

# Enhancement Tools for Arabic Web Search: A Statistical Approach

Adnan H. Yahya, Ali Y. Salhi

Department of Computer Systems Engineering, Birzeit University  
Birzeit, Palestine

yahya@birzeit.edu

asalhi@birzeit.edu

**Abstract**—*The Arabic web content is growing rapidly and the need for its efficient management is gaining importance and the morphological complexity of Arabic raises many challenges in this regard. This paper reports on some of our work aimed at designing text mining and query pre-processing tools that are able to efficiently process and search large quantities of Arabic web data. In our research we try to address the challenges Arabic poses for natural language processing (NLP) and information retrieval, root extraction, language detection, and Arabic query correction, suggestion and expansion. While not reported in detail here, we are also developing tools for automatic Arabic document categorization. All through, we employ a statistical/Corpus-based approach based on data obtained from a variety of sources. Based on corpus statistics we constructed databases of words and their frequencies as single, double and triple expressions and used that as the infrastructure for the well structured search aid tools that are able to handle the sophisticated nature of Arabic, and capable of being integrated into existing web search engines and document processing systems. We also utilize context analysis and spellchecking of the user queries to enable a more complete and efficient search. While the results reported here are promising, they must be viewed as work in progress, still in need of testing, refining, integration and deployment in real life settings.*

**Index Terms**— *Natural Language Processing, Information retrieval, Root extraction, Language detection, Arabic query correction*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

As the World-Wide Web (Web) rapidly expands, structured information retrieval systems that help find and manage needed information efficiently acquire added importance. That explains the growing influence of search engine companies. The estimates of the current size of the Web vary from 15 to 30 billion pages [1]. It is a real challenge to deal with this volume, and studies show that the growth rate of the Web is large and sustained, also because many existing pages are being continuously updated. The share of the Arabic language is around 1.4% of the Web total pages [2]. Despite this small share, retrieving Arabic information seems to be an annoying and unsatisfying experience for many users.

The main focus of this paper is to report on our work aimed at designing text mining and query pre-processing tools that are able to efficiently process and search large quantities of Arabic web data. In our research we try to address some of the challenges Arabic poses for NLP and information retrieval: root extraction, language detection, and Arabic query correction, suggestion & expansion. All

through, we employ a statistical/corpus-based approach based on contemporary data obtained from a large variety of sources. Based on corpus statistics we constructed databases that have Arabic words with their frequencies and used that as basis to create well structured search aid tools that are able to handle the sophisticated nature of the Arabic language and which are capable of being integrated into existing web search engines and document processing systems. Additionally, we utilize context analysis and spellchecking to enable a more complete and efficient response to user queries.

## 2. BACKGROUND

### 2.1. Search Engines, Historical Review

Going back to the history of Web search, we see that the first tool used for searching the Internet was *Archie*. It was created in 1990 by Alan Emtage. The first Web search engine was *Wandex*, with indexes collected by the World Wide Web *Wanderer*, a Web crawler developed by Matthew Gray in 1993. Lycos began in the spring of 1994; *Yahoo* became available in the same year. *NCSA Mosaic* in 1993 and *Netscape* in 1994[3] and in 1998 Larry Page and Sergey Brin came up with *Google*; a revolution to the search engine concept with all the new ideas, algorithms and visions that came with it.

### 2.2. Search Engine Structure

Web search engine technology consists of crawling strategies, storage, indexing, ranking techniques and Query engine [4]. However, our focus in this paper is on the enhancements that need to be made for query pre-processing using tools that enable efficient search in large quantities of Arabic web data.

### 2.3. Helping Search Engines Understand What Users Want

The ambiguity in words/phrases is less of an obstacle when it comes to human beings; they have many communication tools that help remove this ambiguity. Things are more complicated for machines. Search engines have to understand the user intention so as to provide satisfactory results sought by that user. The search engine can achieve that through a multiplicity of mechanisms such as Query Suggestion, Cross Language Query Suggestion



of words users' type into a query, present similar queries and eliminate typos, and may introduce a learning component into the interaction, something that may speed up the search process, check Fig 1.



Fig. 1. Live Suggestion Example

### 4.3. Stemming and Root Extraction

Arabic is a highly inflected language which has as a rich and complex morphological system. Arabic words are usually formed as a sequence of prefix, core, and suffix. Indexing the Web based on the roots, which are far more abstract than stems, will improve the retrieval effectiveness over stems and words. In this section we illustrate a new stemming and root extraction technique that for Arabic words. Such a tool will help us build the expansion algorithm for Arabic queries. Arabic words are divided into three types: noun, verb and particle. Nouns and verbs are derived from a set of around 10,000 roots and they commonly three or four, and rarely five letters [9]. Arabic words are formed by adding prefixes (consonants, vowels at the start), infixes (vowels) and suffixes (consonants and vowels at the end) to the root. So, finding the root basically means reversing the process of forming Arabic words by removing prefixes and suffixes, then predicting the root of the core word.

The form of an Arabic word is usually determined by its gender, number, grammatical case, whether it is definitive or not, and finally if there is a preposition attached to it.

Stemming is carried out in the following steps: We start by recursively removing prefixes and suffixes then attempting to find root for the stripped form. Our approach is to define seven level of processing (L3, L4, L5, L6, L7, L8, Ln) that the query may pass through during the process. The Number of characters in a word determines its starting level (for example, the word "يَنْتَصِر" will start at level five-L5). Words with less than three letters will not be processed and will be directly considered roots. Words with more than eight letters will start at level n. At each level of processing we considered the following hypotheses:

- Removing all possible prefixes and suffixes from a word will result in a word formed of three letters that we can consider as a stem.
- Prefixes and suffixes are either one, two or three letters,
- More than one prefix or suffix can be attached to the word.
- Level four of processing addressed infixes processing. In addition it takes into account one letter prefix or

suffix. After that the output is sent as a three letters word to L3.

- Words are composed of: prefix(es), a stem, and suffix(es). [Prefix (0-6 letters)-stem (1-4 letters)-suffix (0-6 letters)].

At each level of processing, all the possible combinations of (prefix core suffix) are examined and the combinations where the core is a correct Arabic word, prefix and suffix are extracted and the cycle moves on until the word has four letters. Then the word enters level four of processing which checks it first for infix then for suffix or prefix, check table II. After deleting any infix found or any one letter suffix or prefix, the word is sent to L3 which firstly checks if there is a vowel ( ا , و , ي ) in the word. If there is, the word is expanded to three shapes: one with "ا" as replacement of the word vowel, the other two shapes for "و" and "ي". The same occurs if the word has Hamza in it, words expanded to have all the possible shapes of Hamza. Then the word and its expansions are compared to list of 6,000 roots (Tim Buckwalter root list) [10], and the most similar root is considered as root for the word.

TABLE II

POSSIBLE PREFIXES, SUFFIXES AND INFIXES THAT MAY ATTACH TO ROOTS TO FORM WORDS

Prefix	Suffix	Infix
نست , يست , تست , است , ساء , سن , سي , ست , ن , ي , ف , ت , م , ل , ب , أ , و	كما , هما , ان , ون , ين , ية , هن , هم , ة , ي , وا , اء , ن , ت	ا , ي , و , ت

For example processing وسياخذونها is carried out in the following steps: LN → وسياخذونها then L7 → سياخذون then L3 → أخذ

We omit the fine details here about how our stemmer works for space considerations.

Validation experiments have been carried out to evaluate the performance of our root extractor. We selected 500 words randomly and ran the root extractor on them. The result was that 49 out of 500 words failed the test, which means that the overall performance of our root extractor is around 90% accurate, however this is a preliminary result with words that will converge to 3-letter root only.

### 4.4. Query Expansion Tool

If an Arabic retrieval system restricts its search to the exact query without looking for its relevant words or derivatives, the results will be poor. To overcome this, expansion techniques are used in search engines, making use of the fact that in Arabic, many words can be derived from a single root. The Query expansion builds expanded queries from roots: for example if we have the word يدرسون then expanding it will give words such as مدرس , دراسة , يدرسونها , يدرس and so on. What's common with these words is the root of the original word, درس. So in order to have an expansion tool to use with queries we first need to have tables that

relate each word in Arabic with its root. To build such tables we used our corpus and Arabic root extractor system. Also stop words in a query should be removed by a stop word filter. The foreign names will be kept as they are, the root extractor will tag them as unprocessed words.

To overcome the problem of the representation of Arabic letters (usually resulting in common errors: hamza shapes, alef, ..) we applied normalization rules when expanding the input query, that is to match between "ه" and "ة" in the end of the word, for example if the user query holds the word مدرسه then we should search for مدرسة and مدرسة plus expanded words related to both. Same said about "ا", "آ", "أ", "إ", "أ", "ي" in the first of the word and "ى", "ي" in the end of the word.

#### 4.5. Query Correction and suggestion

The main function here is to correct user entered queries. This has the flavour of spell checking techniques using dictionary lookup. Here, we first test the correctness of the query by looking for matching words (regardless of their order) in the dictionary. If there was a match, the query is considered correct; otherwise, the dictionary looks for a list of possible replacements. Such replacements might be based on the fact that in Arabic, one can find words with different spelling still pronounced in the very same way, and errors that occur as a result of similar pronunciation or spelling.

In order to build a spelling system for search query we need three types of corpora, single, double and triple expressions, the single word corpus is the dictionary. Why? Let's take the following example: the spelling of الوطن الغربي, the word الوطن is wrong and the word الغربي is correct, however if spelling each alone the word الوطن will be spelled either الوطن or maybe الوزن. That depends on the ranking system, but in the case of double expressions spelling, the system will look at the expression as one block and detect that the best solution is الوطن العربي neither الوطن الغربي nor الوزن العربي. Here, the double expressions were useful; same is said about the triple expressions. The double and triple expressions were built from the original newspapers pages.

Our correction algorithm depends mainly on Levenshtein distance which works as a metric measurement that gives the number of steps (minimum) needed to convert string A to string B[11]. Another important component of the correction algorithm is the ranking system that takes different measurements in consideration while sorting possible correct outputs to misspelled input. The ranking system takes the following (weighted) parameters in consideration:

4.5.1. *Shape Similarity*: A function that measures the similarity in shape between two words. For example, if the input misspelled word is الوطن and the spelling algorithm gave out two possibilities: الوزن and الوطن then the shape similarity function will detect that the word الوطن looks

more familiar in shape to the word الوطن since ط and ظ hold the same shape, and letter ج doesn't look the same.

4.5.2. *Location measurement*: Another variable to think of is the characters locations on the keyboard, for example assuming the letter ل, it will have the following group: ل ف غ ع ح ي which is the letters located around it in the keyboard, and so on for other letters. This measurement will give a numerical value that expresses the relation between two words based on their characters' locations on keyboard.

4.5.3. *Soundex Algorithm*: Originally, this is a "phonetic algorithm for indexing names by sound as pronounced in English"[12]. In our case of Arabic, the idea of the algorithm is to look for groups of words that hold the same sound somehow and replace them by a certain code. And any two words that have the same code are considered a match in sound such as كليتون, كلنتون.

The equation for ranking a possible output word from the Levenshtein distance will be like this:

$$Rank(word) = A*Frequency + B*ShapeSimilarity + C*LetterLocation + D*Soundex$$

Where A, B, C, D are percentages with summation of 100% (weights).

Consider A = 0.5 and B = 0.20 and C = 0.25 and D = 0.05. So the equation will be:  $0.5*frequency + 0.2*shape + 0.25*location + 0.05*sound$ .

The chosen values for A, B, C and D are not necessarily the best. They are based on experimentation and thus need more testing to decide the most proper range (or values) for them.

Table III and Table IV show the result after testing some queries using the query correction.

TABLE III  
SINGLE WORD QUERY TESTING

#	Input	Output(s)
1	خامعة	جامعة , خاضعة , خامسة , لامعة
2	المنتده	المنتدى , المنتدب , المنددة , المتحدة
3	الخمهورنه	الجمهورية
4	والقاونين	والقوانين , والقائنين
5	المستقيين	المستويين , المستقيين , المستقيدين
6	الضوفال	الصومال , الأطفال , الوفاق , الوفاق
7	السياسيين	السياسين , السيدية , الصيادين , الميادين
8	ويتعاونون	ويتعاونون

TABLE IV  
DOUBLE AND TRIPLE EXPRESSIONS QUERY TESTING

#	Input	Output(s)
1	تفنته المغلوفات	تقنية المعلومات
2	الترق الأشظ	الشرق الأوسط , الطرف الآخر
3	اللغفات الاجبية	اللغات الأجنبية
4	مخاملات خاتقت	مكالمات هاتفية
5	خمايةة الملكية التجارية	حماية الملكية التجارية
6	رابضية الجامعات الاشلاميه	رابطة الجامعات الإسلامية
7	فن الوفواكه الخضار	من الفواكه والخضار
8	المشاركوت في المروتمر	المشاركون في المؤتمر

## 5. AUTOMATIC ARABIC DOCUMENT CATEGORIZATION

The idea here is to map a document into one of a given set of categories based on text properties. The challenge is to correctly predict the category of the document even when the categories are close. We have done major experimentation on categorization algorithms that take into account the nature of Arabic and build on successes in other languages. We dealt with broader categories and refined subcategories with various degrees of success. We investigated the sources of the poor performance in certain settings and attempted to rectify that by introducing additional parameters into the categorization process. For space considerations, we are not able to give the fine details here.

## 6. CONCLUSION

We presented the challenges that the Arabic language introduces for retrieving Web information and some Arabic NLP tools and methods that might help removing the barrier resulting from the sophisticated nature of Arabic. Our work was aimed to develop techniques for returning good search results by helping Search Engines better understand users' queries and adding features to what currently exists in search engines. This paper reported on our work on designing text mining and query pre-processing tools that are able to efficiently process and search large quantities of Arabic web data. We employed a statistical/Corpus-based approach, and constructed databases that contain Arabic words from newspapers with their frequencies and used that as basis to create well structured search aid tools that are able to handle Arabic content and which are capable of being integrated into existing web search engines and document processing systems. You may like to visit our website(<http://wojoodapi.appspot.com/>) which includes information about the tools we are working on (new tools

also) , you will also find some testing applications, worth mentioning here that we are working on a new version of the website that will show in details our work and provide clear testing tools.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Search Engine Marketing and Internet Searching, Pandia, Search Engine News, "The Size of the World Wide Web", February 2007, <http://www.pandia.com/sew/383-web-size.html>
- [2] Thomas Boutell, "WWW FAQs: How many websites are there?" February 2007. [Online]. Available : <http://www.boutell.com/newfaq/misc/sizeofweb.html>
- [3] Grossan, "Search Engines, What they Are, How They Work, and Practical Suggestions for getting the Most out of them", [Online]. Available : <http://www.webreference.com/content/search/>, February 21, 1997.
- [4] Arasu, Cho, Garcia-Molina Paepcke, Raghavan, "Searching the Web", *ACM Transactions on Internet Technology*, Vol. 1, No 1, pp. 2-43, 2001.
- [5] Bies, Kulick, Maamouri, "Diacritization: A Challenge to Arabic Treebank Annotation and Parsing", University of Pennsylvania, USA. in proceedings of the Arabic NLP/MT Conference, The British Computer Society Natural Language Translation Specialist Group, 2006, pp.35-47.
- [6] (2009) Stop words, Wikipedia Website.[Online]. Available: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stop\\_words/](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stop_words/)
- [7] (2007) Stop words, University of Glasgow, Department of Computing Science, information retrieval resources.[Online]. [http://www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/idom/ir\\_resources/linguistic\\_utils/stop\\_words/](http://www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/idom/ir_resources/linguistic_utils/stop_words/)
- [8] (2009) Stop words, Arabic Stop Words Project.[Online].Available: <http://sourceforge.net/projects/arabicstopwords/>
- [9] Kareem Darwish, "Building a Shallow Arabic Morphological Analyzer in One Day", ECE Department, University of Maryland. [Online].Available:<http://www.cs.umd.edu/Library/TRs/CS-TR-4326/CS-TR-4326.pdf>
- [10] Tim Buckwalter, "Arabic root list",1997.[Online].Available: <http://www.angelfire.com/tx4/lisan/roots1.htm>
- [11] (2010) Levenshtein Distance, Wikipedia Website.[Online].Available: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Levenshtein\\_distance/](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Levenshtein_distance/)
- [12] (2010)Soundex, Wikipedia Website.[Online].Available: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Soundex/>