arm, hand and thumb, stretched out. When the inhabitants of as-Sawād had the system of kharāj proportioned to the produce of the land, after they had that based on the area [misāḥah], one of the officials said: “The tithe levied on the fiefs was a tenth which was not equivalent [?] to one-fifth of the half levied on the istāns [administrative districts]. Therefore, it is necessary that there should be levied on the jarīb of the fiefs subject to the area [misāḥah] system of kharāj also one-fifth of what is levied on the jarīb of the istāns [?]”. ¹ Such was the case.

Abu-ʿUbayd from Maimūn ibn-Mihrān:—‘Umar sent Ḥudhaifah and ibn-Ḥunaif to Khānikīn, which was one of the first places they conquered; and after they attached seals to the necks of the dhimmis, they collected its kharāj.

Lands confiscated by ‘Umar. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ʿAbd-al-Malik ibn-abi-Ḥurrah’s father:—The latter said, “‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb confiscated for himself ten pieces of land in as-Sawād of which I remember seven, the remaining three having slipped me. The lands he confiscated were (1) a piece covered with woods; (2) one covered with marshes; (3) one belonging to king Kisra; (4) all of Dair Yazid; ² (5) the land of those who were killed during the war; (6) the land of those who fled the country.

¹ Caetani, vol. v, p. 374; Muḥaddasi, p. 133.
This state of affairs lasted until the register was burned in the days of al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Yūsuf, upon which the people seized the [domanal] land bordering on their property.  

Abū-ʿAbd-ar-Rahmān al-Juʿfī from ʿAbd-al-Malik ibn-abi-Ḥurrah’s father:—ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb confiscated in as-Sawād the land of those who were killed during the war, the land of those who fled the country, all the land of king Kisra, all the land belonging to Kisra’s family, every swampy place, all Dair Yazid and all the land that was appropriated by Kisra for himself. Thus, the value of what ʿUmar took amounted to 7,000,000 dirhams. In the battle of [Dair] al-Jamājim, the people burnt the register and every one of them seized what bordered on his land.

Fiefs assigned by ʿUthmān. Al-Ḥusain and Amr an-Nāḳid from Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah:—ʿUthmān assigned as fief to ʿAbdallāh ibn-Masʿūd a piece of land in an-Nahraın; to Ammār ibn-Yāsir, Asbina; 2 to Khabbāb ibn-al-Aratt, Saʾnaba; and to Saʾd [ibn-abi-Waḳḳās] the village of Hurmuz.

ʿAbdallāh ibn-Ṣāliḥ al-ʿIjli from ash-Shaʿbi:—ʿUthmān ibn-ʿAffān assigned as fief to Ṭalḥah ibn-ʿUbaidallāh an-Nashāstaj; 3 and to Usāmah ibn-Zaid, a piece of land which he later sold.

Shaibān ibn-Farrūkḥ from Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah:—ʿUthmān ibn-ʿAffān gave fiefs to five of the Companions of the Prophet: ʿAbdallāh ibn-Masʿūd, Saʾd ibn-Mālik az-Zuhri, az-Zubair ibn-al-Auwām, 4 Khabbāb ibn-al-Aratt and Usāmah ibn-Zaid. 5 Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah adds, “I noticed that ibn-

---

1 Athır, vol. ii, p. 407; Adam, pp. 45-46.
2 Yūsuf, p. 25, l. 9: “Istiniya.”
Mas'ūd and Sa'd, who were my neighbors, used to cultivate their lands for one-third and one-fourth [of the produce]."

Al-Walid ibn-Ṣāliḥ from Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah:—The first one to give out al-ʿIrāk in fiefs was ʿUthmān ibn-ʿAffān who gave out pieces of land appropriated by Kisra, and others evacuated by their owners. Thus, he assigned to Ṭalḥah as fief an-Nashāstāj; to Wāʾil ibn-Ḥujr al-Ḥadjrami, the land bordering on Zurārah’s; to Khabbāb ibn-al-Αρατ, Asbina; to ‘Adi ibn-Ḥātim at-Ṭā’i, ar-Rauḥā’, to Khālid ibn-ʿUrfuṭah, a piece of land near Ḥammām [bath] Aʿyan; to al-Asḥath ibn-Ḵaṣḥ al-Kindi, Ṭizarābāḏh; and to Jarir ibn-ʿAbdallāh al-Bajali, his land on the bank of the Euphrates.

Ajamat Burs. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣāliḥ:—The latter said, "I was informed that ‘Ali assessed on the owners of Ajamat [forest] Burs 4,000 dirhams; and to that end, he wrote them a statement on a piece of parchment."

I was told by Ahmad ibn-Ḥammād al-Kūfi that Ajamat Burs lies in the vicinity of the Ṣamrūd [Nimrod] palace in Bābil [Babylon]. In this forest, there is a precipice of great depth, which, according to some, is a well from the soil of which the bricks of the palace were made, and which, according to others, is a landslide.

Nahr Sa'd. I learnt from abu-Mas'ūd and others that the landlords [ṣīḥān] of al-Anbār asked Sa'd ibn-abi-Wakāṣ to dig for them a canal which they had previously asked the Persian magnate [king] to dig for them. Sa'd wrote to Sa'd ibn-ʿAmr ibn-Ḥarām, ordering him to dig the canal for them. Accordingly, they dug until they reached a mountain which they could not cut through, upon

1 Adam, p. 18.
which they gave it up. But when al-Ḥajjāj became governor of al-Ṭrāk, he gathered workmen from all regions, and said to his superintendents, “Take note of what one of the diggers eats per day. If it is the weight of what he digs out, then continue the work.” Thus, they spent money on it until it was completed. The mountain excavated was therefore named after al-Ḥajjāj; but the canal, after Sa’d ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥarām.⁡

*Nahr Mahdūd.* Al-Khaizurān, the mother of the caliphs, [umm-al-khulafā’] ordered that the canal known by the name of Mahdūd be dug; and she gave it the name of ar-Raiyān.⁵ Her superintendent over the work had divided it into sections, put limits for every section, and put it in charge of a group of men to dig; hence the name Mahdūd [i.e., limited].

*Nahr Shaila.* As for the canal known by the name of Shaila, it is claimed by the banu-Shaila ibn-Farrukhzādān al-Marwazi that ʿSābūr [Persian king] had dug it out for their grandfather when he sent him to guard the frontier of the dominion at Nighya in the canton of al-Anbār. According to others, however, the canal was so called after one, Shaila, who made a contract for digging the canal in the days of the caliph al-Manṣūr; the canal being old but buried, al-Manṣūr ordered that it be excavated. Before the work was brought to an end, al-Manṣūr died and the work was completed in the caliphate of al-Mahdi. According to others, al-Manṣūr ordered that a mouth [only] be dug for the canal above its old mouth; but he did not complete the work. Al-Mahdi completed it.

¹ *Cf.* Caetani, vol. iii, p. 864.
² *Cf.* Marāṣid, vol. iii, p. 248.
³ *Marāṣid,* vol. iii, p. 48: “al-Marbān.”
CHAPTER VIII

THE FOUNDING OF AL-KŪFAH

Al-Kūfah chosen. Muḥammad ibn-Sa’d from ‘Abd-al-Ḥamīd ibn-Ja’far and others:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb wrote to Sa’d ibn-abi-Waḳḳāṣ ordering him to adopt for the Moslems a place to which they could emigrate, and which they could use as a meeting place [kairawān], provided that between him [‘Umar] and the Moslems, no sea should intervene. Accordingly, Sa’d came to al-Anbār ¹ with the idea of occupying it. Here, however, flies were so numerous, that Sa’d had to move to another place, which proved to be unsatisfactory, and therefore he moved to al-Kūfah which he divided into lots, giving the houses as fiefs and settling the different tribes in their quarters. He also erected its mosque. All this took place in the year 17.

Sūk Hakamah. The following was communicated to me by ‘Ali ibn-al-Mughirah-l-Athram, on the authority of sheikhs from al-Kūfah:—When Sa’d ibn-abi-Waḳḳāṣ was through with the battle of al-Ḳādisiyah, he went to al-Madā’in, made terms with the inhabitants of ar-Rūmīyah and Bahurasir, reduced al-Madā’in,² Asbānbur ³ and Kurdban-dâdh ³ and settled his troops in them. The troops occupied these places. Subsequently, Sa’d was ordered [by ‘Umar] to remove them; and so he removed them to Sūk Ḥakamah, others say to Kuwaifah on this side of al-Kūfah. Ac-

¹ Dinawari, p. 131.
² The Arabic and Persian names of Ctesiphon; Yāḵūt, vol. i, p. 237.
³ Perhaps a quarter in Ctesiphon; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 848.
cording to al-Athram, the word *takawwuf* \(^1\) means "the re-
union of people". Others say that circular places when
sandy are called *kūfah*; and still others call the land rich in
pebbles, mud and sand, *kūfah*.

*Mosquitoes in al-Madā'in.* It is stated that when the
Moslems in al-Madâ'in were attacked by the mosquitoes,
Sa'd wrote to 'Umar telling him that they were badly af-
fected by them; in answer to which 'Umar wrote back,
"Arabs are like camels; whatever is good for the camels is
good for them. Choose for them, therefore, a habitable
place; and let no sea intervene between them and me."
The determining of the dwelling-place was entrusted to abu-

*Al-Kufah founded.* Then 'Abd al-Masih ibn-Bukâilah
presented himself before Sa'd and said to him, "I can point
out to thee a site which is outside the waterless desert, and
higher than the muddy places where mosquitoes abound." \(^2\)
Saying this, he pointed out the site of al-Kufah which was
then called Sūristân. When Sa'd arrived on the spot des-
tined to be the site of the mosque, a man shot, by his orders,
an arrow towards the *kiblah*, another towards the north,
another to the south, a fourth to the east, and marked the
spots where the arrows fell. Sa'd then established the
mosque and the governor's residence on the spot where the
man who shot the arrows had stood, fencing in all the space
around that spot. He then drew lots with two arrows be-
tween the tribe of Nizâr and the tribes of al-Yaman, prom-
ising the left side, which was the better of the two, to the
one whose arrow was drawn first. The people of al-Yaman
had theirs first; and they were, therefore, allotted the pieces
on the east side. The pieces allotted to the Nizâr fell on

\(^1\) From which noun Kufah comes.

\(^2\) *Cf.* Tabari, vol. i, p. 2389.
the west side beyond the boundaries fixed for the mosque, leaving what was fenced in within the marks for the mosque and the governor’s residence. Later, al-Mughirah ibn-Shu’bah enlarged the mosque; and Ziyâd [ibn-Abihi] rebuilt it strongly and rebuilt the governor’s residence. Ziyâd often repeated, “On every one of the pillars of the mosque at al-Kūfah, I spent 1,800 [dirhams].” Another building was established by ‘Amr ibn-Ḥuraith al-Makhzûmi, whom Ziyâd used to leave in his place over al-Kūfah whenever he absented himself in al-ʿAsirah. Ziyâd’s agents erected many buildings which made the place crowded and thickly set.2

The lane called Kuşak ‘Amr in al-Kūfah takes its name from the banu-‘Amr ibn-Ḥuraith ibn-‘Amr ibn-‘Uthmân ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Umar ibn-Makhzûm ibn-Yakaţah.

The Yamanites. Wahb ibn-Bakîyah-l-Wâsiṭi from ash-Sha_DSPi:—The latter said, “We (the Yamanites) were 12,000 men; the Nizâr were 8,000; from which you can easily see that we constituted the majority of the settlers of al-Kūfah. Our arrow went to the east side of the mosque. That is why we hold the pieces we now hold.”

The mosque. ‘Ali ibn-Muḥammad al-Madâ’ini from Maslamah ibn-Muḥarib and others:—Al-Mughirah enlarged the mosque3 of al-Kûfah and rebuilt it. Ziyâd later enlarged it still more. Pebbles were spread in this mosque and in that of al-ʿAsirah, because when people prayed their hands were covered with dust, which they used to remove by clapping their hands. This made Ziyâd say, “I am afraid that in course of time, the clapping of hands will be taken for a part of the religious ceremony.” When he, therefore, en-

1 Yâkût, vol. iv, pp. 323-324.
2 Athir, vol. ii, pp. 410 seq.
3 Hamadhâni, pp. 173-174.
larged the mosque and added to it, he ordered that pebbles be strewn in the courtyard of the mosque. The overseers of the work used to oppress those who gathered the pebbles, saying, “Bring us only this kind which we show you”, choosing special samples, and asking for similar ones. By such means, they enriched themselves. Hence, the saying, “It is good to be in authority even over stones.”¹ This saying, however, is, according to al-Athram, explained by Abu-'Ubaidah by the fact that al-Ḥajjāj ibn-'Atik ath-Thakafi, or his son, had charge of cutting the pillars for the al-Bayzarah mosque from Jabal al-Ahwâz where he discovered a mine. This gave rise to the expression: “It is good to be in authority even over stones.”

Abu-'Ubaidah states that the colonization of al-Kūfah took place in the year 18.

Ziyād took for himself in the al-Kūfah mosque a māḵšūrah which afterwards was renewed by Khālid ibn-'Abdallāh al-Ḵasri.

The version of al-Haitham. Ḥafṣ ibn-'Umar al-‘Umari from al-Haitham ibn-‘Adi at-Ṭā’i:—After having settled in al-Madā’in, planned it out and established a mosque, the Moslems found the place too dirty and productive of pestilence. Sa’d ibn-abi-Wakāś communicated the fact to ‘Umar who wrote back that they should move westward. Sa’d came to Kuwai῾ah ibn-‘Umar, but finding the water all around it, the Moslems left it and came to the site on which al-Kūfah now stands. They hit on the ridge called Khadd al-‘Adhrâ [the virgin’s cheek] on which lavender, daisies, broom-plants [šiḥ and kaišūm] and poppies grew. On this site, they established themselves.

I was told by a Kufite sheikh that the region between al-Kūfah and al-Ḥirah was known by the name of al-

¹ Freytag, vol. ii, p. 917, n. 47.
The house of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-‘Umar was used for entertaining guests, ‘Umar having ordered that some house be put to that use for those who came from the different provinces.

**Charges against Sa’d.** Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from Muḥammad ibn-‘Īshâk:—Sa’d ibn-abî-Wâḳḳâs made a wooden door for his mansion which he surrounded with a fence of reeds. ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb sent Muḥammad ibn-Maslamah-l-Anṣâri who set fire to the door and fence, and made Sa’d leader in the mosques of al-Kûfah where nothing but good was spoken of him.

Al-‘Abbâs ibn-al-Walid an-Narsi and Ibrâhîm al-‘Allâf al-Baṣrî from Jâbir ibn-Samurah:—The people of al-Kûfah reported Sa’d ibn-abî-Wâḳḳâs to ‘Umar on the ground that he did not lead properly in prayers. In answer to the charge, Sa’d said, “As for me, I have always followed the prayer of the Prophet and never deviated from it. In the first two [prostrations] I repeat prayer slowly, in the last two, quickly.” ¹ “That was what was thought of thee, abu-‘Īshâk,” said ‘Umar. ‘Umar then sent certain men to inquire in al-Kûfah regarding Sa’d, about whom nothing but good was told in the different mosques, until they came to the mosque of the banu-‘Abs. Here someone called abu-Sa’dah said, “As for Sa’d, he does not divide shares equally, nor judge cases justly.” Hearing this, Sa’d exclaimed, “O God, if he is telling a lie, make his age long, perpetuate his poverty, take away his eyesight and expose him to troubles!” ‘Abd-al-Malik said, “I later saw abu-Sa’dah intercepting the way of the maids in the streets; and when somebody asked him, ‘How are you, abu-Sa’-dah?’ he always replied, ‘I am old and crazed, being afflicted with the curse of Sa’d’.”

In another tradition transmitted by al-‘Abbās an-Narsi, Sa’d made the following petition, regarding the people of al-Kūfah: “O God, let no ruler be satisfied with them, and let them be never satisfied with a ruler!”

I was informed by al-‘Abbās an-Narsi that al-Mukhtār ibn-abi-‘Ubaid, or someone else, said, “To love the people of al-Kūfah is honor; and to hate them is destruction.”

Al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Uthmān az-Ziyādi from ash-Sha’bi:—

After the victory of al-Ḵādisiyah, ‘Amr ibn-Ma‘dikarib visited ‘Umar ibn-al-Khattāb and was asked by him about Sa’d and whether the people were satisfied with him. ‘Amr gave the following answer, “I left him laying up for them as an ant lays up, having as much sympathy with them as a kind mother. In his love of dates, he is an Arab; in the collection of taxes, he is Nabatean. He divides shares equitably, judges cases justly and leads the bands successfully.” “It looks,” said ‘Umar, “as if ye both have agreed to compensate each other with praise (Sa’d having before written to ‘Umar in commendation of ‘Amr).” “No, ‘Commander of the Believers’, answered ‘Amr, “I rather said what I knew.” “Well, ‘Amr,” said ‘Umar, “describe war.” “It is bitter in taste when waged. He, who perseveres in it, becomes known; but he who grows weak, perishes.”—“Describe the arms.”—“Ask me about whichever thou wantest.”—“The lancet?”—“It is a brother which may betray thee.”—“The arrows?”—“Arrows are messengers of death which either err or hit.”—“The shield?”—“That is the defense which has most to suffer.”—“The coat of mail?”—“Something that keeps the horseman busy; a nuisance for the footman; but in all cases, a strong protection.”—“The sword?”—“May it be the cause of thy death!”—“Thy death!”—“The fever has abased me to thee.” ¹

¹ A proverb applied to the case of abasement on the occasion of need.

Lane’s “Dictionary” s. v. adastro.
`Umar appoints `Ammâr and then al-Mughîrah. At last, `Umar dismissed Sa`d and appointed `Ammâr ibn-Yâsir. A complaint was made against `Ammâr to the effect that he was weak and knew nothing about politics. He was therefore dismissed after holding the office of governor over al-Kūfah for one year and nine months. In this connection, `Umar remarked, “What am I to do with the people of al-Kūfah? If I appoint a strong man over them, they attribute transgression to him; and if a weak man, they despise him.” Calling al-Mughîrah ibn-Shu`bâh, he asked him, “Wouldst thou commit again what thou didst once commit, if I should assign thee over al-Kûfah?” And al-Mughîrah answered, “No.” Al-Mughîrah went to al-Madînah, after the conquest of al-Kâdisiyah, and was appointed by `Umar over al-Kûfah, which position he held until `Umar’s death.

Sa`d, then al-Wâlîd, then Sa`d as governors. Then came `Uthmân ibn-`Affân and appointed over al-Kûfah Sa`d; but later dismissed him and appointed al-Wâlîd ibn-`Ukîbah ibn-abi-Mu`âit ibn-abi-`Amr ibn-Umâiyah. When al-Wâlîd visited Sa`d, the latter said, “Either thou hast become intelligent after me, or I have become foolish after thee.” Al-Wâlîd was later dismissed and Sa`d ibn-al-`Âsî ibn-Sa`d ibn-al-`Âsî ibn-Umâiyah was nominated to his place.

Persians unite with the Arabs. Abu-Mas`ûd al-Kûfî from Mis`ar ibn-Kidâm:—In the battle of al-Kâdisiyah, Rustam led 4,000 men called Jund [army] Shahânshâh, who asked for peace provided they be allowed to settle wherever they wanted, be confederates with whomever they wanted and receive soldiers’ stipends. Their request having been granted, they united in a confederacy with Zuhrah

ibn-Ḥawiyah as-Saʿdi of the banu-Tamim. Saʿd [ibn-abi-Waqqas] allowed them to settle where they chose, and assigned 1,000,000 dirhams for stipends. Their chief [naḵib] was one of them called Dailam;¹ hence the name of the place Ḥamrāʾ Dailam. Later Ziyād [ibn-Abihi], following the orders of Muʿawiyyah, sent some of them to Syria where they are called al-Furs [Persians], others to al-Baṣrah where they were combined with the Asāwirah [Persian cavalry].

According to abu-Masʿūd, the Arabs call the non-Arabs Ḥamrāʾ [the red], and would say, “I came from Ḥamrāʾ Dailam,” as they would say, “I came from Juhaínah” or some other place. Abu-Masʿūd adds, “I heard someone say that these Asāwirah lived near ad-Dailam and when they were attacked by the Moslems in Ḍazvin, they accepted Islām on the same terms as the Asāwirah of al-Baṣrah. Then they came to al-Kūfah and settled in it.”

According to al-Madāʾinī, Abarwiz brought from ad-Dailam 4,000 men who acted as his servants and escort, which position they held until the Arab invasion. They then took part in the battle of al-Kādisiyah under Rustam. When Rustam was killed and the Magians were defeated, they withdrew, saying, “We are different from those others [i.e., Arabs]; we have no refuge, and have already left a bad impression on the Moslems. Let us then adopt their faith, and we will be strengthened by them.” Having deserted to the Moslem camp, Saʿd wanted to know the cause; and al-Mughirah ibn-Shuʾbah asked them about it. Thus, they presented their case, saying, “We will adopt your faith.” Al-Mughirah came back to Saʿd and told him about it. Saʿd promised them security; and they accepted Islām. They witnessed the conquest of al-Ma-

¹ “Dilm” in Persian.
dā'īn under Sa'd and the conquest of Jalūlā', after which they returned to al-Kūfah where they settled with the Moslems.

Places of interest and the persons after whom they are named. The Jabbānat [cemetery] as-Sabi', after a son of as-Sabi' ibn-Sabu' ibn-Sa'b al-Hamdānī.

The Şaḥrā [desert] Uthair, after Uthair of the banu-Asad.


The Şaḥrā bani-Ḵirār after the banu-Ḵirār ibn-Ṭha'labah. . . . ibn-Nizār.

Dār ar-Rūmiyyīn was a dunghill where the inhabitants of al-Kūfah cast their rubbish and which was taken as sīf from Yazid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik by 'Anbasah ibn-Sa'id ibn-al-Aṣi, who removed the soil in it for 150,000 dirhams.


Ḥammām [bath] A'yān, after A'yān, a freedman of Sa'd ibn-abi-Wakḵās.


Ḥammām 'Umar, after 'Umar ibn-Sa'd ibn-abi-Wakḵās. Shahārsūj Bajilah in al-Kūfah, after the banu-Bajilah.

Jabbānat 'Arzam, after a certain 'Arzam, who used to shake in it milk in a skin [so that its butter might come forth].

Jabbānat Bishr, after Bishr ibn-Rabī'ah . . . ibn-Ḵu-mair al-Khuth'ami.

Zurārah, after Zurārah ibn-Yazid . . . ibn-Ṣa'sa'ah.

1 The following list is a shortened form of the original.
2 Dhahabi, pp. 5-6.
Dâr [house] Ḥukaim in al-Kūfah, after Ḥukaim ibn-Sa‘d ibn-Thaur al-Bukā‘i.

Ḵâṣr [castle] Mukâtâl, after Mukâtâl ibn-Ḥassân \(^1\) of the banu-Amru‘i-l-Ḵâis.

As-Sawâdiyâh \(^2\) in al-Kūfah, after Sawâd ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Adi. \(\ldots\)

Ḵâriyat [village] abi-Ṣalâbah on the Euphrates, after Ṣalâbah ibn-Mâlik ibn-Ṭârik. \(\ldots\)

Aḵsās Mâlik, after Mâlik ibn-Ḵâis \(\ldots\) ibn-Nizâr.

Dair [monastery] al-‘Awâr, after one of the Iyâd of the banu-Umayyah.

Dair Ḥurrah after Ḥurrah of the banu-Umayyah ibn-Ḥudhâfah.

Dair as-Sawa, after the same banu-Umayyah.

Dair al-Jamâjim, after the Iyâd tribe who in a battle with the banu-Bahrâ‘ and the banu-l-Ḵâin lost many who were buried there and whose skulls \([\text{jamâjim}]\) were later excavated as one was digging in the ground.

Dair Ka‘b, after the Iyâd.

Dair Hind, after the mother of ‘Amr ibn-Hind.

Dâr Ḳumâm, after the daughter of al-Ḥârith ibn-Ḥâni‘ al-Ḵindî.

Bi‘at bani-‘Adi, after the banu-‘Adi ibn-adh-Dhumail of the Lakhm.

Ţizanâbâdh, after aḏ-Ḍaizan ibn-Mu‘âwiyyah ibn-al-‘Abid as-Saliḥi.

Masjid [mosque] Simâk in al-Kûfah, after Simâk ibn-Makhramah ibn-Ḥumain al-Asadi. \(\ldots\)

Maḥâllat [quarter] bani-Shaitân, after Shaitân ibn-Zuhair \(^3\) ibn-Tamîm.

\(^1\) Ḳâmûs, vol. iv, p. 36, l. 22: “Ḥâiyân.”


The site of Dâr 'Isa ibn-Mûsa belonged to al-'Alâ' ibn-‘Abd-ar-Raḥmân . . . ibn-‘Abd-Manâf.
There is a path in al-Kûfah named after ‘Amirah ibn-Shihâb. . . .
Ṣâḥrâ’ Shabath, after Shabath ibn-Rib‘i ar-Riyâhi of the banu-Tamîm.
Dâr Ḥujair in al-Kûfah, after Ḥujair ibn-al-Jâ’d al-Jumâhî.
Jabbânât Sâlim, after Sâlim ibn-‘Ammâr . . . ibn-Ha-wâzin.
Ṣâḥrâ’ Albâdakah, after the poet Albâdakah al-Dâbî.
Masjid bani-‘Anz, after the banu-‘Anz ibn-Wâ’îl ibn-Ḵâsît.
Masjid bani-Jâdhimah, after the banu-Jâdhimah ibn-Mâlik ibn-Nâṣr . . . ibn-Asad.
There is a mosque in al-Kûfah named after the banu-l-Makâsîf.
Masjid bani-Bahdalah, after the banu-Bahdalah ibn-al-Mîthl ibn-Mu‘awiyah of the Kindah.
Bi‘r al-Jâ’d in al-Kûfah, after al-Jâ’d, a freedman of Hamdân.
Dâr abi-Artât, after Artât ibn-Mâlik al-Bajali.
Ḵâṣr al-‘Adasiyîn at the extremity of al-Ḥîrah, after the banu-‘Ammâr ibn-‘Abd-al-Mâsîh. . . .
The cathedral mosque in al-Kûfah was built with material taken from the ruins of the castles in al-Ḥîrah that belonged to the al-Mundhir clan, the price of that material constituting a part of the tax paid by the people of al-Ḥîrah.
Sîkkat al-Barîd [post-office] in al-Kûfah was once a
church built by Khâlid ibn-‘Abdallâh . . . of the Bajilah for his mother, who was a Christian.

Khâlid built shops, dug the canal called al-Jâmî’, and erected the Ḳâṣr Khâlid.

Sûk Asad, after Asad ibn-‘Abdallâh, Khâlid’s brother.

Ḳanṭarat [arch] al-Kûfah was built by ‘Umar ibn-Hu-bairah, and later repaired by Khâlid and others.

Al-Hâshimiyyah. The following tradition was transmitted to me by abu-Mas’ûd and others:—Yazid ibn-‘Umar ibn-Hu-bairah laid out a city in al-Kûfah on the Euphrates and occupied it before it was fully completed. He then received a letter from Marwân, ordering him to avoid the neighborhood of the people of al-Kûfah; and he, therefore, left it and built the castle known by the name of Ḳâṣr ibn-Hu-bairah near the Sûra bridge.

When caliph abu-l-‘Abbâs came to power, he occupied this city, completed the erection of certain mansions [makṣûrahs] defended by walls in it, established new buildings and called it al-Hâshimiyyah. People in general called it by its old name after ibn-Hu-bairah; and abu-l-‘Abbâs making the remark, “I see that the name of ibn-Hu-bairah will always cling to it”, gave it up and established on a site opposite to it another city by the name of al-Hâshimiyyah. After residing there for some time, he decided to settle in al-Anbâr, where he built his well-known city in which he was buried.

Madinat as-Salâm. When abu-Ja‘far al-Manṣûr became caliph, he occupied the city of al-Hâshimiyyah in al-Kûfah after completing its erection, enlarging it and preparing it according to his own idea. Later, he abandoned it in favor of Baghdadhâ, where he built his city. He founded Bagh-dâdh and called it Madinat as-Salâm, and repaired its old

---

1 Le Strange, Baghdâd, pp. 5-6.
2 "The city of peace." Le Strange, p. 10.
wall which begins at the Tigris and ends at aṣ-Ṣarāt [canal].

It was in this al-Ḥashimiyah that al-Manṣūr imprisoned ʿAbdallāh ibn-Ḥasan ibn-Ḥasan ibn-ʿAlī ibn-abi-Ṭālib because of his two sons Muḥammad and Ibrāhīm; and it was here that he was buried.

Ar-Ruṣāfah. Al-Manṣūr built in al-Kūfah ar-Ruṣāfah [causeway] and by his orders, his freedman abu-l-Khaṣīb Marzūk built for him on an old foundation the castle that bears his name: abu-l-Khaṣīb. Others say that abu-l-Khaṣīb built the castle for himself; and al-Manṣūr used to visit him in it.

Al-Khawarnak. As for al-Khawarnaḵ, it was an old Persian castle built by an-Nuʿmān ibn-Amruʿi-l-Ḵaṣī (whose mother was ash-Shaḵīḵah, daughter of abu-Rabiʿah ibn-Dhuḥl ibn-Shaibān) for Bahram Jūr ibn-Yazdajird ibn-Bahrām ibn-Sābūr dhu-l-Aktāf, who was brought up in the home of an-Nuʿmān. ¹ It was this same an-Nuʿmān who left his kingdom and traveled around, as mentioned by ʿAdī ibn-Zaid al-ʿIbādi in his poem. When the “blessed dynasty” appeared, al-Khawarnaḵ was given as fief to Ibrāhīm ibn-Salamah, one of their propagandists in Khurāṣān and a grandfather of ʿAbd-ar-Rahmān ibn-Iṣhāḵ al-Ḵaḍī. During the caliphate of al-Maʿmūn and al-Muʿtaṣim, Ibrāhīm lived in Madīnat as-Salām and was a freedman of ar-Ribāb. In the caliphate of abu-l-ʿAbbās, he erected the dome of al-Khawarnaḵ which did not exist before.

Bāb al-Fīl. Abu-Masʿūd al-Kūfī from certain sheikhs of al-Kūfah—When the Moslems conquered al-Madāʾin, they captured an elephant; all the other elephants they came across before having been killed by them. They wrote to

¹ Thaʿālibi, Mullūk al-Furs, pp. 530-540 (ed. Zotenberg); Hamadhāni, pp. 178-179.
Umar about it and he told them to sell it if possible. The elephant was bought by a man from al-Ḥirah who used to cover its back with a cloak and go round the villages exhibitng it. Sometime after that, umm-Aiyūb, daughter of Umārah ibn-ʿUkbah ibn-abi-Muʾaīṭ (who was the wife of al-Mughirah ibn-Shuʿbah and later of Ziyâd) wanted to see the elephant as she was in her father’s home. The elephant was brought before her and stood at the door of the mosque which is now termed Bāb al-Fil. After looking at it, she gave its owner something and dismissed him. But no sooner had the elephant taken a few strides, than it fell dead. That is why the door was called Bāb al-Fil.¹ Some say that the one who looked at it was the wife of al-Walīd ibn-ʿUkbah ibn-abi-Muʾaīṭ; others that it was a sorcerer who made the people see an elephant appearing from the door riding on a donkey; still others that the trough of the mosque was brought on an elephant and passed through this door, which was for that reason called Bāb al-Fil. These explanations are false. There are those who claim that the trough of the mosque was carried on an elephant and brought in through this door. Others think that an elephant owned by one of the governors once rushed against this door which was later called after it. The first explanation, however, is the most authentic.

Jabbānat Maimūn. According to abu-Masʿūd, the Maimūn cemetery at al-Kūfah was named after Maimūn, a freedman of Muḥammad ibn-ʿAli ibn-ʿAbdallāh, surnamed abu-Bishr, who built at-Ṭākāt² in Baghḏâdh near Bāb ash-Shām.³

¹ "The elephant door"; cf. Tābarī, vol. ii, p. 27.
² Archways or arcades. Cf. Le Strange, Bagh’dād, p. 130.
Sahrâ’ umm-Salamah. The umm-Salamah desert was so called after umm-Salamah, daughter of Ya’kûb ibn-Salamah... ibn-Makhzûm and the wife of abu-l-‘Abbâs.

Al-Kûfah moat. I was told by abu-Mas‘ûd that al-Manšûr held the people of al-Kûfah responsible for its moat and that he imposed on every one of them forty dirhams to meet its expenses, he being displeased with them on account of their tendencies toward the Ṭâlibite party and their spreading false news regarding the sulṭân [the chief authority].

The inhabitants of al-Kûfah commended. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ʿĀmir:—‘Umar writing to the people of al-Kûfah called them “the head of the Arabs.”

Al-Ḥusain from Nâfi’ ibn-Jubair ibn-Muṭ‘îm:—‘Umar said, “In al-Kûfah are the most distinguished men.”

Al-Ḥusain and Ibrâhîm ibn-Musîm al-Khawârizmi from ash-Sha‘bi:—‘Umar in addressing the people of al-Kûfah wrote, “To the head of Islâm.”

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Shamîr ibn-‘Aṭîyah:—‘Umar said regarding the people of al-Kûfah, “They are the lance of Allah, the treasure of the faith, the cranium of the Arabs, who protect their own frontier forts and reinforce other Arabs.”

Abu-Nâṣr at-Tammâr from Salmân:—The latter said:—“Al-Kûfah is the dome of Islâm. There will be a time in which every believer will either be in it or will have his heart set upon it.”
CHAPTER IX

WĀSİṬ AL-ʿIRĀḵ

The first cathedral mosques. ‘Abd-al-Ḥamīd ibn-Wāsi’ al-Khatli-l-Ḥāṣib from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣāliḥ:—The first cathedral mosque built in as-Sawād was that of al-Madā’in built by Sa’d and his companions. It was later made larger and stronger under the supervision of Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Yamān who died at al-Madā’in in the year 36. After that, Sa’d established the mosque of al-Kūfah and that of al-Anbār.

Wāsiṭ built by al-Ḥajjāj. The city of Wāsiṭ was built in the year 83 or 84 by al-Ḥajjāj who also built its mosque, castle and Ḥubbat al-Khaḍrā’. The site of Wāsiṭ having been covered with reeds [kaṣab], the city acquired the name of Wāsiṭ al-Ḵaṣāb. This city is equidistant from al-Ahwāz, al-Baṣrāh and al-Kūfah. Ibn-al-Ḵirriyah remarks, “He [al-Ḥajjāj] has built it but not in his town, and shall leave it but not for his son.”

One of the sheikhs of Wāsiṭ from other sheikhs:—When al-Ḥajjāj completed the erection of Wāsiṭ, he wrote to ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān, “I have built a city in a hollow of the ground [kirsh] between al-Jabal and al-Miṣraín, and called it Wāsiṭ [lying halfway between].” That is why the people of Wāsiṭ were called the Kirshiyūn. Before he

1 Masjid jāme’ = the chief mosque of the city in which people assemble on Friday for prayer and the khutbah.


3 Tāj al-ʿArūs, s. v. kirsh.
erected Wâsiṭ, al-Ḥajjâj had the idea of taking up his abode in aṣ-Ṣin of Kaskar. He, therefore, dug Nahr [canal] aṣ-Ṣin and ordered that the workmen be chained together so that none of them might run away as a deserter. After that it occurred to him to establish Wâsiṭ ¹ which he later occupied, then he dug out an-Nîl ² and az-Zâbi canals. The latter was so called because it branched off from the old Zâbi. He thus reclaimed the land around these two canals and erected the city called an-Nîl ³ and populated it. He then turned his attention to certain crown-domains which ʿAbdallâh ibn-Darrâj, a freedman of Muʿâwiyyah ibn-abi-Suḫyân, had reclaimed (when with al-Mughirah ibn-Shuʿbah he had charge of the kharâj of al-Kûfah) for Muʿâwiyyah. These domains included waste lands, swamps, ditches and thickets. Al-Ḥajjâj built dams ⁴ in these domains; uprooted the reeds in them and added them to the domains of ʿAbd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân after populating them.

To his castle and the cathedral mosque in Wâsiṭ, al-Ḥajjâj brought doors from Zandaward,⁵ ad-Daukarah, Dârûsât, Dair Mâsirjasân ⁶ and Sharabîṭ, whose people protested, saying, “We have been guaranteed the security of our cities and possessions;” but he did not mind what they said.

Al-Mubarak. Al-Mubarak⁷ canal was dug by Khâlid ibn-ʿAbdallâh-1-Ḵasri al-Mubarak and commemorated by al-Farazdâk in certain verses.

¹ Kazwini, pp. 320-321; Ḥauḵal, pp. 162-163.
² Yaʿkûbi, Būdān, p. 322.
³ Tanbih, p. 52.
⁴ musannayât; Tâj al-ʿArûs, s. v. saniya; Māwardi, p. 311.
⁵ Ṭabarî, vol. iii, p. 321.
Khâlid’s bridge. Muhammad ibn-Khâlid ibn-‘Abdallâh at-Ṭaḥhân from his sheikhs:—Khâlid ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Κasri wrote to Hishâm ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik asking for permission to make an arch over the Tigris. Hishâm wrote back, “If this were possible, the Persians would have done it.” Khâlid wrote again; and Hishâm answered: “If thou art sure that it is feasible, thou mayst do it.” Khâlid built the arch at a great expense; but it was soon destroyed by the water. Hishâm made Khâlid pay the expenses out of his own pocket.

Al-Bazzâk. The canal known by the name of al-Bazzâk was an old one of which the Nabatean form is al-Bassâk, which means that which cuts the water off from what comes after it and takes it over to itself. In this canal the superfluous water from as-Sib jungles and some water of the Euphrates gather. This name was corrupted into al-Bazzâk.

Al-Maimûn. As for al-Maimûn it was first dug out by Sa‘id ibn-Zaid, an agent of umm-Ja‘far Zubaidah, daughter of Ja‘far ibn-al-Manṣûr. The mouth of al-Maimûn was near a village called Maimûn. In the time of al-Wâthik-Billâh, the position of the mouth was shifted by ‘Umar ibn-Faraj ar-Rukkhkhaji, but the river kept its old name al-Maimûn [the auspicious], lest the idea of auspiciousness be dissociated from it.

I was informed by Muḥammad ibn-Khâlid that by the order of caliph al-Mahdî, Nahr as-‘Silah was dug out and the lands around it were entrusted to farmers. The income thereof was used as stipends to the inhabitants of the sacred territories of Makkah and al-Madînah [ahl al-Haramain] and for other expenses there. It was stipulated on the tenants who came to those lands that they should yield two-

1 Ṭabari, vol. iii, p. 1760: “Nahr Maimûn.”
fifths [?] of the produce, with the understanding that after holding their share for fifty years, they should yield as tax one-half of its produce. This stipulation is still in force.\textsuperscript{1}

\textit{Al-Amīr}. As regards Nahr al-Amīr, it was ascribed to ʻĪsa ibn-ʻAli and lay in his fief.

\textit{Mashra‘at al-Fil}. We were informed by Muḥammad ibn-Khālid that Muḥammad ibn-al- CONSTANTS presented to al-Ḥajjāj an elephant from as-Sind\textsuperscript{2} which was transported through al-Baṭā’ih [the great swamp] on a ship and was landed at a watering place, which has since been called Mashra‘at al-Fil or Furqat\textsuperscript{3} al-Fil.

\textsuperscript{1} Cf. Ŧudāmah, pp. 241-242.

\textsuperscript{2} A country bordering upon India, Karmān and Sijistān; Meynard, p. 324.

\textsuperscript{3} mashra‘at = wharf; furqat = harbor.
CHAPTER X

AL-BATÂ'IH

Al-'Aurâ'. I was informed by certain learned men that the Persians often discussed the future fall of their kingdom and thought that earthquakes and floods would be the sign thereof. Now, the Tigris emptied its water into Dijlat al-Başrah, also called al-'Aurâ', by means of branching streams which drew their water from the main stream which carried the rest of the water and looked like one of those streams.

The formation of al-Batâ'ih. In the days of Kubâdh ibn-Fairûz, the water at the lower part of Kaskar broke through a great breach which was neglected until its waters drowned large, flourishing tracts of land. Kubâdh was a feeble man and cared little for the breach. But when his son Anûshirwân came to rule, he ordered that dams be made and thus the water was stopped and some of the lands flourished again.

When the year came in which the Prophet sent Abdallah ibn-Ḥudhâfish as-Sahmi to Kisra Abarwiz, which was the year 7 A.H. (others say 6), the waters of the Tigris and the Euphrates rose to a height never reached before or since, causing many great breaches. Abarwiz made special effort to stop the breaches; but the water had the better of him,

2 Tha'âlibi, pp. 586-603.
turned towards al-Baṭā’ih and overflowed the buildings and plants, drowning many cantons that were there. Kisra rode out in person to block the breaches; he scattered money right and left, put many workmen to death and, according to a report, crucified on certain breaches forty dam builders in one day; but all that was of no avail against the force of water.

With the advent of the Arabs into al-‘Irāk, the Persians were kept too busy fighting to mind the breaches which would burst and no one would mind them; and the feudal lords [dīkhāns] failed to block them. Consequently, al-Baṭīḥah was made wider and more extensive.

‘Abdallāh ibn-Darrāj. When Mu‘āwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyān became ruler, he appointed ‘Abdallāh ibn-Darrāj, his freedman, over the kharāj of al-‘Irāk. ‘Abdallāh, by cutting down the reeds and stopping the water by dams, reclaimed for his master lands in al-Baṭā’ih, the income of which amounted to 5,000,000 [dirhams].

Ḥassān an-Nabaṭi. Then came Ḥassān an-Nabaṭi, the freedman of the banu-Ḍabbah, the builder of Ḥauḍ [reservoir] Ḥassān in al- Başrah and the one after whom Manārat [light-house] Ḥassān in al-Baṭā’ih is named. Ḥassān reclaimed certain lands in al-Baṭā’ih for al-Ḥajjāj in the days of al-Walid and for Hishām ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik.

Al-Jānīb canal. Before al-Baṭā’ih was formed, there was at Kaskar a canal called al-Jānīb, along the south bank of which ran the post-road to Maisān, Dastumaisān and al-Ahwāz. When al-Baṭā’ih was formed, that part of the

1 The great swamp in which water overflowing from the Tigris and Euphrates disappeared. Rustah, p. 94.
2 Anūshirwān; Tha‘āliibi, p. 603.
4 Kūdámah, p. 240.
post-road which became a thicket was called Ājām al-Barīd; and the other part was called Ājām Aghmarbathi, in which the great thickets lie. The canal is now seen in the al-Jāmidah [solid] lands that have recently been reclaimed and rendered fit for use.

The version of Abu-Mas'ūd. Abu-Mas'ūd al-Kūfī from his sheikhs:—Al-Baṭṭā'īh was formed after the "flight" of the Prophet and during the reign of Abarwiz over the Persians. Many great fissures were formed which Kisra was unable to block, thus making the rivers overflow and producing al-Baṭṭā'īh. At the time of the Moslem wars with the Persians, the water overflowed and no one took the trouble to block the fissures. This enlarged the Baṭṭāhah and made it wider. The banu-Umaiyyah had reclaimed a part of the Baṭṭāhah, which part was again sunk in the time of al-Ḥajjāj when new breaches appeared which al-Ḥajjāj did not care to block, trying thereby to injure the Persian feudal lords whom he suspected to be on the side of ibn-al-Ash'ath who had broken off his allegiance to al-Ḥajjāj. Ḥassān an-Nabatī reclaimed for Hishām certain tracts of the Baṭṭāhah land.

Abu-l-Asad. Abu-l-Asad, from whom Nahr abu-l-Asad takes its name, was one of the generals of the caliph al-Manṣūr, and one of those sent to al- Başrah when 'Abdallāh ibn-'Ali resided in it. It was this abu-l-Asad who made 'Abdallāh ibn-'Ali enter al-Kūfah.

I was told by 'Umar ibn-Bukair that al-Manṣūr dispatched his freedman abu-l-Asad, who pitched his camp between al-Manṣūr and the army of 'Īsa ibn-Mūsa as al-Manṣūr was fighting against Ibrāhīm ibn-'Abdallāh ibn-al-Ḥasan ibn-al-Ḥasan ibn-'Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib. The same abu-l-Asad dug the canal near al-Baṭṭāhah which bears his name.

1 "A Nabatean word which means the great thickets;" Ḳudāmah, p. 241.
Others say that abu-l-Asad, reaching the mouth of the canal and finding it too narrow for the ships, widened it; and, therefore, it was named after him.

It is stated by abu-Mas‘ūd that in the time of the “blessed dynasty” certain breaches were formed which made al-Baṭā‘iḥ larger. Because of the water of the Euphrates, many thickets grew, of which some were reclaimed and made tillable land.

Maslamah reclaims new lands. Abu-Mas‘ūd from ‘Awānah.—In the days of al-Ḥajjāj, new breaches were made. Al-Ḥajjāj wrote to al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik stating that he estimated that 3,000,000 dirhams would be required for blocking them. Al-Walid thought that too much. Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik said to al-Walid, “I offer to pay the expenses provided thou givest me as fief the depressed tracts in which the water remains, after spending 3,000,000 dirhams, which sum shall be spent under the direct supervision of thy counsellor and trusted man, al-Ḥajjāj.” Al-Walid accepted the offer. Maslamah gained possession of lands that had many cantons close together. He dug as-Sibain and induced the farmers and tenants to come and hold land. Thus the land flourished; and in order to secure his protection, many landowners voluntarily turned their farms over to him, and then held them from him as fief. When the “blessed dynasty” came and the possessions of the banu-Umayyah were confiscated, all as-Sibain was assigned as fief to Dā‘ūd ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-al-‘Abbās, from whose heirs it was bought with its rights and boundaries and was included in the crown-domains [ḍiyā‘ al-khilafah].

1 The dual form of as-Sib.
CHAPTER XI

MADINAT AS-SALÂM

Built by al-Mansûr. Baghdadh\(^1\) was an ancient city, but al-Mansûr colonized it, and added a city to it\(^2\) which he began in the year 145. Hearing that Muḥammad and Ibrāhīm, the sons of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḥasan ibn-Ḥasan, had thrown off their allegiance to him, al-Mansûr returned to al-Kūfah. In the year 146, he transferred the public treasures [buyūt al-māl], repositories, and registers from al-Kūfah to Baghdādh, and called it Madinat as-Salâm [the city of peace]. In the year 147, the wall of this city, with everything else connected with it, and the wall of ancient Baghdādh were completed. Al-Mansûr died in Makkah in the year 158 and was buried near the well of Maimūn ibn-al-Ḥadrami, an ally of the banu-Umaiyyah.

Ar-Ruṣāfah. Ar-Ruṣāfah\(^3\) was built for al-Mahdi by al-Mansûr on the east side of Baghdādh. This side was called ‘Askar [camp] al-Mahdi\(^4\) because al-Mahdi camped in it on his way to ar-Rai. When he returned from ar-Rai, he settled in ar-Ruṣāfah, although it had occurred to al-Mansûr to direct him to settle in Khurâsân. This took place in the year 151. Before al-Mahdi had occupied the

1 A Persian word meaning the city “founded by God,” see Le Strange, Baghdad, pp. 10-11.
2 Ḥaukal, p. 164.
3 i. e., causeway, the eastern suburb of Baghdād. Iṣṭakhri, pp. 83, 84;
Tābīb, p. 360.
4 Ya’kūbi, Buldān, p. 251.
east side, a palace was built for him by al-Manṣūr’s order; the one variously known as Ḍaṣr al-Waḍḍāḥ, Ḍaṣr al-Mahdi and ash-Sharkiyah.¹ It lay on the other side of Bāb al-Karkh. Al-Waḍḍāḥ, after whom it is sometimes called, was a man from al-Anbār who had charge of the expenses.

**Al-Manṣūr as a builder.** Al-Manṣūr built the two mosques of Madinat as-Salām and the new bridge over aṣ-Šarāṭ [canal]. The site of the city he bought from the owners of the villages of Bādūrāiya, Kaṭrābbul [or Ḷuṭrābbul], Nahr Būk and Nahr Bin. He gave the city as fief to members of his household, his generals, soldiers, companions and secretaries. He made the meeting place of the streets at al-Karkh, and ordered the merchants to build their shops and held them responsible for the rent.²

**Places of interest in Baghdād.**³ The al-Mukharrim quarter ⁴ in Baghdād takes its name from Mukharrim ibn-Shu-raiḥ ⁵ ibn-Ḥazn al-Ḥārithi.

The Ḫaṭarat al-Barādān quarter, from as-Sāri ibn-al-Ḥuṭaym,⁶ the builder of al-Ḥuṭamiyyah.

Aṣ-Sāliḥiyah, from Ṣāliḥ ibn-al-Manṣūr.

Al-Ḥarbiyyah, from Ḥarb ibn-‘Abdallāh al-Balkhi,⁷ the commander of the guard in al-Mauṣil under Ja‘far ibn-abi-Ja‘far.

Az-Zuhairiyah or Bāb at-Tībn, from Zuhair ibn-Muḥammad of the inhabitants of Abiward.

¹ i. e., “the oriental palace”. Ya‘kūbī, p. 245.
² Ar. ghallāh = rent paid for buildings standing on the property of the state.
³ The following list is an abridged form of the original.
⁴ Le Strange, pp. 217-230.
⁵ Duraid, p. 238, omits “ibn-Shuraib”.
'Isâbâdh, from 'Isa ibn-al-Mahdi.¹

Kaşr 'Abdawaih standing opposite Barâtha, from 'Abdawaih, a notable of the Azd.

Al-Manṣûr assigned as fief to Sulaimân ibn-Mujâlid the site of his home; to Muňjalhil ibn-Šafwân, after whom Darb Muhalhil is named, a special fief; to 'Umârah ibn-Ḥamzah, the quarter that bears his name; to Maimûn abu-Bishr after whom Taḵât Bishr are named, a special fief near Bustân al-Ḵass;² to Shubail, his freedman, a fief near Dâr Yâkṭîn; to umm-‘Ubaidah, a freedmaid of Muḥammad ibn-‘Ali, a fief; to Munirah, a freedmaid of Muḥammad ibn-‘Ali and after whom Darb Munirah and Khân [inn] Munirah are named, a special fief; and to Raisânah ³ a spot known by the name of Masjid bani-Raghbân.⁴

Darb [path] Mîhrawaih takes its name from Mîhrawaih ar-Râzi who was one of the captives of Sînfâdh and was set free by al-Mahdi.

The city a residence for the caliphs. Al-Manṣûr lived in Madinat as-Salâm to the last days of his caliphate. He made a pilgrimage from it and died in Makkah. The city was then occupied by the caliph al-Mahdi. Later, al-Mahdi left for Mâsabaddâh, where he died. Most of the time he spent in Madinat as-Salâm was passed in palaces he built at 'Isâbâdh.

Madinat as-Salâm was then occupied by al-Hâdi Mûsa ibn-al-Mahdi, who died in it. Ar-Rashid Hârûn also resided in it, and later left it for ar-Râfikah where he stayed for a while and then departed for Khurâsân, and died at Tûs. The city became after that the residence of Muḥam-mad ibn-ar-Rashid, who was slain in it.

¹ Tanbih, pp. 343-344.
² Ya'kûbi, p. 247.
⁴ Dhahabi, p. 227; Ya'kûbi, pp. 244-245.
Al-Ma’mūn ‘Abdallāh ibn-ar-Rashīd came to the city from Khurāsān and took up his abode in it. He then left on an expedition during which he died at al-Fadhandūn and was buried at Tarsūs.

**Surra-man-ra’a, a residence for the caliphs.** Caliph al-Mu’tasim-Billāh made his residence in it and then left it for al-Kāṭūl ¹ where he occupied the Ǧaṣr ar-Rashid which was built when ar-Rashīd dug out Kāṭūlaḥ [canal] and called it abu-l-Jund [the father of the army] because the land watered by it produced enough provisions for the army. Al-Mu’tasim erected in al-Kāṭūl a building which he occupied, and offered the Ǧaṣr to Ashnās at Turki [the Turk], his freedman. He started to colonize that region and after beginning a new city, he gave it up and built the city of Surra-man-ra’a.² He transplanted people to it and made it his residence. At the meeting point of the streets, he built a cathedral mosque, and called the city Surra-man-ra’a. Al-Mu’tasim made his freedman, Ashnās, together with the other generals who had joined him [Ashnās], settle at Karkh Fairūz. Other generals were given the houses called al-‘Arabāya.³ Al-Mu’tasim died in Surra-man-ra’a in the year 227.

Hārūn al-Wāthik-Billāh lived to the last day of his life in a house which he built at Surra-man-ra’a and called al-Hārūnī.

When in duḥu-l-Ḥijjah, year 232, the caliph Ja’far al-Mutawakkil-‘Alallāh was installed, he made al-Hārūnī his residence. He erected many buildings and assigned to different men fiefs in a place back of Surra-man-ra’a called al-

---

¹ Tanbih, pp. 356-357.
Ḥāʾir in which al-Muʿtaṣim had put him in confinement, thus giving more space for the inhabitants. Al-Mutawakkilkil also built a great cathedral mosque and lavished enormous sums of money on it, making the minaret so high that the voices of the muezzins could be easily heard, and the minaret could be seen at a distance of many parasangs. The Moslems gave up the first mosque and held Friday prayers in it.

Al-Mutawakkilikiyah. Al-Mutawakkil founded a city which he called al-Mutawakkilikiyah. He built it between al-Karkh, known by the name of Fairūz, and al-Ḵāṭīl, known by the name of Kisra, chose it for his abode and gave fiefs in it. The houses and the villages known as al-Māḥūzah were included in it. Al-Mutawakkil built in this city a cathedral mosque. From the time he started the city to the time he occupied it, only a few months elapsed, the occupation having taken place at the beginning of the year 246. Here he died in Shauwāl, [2] 47.

In the same night on which he died, al-Muntaṣir-Billāh was proclaimed caliph; and on Tuesday the 10th of Shauwāl, he left al-Mutawakkilikiyah for Surra-man-raʿa, where he died.

‘Uyūn at-Ṭaff. ‘Uyūn [springs] at-Ṭaff which include ‘Ain aṣ-Ṣaid, al-Ḳuṭkuṭānāh, ar-Ruaimah, ‘Ain Jamal and the lands that belonged to them, were held by the garrisons that guarded the frontier forts beyond as-Sawād. These springs lay near Ḳhandāk [trench] Sābūr, which Sābūr had dug between him and the Arabs who guarded the frontier and the other Arabs who lived there. Sābūr allowed them the use of the land as fief without collecting kharāj from them.

In the battle of dhu-Ḳār in which Allah through his Prophet gave the Arabs the victory, the Arabs gained possession of some of these springs, the rest remaining in the hands of the Persians. When the Arabs advanced to al-Ḥirah, the Persians took to flight after covering over with earth all the springs in their lands. The Arabs who held the remaining springs embraced Islām; and the land which they cultivated became tithe-land.

After the battles of al-Ḳâdisiyah and al-Madâ‘in, the lands whose owners had evacuated them, were turned over to the Moslems and given out as fiefs, thus becoming tithe-lands. Such was the case with ‘Uyūn aṣ-Ṭaff whose lands are treated like the villages in the valley of al-Madinah and the villages of Najd, all the šadaḵah thereof being given to the ūmis of al-Madinah.

When Ishāk ibn-Ibrāhim ibn-Muṣ‘ab ruled over as-Sawād in the name of al-Mutawakkil, he added these ‘Uyūn and their lands to what he already controlled; and he collected their tithe, treating them as any other land in as-Sawād, which status they still retain. The Moslems later dug out many other springs which irrigate lands that are treated in a similar way.

‘Ain al-Jamal. I was told by a sheikh that ‘Ain al-Jamal was so called because a camel [Ar. jamal] died near it. Others say that the one who dug it out was called Jamal.

‘Ain aṣ-Ṣaid. ‘Ain aṣ-Ṣaid [fishing spring] was so called because fish gathered in it. I was told by certain Kuraiziyûn [?] that this spring was one of those covered with earth. As one of the Moslems was passing there, the legs of his horse sank in the mud. He dismounted and dug in the ground; and the water appeared. With the help of

1 Rustah, p. 180: “‘Ain Jamal”.
certain men he called, the earth and soil were removed, the course was opened and the water issued as before. The spring then passed to the hands of ‘Īsâ ibn-‘Ali, who bought it from a son of Ḥasan ibn-Ḥasan ibn-‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib. One of ‘Īsâ’s wives was umm-Kalthûm, daughter of Ḥasan ibn-Ḥasan. Mu‘āwiyyah in exchange for the caliphate, assigned, together with other things, ‘Ain as-Ṣaid as fief to al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Ali.

‘Ain ar-Rahbah. ‘Ain ar-Rahbah was also one of the springs buried of old. A pilgrim from Karmân once saw it leaking; and when he returned from his pilgrimage, he advised ‘Īsâ ibn-Mûsa regarding it and pointed it out to him. This Karmân man took it as fief with its land and dug it out. He cultivated the lands around it and planted the palm-trees which stand on al-‘Udhaib road.

‘Uyun al-‘Irk. A few parasangs from Hit lie certain springs called al-‘Irk which are similar to ‘Uyun at-Ṭaff, and whose tithes are taken by the chief of Hit.

The meaning of Sawâd. Al-Athram from abu-‘Amr ibn-al-‘Alâ’—When the Arabs saw the great number of villages, palm and other trees, they exclaimed, “Never did we see a greater number of sawâd!” i. e., objects. Hence the name of the country as-Sawâd.

The market compared to the place of worship. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from Muhammad ibn-abi-Mûsa:—One day ‘Ali went out to the market and saw that his relatives had secured special places, upon which he remarked, “That can not be. For the Moslems, the market is similar to the place of worship: he who arrives first can hold his seat all day until he leaves it.”

Abu-‘Ubaid from ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-‘Ubaid’s father:—The latter said, “In the time of al-Mughirah ibn-Shu‘bâh, we used to go early into the market; and when one sat in a place, he had claim on it until the nightfall. But when
Ziyâd came, he ordered that he who sat in a place, could claim it so long as he occupied it."

According to Marwân, al-Mughîrah held the governorship of al-Kûfah twice: once for ‘Umar and another time for Mu‘āwiyah.
CHAPTER XII

ARABIC MADE THE LANGUAGE OF THE REGISTER

Al-Madâ‘ini ‘Ali ibn-Mu‘ammad ibn-abi-Saif from his sheikhs:—Persian was the language of the register of the kharâj of as-Sawâd and the rest of al-‘Irâk. When al-Ḥajjâj became ruler of al-‘Irâk he chose Zâdân Farrûkh ibn-Yabra for secretary, and the latter was assisted by Šâlih ibn-‘Abd-ar-Raḥmân, a freedman of the banu-Tamîm, who knew both Arabic and Persian. Šâlih’s father was one of the captives of Sijistân. Through Zâdân Farrûkh, Šâlih was acquainted with al-Ḥajjâj, who found him acceptable. One day Šâlih said to Zâdân, “Thou art the means by which I became acquainted with the governor; and I see that he has found me acceptable. I, therefore, do not wonder if he should promote me over thee, in which case thou wouldst fall.” “Never believe that,” answered Zâdân; “he has more use for me than I for him, because none but myself can be found to keep his books.” “By Allah,” retorted Šâlih, “if thou so desire, I could change the accounts into Arabic.” “Try a part of it,” said Zâdân, “and I will see.” Šâlih having done that, Zâdân asked him to feign illness, which he did. Al-Ḥajjâj sent his own physician, but found nothing wrong with Šâlih. Hearing that, Zâdân ordered him to appear.

In the days of ‘Abd-ar-Raḥmân ibn-Mu‘ammad ibn-al-Ash‘ath al-Kindi, Zâdân Farrûkh was killed on his way from some house to his own home, or some other man’s home. Thereupon, al-Ḥajjâj made Šâlih secretary in the
place of Zādān. Śāliḥ reported to al-Ḥajjāj the conversation that took place between him and Zādān relative to the change of the language of the register. Al-Ḥajjāj immediately made up his mind to adopt Arabic as the language of the register and charged Śāliḥ with the task. Mardānshāh ibn-Zādān Farrūkh asked Śāliḥ, “What wouldst thou do with dahwiyah and shashwiyah?” To this, Śāliḥ replied, “I shall use instead ‘ushr [tenth] and nusf ‘ushr’ [half-tenth].”—“And what about wid?”—“I shall use aiḍan” (wid means excess). Hearing that, Mardānshāh said, “May God efface thy trace from the world as thou hast effaced the trace of the Persian!” Śāliḥ was later offered 100,000 dirhams in order to show that it was impossible to change the language of the register and to refrain from doing it; but he refused and carried out the plan. ‘Aṣb-al-Ḥamīd ibn-Yahya, the secretary of Marwān ibn-Muḥammad used to say, “Great is Śāliḥ, and great is the favor he has bestowed upon the secretaries!”

‘Umar ibn-Shabbah from Sahl ibn-abi-aṣ-Ṣalt:—Al-Ḥajjāj assigned for Śāliḥ ibn-‘Abd-ar-Rahmān a certain period in which to change the language of the register.³

¹ These are the Arabic equivalent of the Persian terms dahwiyah and shashwiyah; shash means six.
² wid or waid is used to-day in Persian in the sense of “little”. Ar. aiḍan corresponds to ditto.
PART X

MEDIA [AL-JIBÂL]
CHAPTER I

ḤULWĀN

Ḥulwān capitulates. After the decisive battle of Jalūlā’ had been won by the Moslems, Ḥāshim ibn-‘Utbah ibn-abi-Wakāṣ added to the forces of Jarir ibn-‘Abdallāh al-Bajāli a heavy detachment of cavalry and stationed him at Jalūlā’ between the Moslems and their enemy [the Persians].

Later on, Saʿd sent Jarir about 3,000 Moslems and ordered him to advance with them and his forces to Ḥulwān.1 No sooner had Jarir approached Ḥulwān than Yazdajird fled away in the direction of Iṣbahān. Ḥulwān capitulated; and Jarir promised to spare the people and guarantee their lives and possessions without interfering with those who preferred to flee the land.

Karmāṣīn. Leaving in Ḥulwān a part of his forces [?] with ‘Azrah ibn-Ḳais ibn-Ghazīyah-l-Bajāli, Jarir pushed towards ad-Dinawar, which he failed to reduce. He, however, reduced Karmāṣīn 2 on the same terms as Ḥulwān. He then returned to Ḥulwān and held its governorship until the arrival of ‘Ammār ibn-Yāsir in al-Kūfah. ‘Ammār wrote to Jarir that ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb wanted him to reinforce abu-Mūsa-l-Ashʿari [in Tustar]. Accordingly, Jarir left ‘Azrah ibn-Ḳais over Ḥulwān, and in the year 19 made his way to join abu-Mūsa.

Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from ‘Aʿishah, daughter of Saʿd ibn-

1 Rustah, pp. 164-165.
2 Yāḵūṭ, vol. iv, p. 68.
abi-Wakkâş:—The latter said, "When Mu‘âwiyah put Ḫujr ibn-'Adi-l-Kindi to death, my father made the following remark, ‘If Mu‘âwiyah had seen the part Ḫujr had taken in the reduction of Ḫulwân, he would have realized of what great value he was to Islâm’.

According to al-Wâkidî, certain sons of Jarîr ibn-Abdal-lâh settled in Ḫulwân, where their descendants are still living.

1 Aghâni, vol. xvi, pp. 3-4; Athîr, vol. iii, pp. 392 seq.; Masûdi, vol. v, pp. 15 seq.

CHAPTER II

THE CONQUEST OF NIHÂWAND

An-Nu‘mân in chief command. In the year 19, when Yazdajird fled away from Ḥulwân, the Persians and the people of ar-Rai, Kūmis, Iṣbahân, Hamadhân and al-Mâhain communicated with one another and, in the year 20, joined Yazdajird. The latter put at their head Mardânshâh dhu-l-Ḥâjib and they unfurled their flag ad-Dirafshikâbiyân. These “polytheists” numbered 60,000, and according to other estimates, 100,000. When ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir communicated this news to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, the latter was on the point of leading an expedition in person against them, but desisted lest the Arabs should then prevail over Najd and other places. The advice to let the Syrians lead the attack from Syria and the Yamanites from al-Yaman was also discarded, lest the Greeks should return to their home, and the Abyssinians should subjugate what was next to them. Consequently, he wrote to the people of al-Kûfah ordering that two-thirds of them should set out and one-third should stay for the defense of their homes and country. From the people of al- Başrah, he also sent a group of men. He then said, “I shall use over the army someone who shall be the first to expose himself to the spears.” Accordingly, ‘Umar wrote to an-Nu‘mân ibn-‘Amr ibn-Mu- karrin al-Muzani, who was at that time with as-Sâ’ib ibn-al-


2 The original is obscure. Cf. Dinawari, p. 142; Caetani, vol. iv, p. 315, n. 1.
Akra' ath-Thakafi, assigning him to the leadership of the army, saying, "In case thou art killed, Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Yamān shall be the leader; if he should be killed, then Jarir ibn-'Abdallāh al-Bajali; if he should be killed, then al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bah; and if he should be killed, al-Ash'ath ibn-Ḳais." This an-Nu'mān was at that time the 'āmil over Kaskar and its territory. Others say he was in al-Madinah, and when he received his appointment over this army from 'Umar by word of mouth, he started from it.

Shaibān from Ma'ḳil ibn-Yasār:—When 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sought the advice of al-Hurmuzān, saying, "Shall we begin with Iṣbahān or Adharbaijān [Atrapatakan]?" al-Hurmuzān replied, "Iṣbahān is the head, and Adharbaijān the wings. Cut off the head, and the wings will fall off together with it." ¹

Al-Mughirah as envoy. When 'Umar entered the mosque [in al-Madinah], his eyes fell on an-Nu'mān ibn-Muḳarrin; so he took a seat by his side. When he was through with his prayer, 'Umar said, "I want thee to be my 'āmil [lieutenant]". An-Nu'mān replied, "If a collecting-'āmil, no; but if an invading-'āmil, yes." "An invading one," said 'Umar. Thus 'Umar sent an-Nu'mān and wrote to the people of al-Kūfah to reinforce him, which they did, sending among others al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bah. An-Nu'mān sent al-Mughirah to dhu-l-Ḥājibain,² the Persian chief at Nihāwand.³ Al-Mughirah [reaching the Persian camp] drew his sword and began cutting the rugs to pieces until he presented himself before the chief, upon which he took his seat on the throne. By dhu-l-Ḥājib's

² He is also called dhu-l-Ḥājib Mardānshāh.
order, al-Mughirah was dragged out. So he exclaimed:
"[Remember that] I am an envoy!"

The battle fought. When the Moslems met the "polytheists", they found them fastened in chains, in tens and fives, so that they might not flee. Before the fight started they shot their arrows and wounded some of the Moslems. Then an-Nu'mân said, "I noticed that when the Prophet did not carry on the fight in the morning, he would wait until the sun set and the wind blew; then the victory would be assured." ¹ An-Nu'mân added, "I shall now shake the standard I carry three times. After the first shake, let each perform the ablutions and satisfy his natural wants. After the second shake, let each turn to his sword (he may have said sandal-thong) and get ready, putting everything in order. When the third shake is, by Allah's will, made, then rush and let none of you heed the other." Saying this, an-Nu'mân shook the standard and they did as he had ordered them. His coat of mail was too heavy for him; but he fought and his men fought; and he was the first Moslem to be killed.

The Persian [dhu-l-Ḥājib] fell from his mule and his belly was cut open.

Ma'kîl ibn-Yasâr [the narrator of this tradition] adds, "Coming to an-Nu'mân and finding that life had not yet fully departed from him, I washed his face with some water I carried in a vessel; upon which he asked, 'Who art thou?' — 'Ma'kîl.' — 'How did the Moslems fare?' — 'I have glad tidings; Allah has given us conquest and victory!' — 'Praise be to Allah! Write and tell 'Umar about it.'"

The news carried to 'Umar. Shaibân from abu-'Uthmân an-Nahdi:—The latter said, "I myself carried the glad news to 'Umar who asked, 'And what about an-Nu'—

mān?’ ‘He was killed,’ said I. ‘We are Allah’s,’ remarked ‘Umar, ‘and to Allah we return.’ Saying this, he began to cry. I then said, ‘By Allah, he was killed with others whom I know not.’ ‘But whom Allah knows,’ said he.”

‘Ahmad ibn-Ibrāhīm from abū-‘Uthmān an-Nahdi:—The latter said, “When ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb received the news of the death of an-Nu‘mān ibn-Mukarrin, he covered his face with his hands and began to cry.”

The version of as-Sā‘ib. Al-‘Aṣim ibn-Sallām from as-Sā‘ib ibn-al-Akrah:—The latter said, “An army, the similar to which was never seen before, marched against the Moslems.” He then cited the tradition regarding ‘Umar’s intention to lead the expedition in person, his appointment of an-Nu‘mān ibn-Mukarrin, the forwarding with as-Sā‘ib (whom ‘Umar put in charge of the booty) of the appointment in which these words occur: “Bring no false case to my attention, and never hold justice from anyone.” The tradition then gives an account of the battle and mentions that an-Nu‘mān was the first to be killed in the battle of Nihawand, upon which Ḥudhaifah carried the standard and Allah gave them [the Arabs] victory. As-Sā‘ib adds, “I gathered the booty and divided it. After that there came to me a spy; saying, ‘The treasure of an-Nakhīkhān is in the castle.’ When I climbed up there, I found two chests containing pearls, the similar to which I never saw before. I then made my way to ‘Umar who, having not yet received the news, was roaming in the streets [of al-Madīnah] and making inquiries. Seeing me, ‘Umar exclaimed, ‘Oh! what news?’ I gave him an account of the battle and the death

1 Cf. Ta’bari, vol. i, p. 2597.
2 Cf. Dinawari, p. 145.
3 Ibid., p. 145: “Nukhārijān”.
of an-Nu‘mân and mentioned the case of the two chests. ‘Umar said ‘Go and sell the chests and divide the price among the Moslems.’ Accordingly, I took them to al-Kūfah, where I met a young man of the Kuraish, ‘Amr ibn-Ḥuraith, by name, who paid their price from the stipends of [his own] family and the warriors of its members. One chest he took to al-Ḥīrah, where he sold it for the same price he had paid me for both; and the other he kept. This was the first part of the fortune ‘Amr amassed.’

*Other versions.* A certain biographer reports that the battle of Nihâwand was fought on Wednesday and Thursday and, after a short cessation, fighting was continued on Friday. He reports in describing the battle a similar tradition to that reported by Ḥammâd ibn-Salamah.

Ibn-al-Kalbi from abu-Mikhnaf:—An-Nu‘mân camped at al-Isbidhâhâr with al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ḳais commanding his right wing and al-Mughirah ibn-Shu‘bah, the left wing. In the fight that ensued, an-Nu‘mân was killed. At last the Moslems won the victory; and that conquest was termed “the victory of victories.” The conquest of Nihâwand took place on Wednesday, year 19, and according to others, 20.

Ar-Rîfâ‘î from al-Ḥasan and Muḥammad:—The battle of Nihâwand took place in the year 21. A similar tradition was communicated to me by ar-Rîfâ‘î on the authority of Muḥammad ibn-Ḳa‘b.

*Dînâr makes terms for the city.* Others report that after the defeat of the Persian army and the victory of the Moslems, Hudhaifah, who was at that time the leader, laid siege to Nihâwand, whose inhabitants made sorties but were de-

---

1 Hamadhâni, pp. 211, 259, and Dinawari, p. 143: “al-Isbidhâhân”; Yâkût, vol. i, p. 239: “Isbidhâhân”.

feated. One day Simâk ibn-‘Ubaid al-‘Absi chased a Persian who was accompanied by 8 horsemen. Simâk killed all eight, as each of them in turn turned against him. Seeing that he was left alone, the man chased yielded and laid down his arms. Simâk took him as prisoner; but as he spoke Persian, Simâk called someone who understood him and translated what he said, which was, “I shall go to your leader that I may make terms with him on this land, pay him poll-tax, and give thee for taking me as prisoner whatever thou requestest. To thee I owe a great deal because thou didst spare my life.” Simâk asked, “What is thy name?” and he replied, “Dinâr.” Simâk led him to Ḥu-dhaifah who made terms with him, stipulating that the kharâj and poll-tax be paid, and that the safety of the possessions, walls and dwellings of the inhabitants of his city, Nihâwand, be guaranteed. Nihâwand was thereafter called Mâh Dinâr. Dinâr often came after that to Simâk, offering him presents and showing his loyalty.

Mâh al-Baṣrah and Mâh al-Kûfah. Abu-Mas‘ûd al-Kûfî from al-Mubârak ibn-Sa‘îd’s father.—Nihâwand was one of the places conquered by the people of al-Kûfah, whereas ad-Dinawar was one of those conquered by the people of al-Baṣrah. The increase of the Moslems at al-Kûfah made it necessary that the lands the kharâj of which was divided among them be increased. Consequently, [the district of] ad-Dinawar was given them, in exchange for which the people of al-Baṣrah were given [the district of] Nihâwand which formed a part of [the province of] Iṣbahân. The excess of the kharâj of ad-Dinawar over that of Nihâwand was therefore a gain for the people of al-Kûfah.¹ Nihâwand was thereafter called Mâh al-Baṣrah; and ad-Dinawar, Mâh al-Kûfah. All this took place during the caliphate of Mu‘awiyyah.

The meaning of “al-Yamān”. I have been informed by certain men of learning that Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Yamān was the son of Ḥusail [Ḥisl] ibn-Jābir al-‘Absi. He was an ally of the banu-‘Abd-al-Ashhal of al-Anṣār; and his mother was ar-Rabāb, daughter of Ka‘b ibn-‘Adi of the ‘Abd-al-Ashhal tribe. His father was killed in the battle of Uhud by ‘Abdallāh ibn-Mas‘ūd al-Hudhali, who killed him by mistake, taking him for an “unbeliever”. In accordance with the Prophet’s order, the blood money was paid; but Ḥudhaifah distributed it among the Moslems. According to al-Wāqīḍi, Ḥusail was nicknamed al-Yamān because he had commercial interests in al-Yaman; and whenever he arrived in al-Madinah, people would say, “Here comes al-Yamānī [of which al-Yamān is a shortened form].” According to al-Kalbi, however, Ḥudhaifah was the son of Ḥusail ibn-Jābir ibn-Rabi‘ah ibn-‘Amr ibn-Jurwah, Jurwah being the one nicknamed al-Yamānī after whom Ḥudhaifah was so called, although between the two many generations intervened. Jurwah, in pre-Islamic times, killed someone and fled to al-Madinah, where he became an ally of the banu-‘Abd-al-Ashhal. His people called him al-Yamānī because he made an alliance with the Yamanites.
CHAPTER III

AD-DINAWAR, MĀSABADHĀN AND MIHRIJĀNKAĐHAF

Ad-Dinawar makes terms. Abu-Mūsā-l-Ashʿari left Nihāwand, to which he had come with the army of al-Baṣraḥ for the reinforcement of an-Nuʿmān ibn-Muqarrin. On his way, he passed by ad-Dinawar where he camped for five days, in which he was offered resistance for only one day. The people of ad-Dinawar then agreed to pay tax and kharāj, and sought safety for their lives, possessions and children. Abu-Mūsa granted their request and left over the city his ʿāmil, together with some horsemen, and proceeded to Māsabadhān, whose people offered no resistance.¹

As-Sirawān makes terms. The people of as-Sirawān made terms similar to those of ad-Dinawar, agreeing to pay poll-tax and kharāj; and Abu-Mūsa sent detachments and conquered all the lands of ad-Dinawar. Others assert that Abu-Mūsa conquered Māsabadhān before the battle of Nihāwand.

As-Ṣaimarah capitulates. Abu-Mūsa ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ḵais al-Ashʿari sent as-Sāʿib ibn-al-Akrāʿ ath-Thakafi—who was his son-in-law through his daughter umm-Muḥammad ibn-as-Sāʿib—to as-Ṣaimarah the chief city of Mihrijān-kadhaf. The city capitulated; and it was agreed that the lives of the inhabitants be spared, that no captives be taken, and that no pieces of gold or silver be carried away, pro-

vided the inhabitants paid poll-tax and kharāj on the land. As-Sā'īb reduced all the districts of Mihrijānkhadāf. The more reliable report is that abu-Mūsa dispatched as-Sā'īb from al-Ahwāz; and the latter reduced Mihrijānkhadāf.

**Sinn Sumairah.** Muḥammad ibn-‘Ukbah ibn-Muṣrim aḏ-Dabbī from certain sheikhs of al-Kūfah:—When the Moslems invaded al-Jibāl, they passed by the eastern summit called Sinn Sumairah, Sumairah being a woman of the Dabbah [a branch] of the banu-Mu‘awiyah ibn-Ka‘b ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-Sa‘d ibn-Dabbah and one of the Emigrants. Sumairah had a tooth [sinn protruding beyond the others]; hence the name of the peak Sinn Sumairah.

**Kanāṭir an-Nu‘mān.** Kanāṭir [arches] an-Nu‘mān, according to ibn-Hishām al-Kalbi, were named after an-Nu‘mān ibn-‘Amr ibn-Muḳarrin al-Muzani, who camped by these Kanāṭir, which had been standing from ancient time.

**Kathīr ibn-Shihāb.** Al-‘Abbās ibn-Hishām al-Kalbi from ‘Awānah:—Kathīr ibn-Shihāb ibn-al-Ḥusain ibn-dhi-l-Ghuṣṣah-l-Ḥārithi belonged to the ‘Uthmān party and often spoke evil of ‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib, and dissuaded men from following al-Ḥusain. He died either before or at the beginning of the rebellion of al-Mukhtār ibn-abi-‘Ubaid. Al-Mukhtār ibn-abi-‘Ubaid referred to him when he said: “By the Lord of heavens, the severe in punishment, the revealer of the Book, I shall surely dig the grave of Kathīr ibn-Shihāb, the transgressor, the liar.” Mu‘awiyah gave him for some time the governorship of ar-Rai and Dastaba, which he held on behalf of Mu‘awiyah and his two ‘āmilīs, Ziyād and al-Mughirah ibn-Shu‘bah. After that, he incurred Mu‘awiyah’s anger and was flogged and imprisoned by him in

---


2 Tabari, vol. i, p. 2648.
Damascus. Shurail ibn-Hâni’ al-Muradi interceded in favor of Kathîr; and he was released. Yazid ibn-Mu‘âwiyyah, for selfish reasons, approved of following Kathîr and siding with him, and wrote to ʿUbaidallâh ibn-Ziyâd, asking him to appoint Kathîr over Mâsabadhân, Mihrijânkadhaf, Ħulwân and al-Mâhain [the two Mâhs], which he did, giving Kathîr many villages of the crown-domains in al-Jabal as fief. Here Kathîr built the castle which bears his name and which lies in ad-Dinawar. Zhuhrâh ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-Manṣûr ibn-Ḳais ibn-Kathîr ibn-Shihâb had secured many crown-villages at Mâsabadhân.

_Al-Khashârimah._ I learned from a descendant of Khashram ibn-Mâlîk ibn-Hubairah-1-Asadi that the Khashârimah came first to Mâsabadhân towards the end of the Umaiyyad dynasty, their grandfather being an emigrant from al-Kûfah.

_Kathîr made governor._ Al-ʿUmari from al-Haithham ibn-ʿAdî:—Ziyâd was one day on a trip when the belt of his robe became loose. Kathîr ibn-Shihâb immediately drew a needle, that was stuck in his cap, and a thread and mended the belt. Seeing that, Ziyâd said, “Thou art a man of discretion; and such a one should never go without an office.” Saying this, he appointed him governor over a part of al-Jabal.

1 or al-Jibâl = Persian ʿIrâk or Media. Meynard, p. 151; Қazwini, p. 228; Hamadhâni, p. 209; Rustah, p. 106.
CHAPTER IV

THE CONQUEST OF HAMADHân

Jarir reduces Hamadhân. In the year 23 A. H., al-Mughirah ibn-Shu’bah who, after the dismissal of ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir, was the ‘âmil of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb over al-Kûfah, dispatched Jarir ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Bajali to Hama-
dhân.\(^1\) The inhabitants of Hamadhân offered resistance and repelled his attacks, in the course of which Jarir re-
ceived an arrow in his eye; and he remarked, “I give up my eye, seeking recompense from Allah who decorated it my face and provided me by means of it with light, so long as he willed, and then deprived me of it as I was in his cause!” After that he reduced Hamadhân, which made terms similar to those of Nihâwand. This took place toward the close of the year 23. Its inhabitants, having later rebelled, drove Jarir back; but he finally took their land by force.\(^2\)

Other versions. According to al-Wâkidi, Jarir reduced Nihâwand in the year 24, six months after the death of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb.

It is reported by others that al-Mughirah ibn-Shu’bah, with Jarir leading the vanguard, marched against Hamad-
hân and, after reducing it, put it in charge of Kathir ibn-
Shihâb al-Ḥârithi.

The terms with al-‘Alâ’. ‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from his grandfather and ‘Awânah ibn-al-Ḥakam:—When Sa‘d ibn-

---

\(^1\) Ecbatana. See Meynard, pp. 597-608.

abi-Wakḳāš ruled over al-Kūfah in behalf of ‘Uthmān ibn-
‘Affān, he assigned over Māḥ and Hamadhān al-‘Alā’ ibn-
Wahl ibn-‘Abd ibn-Wahlān of the banu-‘Amir ibn-Lu’ai. The
people of Hamadhān acted treacherously and violated the
covenant, on account of which al-‘Alā’ fought against
them until they surrendered. The terms he made with
them stipulated that, on the one hand, they should pay
khārāj on their land and tax on their person and deliver to
him 100,000 dirhams for the Moslems; and that, on the
other hand, he should not interfere with their possessions,
ninviolable rights and children.

Madharān. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, the castle known
by the name of Madharān was so called after as-Sari ibn-
Nusair ibn-Thaur al-Ijli, who camped around it until he
reduced it.

Sisar. Ziyād ibn-‘Abd-ar-Rahmān al-Balkhi from cer-
tain sheikhs of Sisar:—Sisar was so called because it lay in
a depression surrounded by thirty hills. Hence its other
name “Thalāthūn Ra’s” [thirty summits]. It was also
called Sisar Șadkhâniyyah which means thirty summits and
a hundred springs, because it has as many as one hundred
springs.

Sisar and the adjoining region were pasture-lands for the
Kurds and others. It also had meadows for the beasts of bur-
den and the cattle of caliph al-Mahdi, and was entrusted to
a freedman of his called Sulaimān ibn-Kirāt—whose name
Saḥrā’ Kirāṭ in Madinat as-Salām bears—and to a partner
of his, Sallām at-Ţaifūrī, Taifūr having been a freedman
of abu-Ja’far al-Mansūr and having been given by him as
present to al-Mahdi. When in the caliphate of al-Mahdi the
destitute [ṣe’ālik] and villain became numerous and spread
over al-Jabal, they chose this region for their refuge and

1 Cf. Marâṣid, vol. iii, p. 27.
stronghold, to which they resorted after acting as highwaymen, and from which they could not be called back, because it was a boundary line between Hamadhân, ad-Dinawar and Adharbaijân. Sulaimân and his colleague wrote to al-Mahdi, reporting the case of those who interfered with their beasts and cattle. Thereupon, al-Mahdi directed against them a great army and wrote to Sulaimân and Sallâm, ordering them to build a city and occupy it with their associates and shepherds and use it as a refuge for their beasts and cattle against those who threatened them. Accordingly, they built the city of Sisar, fortified it, and made people settle in it. The district [rüstâk] of Mâyanharaj¹ in ad-Dinawar, and that of al-Jûdhamah in Adharbaijân which is a part of the province of Barzah, together with Rustuf² and Khâbanjar were added to Sisar; and the whole was made into one district that was put under one ‘âmil to whom its kharâj was paid.³

Later, in the caliphate of ar-Rashid, this band of destitute multiplied and badly damaged Sisar. Ar-Rashid ordered that it be repaired and fortified, stationing in it 1,000 of the men of Khâkân al-Khâdim as-Sughdi, whose descendants are still in it. Towards the end of his caliphate, ar-Rashid appointed Murrah ibn-abi-Murrah ar-Rudaini-l-‘Ijlii over Sisar. ‘Uthmân al-Audi attempted to wrest it from his hands, but failed, succeeding⁴ only in wresting all or most of what Murrah already held at Adharbaijân. Until the time of the insurrection, Murrah ibn-ar-Rudaini did not cease in the days of Muḥammad ibn-ar-Rashid to pay the fixed kharâj of Sisar which he had

¹ Khurṭâdhibh, p. 120. Hamadhâni, p. 240: “Mâyanmaraj”.
³ Hamadhâni, pp. 239-240.
⁴ According to Hamadhâni, p. 240, he failed in that, too.
agreed to pay annually. In the caliphate of al-Ma’mûn, Sisar was taken from the hand of ‘Âshîm ibn-Murrah and once more added to the crown-domains [dîyâ’ al-khîlâfah].

Al-Mafâzah. I was told by certain sheikhs from al-Mafâzah, which is situated near Sisar, that when al-Jurashi ruled over al-Jabal the inhabitants of al-Mafâzah evacuated their town. Al-Jurashi had a general, Hammâm ibn-Hâni’ al-‘Abdi, to whom most of the people of al-Mafâzah yielded their villages and held them as tenants in order to enjoy his protection. Hammâm appropriated the villages to himself and used to pay the treasury what was due on them until he died. His sons were too weak to hold them. After the death of Muîhammad ibn-Zubaidah, when al-Ma’mûn was on his way from Khurâsân to Madînat as-Sa-lâm, he was met by certain sons of Hammâm and a man from al-Mafâzah named Muîhammad ibn-al-‘Abbâs, who told him the story of the place and informed him of the desire of all the people to give up their lands to him and act as his tenants in it provided they be protected and strengthened against the destitute bands and others. Al-Ma’mûn accepted their offer and ordered that they be reinforced and strengthened in order to cultivate the lands and repair them. Thus these lands were added to the crown-domains.

Laila-l-Akhyaliyyah. According to a tradition communicated to me by al-Madâ’ini, Laila-l-Akhyaliyyah paid a visit to al-Ḥajjâj. He gave her a present, and she requested him to write and recommend her to his ‘âmil at ar-Rai. On her way back, Laila died at Sâwah, where she was buried.

1 Ar. muhâsâ’ah; M. V. Berchem, La Propriété Territoriale et l’Impôt Foncier sous les Premiers Califes, p. 45.
CHAPTER V

KUMM, KÂSHân AND ISBAHÂN

Kummi and Kâshân reduced. Leaving Nihâwand, abu-Mûsa ‘Abdallâh ibn-Kâis al-Ash‘ari came to al-Ahwâz, and after passing through it, stopped at Kummi which he reduced after a few days’ fight. He then directed al-Ahnaf ibn-Kâis, whose name was ad-Ḍâhîk ibn-Kâis at-Tamimi to Kâshân, which he took by force. Abu-Mûsa then overtook him.1

Jai and al-Yahûdîyah capitulate. In the year 23, ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb directed ‘Abdallâh ibn-Budail ibn-Warkâ’ al-Khuzâ‘i to Isbahân. Others assert that ‘Umar wrote to abu-Mûsa-l-Ash‘ari ordering him to direct ‘Abdallâh at the head of an army to Isbahân, which abu-Mûsa did. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Budail conquered Jai,2 which capitulated after a fight, agreeing to pay kharâj and poll-tax, provided the population be guaranteed the safety of their lives and all possessions with the exception of the arms in their hands.

‘Abdallâh ibn-Budail then directed al-Ahnaf ibn-Kâis, who was in his army, to al-Yahûdîyah,3 whose inhabitants made terms similar to those of Jai.

Thus ibn-Budail effected the conquest of the territory of Isbahân with its districts over which he acted as ‘âmîl to

the end of the first year of 'Uthmân’s caliphate, at which time 'Uthmân appointed as-Sâ‘ib ibn-al-Akra'.

Bashîr’s version. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d, a freedman of the banu-Ḥâshim, from Bashîr ibn-abi-Umâiyah:—Al-Ash‘ari camped at Iṣbahân and proposed to the people the idea of Islâm, which they refused. He then proposed that they pay tax, upon which they made terms agreeing to pay it. The very next morning they rebelled; and he fought against them and, by Allah’s help, defeated them. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d, however, adds, “In my view this refers to the inhabitants of Kūmm.”

The satrap of Iṣbahân. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from Muḥammad ibn-Iṣḥâq:—‘Umar sent ibn-Budail al-Khuzâ‘i to Iṣbahân, whose satrap [marrûbân] was an aged man called al-Fâḍūsafân. Ibn-Budail besieged the city and wrote to the people inciting them to forsake him. Seeing the luke-warmness of his men, al-Fâḍūsafân chose thirty archers, in whose courage and obedience he confided, and fled away from the city towards Karmân with a view to joining Yaz- 313 dajird. As soon as ‘Abdallâh knew of it, he sent after him a heavy detachment of cavalry. As the Persian reached a high place, he looked behind and said to ‘Abdallâh, “Take heed for thyself, no arrow of ours misses its mark. If thou charge, we shoot; and if thou fight a duel, we will fight!” A duel followed in the course of which the Persian gave ‘Abdallâh a blow [with the sword] which, falling on the pommel of his saddle, broke it and cut the breast-girth [of the horse]. The Persian then said to ‘Abdallâh, “I hate to kill thee because I see thou art wise and brave. Wouldst thou let me go back with thee that I may arrange terms with thee and pay tax for my towns-

1 Tābâri, vol. i, p. 2639: “al-Fâḍūsafân”.
men, of whom those who stay will be considered dhimmis, and those who flee will not be interfered with? The city I will turn over to thee.” Ibn-Budail returned with him and took Jai; and the Persian fulfilled his promise, saying, “I saw that ye, people of I'sbahân, are mean and disunited. Ye, therefore, deserve what I did with you.”

The territory of I'sbahân pays kharâj. Ibn-Budail then passed through the plains and mountains of the territory of I'sbahân, all of which he conquered, treating them as regards kharâj as he had treated the people of al-Ahwâz.

Some say that the conquest of I'sbahân and its territory was effected partly in the year 23 and partly in the year 24.

Other versions. It is reported by others that 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent at the head of an army 'Abdallâh ibn-Budail, who met abu-Mûsa, after the latter had conquered Kumm and Kâshân. They both now led the attack against I'sbahân with al-Aḥnaf ibn-Kâis commanding the van of abu-Mûsa's army. Thus they subjugated all al-Yahûdiyyah as described above. Ibn-Budail, after that, reduced Jai; and they both marched through the territory of I'sbahân and reduced it. The most reliable account, however, is that Kumm and Kâshân were conquered by abu-Mûsa; whereas Jai and al-Yahûdiyyah, by 'Abdallâh ibn-Budail.

Abu-Ḥassân az-Ziyâdi from a Thakif man:—In I'sbahân stands the sanctuary 1 of 'Uthmân ibn-abi-l-'Āṣi ath-Thakafi.

Persian nobility embrace Islâm. Muḥammad ibn-Yahya at-Tamîmi from his sheikhs:—To the nobility of I'sbahân belonged various strongholds in Jafrâbâd in the district of 314 ath-Thaimarah 2-l-Kubra, in Bihjâwarsân 3 and in the fort

---

1 Ar. mashhād—a place where a martyr died or is buried.
of Mârabin. When Jai was reduced, these nobles offered homage, agreeing to pay the kharâj; and because they disdained to pay poll-tax, they became Moslems.

Al-‘Anbari in Işıbahân. It is stated by al-Kalbi and abu-l-Yakrân that after al-Hudhail ibn-Ḳais al-‘Anbari was appointed governor of Işıbahân in the time of Marwân, the ‘Anbari clan moved there.

Idris ibn-Maḳil imprisoned. The grandfather of abu-Dulaf (abu-Dulaf being al-Ḳâsim ibn-‘Īsa ibn-Idris ibn-Maḳil al-‘Ijli), whose occupation consisted in preparing perfumes and trading in sheep, came to al-Jabal with a number of his relatives and occupied a village at Hamadhân called Mass. They became wealthy and came to own many [crown] villages. One day Idris ibn-Maḳil attacked a merchant who owed him money and choked him. Others say he choked him and took his money. Therefore, he was carried away to al-Kûfah, where he was imprisoned. This took place when Yûṣuf ibn-‘Umar ath-Ṭhakafi ruled over al-Irâḳ in the days of Hishâm ibn-Abd-al-Malik.

Al-Karaj rebuilt. After that, ‘Īsa ibn-Idris came to al-Karaj, which he reduced and whose fort, which was dilapidated, he rebuilt. Abu-Dulaf al-Ḳâsim ibn-‘Īsa strengthened his position and rose into eminence in the eyes of the sultân. He enlarged that fort and built the city of al-Karaj which was for that reason called after him Karaj abi-Dulaf. Al-Karaj to-day forms a district by itself.

Kumm’s rebellion suppressed. The inhabitants of Kumm threw off their allegiance and withheld the kharâj. Al-Ma’mûn directed against them ‘Ali ibn-Hishâm al-Marwazi, recruiting him with troops and ordering him to wage war

---

2 Meynard, pp. 478-479.
3 Ṭabarî, vol. iii, p. 1093.
against them. ‘Ali did so, killed their chief, Yahya ibn-Imrân, razed the city wall to the ground and collected over 7,000,000 dirhams as tax, although previous to this they used to complain that 2,000,000 were too much for them to pay.

In the caliphate of Abu-‘Abdallâh al-Mu‘tazz-Billâh ibn-al-Mutawakkil-‘Alallâh, they once more threw off their allegiance, upon which al-Mu‘tazz directed against them Mûsâ ibn-Bugha, his āmil over al-Jabal, who was conducting the war against the Ṭâlibites who appeared in Ṭabaristân. Ḍumm was reduced by force and a large number of its inhabitants was slaughtered. Al-Mu‘tazz wrote that a group of its leading men should be deported.
CHAPTER VI

THE DEATH OF YAZDAJIRD IBN-SHAHRIVÂR IBN-KISRA
ABARWÎZ IBN-HURMUZ IBN-ANÛSHIRWÂN

The flight of Yazdaïrîd. Yazdaïrîd fled from al-Madân to Hulwan and thence to Išbahân. When the Moslems were done with Nihâwand, he fled from Išbahân to Ištâkhr, where he was pursued, after the conquest of Išbahân, by ‘Abdallâh ibn-Budail ibn-Warkâ’, but to no avail. Abu-Mûsa-l-Ash‘ari came to Ištâkhr and attempted its conquest, but did not succeed, and likewise did ‘Uthmân ibn-abi-l-‘Âsi ath-Thâkafi try it and fail.

In the year 29 when all Persia with the exception of Ištâkhr and Jûr was already reduced, ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Âmir ibn-Kuraïz proceeded to al-Baṣraḥ. Yazdaïrîd was on the point of leaving for Ţabaristân, whose satrap had invited him, when Yazdaïrîd was still in Išbahân, to come to Ţabaristân which he told him was well fortified. It then occurred to Yazdaïrîd to flee to Karmân, to which ibn-‘Âmir sent after him Mujâshi ibn-Mas‘ûd as-Sulami and Harim ibn-Hâiyân al-‘Abdi. Mujâshi came and stopped at Biyamand in Karmân, where his army was caught by a snow storm and nearly annihilated, few only surviving. The castle in which he resided was called after him Kaṣr Mujâshi. Mujâshi then took his way back to ibn-‘Âmir.

As Yazdaïrîd was one day sitting in Karmân, its marzubân came in; but Yazdaïrîd felt too haughty to speak to

him, and the *marzubān* ordered that he be driven out, saying, "Not only art thou unworthy of a kingdom but even of a governorship of a village; and if Allah had seen any good in thee, he would not have put thee in such condition!"

Yazdajird left for Sijistān ¹ whose king showed regard for him and exalted him. After a few days Yazdajird asked about the *kharāj* which made the king change his attitude towards him.

Seeing that, Yazdajird left for Khurāsān. When he reached the boundary line of Maru he was met by its satrap [*marzubān*] Māhawaih ² with great honor and pomp. Here he was also met by Nizak Ṭarkhān who offered him something to ride upon, gave him presents and entertained him bountifully. Nizak spent one month with Yazdajird, after which he left him. He then wrote Yazdajird asking for the hand of his daughter. This aroused the anger of Yazdajird, who said, "Write and tell him ‘Thou art nothing but one of my slaves; how darest thou then ask for my daughter’s hand? ’" Yazdajird also ordered that Māhawaih, the satrap of Maru, give an account and be asked about the money he had collected. Māhawaih wrote to Nizak, instigating him against Yazdajird and saying, "This is the one who came here as a runaway fugitive. Thou hast helped him in order to have his kingdom restored to him; but see what he wrote to thee!" They both then agreed to put him to death.

**Yazdajird slain.** Nizak led the Turks to al-Junābidh ³ where he met the enemy. At first the Turks retreated, but then the tide turned against Yazdajird, his followers

---


² Thaʿālibī, p. 743: "Māhawaiṭ".

³ Yunābidh, Yunāwīd or Kunābidh. Iṣṭakhīrī, p. 273; Ḥaukal, p. 324; Muṣṭaddasī, p. 321.
were killed, his camp was plundered, and he fled to the city of Maru. The city refused to open its gates; so he had to dismount at a miller’s house standing on the bank of al-Mirghāb. Some say that having heard of that, Māhawaih sent his messenger who killed him in the miller’s house. Others assert that Māhawaih incited the miller and, by his orders, the miller killed Yazdajird, after which Māhawaih said, “No slayer of a king should be kept alive”, and he ordered that the miller himself be put to death. Still others claim that the miller offered Yazdajird food, which he ate, and drink, which intoxicated him. In the evening, Yazdajird took out his crown and put it on his head. Seeing that, the miller coveted the possession of the crown, and raising a mill-stone dropped it on Yazdajird. After killing him, he took his crown and clothes and threw the body into the water. When the news came to Māhawaih, he put the miller and his family to death and took the crown and clothes. According to another story, Yazdajird was warned against the messengers of Māhawaih and fled away, jumping into the water. When the miller was asked about him, he said, “The man has left my house.” They found Yazdajird in the water, and he said, “If ye spare me I will give you my belt, ring and crown.” He asked them for some money with which he could buy bread, and one of them gave him 4 dirhams. Seeing that, Yazdajird laughingly said, “I was told that I will some day feel the need of 4 dirhams!” Later he was attacked by certain men sent after him by Māhawaih, and he said, “Kill me not; rather carry me to the king of the Arabs, and I will make terms with him on your and my behalf, and thus ye will be safe.” They refused and choked him by means of a bow-string.

1 or Marghāb or Murghāb, also called Nahr Maru. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2872; Haukal, p. 315.
His clothes they carried away in a pouch; and his body they threw away into the water.¹

Fairūz taken away by the Turks. It is claimed that Fairūz ibn-Yazdajīrd fell into the hands of the Turks, who gave him one of their women in marriage; and he settled among them.
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Baisān, 179
Bait 'Ainūn, 197
Bait Jābrin, 213
Bait Liḥya, 200
Bait Māma, 245
Bait Rāis, 179
Bājarma, 422
Bājārwān, 328
Bajilah, 405, 407, 424-425
Bājuddah, 272
Bājūnais, 305, 313
al-Bāk, 331
Bakkār b. Muslim, 329
Bakr b. Wāsil, 120, 388, 395
abu-Bakr b. Muḥammad, 71
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Bawâzij al-Mulk, 422
Bâzabda, 275
Bâzalî, 318
al-Bazzâk, 451
Beirût, 194
Berbers, 123, 253-254, 360, 361, 366, 368, 369
Bihjâwarsân, 487
al-Bihkâbâdîhât, 428
Bîkrât b. Ashût, 289
Bîlål, 26
Bîlhit, 340
al-Bîma, 351
Bîshr b. Mâimân, 282, 459
Bîshr b. Şafîwân, 366, 367
Bîstâm, 416
Bîstâm b. Nârsî, 422
Biyamand, 490
Bugha (a freedman of al-Mu’tâ-şim), 319
Bugha-l-Kâbir, 331-332
al-Bujâh, 381, 382
Büka, 229, 240, 250, 259
Bukailah, 392
Bukh, 309
Bukrât b. Ashût, 331
Bulunyâs, 205
Bûrân, 406
Burs, 410, 416
Buşbuhra b. Şâlûba, 392-393, 421
al-Bustomorajân, 305, 307, 313, 315, 331
Bushair b. Yaşår, 45, 46, 47
Bushir, 342
Busr b. abi-Ârtât, 172, 356, 357, 358
Buštâ, 171, 172, 173, 193, 234
al-Buṭâh, 149
Buṭrnân Ḥâlib, 229
al-Buwaib, 406
Buwâlis, 232
al-Buwairah, 36
Buzâkhâh, 144, 145, 147
Byzantine Empire, s. v. Asia Minor
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Constantine [Kustantin b. Alyun], 287, 290-291, 293, 299
Constantine, son of Heraclius, 347
Constantinople, 179, 207, 209, 347, 376
Copts, 339, 340, 342, 343, 344, 346, 347, 383
Cordova [Curthuba], 365
Crete [Ikritish], 376
Cyprus [Kubrus], 180, 235-243

Dabba, 117
Danu-Dabba, 397
Dabik, 264, 295
Dabil, 305, 312, 314-315, 321, 330
Dadhawaih, 161, 162
ad-Dajhak b. Ka’is, s. v. al-Ahnaf
ad-Dajhak al-Khairiji, 328
Dailam, 441
ad-Dailam, 441
Dair al-A’war, 406, 443
Dair Hind, 406, 443
Dair al-Jamajim, 431, 443
Dair Ka’b, 417, 443
Dair Khalid, 186, 198
Dakuka, 422
Damalu, 263-264
Damascus [Dimashk], 167, 172, 173, 176, 178, 182, 183, 186-199, 200, 201, 202, 216, 217, 219, 301, 320, 366, 480
Damirah, 342
Darr al’Ajalah, 80-81
Darr al-Kawarih, 78, 80-81
Darr an-Nadwah, 80-81
Darra, 275
Darauliyah, 255
Darb al-Hadath, 295, 296, 298
ad-Darb (Darb Baghras), 210, 254
abu-ad-Dardah ‘Uwaimir, 186, 216, 217
Darin, 129, 130
Darussat, 450
Dasht al-Warak, 313

ad-Daskarah, 421
Dastaba, 479
Dastumaisan, 454
Dathin, 167
Daud b. Ali, 456
ad-Daukahrah, 450
abu-Dharr al-Ghifari, 237
abu-Dhu’aib Khuwailid, 356
Dhufafah b. Umair, 324
Dudina, 317
Dijlah (district), 259
Dijlah, see Tigris
Dijlat al-Bashrah, 388, 453
Dikahlah, 342
Dimyat, 342
Dinar, 475-476
Dinar b. Dinar, 294
ad-Dinar, 305, 469, 476, 478-480, 483
Dir al-Azwar, 149, 393, 394, 415
Diyar Muqar, 278
Diyar Rabi’ah, 278, 281
ad-Dubbiyah (ad-Dabbiyah), 168
banu-Dudan, 306
ad-Dudaniyah, 306, 318, 328
abu-Dujannah Simak, 35, 36, 37, 135, 139
abu-Dulaf al-Kasim, 488
Duluk, 202, 231, 297
Dumat al-Hirah, 96, 97, 400
Dumat al-Jandal, 95-97, 171
Duraid b. as-Simmah, 85
ad-Durindhikiyah, 306
Durna, 389, 401
ad-Dustan, 244
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Fadak, 37, 50-56, 58
Faḍālah b. ‘Ubaid, 237
al-Fadhandūn, 460
al-Faḍl b. al-‘Abbās, 215
al-Faḍl b.  Khárin, 206
al-Faḍl b. Rauḥ, 369
al-Faḍl b. Yaḥya, 330
al-Fāḍūṣafān, 486
Faid, 389, 405
Fairūz (dīkhān), 422
Fairūz b. ad-Dailami, 160-162
Fairūz b. Jushaish, 129
Fairūz b. Yazdajird, 493
al-Faiyūm, 341
Fakh, 79
Fākhitah, daughter of ‘Āmir, 129
Fākhitah, daughter of  Khārazah, 235
al-Falālij, 394, 421
al-Fallūjataīn, 407
Fāmiyah, 201-202
al-Farāfisḥa-l-Kalbiyāh, 29
Faraj b. Sulaim, s. v. abu-Sulaim
Faranjah [France], 366
al-Farazdak, 450
al-Farmā’, 335
Farrukhbundād, 392
Farwah b. Iyās, 390
Farwah b. Musaik, 160
abu-Farwah ‘Abd-ar-Rahmān, 397
umm-Farwah, 155
al-Fasīlah, 229
Fātimah, 52, 53, 54, 55, 61, 99
al-Fauwārah, 175
abu-l-Fawāris, 262
banu-Fazārah, 146
Fihl, 176-177, 216
Filān, 309, 324, 327
al-Fujā’ah, 149, 158
Fuṣṭār, 29
Furāt b.  Ḥaiyān, 141
al-Furū’, 28, 29
al-Fuṣṭat, 335, 336, 341, 347, 350
al-Ghābah, 24
al-Ghābah (the city of), 130
Ghābat b. Hubairah, 282
umm-Ghadjān, 128
al-Ghamr, 147-148
al-Ghamr b. Yazīd, 282
banu-Ghanm b. ‘Auf, 17
Ghassān, 32, 83, 96, 172, 209, 254, 442
Ghaṭafān, 144, 148
Ghazzah, 168, 213
Ghūmik, 323
Ghurabāh, 141
Ghūrah, 141
Habbār b.  Sufyān, 174
Ḥabīb b. ‘Abd-ar-Rahmān, 368
Ḥabīb b. Zaid, 135, 140
umm-Ḥabīb as-Ṣahbā’, 169
banu-Ḥabibah, 93, 94
umm-Ḥabibah, 208
Ḥabtar, 128
al-Ḥādī, s. v. Mūsā
al-Ḥadīkah, 135, 141
Ḥādir Ḥalab, 224-225
Ḥādir Kinansirin, 223
Ḥādir Taiyī’, 224-225
al-Ḥadithah, 280
Ḥadithat al-Mauṣil, 288, 311
al-Ḥadrah, 381
Ḥadramaut, 107, 112, 153, 156, 157
Ḥaṣf b. abi-l-‘Āṣī, 125
abu-Ḥaṣf ‘Umar b. Iṣa, 376
Ḥaidar b. Kāwus, 330
al-Ḥā’ir, 460
abu-l-Haitham Mālik, 50
abu-l-Haįāj al-Asadi, 435
Hajar, 110, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124
Hajar, 151
al-Ḥajiǰāb b. ‘Atīk, 437
al-Ḥajjāb b. al-Ḥārith, 175
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Ḥakam b. Sa'd al-Asīhrah, 32
al-Ḥakam b. Mas'ūd, 404
umm-Ḥakim, 182
Ḥalāb as-Sājūr, 231
Hamadhan, 410, 471, 481-484, 488
Ḥamāh, 201-202
Hamdān, 109, 160, 444
Ḥammad al-Barbari, 78, 81
al-Ḥammārin, 82
Ḥamrā’ Dālam, 441
Ḥamzah b. ‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib, 80, 135
Ḥamzah b. Mālik, 297
Ḥamzah b. an-Nu’mān, 58
Ḥamzin, 323, 326
Ḥāni’ b. Ḥabīsah, 390
al-Ḥani wa-l-Mari, 280-281
umm-Ḥāni’, 52
būn Hānifah, 133, 134, 135, 136
Ḥanḍalah, b. ar-Rabi’, 394
Ḥanḍalah, b. Ṣafwān, 397
būn Ḥanḍalah, 149, 150
al-Ḥarajah, 112
al-Ḥarāk, 313
al-Ḥaram, 70, 72, 73, 75, 76, 77, 82, 84
umm-Ḥaram, 235, 237
Harim b. Haiyān, 490
Ḥarish, 430-370
al-Ḥarīth b. ‘Abd-Kulā, 109
al-Ḥarīth b. ‘Amr, 322
al-Ḥarīth b. al-Ḥakam, 356
al-Ḥarīth b. Ḥāni’, 443
al-Ḥarīth b. al-Ḥarīth, 175
al-Ḥarīth b. Ḥishām, 175, 215
al-Ḥarīth b. Khālid, 83
al-Ḥarīth b. abī-Shimr, 209
Ḥārithah b. Tha’labah, 33
būn-Ḥārīthah, 24
al-Ḥarnāniyah, 272
al-Ḥarrah, 21, 22, 27, 30, 396
Ḥarrān, 272-274, 282, 291
Harthamah b. A’yan, 221, 261, 262, 370
Ḥārūn ar-Rashid, 78, 81, 83, 105, 117, 202, 221, 224, 233, 238, 244, 252, 257, 260, 261, 263, 264, 280, 282, 283, 293, 297-298, 299, 300, 370, 376, 459, 460, 483
abu-Ḥārūn as-Sulami, 280
al-Ḥārūniyah, 264
Ḥasan b. Ḥasan b. ‘Ali, 463
al-Ḥasan b. ‘Ali, 49, 99, 155
al-Ḥasan b. ‘Ali-as-Baidaghisi, 330
al-Ḥasan b. Ḥaṭtabah, 261, 288, 292, 295, 296, 329
al-Ḥasan b. ʿUmar, 281
Ḥāshim b. ʿUbābah, 67
Ḥāshim b. ʿUtbah, 208, 420-422, 469
al-Ḥāshimiyah, 300, 445-446
Ḥāṣadān, 322
Ḥassān b. Māḥawāih, 258
Ḥassān b. Mālik, 190
Ḥassān an-Nabati, 454, 455
Ḥassān b. an-Nu’mān, 360
Ḥassān b. Thābit, 36, 188, 218
Ḥātim b. an-Nu’mān, 321, 322
abu-Ḥātim as-Saddarā, 309
Handhāh b. ‘Ali, 132, 133
abu-l-Haul, 184
Ḥaurān, 173, 193, 197
Ḥawāriḥ, 317
Hawāzin, 85
Heraclius [Hirakl], 174, 175, 176, 177, 179, 182, 189, 200, 207, 210, 211, 253, 254, 344
Ḥibāl b. Khuwailid, 145
Ḥibra, 197
Hilāl b. ‘Akkah, 398
Hilāl b. Ḍaghtam, 260
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'al-Jarajimah, 246-252, 258
Jarash, 179
al-Jarbâ', 92-94
al-Jardamân, 307, 318
Jarjarâya, 398
al-Jarrâh b. 'Abdallâh, 317, 322-323
Jarshân, 309, 324
Jau Qurâkir, 148
al-Jaulân, 179
Ja'wanah b. al-Ḥârith, 290, 323
al-Jazirah, s. v. Mesopotamia
al-Jibâl or al-Jabal, 319, 324, 325, 393, 420, 449, 489, 482, 484, 488, 489
Jiddh, 32
al-Jifshish al-Kindi, 154
Jisr Manbij, 232, 275
Jisr al-Walid, 259-260
Jordan [al-Urdunn], 167, 176, 178-181, 193, 201, 202, 216, 217, 244, 301
Jubail, 194
Judhâm, 92, 207, 218
al-Juhâf w-al-Jurâf, 82
Juhaínah, 184, 441
al-Jurâbih, 491
Junâdah b. abi-Umaiyah, 375-376
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al-Jurf, 28, 39, 114, 165, 218
Jurjum, 31, 32, 74
al-Jurjûmah, 247, 249, 250
Jurna, 314
Jurwah al-Yamâmi, 477
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Juwâtha, 127, 128, 129, 140
Ka'b al-Ḫabr, 237
Ḵabalah, 306, 319
Ḵadas, 179
al-Ḵâdisiyah, 277, 404, 408, 409-416, 417-418, 424, 434, 439, 440, 441, 462
Kafaraibaia, 256, 257
Kafarjadda, 282
Kafarmara, 397
Ḵafartis, 349
Ḵafartātha, 281
al-Kāhinah, 360
Ḵainuḵâ, 33
al-Ḵairawân, 357, 358, 361, 362, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371
Ḵais b. Hubairah, 160-162, 411
Ḵais b. Makhramah, 396
Ḵais b. Makshih, 208, 414, 415
Ḵais b. Sa'd, 358
Ḵais b. Sakan, 116
Ḵaisāriyāh, 216-220, 335
banu-l-Ḵa'ḵā', 225-226
Ḵalajīt, 318
Ḵalb, 170, 391, 395
Ḵalikala, 289, 305, 309-310, 312-313, 320
Ḵalthūm b. Hidm, 15
Ḵalwādha, 408
al-Ḵamībarān, 306, 319
Ḵanāţir an-Nu'mān, 479
Ḵanisat aš-Šuḫ, 263
t-Ḵanisat aš-Saudā', 264
dhu-Ḵār, 462
al-Karaj, 488
Ḵarda, 275
Karkh Fairūz, 460, 461
Ḵarkisiyā, 171, 274, 275, 279, 281
Ḵarmān, 403, 486, 490
Ḵarmāsīn, 469
Ḵarrāz an-Nukri, 130, 131
Ḵaryat abi-Ṣalābah, 443
al-Ḵaryatzin, 171
Ḵâshân, 485-489
al-Ḵâsim b. Rabī'ah, 321
Ḵâsirīn, 231-232
Ḵaskar, 259, 389, 402, 407, 453, 454, 472
Ḵaṣr al-ʿAdasiyin, 391, 444
al-Ḵaṣr al-Ḥayad, 371, 391
al-Ḵaṣṣah, 144, 145
Ḵastāji, 318
Ḵatarghāsh, 258
Ḵathir b. Shihāb, 416, 479, 480, 481
al-Katibah, 45, 46, 49
al-Ḵāṭif, 124, 129
Ḵṭrabbul (or Ḳuṭrubbul), 400, 458
Ḵṭūl Kisra, 461
al-Kāṭūl, 460
Ḵṭūlāh, 460
Ḳazwin, 441
Ḵhābanjar, 483
Ḵhabbāb b. al-Ḵarārt, 431, 432
al-Khābūr, 279
Ḳhaffān, 387, 390, 399
Ḳhaiṭar, 37, 41, 42-49, 50, 51, 52, 57, 58, 337
al-Khāis, 349
Ḳhaitān, 319, 320, 323, 324
al-Khāizurān, 433
Ḳhākān, 308, 319
Ḳhāḳān al-Khāḍīm, 483
Ḳhākhit, 318, 325
Ḳhalfūn al-Barbarī, 372
Ḳhālīd b. ʿAbdallāh, 437, 445, 450-451
Ḳhālīd b. Mālik, 159
Ḳhālīd b. Sa'id, 106, 107, 156, 160, 165, 166, 182, 183
Ḳhālīd b. Thābit, 214
Ḳhālīd b. Umar, 324
Ḳhālīd b. ʿUrfaṭah, 413, 416, 419, 432
Ḳhālīd b. al-Walīd, 63, 64, 65, 95, 96, 97, 118, 128, 139, 134, 136, 137, 145, 147-150, 158, 167-168,
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169-172, 173, 174, 176, 178, 179,
186-191, 193, 186, 200, 223, 270,
277-278, 293, 387-400, 405
Khālid b. Yazid b. Mazyad, 330
Khālid b. Yazid b. Mu‘āwiyyah, 383
Khālij Banāt Nā‘ilah, 29
Khānījib, 422
Khānīkīn, 420, 421, 430
Khārijah b. Ḥisn, 144, 145, 148
Khārijah b. Ḥudhāfah, 336, 341, 346
al-Khāṣārimah, 480
Khashram b. Mālik, 480
Khashram as-Sulami, 327
b. Khātāl al-Adrami, 66, 67
al-Khāṭib, 124, 129
Khauān, 152, 157
Khauwāt b. Juba‘ir, 27
al-Khawwarāk, 446
al-Khazar, 305, 306, 309, 310, 319,
323, 324, 327, 329
al-Khazraj, 19, 33
al-Khāṣṣārimah, 141
Khilāṭ, 275, 289, 305, 313, 322, 331
Khufāsh, 112
Khūkhīt, 318
Khumm, 77, 78
Khunān, 317
Khunāṣir b. ‘Amr, 229
Khunāṣirah, 229
al-Khurābah, 388
Khuraim b. Aus, 392
Khurāsān, 151, 205, 261, 262, 280,
292, 293, 297, 328, 368, 369, 446,
457, 459, 460, 484, 491
Khurrazād, 420
Khurzād b. Māhibundād, 399
al-Khuṣṣūs, 256
Khūṭāniyyah, 422
Khuzā‘ah, 33, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 240
Khuzaimah b. Khāzim, 330
dhu-l-Kilā‘, 263
al-Kilā‘, 307, 324
banu-Kilā‘, 34
Kinānah, 60, 61
Kindah, 107, 153, 154, 156, 157,
169, 211, 369
Kinnasrin, 202, 211, 213, 214, 217,
223-234, 254, 259, 270, 294, 296,
297, 301
al-Kiryaun, 346, 349
Kisāl, 317, 325
Kisra, 124, 129, 160, 390, 405, 406,
419, 430, 431, 432
Ku‘ai‘tān, 81
Ku‘bā‘, 15, 16, 17, 18
Ku‘bād b. Fairūz, 305-306, 453
Ku‘bakīb, 292
Ku‘bash, 197
Ku‘dāmah b. Ma‘zūm, 125
al-Kūfah, 97, 102, 103, 104, 105,
133, 155, 169, 180, 211, 212, 228,
279, 300, 310, 320, 388, 396, 405,
411, 415, 427, 434-448, 449, 450,
455, 457, 454, 469, 471, 472, 475,
476, 479, 480, 481, 482, 488
Ku‘hwīt, 317
Kulthūm b. ‘Iyāq, 360, 367
al-Kulzum, 358, 381
Kūmis, 471
Kumm, 485-489
Kura ‘Arabiyah, 53, 143
Ku‘raišah, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 68,
74, 75, 77, 80, 86, 105, 112, 133,
475
banu-Ku‘raišah, 25, 40-41
Kurākir, 169, 170
Kurdbandād, 434
Kurds, 319, 482
al-Kurr, 319, 323
Kurrah b. Hubairah, 147
Kurṭ b. Jamālāh, 407, 415
Kurṭubah, see Cordova
Kūrus, 202, 230
Kurz b. ‘Alkamah, 84
umm-Kurz, 424
Kuṣai b. Kilā‘, 77, 80
Kuṣam, 171
INDEX

Kūsān al-Armani, 312
al-Kūshān, 244
Kaṣṣ an-Nāṭif, 403-404
Kūthā, 408, 418, 422, 428
Kūtham b. Ja‘far, 54
al-Kuṭṭaṭānēh, 461
Kuwaitāh, 434, 437

Labbah, 76
al-Lāḏbākīyāh, 203-205
Lailā (daughter of al-Jūdi), 96-97
Laila-l-Akhyāliyāh, 484
b. abi-Laila, 71, 73, 89, 90, 113, 114, 286
al-Laith b. Sa‘d, 238
Lakhm, 92, 207, 362, 443
Lakīt b. Mālik, 117
al-Lakz, 309, 322, 326, 327
Lirān, 309, 324
Ludd [Lydda], 213, 220
dhāt-al-Lujum, 314-315
Luwwāṭah, 353-354

Ma‘āb, 173
Ma‘arrat Miṣrīn, 229
Ma‘arrat an-Nu‘mān, 202
Ma‘bad b. al-‘Abbās, 356, 359
al-Madhār, 389, 405
Mādharān, 482
Mādhījīh, 160, 183, 323
Mādhūr b. ‘Adī, 387
al-Ma‘dīn, 381
Madinat as-Salām, s. v. Bāghdād
al-Mafāzah, 484
al-Maghrib, 256, 335-345, 349, 352-
Magians, 110, 118, 120, 121, 123, 130, 314, 424, 441
Māh al-Baṣra [Nihāwand], 476, 482
Māh Dinār, 476
Māh al-Kūfah [ad-Dinawar], 476
al-Māhān, 471, 480
Māhawāiḥ, 491, 492
al-Mahdiyāh, 297
Mahrūbah, 226
Mahrūd, 421
al-Māḥūzah, 461
Maimadh, 324
Maimūn (village), 451
Maimūn b. Ḥamzah, 282
Maimūn al-Jurjumānī, 248
al-Maimūn (canal), 451
Maisarah b. Masrūk, 254, 270
Māyāfārikān, 275
Majāz al-Andalus, 365
Ma‘kil b. Yasār, 472, 473
Makkah, 15, 16, 19, 21, 27, 32, 33, 47, 60-76, 77-81, 82-83, 86, 87, 88, 129, 146, 165, 184, 240, 451, 457, 489
Makna, 92-94
al-Makṣalāt, 188, 190
Mālatyah, 287, 288, 289-293, 297, 312
Mālik b. ‘Abdallāh, 298
Mālik b. Anas, 238
Mālik al-Ashtar, 254, 358
Mālik b. ‘A‘uf, 85, 101
Mālik b. Murārah, 107, 108
Mālik b. Nuwairah, 149-150
al-Ma‘mūn, 54, 56, 83, 141, 229, 233, 256, 257, 281, 289, 299, 330, 376, 446, 460, 484, 488
INDEX

Manbij, 202, 203, 231, 293, 298
Mandal al-'Anazi, 261, 296
Manjalisl, 316, 317
Manṣūr b. Ja'wanah, 299-300
Manṣūr b. al-Mahdi, 228
Manuwil, 347-348
Manṣūr b. Zabbān, 144
Marābin, 488
Marākiyāh, 205
Mar'ash, 231, 293-295
Mardānshāh, 403, 410, 471, 472, 473
Mardānshāh b. Zādān, 466
Ma'rib, 111
Marj 'Abd-al-Wahīd, 282-283, 298
Marj Dābil, 314
Marj al-Ḥasa, 312
Marj Husain, 263
Marj Rāhīt, 172
Marj as-Ṣuflar, 174, 182-185, 186, 216
Marj Tarsūs, 261
Marthāwna, 229
Maru, 491, 492
Maru ar-Rūdh, 369
al-Marwah, 71
dhu-l-Marwah, 29, 166
al-Marwahāh, 403
Marwān b. al-Ḥakam, 20, 54, 79, 84, 184, 294, 356, 360
al-Marzubānāh, Bādhām's wife, 160
Māsībadhān, 459, 478-480
banu-Mashija'ah, 171
Mashra'at (or Fūrdat) al-Fil, 452
Maskat, 306, 309, 319, 324
Maskin, 400
Maslamah b. 'Abd-al-Malik, 228-229, 232, 233, 248, 249, 258, 288, 323-325, 456
Maslamah b. Mukhallad, 359
Mass, 488
al-Maṣṣīṣah, 254, 255-259, 260, 264
al-Mauriyān ar-Rūmi, 312
al-Mausil, 261, 277, 400, 458
Māyānharaj, 483
Māyazdiyār b. Kārin, 206
al-Māzīhīn, 278
Mid'am, 57
Midlāj b. 'Amr, 279-280
abu-Mīḥaj b. Ḥabib, 404, 414
Mihrān, 393, 405-408
Mihrījānkhāhīf, 478-480
Mikhā'il, 295, 296
Mikyas b. Shibābah, 67
Mi'ilāk b. Saffār, 322
Milhān b. Zayyār, 200
Mirbāla, 313
al-Miṣrān, 83, 449
Mt. Lebanon, 248, 250-251
Mt. al-Lukām [Amanus], 246, 247
Mu'āwiya b. Ḥudayj, 347, 357, 358, 359, 375, 380
Mu'āwiya b. Yazīd, 359
al-Mubahar at-Ṭabari, 55, 56
Mudhainib, 25, 26
al-Muffarraj b. Sallām, 372
al-Mughirah b. abi-l-‘Aṣī, 125
Muḥaiyiṣah b. Mas‘ūd, 50, 51
abu-l-Muhājir, 359
al-Muhājir b. abi-Umaiyah, 106, 107, 154-157, 160, 162
Muḥakkim [Muḥakkam] al-Yamāmah, 134-136
Muḥammad b. al-‘Abbās, 484
Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. ‘Abd-ar-Rahmān, 288-289
Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Ḥasan, 446, 457
Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. al-Ḥasan b. ‘Alī, 55, 56
Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh al-Ḵummi, 381-382
Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Sa‘īd, 184
Muḥammad b. al-Aglhab, 371
Muḥammad b. al-Ash‘ath, 155, 288, 361, 368
Muḥammad b. abi-Bakr, 358
Muḥammad b. abi-Ḫudhaifah, 357, 358
Muḥammad b. Ibřāhim, 293, 297, 298, 299
Muḥammad b. Ishāk, 396
Muḥammad b. al-Ḵāsim, 259, 259, 452
Muḥammad b. Marwān, 202, 294, 314, 321, 322, 399
Muḥammad b. Maslama, 51, 344-345, 392, 438
Muḥammad b. al-Murtasā†, 30
Muḥammad b. Sa‘īd, 184
Muḥammad b. Yahya, 55
Muḥammad b. Yazid, 330

Muḥammad b. Yūsuf ath-Thakafter, 112
al-Muḥammadīyah, 296
Mujāhid b. Ḥabīb b. Rabī‘, 376
Mujāshi b. Mas‘ūd, 400
Mujjā‘ah b. Murārah, 132, 134, 136-137, 141
al-Mukā‘bar al-Fārisi, 129, 130, 131
al-Muṣaukis, 339, 340, 343, 346-348
al-Mukhabbil, 83
Mukhairil, 35
Mukharrim b. Ḥazn, 399
al-Mukhtar b. abi-Ubayd, 439, 479
Muks, 313
Mufūk at-Tawā‘if, 309
al-Mundhir b. Ḥassān, 497
al-Mundhir b. Ma‘ as-Samā‘, 225
al-Mundhir b. an-Nu‘mān, 127, 128
al-Mundhir b. Sāwa, 120, 123, 127
al-Muṭāṣır, 372
Mūrāh, 258
Murrāh b. abi-Murrāh, 483
Mūsa b. Bugha, 206, 489
Mūsā-i-Hādi, 184, 185, 297, 369, 459
Mūsā b. Nuṣair, 358, 362, 365-366, 396, 397
abu-Mūsā-i-As‘ari, 79, 85, 107, 267, 410, 411, 469, 478; 479, 485, 487, 490
al-Muṣ‘ab b. az-Zubair, 247
al-Musafer al-Qaṣṣāb, 328
Musailimah al-Kadhkhāh, 128, 132-140, 151, 159
al-Musayyab b. Zuḥair, 293, 297
Mūsh‘āb al-Armān, 329
Muslim b. ‘Abdallāh, 180, 228
al-Musta‘īn, 372
Mu‘tah, 138, 195
Mu‘tamir b. Sulaimān, 261, 296
Mutamam b. Nuwairah, 149-150
al-Mu‘tāṣim, 206, 221, 225, 256, 257, 258, 259, 264, 295, 312-313, 446, 460, 461
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al-Mutawakkillyah, 319, 461
al-Mu'tazz, 489
al-Muthanna b. Ḥārithah, 169, 387-388, 390, 394, 395, 399, 400, 401-402, 404, 409-410, 413
Muzailiya, 31

Nabateans, 247, 248, 250, 289, 417
Nabulus, 213, 245
banu-an-Nādīr, 33, 34-39, 43, 51
Nafis b. Muḥammad, 30, 396
Nahr al-Amir, 452
Nahr ad-Damm, 390
Nahr Durki, 428
Nahr Mahdūd, 433
Nahr al-Malik, 408, 422, 428
Nahr al-Mar'ah, 389
Nahr Maslamah, 232
Nahr Sa'd, 432
Nahr Sa'id, 280
Nahr Shaila, 433
Nahr as-Silah, 451
Nahr as-Shin, 450
an-Nahrain, 407, 421, 431
umm-Nahshal, 82
Najd, 134, 165, 462
Najran, 29, 32, 76, 98-105, 107, 157, 240
an-Najrāniyah, 102, 103
an-Nakhirkhan, 417, 474
an-Namir b. Ḫāsiṭ, 398
an-Nashastaj, 431, 432
an-Nashawa, 307, 315, 321, 330
Naṣibin, 274-275, 278-279
Naṣr b. Mālik, 293
Naṣr b. Sa'd, 293
an-Natāt, 45, 46
Nihawand, 145, 471-477, 478, 481, 485, 490
Nikābulus, 230

an-Nil, 450
Nizak Ṭarkhān, 491
Nizār, 435, 436
Nu'a'im b. 'Abd-Kušāl, 109
Nu'a'im b. Aus, 197
Nubia, 379-382
an-Nujair, 154, 155, 157, 158
an-Nukhairah, 393, 405-408, 410
an-Nu'mān Ka'il dhi-Ru'ain, 199
an-Nu'mān b. al-Mundhir, 127, 390, 394-395
an-Nu'mān b. Zur'ah, 284
Nuṣair abu-Mūsā, 396, 397
an-Nusair b. Daisam, 398-400

Orontes [al-Urunṭ or al-Urund], 200, 227

Palestine [Filastin], 167, 168, 178, 193, 201, 202, 207, 213-222, 227, 232, 244, 301, 329, 353

Rabad Ḥarrān, 282
Ra'bān, 202, 231, 297
Rabī'ah, 127, 128, 229, 372, 392, 399, 407
Rabī'ah b. Bujair, 169, 170
Rafah, 213
ar-Rāfi'kah, 280, 459
ar-Rahbah, 281
Rahwāt Mālik, 298
ar-Rai, 410, 457, 471, 479, 484
ar-Raiya, 141, 142
ar-Rajjāl [Rahhāl] b. 'Unfuwah, 132, 133, 134
ar-Rakka, 270-272, 274, 275, 278, 280, 281, 282, 290, 300, 322
ar-Ramlah, 220-221
Rammān, 147
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Sā'id b. Sa'd, 81
Sa'id b. Sālim, 329, 330
as-Sailātān, 394, 410
as-Sāimirah, 478
Sağh, 151
as-Sakūn, 153, 406
Salamyāh, 205
Salaman, 282
Sallīḥ b. 'Abd-ar-Rahmān, 465, 466
Sallīḥ b. 'Alī, 205, 221, 251, 257, 260, 291, 295
Sallām at-Taifūrī, 482, 483
Salma, 413, 414
Salmān b. Rabi'ah, 230-231, 310-312, 318-320, 415
Samārītana, 217, 244-245
as-Samīṣamah, 183-185
as-Samūr, 323, 326
San'ā', 106-107, 112, 156, 160, 162
as-Ṣanā'irīyah, 318, 329
as-Ṣarāḥ (canal), 396, 416, 446, 458
as-Sārāt, 32, 405
as-Sarīr, 326
Sarjūn [Sergius], 301
Sarūj, 274, 282
as-Sawād, 114, 387-400, 421, 422-431, 449, 491, 462, 463, 465
as-Sawwārdīyah, 319, 443
as-Sayāhījah, 250
Sa'yāh b. 'Amīr, 43
Seleucia [Salūkiyyah], 228
Shabath b. Rib'i, 151, 444
ash-Shābirān, 306, 319, 326
Shaila, 433
Shizar, 201
abu-Shajarah 'Amīr, 148
Shakkan, 319
abu-Shāki'r, 83
ash-Shāmākhiyyah, 329
Sha'īr, 319
ash-Sharāt, 193-194
Sharwān, 306, 309, 319, 324, 327, 329

Sabastiyah, 213
Sābūt, 417, 419
as-Sabūn, 129
Sābūr, 433, 461
Sa'd b. 'Amr, 169, 279, 280, 432-433
Sa'd b. Khaithamah, 15, 16
Sa'd b. Mālik, 431, 432
Sa'd b. Mu'ādh, 49, 41
as-Sadīf, 107, 156
as-Safa, 65, 71
Ṣafiyah, 43-44
as-Saffūkah, 142
Ṣafwān b. al-Mu'āṭtāl, 270, 273, 287, 288
Sahl b. Ḥunain, 36, 37
Sahl b. Sanbāt, 330
as-Sā'īb b. al-Akra', 471-472, 474, 478, 479, 486
as-Sā'īb b. al-Auwmān, 136, 138
Sa'id b. 'Amīr, 270, 275, 278
Sa'id b. 'Amr b. Aswad, 323-325, 484
Sa'id b. 'Amr b. Sa'id, 184
Sa'id b. al-'Āsi, 184, 310, 440
Sa'id al-Khārīr b. 'Abd-al-Malik, 280
INDEX

ash-Shikk, 45, 46
Shimshat, 287, 289, 294, 297, 305, 319
Shirawain, 406
Shuraib bil b. Hasanah, 165-167, 177, 178, 179, 186, 190, 201, 215
Shuraib bil b. ‘Ubayd, 211-212, 406
Shuraih b. ‘Amir, 388-389
Shurat, 118, 328
Sicily [Sikillyah], 375
Sidon [‘Sadn], 194
Siffin, 232, 415
Siijistan, 465, 491
Simak b. Kharashah, s. v. abu-Dujannah
Simak b. ‘Ubayd, 476
as-Simt b. al-Aswad, 200-201, 211, 212, 224
Sinan, 255
as-Sind, 259, 252
Sinjar, 274, 276
Sinn Sumairah, 479
Siraj Tair, 305, 314
as-Sirawain, 478
Sirin abu-Muhammad, 396, 398
as-Sisajian, 305, 307, 315, 322, 328, 331, 332
Sisar, 482-484
Sisiiy (Sis), 262
as-Siyasijan, 306, 307, 309
Slava [Sakalibah], 231, 325
St. John’s Cathedral, 191-192
as-Sadd al-Arim, 30, 31
Sufyan b. ‘Abdallah, 89
Sufyan b. ‘Auf, 294
Sufyân b. Mujib, 194, 195
Sufyân b. ‘Uyainah, 238, 240
abu-Sufyân b. Harb, 61, 62, 63, 66, 87, 91, 101, 107, 157, 197, 208
Sughdbil, 306
Suhail b. ‘Amr, 129, 215
Sua’iIm b. al-Muhammîr, 428
abu-Sulaim Faraj al-Khâdim, 260, 262
banu-Sulaim, 148, 291
Sulaimân b. ‘Abd-al-Malik, 54, 198, 220, 222, 306
Sulaimân b. Kirât, 482, 483
Sulaimân b. Sa’d, 301
Sulaim, 45, 46
Suljais, 349, 349
Sumaisât, 273-274, 297
Sûrân, 372
Surra-man-ra’a, 330, 332, 372, 382, 400-401
as-Sûs al-Adna, 359, 362
as-Sûs al-Aksha, 362
Suwa, 169-170
Suwald b. Kuftibah, 388, 389
Tabalah, 91
Tabaristan, 489, 490
Tabarsarân, 309, 324, 327
Tabuk, 92-94, 105, 167
Tadmur, 171
Tabris, 241, 305, 316-317, 325
banu-Taghib, 114, 115, 151, 169, 170, 284-286, 308, 399
at-Tâ‘if, 28, 61, 62, 85-90, 165, 208
Taimâ’, 31, 57-59
Taiyî’, 224, 419
Tâkât Bishir, 282, 447, 459
Takrit, 225, 399, 400
Tâlifh b. ‘Ubaydallah, 344, 431, 432
Tall ‘Afrâ’, 282
Tall ‘Azzâz [or ‘Azâz], 230
Tall Jubair, 263
INDEX

| Tall Madhâba, 282 |
| Tall Mauzin, 275 |
| Tamim b. Aus, 197 |
| banu-Tamim, 120, 129, 149, 151, 278, 394 |
| Tanjah [Tangiers], 357, 359, 362 |
| Tanûkh, 223, 224, 254 |
| Tariq b. Ziyâd, 362, 365 |
| Tarús, 253, 254, 260-262, 263, 296, 460 |
| Thâbit b. Kais, 139, 145 |
| Thâbit b. Nu'aim, 328 |
| ath-Thabjâ‘ al-Haḍramiyah, 155 |
| Thâkif, 85, 86, 87, 248, 487 |
| Thâl‘abah b. ‘Amr, 32, 33 |
| ath-Thâ‘labiyah, 389, 405, 409 |
| Thaniyat al-Ukâb, 172, 200 |
| Thât b. dhi-l-Ḥirrah, 161 |
| ath-Thughûr al-Jazariyâh [Mesopotamian Frontier Fortifications], 287-300 |
| ath-Thughûr ash-Shâmiyâh [Frontier Fortresses of Syria], 253-265 |
| Thumâmah b. al-Walid, 295 |
| Tiberias [Ṭabaraïyâh], 178-179 |
| Tigris, 417, 418, 421, 427, 430, 446, 451, 453 |
| at-Ṭirrîkh, 313-314 |
| Ṭizanâbâdîh, 410, 432, 443 |
| Tizin, 202, 229 |
| Tripoli [Aṭrâbulus], 194-196 |
| Tripoli (Aṭrâbulus) in Africa, 355, 357, 369 |
| Tulaihâh b. Khuwailid, 145, 146, 147, 414, 415, 420 |
| Tulaitulah [Toledo], 365 |
| Tûmân, 326 |
| Tûnîs, 360, 369 |
| Tûraifah b. Ḥâjizâh, 149 |
| Tûrânâdah, 289-290 |
| Turks, 307, 491, 493 |
| Tustar, 394, 401 |
| Tyre [Ṣûr], 179, 180, 181, 220 |

| 'Ubâdah b. al-Ḥârîth, 133 |
| 'Ubâdah b. aṣ-Ṣâmit, 201, 203-205, 209, 216, 217, 235, 237 |
| Ubai b. Ka‘b, 18, 69, 135 |
| 'Ubaid b. Murrah, 30, 396 |
| abu-'Ubaid b. Mas‘ûd, 401-402, 403-404, 405, 406 |
| 'Ubaidah b. 'Abd-ar-Rahmân, 367 |
| abu-'Ubaidah b. al-Jarrât, 65, 165-166, 172, 173, 176, 177, 178, 179, 186-190, 193, 198, 200, 203, 205, 208, 211, 213-216, 223-224, 226-232, 234, 244, 246, 254, 269, 270, 293, 411 |
| 'Ubaiddâlah b. al-Mahdî, 330 |
| 'Ubaiddâlah b. Ziyâd, 151, 480 |
| al-Ubullah, 388 |
| al-'Udhaib, 391, 401, 405, 409, 410, 413, 414, 463 |
| Uḥud, 22, 28, 30, 35, 80, 140, 477 |
| Ukaidir b. 'Abd-al-Malik, 95-97 |
| 'Ukbah b. 'Amir, 342, 343 |
| 'Ukbâh b. Nâfi‘, 353, 357, 358, 359, 361, 367, 379 |
| 'Ukbara, 399 |
| 'Ukkâshah b. Miḥsân, 145, 146 |
| Ulâlais, 389-390, 393, 404, 495 |
| 'Umaîr b. al-Hubâb, 288 |
| 'Umaîr b. Wahb, 336, 342 |
| banu-Umaîyah, 52, 53, 135, 193, 221, 233, 251, 258, 296, 330, 368, 396, 397, 443, 455, 456 |
| abu-Umâmah As‘ad, 19, 218 |
| abu-Umâmah aṣ-Ṣudai, 168, 230, 415 |
| 'Umân, 32, 116-119, 124, 140, 147, 155 |
| 'Umaîr b. 'Abd-al-'Azîz, 20, 21, 28, 49, 52, 53, 54, 58, 70, 88, 92, 103, 104, 105, 112, 118, 190, 192, 204, |
Yazid b. ‘Abd-al-Malik, 112, 190, 204, 322, 366, 442
Yazid b. Ḥātim, 369
Yazid b. al-Ḥurr, 254
Yazid b. Makhład, 262
Yazid b. Mu‘awiyah, 59, 75, 76, 96, 103, 202, 236, 244, 245, 294, 359, 376, 480
Yazid b. al-Muhallab, 259, 366
Yazid b. abi-Muslim, 366
Yazid b. abi-Sufyān, 58, 166-168, 173, 178, 179, 186, 189, 190, 193, 194, 196, 201, 208, 215-217, 219, 269, 335, 341
Yazid b. ‘Umar, 445
Yazid b. Usaid, 319, 328-329
Yazid b. al-Walid, 238, 241, 368
Yuḥanna b. Ru‘bah, 92
Yūsha‘ b. Nūn al-Yahūdi, 50
Yūsuf b. Muḥammad, 330-331
Yūsuf b. ‘Umar, 104, 105, 442, 488

az-Zāb, 370
Zabid, 107
Zādān Farrūkh, 466-466
Zaid b. al-Khāṭṭāb, 138, 139, 150
abu-Zaid al-Anṣārī, 116, 117, 118, 404

Zamzam, 77
Zandaward, 389, 402, 450
az-Zārah, 129, 130, 131
az-Zawazān, 275
Zawilah, 352-354
b. az-Zibā‘ra as-Sahmi, 68
Zibāṭrah, 282, 298-299
Zirikirān, 309, 326
Ziyād b. Abīnī, 436, 437, 441, 447, 464, 479
Ziyād b. Labīd, 107, 153-157, 160
abu-Zubaid at-Tā‘ī, 282, 404
Zubaidah, daughter of abu-l-Faḍl, 81, 281, 451
Zuhair b. Ḳais, 360
Zuhair b. Sulaim, 417
Zuhrah b. Ḥawiyah, 413, 416, 449-442
banu-Zuhrah, 80, 413
Zur‘ah b. dhi-Yazan, 107
Zuraib, 24
Zurārah, 432, 442
az-Zuṭṭ, 259, 257, 259, 264
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